

Influence of Iron Nutrition and Arbuscular Mycorrhiza on Yield and Economics of Hybrid Maize in Calcareous Soil

J. Archana, M. Mohamed Amanullah*, S. Manoharan and K.S. Subramanian

Department of Agronomy,

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641003

Field experiment was conducted at the Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during *kharif* 2010 to study the influence of iron nutrition and arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) inoculation on yield attributes, yield and economics of hybrid maize in calcareous soil. The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications. The treatments included were arbuscular mycorrhiza (M₊) and control (M.) under factor 'A' and soil application of 25 kg and 50 kg FeSO₄ ha₋₁, Foliar spray of FeSO₄,0.5% and combination of soil application and foliar spray and Control, under factor 'B'. The results revealed that among the iron treatments, 50 kg FeSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO₄ foliar spray recorded better yield attributes and higher grain yield. It was comparable with 25 kg FeSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO₄ foliar spray which was economically profitable. Regarding the mycorrhizal treatments, AM inoculated plants recorded better yield attributes and grain yield. Among the treatment combinations, 25 kg FeSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO₄ foliar spray along with AM recorded better yield attributes and higher grain yield, net return and B: C ratio (2.16)

Key words: Hybrid maize, mycorrhiza, iron, yield attributes, yield, economics.

Maize has high yield potential and responds greatly to nutrient application. Apart from major nutrients, despite being needed in small quantities, micronutrients are also essential for the overall performance and health of the maize crop. They include iron, manganese, zinc, copper, molybdenum and boron. Among the micronutrients, Fe and Zn are more important to maize. Iron deficiencies are found mainly on calcareous soils which reduces iron uptake by plants causing iron chlorosis.

Mycorrhiza is the symbiosis between the roots of most land plants and many soil fungi that colonize the cortical tissue of roots during periods of active plant growth, from which both partners benefit. Arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) are the most common mycorrhiza. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are widespread and agronomically important plant symbiont and often stimulate plant uptake of nutrients such as P, Zn, Cu, and Fe in deficient soils (Liu *et al.*, 2000). The micronutrient improvement in mycorrhizal plants is always associated with rhizosphere acidification (Dodd *et al.*, 1987), more external mycelium in the soil (Jakobsen *et al.*, 1992) and soil biochemical changes (Subramanian and Charest, 2007).

Subramanian *et al.* (2009) reported that AM inoculation at varying levels of Zn concentrations exhibited prominent increase in yield components such as cob length, cob girth, row cob-1, grains row-1 and test weight of maize. AM fungus *Glomus*

*Corresponding author email: aman_agron@yahoo.co.in

intraradices enhanced the yield of sorghum plants under drought conditions (Ibrahim *et al.*, 1990). *G.mosseae* inoculation increased maize grain yield over uninoculated control in nonfumigated soil (Khadge *et al.*, 1992). Ziaeian and Malakouti (2001) concluded that Fe fertilization caused significant increase in grain and straw yield, 1000grain weight and the number of seeds per spikelet. With the application of Fe, their concentration and total uptake in grain and flag leaves and the grain protein content also increased significantly.

The AM isolates improve Fe acquisition in maize grown under Fe deficiency conditions. Improved host plant, root development, morphology and acquisition of P may have been involved in enhanced Fe acquisition by AM plants (Clark and Zeto, 1996). AM inoculated plants may mobilize or take up iron from calcareous soil low in Fe and translocate it to maize plants. With these ideas in view, the present investigation was carried out to study the influence of iron and AM inoculation on yield attributes, yield and economics of hybrid maize in calcareous soil.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during the *Kharif* season of 2010 at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. The experimental soil was sandy clay loam in texture having a pH of 7.58. The fertility status was low in available N (220 Kg ha-1), medium in available P (13.1 Kg ha-1) and high in available K (457 Kg ha-1). The available Fe (DTPA-extractable) content was 2.24 mg kg-1.

The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications. The treatments included were arbuscular mycorrhiza (M+) and control (M-) under factor 'A' and T₁ - 25 kg FeSO₄ ha⁻¹, T₂ - 50 kg FeSO₄ ha⁻¹, T₃ - 0.5% FeSO₄ foliar spray, T₄ - 25 kg FeSO₄ ha⁻¹ + 0.5 % FeSO₄ foliar spray, T₅ - 50 kg FeSO₄ ha⁻¹ + 0.5 % FeSO₄ foliar spray and T₆ – Control, under factor 'B'.

Hybrid maize (CO H(M)5) was used as test crop and it was grown by adopting a spacing of 60 x 25 cm. Seeds of maize hybrids under AM inoculation treatments were sown with vermiculite based mycorrhizal inoculum at 100 kg ha-1 at a depth of 3 cm below the seeds. Uniform fertilizer schedule was followed at the rate of 150: 75: 75 NPK kg ha-1. Nitrogen was applied in three splits viz., 25, 50 and 25 per cent as basal, 25 and 45 days after sowing, respectively. The entire dose of phosphorus was applied basally. The potassium was applied in two equal split doses viz., basal and at 45 days after sowing. Iron was applied basally and foliar spray of 0.5% FeSO₄. Foliar spray was given twice at 35 and 45 days after sowing. During the course of investigation, yield attributes and yield were recorded and economics computed.

Results and Discussion

Yield attributes

The yield attributes of hybrid maize *viz.*, cob weight and test weight were the highest with application of 50 kg $FeSO_4 + 0.5\%$ $FeSO_4$ foliar spray followed by 25 kg $FeSO_4 + 0.5\%$ $FeSO_4$ foliar spray and were comparable with each other (Table 1).

This might be due to increased availability and better uptake of iron and all the other nutrients which might have resulted in the improvement in all the yield attributes. Ramu and Reddy (2007) reported that yield attributes of maize were higher with foliar application of Fe and Zn. Inoculation of mycorrhiza positively influenced the yield attributes. The meristems originating ears are formed at early maize developmental stage, an improved nutrition at those stages increase the number of kernels formed and filled (Barry and Miller, 1989). AM inoculation significantly increased the yield attributes. This might be due to the formation of external mycelium around the roots by AMF fungi which made the availability of all the nutrients better for crop uptake. Similar result was obtained by Ananthi, (2010) in hybrid maize.

Grain yield

Application of 50 kg FeSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO ₄ FS recorded the highest grain yield of 5752 kg ha-1 followed by 25 kg FeSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO₄ FS and 50 kg FeSO₄ and both were comparable with each other (Table 1). This increase in yield was due to effective utilization of applied nutrients. The positive and significant improvement in LAI and DMP noticed at different stages, increased yield attributes and nutrient uptake due to both soil and foliar application of FeSO4 would have resulted in enhanced grain yield. The results are in conformity with the findings of Amanullah et al., (2007) in sorghum and Ramu and Reddy (2007). Inoculation of mycorrhiza significantly influenced grain yield (5820 kg ha-1). This yield gain in mycorrhizal plants caused by the intense flow of minerals and metabolites from the

Table 1. Effect of iron	application a	nd AM inoculation	on yield attrib	utes of hybrid maize

Treatment	(Cob weight		Test weig	ht	G	rain yield		S	stover y	ield
rreatment	(g)			(g)		(kg ha₁)		(kg ha₁)			
	M-	M+	Mean M-	M+	Mean	M-	M+	Mean	M-	M+	Mean
T ₁ - 25 kg FeSO ₄	164.2	225.8	195.0 27.90	32.31	30.11	4501	5407	4954	7581	8705	8143
T ₂ - 50 kg FeSO ₄	182.1	227.3	204.7 31.02	33.11	32.07	5208	5764	5486	8346	9206	8776
T ₃ - 0.5% FeSO ₄ FS	170.2	229.8	200.0 28.71	33.46	31.09	4919	5868	5394	7917	9248	8583
T ₄ - 25 kg FeSO ₄ + 0.5% FeSO ₄ FS	175.7	233.6	204.7 30.24	34.67	32.46	5023	6470	5747	8313	9561	8937
T ₅ - 50 kg FeSO ₄ + 0.5% FeSO ₄ FS	186.2	231.2	208.7 31.77	34.02	32.90	5451	6053	5752	8547	9088	8817
T ₆ – Control	162.1	224.1	193.1 27.14	31.18	29.16	4468	5357	4912	7646	8651	8148
Mean	173.4	228.6	29.47	33.13		4928	5820		8058	9076	
	SEd	CD	SEd	CD		SEd	CD		SEd	CD	
т	5.4	11.1	0.58	1.21		164	337		161	323	
Μ	3.3	6.8	0.34	0.70		94	196		110	221	
ТХМ	7.32	14.7	0.83	NS		221	467		232	465	

NS: Not Significant

leaf to the developing kernel. A higher yield of maize due to mycorrhizal inoculation has been reported previously by Solaiman and Harita (1998).

Stover yield

The stover yield recorded treatment wise showed that Fe application either through soil or foliar had influenced significantly registering the highest value (Table 1). The treatment 25 kg FeSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO₄ FS (8937 kg ha-1) recorded the highest

yield followed by 50 kg FeSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO₄ FS and 50 kg FeSO₄ and both were comparable with each other. The application of FeSO₄ either soil or foliar would help to recover the crop from chlorosis and yield well especially in calcareous soil. AM inoculation significantly influenced the stover yield. AM inoculated plants recorded significantly higher (9076 kg ha-1) stover yield. It is due to increase in growth parameters as evidenced in the present investigation. Similar results of increase in stover yield due to mycorrhizal inoculation were also reported earlier by Lauzon and Miller (1997) and Ananthi (2010) in maize.

Economics

The highest gross return was recorded with application of 25 kg FeSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO₄ foliar spray

followed by 50 kg FeSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO₄ foliar spray (Table 2). It is obvious that a realization of higher gross return was the result of higher yield. Remunerative economic returns (net return and B: C ratio) play a key role to convince the farmers for adoption of any refined version of agro techniques. In the present study, higher yields as well as high

Table 2. Effect of iron application and AM inoculation on yield and	d economics of	hvbrid maize
---	----------------	--------------

Treatment	Gross Return		Net ret	urn	B: C ratio		
	M-	M+	M-	M+	M-	M+	
T1 - 25 kg FeSO4	41798	47611	18697	21510	1.84	1.82	
T2 - 50 kg FeSO4	45842	50716	22511	24385	1.96	1.93	
T ₃ - 0.5% FeSO ₄ FS	43312	51569	20395	25652	1.89	1.99	
T4 - 25 kg FeSO4 + 0.5% FeSO4 FS	44340	56539	21193	30392	1.92	2.16	
₅ - 50 kg FeSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO₄ FS	47881	52971	24504	26594	2.05	2.01	
T ₆ – Control	39566	47184	16695	21313	1.73	1.82	

Data not analysed statistically

gross returns were obtained under the treatment combination 25 kg $FeSO_4 + 0.5\% FeSO_4 FS$ along with AM inoculation (Rs 56539) with a B: C ratio of 2.16.

The study revealed that 50 kg FeSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO₄ FS, AM inoculation recorded better yield attributes and yield. It was comparable with 50 kg FeSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO₄ FS along with AM inoculation. Iron applied at 25 kg FeSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO₄ FS along with AM inoculation enhanced the grain yield of maize and net profit in calcareous soil.

References

Agricoop, 2007-08. http://agricoop.nic.in.

- Amanullah, M.M., Pazhanivelan, S., Vaiyapuri, K. and Alagesan, A. 2007. Effect of iron on growth and yield of sorghum. *Crop Res.*, **33** : 59- 61.
- Ananthi, T. 2010. Response of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* I.) to mycorrhizal inoculation at varying nitrogen and phosphorus levels. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ., Coimbatore.
- Barry, D.A.J. and Miller, M.H.1989. Phosphorus nutritional requirement of maize seedlings for maximum yield. *Agron. J.*, **81**: 95–99.
- Clark, R.B. and Zeto, S.K. 1996. Mineral acquisition by mycorrhizal maize grown on acid and alkaline soil. *Soil Biol Biochem.*, **28**: 1495-1540
- Crop report. 2006 07. Ministry of Agriculture. 2006. www.tn.gov.in.
- Dodd, J.C., Burton, C.C. and Jeffries, P. 1987. Phosphatase activity associated with the roots and the rhizosphere of plants infected with vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol.*, **107**: 163-172.
- Ibrahim, M.A., Campbell, W.F., Rupp, L.A. and Allen, E.B. 1990. Effects of mycorrhizae on sorghum growth, photosynthesis and stomatal conductance under drought condition. *Arid Soil Res. Rehabil.*, **4**: 99-107.

- Jakobsen, I., Abbott, L.K. and Robson, A.D. 1992. External hyphae of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with *Trifolium subterraneum* L.1. Spread of hyphae and phosphorus inflow into roots. *New Phytol.*, **120**: 371-380.
- Khadge, B.R., Ilag, L.L. and Mew, T.W. 1992. Effect of VAM inoculum carry-over on the successive cropping of maize and mungbean. *Plant Soil.* 140: 303-309.
- Lauzon, J.D. and Miller, M.H. 1997. Comparative response of corn and soybean to seed-placed phosphorus over a range of soil test phosphorus. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, **28**: 205-215.
- Liu, A., Hamel, C., Hamilton, R.I., Ma, B.L. and Smith, D.L. 2000. Acquisition of Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe by mycorrhizal maize (*Zea mays* L.) grown in soil at different P and micronutrient levels. *Mycorrhiza*, **9**: 331-336.
- Ramu, Y.R. and Reddy, D.S. 2007. Effect of micronutrient management on growth, yield, quality and economics of hybrid maize. *Crop Res.*, **33**: 46-49.
- Solaiman, M.Z. and Harita, H. 1998. Glomus-wetland rice mycorrhizas influenced by nursery inoculation techniques under high fertility soil conditions. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 27: 92-96.
- Subramanian, K.S. and Charest, C. 2007. Arbuscular mycorrhiza and drought management of crops. *In:* Montano NM, Camargo Ricalde SL, Garcia.
- Subramanian, K.S., Tenshia, V., Jayalakshmi, K. and Ramachandran, V. 2009. Role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (*Glomus intraradices*) - (fungus aided) in zinc nutrition of maize. *J. Agric. Biotech. Sus. Devel.*, **1** : 29- 38.
- USDA. 2008. United States Department of Agriculture. Crop Production Statistics.
- Ziaeian, A.H. and Malakouti, M.J. 2001. Effect of Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu fertilization on the yield and grain quality of wheat in the calcareous soils of Iran. Plant Nutrition - Food Security and Sustainability of Agroecosystems (Eds W.J. Horst, *et al.*), Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 840-841pp.

Received: December 14, 2011; Accepted: April 10, 2012