



## Genetic Architecture of Grain Quality Traits in the Biparental Progenies (BIPs) of Rice (*Oryza sativa*. L)

A. Mahalingam\*, S. Robin, R. Pushpam and K. Mohanasundaram

Department of Rice, Centre for Plant Breeding and Genetics  
Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003

Investigation was carried out with an objective of studying the genetic architecture of biparental progenies (BIPs) of JGL 384 x Rasi cross combination of rice in terms of grain quality parameters. Intermated progenies (BIPs) showed superior mean performance than their parents, F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> generations for hulling (76.24%), milling (72.24%), head rice recovery (67.48%), kernel length after cooking (9.78), kernel L / B ratio after cooking (3.08), linear elongation ratio (1.66), volume expansion ratio (4.94) and amylose content (22.64%). Enhanced trait mean value might be due to pooling of favorable alleles through recombination, considerable heterozygosity, accumulation of favorable alleles of low frequency and breaking up of undesirable linkages which was possible because of intermating. Combining ability analysis of NCD II revealed that cooking traits like kernel length after cooking and volume expansion ratio were governed by additive genes. Hence, for the improvement of these traits pure line selection, mass selection and or progeny selection will be suggested and selection might be effective at this level. Other grain quality traits *viz.*, hulling percentage, milling percentage, head rice recovery, kernel length, kernel breadth, kernel L/B ratio, kernel breadth after cooking, kernel L/B ratio after cooking, linear elongation ratio, breadth wise expansion ratio, gel consistency, gelatinization temperature and amylose content were governed by dominant genes. Hence, these traits could be improved by recombination breeding by taking up selection at later generations, alternatively one or two more cycles of intermating may break the undesirable linkages among the traits of interest.

**Key words:** Biparental mating, NCD II, Rice grain quality

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the major staple cereal food crop fulfilling about 60 % dietary requirement, 20 % calorie and 14 % protein requirements of world's population. Of the annual world production of 596.49 million tonnes from 155.13 million hectares, Asia produces 540.62 million tonnes from 138.56 million hectares. Per capita consumption of rice in Asia ranges from 132 to 45grams per day (Swaminathan and Appa Rao, 2009). In the present decade, the rate of increase in rice production is lower (1.5% per year) than the increase in population (1.8% per year). The present world population of 6.3 billion is likely to reach 8.50 billion by 2030. Out of this, 5 billion people will be rice consumers and there is a need of 38 per cent more rice by 2030. If this target is not achieved, severe food shortage will occur in the next century (Khush, 2005). To meet this challenge there is a need to develop rice varieties with higher yield potential and greater yield stability (Khush, 2006).

High yielding ability, acceptable grain quality with resistance to pest and diseases is an important criterion in most of the rice breeding programmes. Rice grain quality is mainly determined by the

combination of many physical as well as chemical characters. Physical quality characters include kernel size, shape, hulling, milling percentage and head rice recovery. Chemical quality is mainly determined by amylose content, gelatinization temperature, gel consistency. High volume expansion and greater length wise expansion of kernel during cooking decide the consumer preference. Rice with soft to medium gel consistency, intermediate amylose content and gelatinization temperature is a preferred level for the consumer which determines the eating and cooking quality of rice grains (Bao *et al.*, 2002). Amylose content has been reported to be governed by the *waxy* (*Wx*) locus which is located on chromosome 6 (Septiningsih *et al.*, 2003) and independent studies on amylose content indicated that *wx* locus is linked to the gene for alkali spreading score, which is an indicator of temperature at which rice grain becomes gelatinous during cooking (Sano. 1984).

Biparental mating is one of the simplest random mating design available to effect forced recombination and breaking down of undesirable linkages as pointed out by Comstock and Robinson

\*Corresponding author email: mahalingamcpbg2008@gmail.com

(1952). To develop high yielding genotypes coupled with acceptable grain quality and tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses, a population with high variability serves always as prime source for effective selection, particularly the role by  $F_2$  segregants in throwing much variability is highly recognized. The  $F_2$  are the critical generation in rice breeding and they determine the eventual success or failure of hybridization programme (Jennings *et al.*, 1979). The intercrossing or intermating in the  $F_2$  segregants provides chances of finding superior recombinants in  $F_3$  or later generations and a greater amount of concealed genetic variations particularly of the additive type would be released there by improving response to selection (Moll and Robinson, 1967). Frederickson and Kronstad (1985) stressed that in autogamous crops, intermating among early segregants could open vistas to new levels of genetic variability by breaking up of the genetic recombination within the linkage group. Hence the present study was undertaken to know the genetics behind the cooking and eating quality traits of rice grains following North Carolina Design II (NCD II) of biparental mating.

## Materials and Methods

$F_2$  generation seeds of JGL 384 × Rasi cross combination and their parents were obtained and experiments were conducted at Paddy Breeding Station, Centre for Plant Breeding and Genetics, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Tamil Nadu, Coimbatore, India.  $F_2$  generation which comprised the biparental mating block was raised during Kharif 2007-2008 in non – replicated rows of 800 single plants. North Carolina Design II (NCD II) or Factorial Mating Design of biparental mating as suggested by Comstock and Robinson (1952) was followed to synthesis biparental progenies, in which within  $F_2$  population, eight plants were selected at random, among them four were treated as male parents and the remaining four were treated as female parents. Each male parent was crossed with each female parent and sixteen biparental progenies (BIPs) were made which would constitute one set, like wise two sets were made and finally a total of 32 BIPs were synthesized. Simultaneously the respective male and female parents were also selfed to generate  $F_3$  families. For crossing wet cloth method as suggested by Chaisang *et al.* (1967) was followed. The parents,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  generation,  $F_3$  families and biparental progenies (BIPs) were raised during Rabi 2007-2008 in a Randomized Block design with two replications adopting a spacing of 20 cm between rows and 10 cm between plants. Grain quality parameters were recorded on all the plants within the intermated progenies and five plants in each  $F_3$  families.

### Measurement of physical and cooking quality traits

The following twelve physical and cooking quality characters viz., hulling percentage (H %), milling

percentage (M %), head rice recovery (HRR), kernel length (KL), kernel breadth (KB), kernel L / B ratio (K L/B), kernel length after cooking (KLAC), kernel breadth after cooking (KBAC), kernel L / B ratio after cooking (K L/B AC), linear elongation ratio (LER), breadth wise expansion ratio (BER) and volume expansion ratio (VER) were measured as per the “Standard Evaluation System for rice” (SES, 1996) descriptors suggested by IRRI.

### Assessment of chemical quality characters

#### Alkali spreading value / Gelatinization temperature (ASV)

Gelatinization temperature (GT) was estimated based on alkali spreading value (ASV) of milled rice. The method developed by Little *et al.* (1958) was used to score alkali spreading value. Two sets of seven whole milled kernels of each entry were placed in Petri plates containing 10 ml of 1.7 % potassium hydroxide (KOH) solution. The kernels were arranged in such a way to provide space between kernels for spreading. The plates were covered and incubated at room temperature for 23 hours. The appearance and disintegration of kernels were rated visually as follows (Score 1: Grains unaffected, Score 2: Kernel swollen, Score 3: Kernel swollen with collar incomplete and narrow, Score 4: Kernel swollen with collar complete and wide, Score

5: Kernel split or segmented with collar complete and wide, Score 6: Kernel dispersed, merging with collar and kernels that were dispersed and disappeared completely were given a score of 7. A low ASV corresponds to a high GT, conversely, a high ASV indicates a low GT.

#### Gel consistency (GC)

The GC was measured in duplicates according to the method of Cagampang *et al.* (1973). Briefly, 100 mg rice flour was weighed in a 110 mm culture tube, to which 0.2 ml of 95% ethanol containing 0.025% thymol blue was added to prevent clumping of the powder during gelatinization. 1ml of 0.2 N KOH was added and vortexed thoroughly. The tubes were covered with glass marbles and boiled vigorously in a water bath for 8 min. After standing at room temperature for 5 min, the tubes were put on ice for 20 min, and then laid down horizontally on a table surface. The gel length was measured 1 hr later as the distance from the bottom of the tube to the front of the gel migration. The gel length thus obtained provides a measurement of the gel consistency; longer the distance softer the gel.

#### Amylose content (AC)

The simplified procedure of Juliano (1979) was used for estimating amylose content. Two sets of milled rice flour (50 mg) were taken in 50 ml volumetric flask. To this, 0.5 ml of 95 % ethanol was added to wash the sample adhering to the flask followed by 5 ml of 1 N NaOH. The material was left undisturbed overnight to gelatinize the starch or keep

in water bath at 65°C for 30 min. The solution was made up to 50 ml. Sample extract of 2.5 ml was pipetted out into another 50 ml volumetric flask. To this, 20 ml of distilled water was added followed by three drops of phenolphthalein to develop pink colour. Then 0.1 N HCl was added drop by drop until the colour disappeared. The volume was made up to 50 ml after the addition of 1 ml of iodine reagent and the blue colour developed was read at 590 nm. Amylose concentration (0-600) was obtained by plotting the absorbance in the standard curve. Amylose content of each genotype was expressed as percentage of total quantity of sample taken for analysis. Based on amylose content the rice was categorized as below (< 2.00%: Waxy, 2.01 to 8.00%: Very low, 8.01 to 20.00%: Low, 20.00 to 25.00%: Intermediate, > 25 %: High)

#### Statistical analysis

The mean data collected from Parents, F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> generation, 16 F<sub>3</sub> families and 32 BIPs were subjected for statistical analysis. After ascertaining the significant difference among the BIPs using RBD, the mean data were subjected to analysis of variance appropriate to NCD II (Comstock and Robinson, 1952).

## Results and Discussion

Mean performance is a basic and an important criterion in selecting superior segregants. According to Finkner *et al.* (1973), progenies with highest mean were relatively effective in selecting the superior segregants. Joshi (1979) experienced that intermating of F<sub>2</sub> population found to increase the population mean in BIPs. This is of immense value to the breeder, because usually populations mean go on decreasing progressively from F<sub>2</sub> generation onwards as homozygosity increases from F<sub>3</sub> generation. In the present investigation, biparental progenies showed superior mean performance for the grain quality parameters like, hulling percentage (76.24%), milling percentage (72.24%), kernel length after cooking (9.78), kernel L/B after cooking (3.08), linear elongation ratio (1.66), volume expansion ratio (4.94) and amylose content (22.64%) than their parents, F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> generations. The head rice recovery (67.48%) in BIPs was slightly lower than parent JGL 384 (67.61%), and it was higher than parent Rasi, F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> generations (Table 1). The present results were in accordance with the findings of Yuvaraja (2000) who stated that the amylose content in BIPs were numerically higher than their parents, F<sub>2</sub> and

**Table 1. Mean performance of parents, F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>3</sub> generations and BIPs for different grain quality characters**

Characters	Parents		Generations						
	JGL 384	Rasi	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>3</sub>		BIPs		
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range
Hulling (%)	73.21	70.45	72.21	71.76	64.18–80.18	74.06	69.76–81.19	76.24	65.18–82.71
Milling (%)	71.41	65.93	67.07	67.81	60.84–75.59	70.26	64.50–75.07	72.42	61.28–79.15
HRR (%)	67.61	53.55	60.72	63.28	54.48–71.15	64.65	56.49–71.29	67.48	55.28–74.88
KL (mm)	5.93	5.6	5.83	5.77	5.3–6.20	5.85	5.45–6.12	5.89	5.35–6.15
KB (mm)	2	2.4	2.33	2.31	2.0–2.5	2.07	2.15–2.40	2.27	2.10–2.50
K L/B	2.97	2.34	2.5	2.52	2.12–2.95	2.82	2.44–2.74	2.6	2.33–2.93
KLAC (mm)	9.4	8.9	9.1	9.47	8.50–10.42	9.45	8.85–9.90	9.78	9.15–10.65
KBAC (mm)	3.1	3.45	3.1	3.21	3.00–3.40	3.18	2.95–3.35	3.18	2.95–3.45
L/B AC	3.03	2.58	2.94	2.95	2.43–3.50	2.98	2.65–3.23	3.08	2.87–3.29
LER	1.59	1.59	1.56	1.64	1.57–1.71	1.62	1.34–1.76	1.66	1.56–1.80
BER	1.55	1.44	1.33	1.39	1.32–1.52	1.54	1.35–1.56	1.4	1.28–1.52
GC (mm)	94	88	91	92	60.00–123.00	91	68.5–116.00	90	27.00–133.50
GT	1.62*	2.58*	2.38*	1.94*	1.00–2.65	2.26*	2.00–2.45	2.00*	1.41–2.65
VER	4.8	3.87	4.57	4.78	3.8–5.6	4.39	3.90–4.70	4.94	4.00–5.40
AC (%)	21.5	20.43	20.83	21.71	15.60–27.50	20.42	15.60–23.00	22.64	16.80–28.40
HRR	– Head rice recovery		KLAC	– Kernel length after cooking		BER	– Breadth wise expansion ratio		
KL	– Kernel length		KBAC	– Kernel breadth after cooking		GC	– Gel consistency		
KB	– Kernel breadth		L/BAC	– kernel length / breadth after cooking		GT	– Gelatinization temperature		
K L/B	kernel Length / Breadth ratio		LER	– Linear elongation ratio		VER	– Volume expansion ratio		
	* - Square Root Transformed Values					AC	– Amylose content		

F<sub>3</sub> progenies. Mean values for amylose content (22.64%) and gelatinization temperature (4) were intermediate in BIPs. The amylose content of BIPs ranged from low (16.80%) to higher (28.40%) and this range of values was reduced in F<sub>2</sub> (15.60 to 27.50%) and F<sub>3</sub> (15.60 – 23.00%) generations. Amylose content of rice determines the hardness and stickiness of cooked rice (Juliano, 1993). It indicates that intermating provides an opportunity for isolating superior segregants with intermediate amylose content. Enhancement in the trait mean value might be due to pooling of favorable alleles

through recombination which was possible because of intermating. Biparental mating thus proves as an efficient breeding strategy for the improvement of grain quality traits.

Increase in mean values for many of the characters in biparental progenies as compared to F<sub>3</sub> progenies could be the result of considerable heterozygosity (heterotic effect) in BIPs as it is derived by crossing selected parents in F<sub>2</sub> and reduction in F<sub>3</sub> generation due to inbreeding depression as it is obtained by selecting the F<sub>2</sub> (Gardner *et al.* 1953).

The higher mean and wider range in biparental progenies for most of the characters might be due to creation of additional variability than in the F<sub>3</sub> progenies by nullifying the effect of selfing (Nemathullah and Jha, 1993) in wheat. The superior mean performance of biparental progenies could be attributed to the accumulation of favorable genes of low frequency present over the population, dominance deviations and epistatic interaction in biparental progenies (Srivastava *et al.* 1989). The release of concealed genetic variability by breaking undesirable linkage might be another reason for increased mean and wide range of biparental progenies (Sethi *et al.* 1995).

The combining ability analysis of BIPs for different grain quality traits exhibited highly

**Table 2. Analyses of Variance of NCD II for different quality parameters of cross JGL 384 X Rasi**

Source of variation	df	Mean squares														
		Hulling	Milling	HRR	KL	KB	KL/B	KLAC	KBAC	K L/B AC	LER	BER	GC	GT	VER	AC
Between male half sib family groups (M)	6	88.55**	70.07**	124.90**	0.07**	0.01	0.03*	0.26*	0.04**	0.03*	0.01**	0.01	1321.62**	0.14	0.51**	18.65**
Between female half sib family groups (F)	6	54.41**	51.61**	50.65**	0.06*	0.02	0.06**	0.57**	0.03*	0.03*	0.01**	0.005	1376.54**	0.24*	0.50**	6.48**
Male x Female	18	33.18**	38.91**	24.64**	0.04	0.2**	0.02	0.15	0.02	0.02	0.01**	0.01	998.62**	0.12	0.10**	5.53**
Within Full sib families	30	0.13	0.99	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.005	25.95	0.07	0.06	0.07

\*\* - Significant at 1 % level \* - Significant at 5 % level

HRR – Head rice recovery

KL – Kernel length

KB – Kernel breadth

K L / B AC – kernel length / breadth after

cooking LER – Linear elongation ratio BER

– Breadth wise expansion ratio

GC – Gel consistency

GT – Gelatinization temperature

VER – Volume expansion ratio

AC – Amylose content

and or dominance. The breeding method might be decided based on the relative importance of additive and dominance variances (Barker, 1978). Higher magnitude of additive variance indicated the predominance of additive gene action and that of dominance variance indicated the non – additive type of gene action. The choice of the breeding method primarily depends upon the nature and magnitude of gene action. If additive variance is greater and additive gene action forms the principal component of genetic variance, use of pedigree method would be desirable as the gene effects are fixable, then the choice of fixing superior genotypes in early segregating generation will be high and rewarding. If dominance variance is predominant the selection has to be postponed to later generations and appropriate breeding techniques should be adopted to obtain useful and superior genotypes. The non – additive effects is non – fixable, yet it can be exploited through heterosis breeding.

A combining ability variance estimates revealed that additive genetic variance was higher than dominance genetic variance and also additive / dominance ratio was more than one for kernel length after cooking (1.21) and volume expansion ratio (2.48) (Table 3). This indicates the predominance of additive gene in the governance of these traits. Additive genetic variance is associated with homozygosity and also fixable in nature. Therefore, selection for kernel length after cooking and volume expansion ratio governed by additive genetic variance will be very effective. Existence of additive genetic variance is pre requisite for improvement through selection because this is the only variance

significant mean square values for the traits viz., hulling percentage, milling percentage, head rice recovery, linear elongation ratio, volume expansion ratio, gel consistency and amylose content. This significance was observed in between male half sib family groups (M), between female half sib family groups (F) and male x female full sib family families (Table 2). It was evident from the result that adequate genetic variability was present among the intermated progenies.

The success of any plant breeding programme depends to greater extent on the knowledge of genetic architecture of the population handled by the breeder (Tai, 1979). An overall understanding of the gene action for different characters is possible by making comparison of variance due to additive

that responds to selection. Additive genetic variance is a measure of additive gene action and this gene action is the chief cause of resemblance between relatives and progress by selection is directly proportional to the degree of resemblance between parents and progeny. Thus additive gene action is a measure of breeding value of a genotype. Hence for the traits kernel length after cooking and volume expansion ratio reliance should be placed on pure line selection, mass selection and or progeny selection. This is in agreement with the findings of Shanthi *et al.* (2004), Manickavelu *et al.* (2006) and Thirugnana kumar *et al.* (2007) . Further this trait could be improved by pedigree breeding method while going for hybridization and selection.

Among the fifteen grain quality traits studied viz., hulling percentage, milling percentage, head rice recovery, kernel length, kernel breadth, kernel L/B ratio, kernel breadth after cooking, kernel L/B ratio after cooking, linear elongation ratio, breadth wise expansion ratio, gel consistency, gelatinization temperature and amylose content exhibited high degree of dominance variance than additive genetic variance (Table 3). Hence, it was concluded that these characters were governed by non – additive type of gene action. It is in accordance with the results of Raju *et al.* (2006), Sharma *et al.* (2006) and Thirugnana kumar *et al.* (2007). Normally dominance genetic variance is associated with heterozygosity and it is not fixable, therefore, selection for these traits is not effective. Dominance variance is the chief cause of heterosis or hybrid vigor. The preponderance of non – additive gene action for these traits under study indicated that improvement

**Table 3. Estimation of variance components of BIPs for different grain quality traits in JGL 384 ´ Rasi**

Genetic components	Hulling	Milling	HRR	KL	KB	L/B ratio	KLAC	KBAC	L/B AC	LER	BER	GC	GT	VER	AC
Variance due to males	6.92	3.89	12.53	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.014	0.003	0.001	0.0001	0.007	40.38	0.003	0.052	1.641
Variance due to females	2.65	1.59	3.25	0.003	0.001	0.005	0.053	0.002	0.0001	0.004	47.24	0.014	0.014	0.051	0.119
Variance due to male x females	16.53	19.41	12.29	0.013	0.005	0.007	0.028	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.0015	486.34	0.025	0.021	20.727
Additive genetic variance	19.15	10.96	31.57	0.01	0.0001	0.013	0.133	0.009	0.006	0.0001	0.001	175.23	0.034	0.206	3.52
Dominance variance	66.11	77.64	49.15	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.110	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	1945.3	0.10	0.08	10.91
Additive / Dominance ratio	0.290	0.141	0.642	0.225	0.0001	0.431	1.205	0.729	0.625	0.007	0.104	0.090	0.336	2.480	0.323

HRR – Head rice recovery

KLAC – Kernel length after cooking

LER – Linear elongation ratio

GT – Gelatinization temperature

KL – Kernel length

KBAC – Kernel breadth after cooking

BER – Breadth wise expansion ratio

VER – Volume expansion ratio

KB – Kernel breadth K

L / B AC – kernel length / breadth after cooking

GC – Gel consistency

AC – Amylose content

L/B kernel L/B ratio

of these characters could be possible through heterosis breeding. But, rice being a self pollinated crop, heterosis breeding is not widely adopted, unlike recombination breeding. Therefore, to get better genotypes with the acceptable grain quality by the way of recombination breeding hybridization followed by selection at later generations is suggested for exploiting dominance gene action. Alternatively, two or more cycles of intermating among the selected segregants might not only break the undesirable linkages if any, but also allow accumulation of favorable alleles for the improvement of traits of interest. It was concluded that biparental or intermating is an effective breeding strategy to accumulate most of the favourable alleles for different traits into a single genotype by breaking undesirable linkages among the favourable and unfavourable genes.

### References

- Backer, R.S. 1968. Extent of intermating in self – pollinated species necessary to counteract the effects of genetic drift. *Crop Sci.*, **8**: 547-550.
- Bao, J.S., Wu, Y. R., Hu, B., Wu, P., Cui, H.R. and Shu, Q. Y. 2002. QTL for rice grain quality based on a DH population derived from parents with similar apparent amylose content. *Euphytica*, **128**: 317-324.
- Chagampang, G. B., Perez, C. M. and Juliano, B.O. 1973. A gel consistency test for eating quality of rice. *J. Sci. Food Chem.*, **31**: 281-283.
- Chaisang, K., Ponnaiya, B.W.X. and Balasubramanian, K. M. 1967. Studies on anthesis, pollination and hybridization technique in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Madras Agric. J.*, **54**: 118-123.
- Comstock, R.E. and Robinson, H.F. 1952. Estimation of average dominance of genes. In: *Heterosis*, Gowen, J.W. (Ed), Iowa State College Press-Ames, pp: 494-515.
- Finkner, V.C., Porelirt, C.G. and Davis, D.L. 1973. Heritability of Rachis node number of *Avena sativa* L. *Crop Sci.*, **13**: 86-88.
- Fredrickson, L.J. and Kronsrad, W.E. 1985. A comparison of intermating and selfing following selection for heading date in two diverse winter wheat crosses. *Crop Sci.*, **25**: 555-560.
- Gardner, C.O., Harvey, P. H., Comstock, R. F. and Robinson, H. F. 1953. Dominance of genes controlling quantitative characters in Maize. *Agron. J.*, **45**: 186-191.
- Khush, G.S. 2005. What it will take to feed 5.0 billion rice consumers in 2030. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, **59**:1-6.
- Jennings, P.R., Coffman, W.R. and Kaufman, H.E. 1979. *Rice Improvement*. IRRI, Los Banos, the Philippines. Pp: 186
- Joshi, A.B. 1979. Breeding methodology for autogamous crops. *Indian J. Genet & Plant Breed.*, **39**: 567- 578.

- Juliano, B.O. and Villareal, C.P. 1993. Grain quality evaluation of world rice. International Rice Research Institute, Manila, Philippines: 205P.
- Juliano, B.O. 1971. A simplified assay of milled rice amylose. *Cereal Sci. Today*, **16**: 334-338.
- Little, R.R., Hilder, G.B. and Dawson, E. H. 1958. Differential effect of dilute alkali on 25 varieties of milled white rice. *Cereal Chem.*, **35**: 111-126.
- Manickavelu, A., Nadarajan, N., Ganesh, S.K. and Gnanamalar, R. P. 2006. Genetic analysis of biparental progenies in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Asian J. Plant Sci.*, **5** : 33-36.
- Moll, R.H. and Robinson, H.F. 1967. Quantitative genetic investigations of yield of maize. *Zuschter*. **37**: 192-199.
- Nemathullah, P. and Jha, P.B. 1993. Effect of biparental mating in Wheat. *Crop Improve.*, **20**: 173-178.
- Raju, Ch. S., Rao, M. V. and Sudarshnam, A. 2006. Heterosis and genetic studies on yield and associated physiological traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Oryza*, **43** : 264-273.
- Sano, Y. 1984. Differential regulation of waxy gene expression in rice endosperm. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **68**: 467-473.
- Septiningsih, E.M., Trijatmiko, K.R., Moeljopawiro, S. and McCouch, S.R. 2003. Identification of quantitative trait loci for grain quality in an advanced backcross population derived from the *Oryza sativa* variety IR64 and the wild relative *O. rufipogon*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **107**: 1433-1441.
- Sethi, S. K., Srivastava, R.B., Yunus, M. and Yadav, B. 1995. Relative efficiency of different methods of generating variability in *Triticum aestivum*. *Indian J. Genet.*, **55**: 273-278.
- Shanthi, R.M. 1989. Studies on biparental mating in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). M. Sc. (Ag.) Thesis. TNAU, Madurai, India.
- Sharma. S. K., Pandey, M. P, Dwivedi, D. K and Shukla, S. K. 2006. Combining ability and heterosis among elite bacterial blight resistant lines in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Oryza*, **43**: 315-317.
- Srivastava, R. B., Paroda, R.S., Sharma, S.C. and Yunus, M. 1989. Genetic variability and genetic advance under four selection procedures in wheat pedigree breeding programme. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **77**: 516-520.
- Swaminathan, M.S. and Appa Rao, S. 2009. Rice improvement in the genomics era. Chapter. 14. *Rice for Sustainable Food and Nutritions Security*. CRC Press. Pp: 375-415.
- Tai, G. C. 1979. An internal estimation of expected response to selection. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **54**: 273-275.
- Thirugnana Kumar, S., Narassiman, R, Senthil Kumar, N, Eswaran, R and Praveen Sampath Kumar, C. 2007. Gene effects for grain yield and its component characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Crop Improve.*, **34** : 19-23.