

Harvest Time Residues of Emamectin Benzoate in Cotton

N. Boomathi*, N. Kumaran, B. Vinoth Kumar, S. Kuttalam and K. Gunasekaran Department of Agricultural Entomology, TNAU, Coimbatore-641 003

Studies were conducted to evaluate the harvest time residues of emamectin benzoate 5 EC on cotton in Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during 2005-2007. Two sprays of emamectin benzoate 5 EC at 15 and 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ were given along with untreated check. Samples of cotton lint, seed and soil were collected at random at first and third picking (50 and 80 days after last spray, respectively) for analysis. The results revealed that the harvest time residues of emamectin benzoate 5 EC at 15 and 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ were below detectable level in cotton lint, seed, oil and soil samples.

Key words: Emamectin benzoate, cotton, residue

Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum Linn.), an important commercial / fibre crop in India plays a key role in national economy with an export worth of Rs.38, 000 crores (Dhawan, 1998). In India, it is grown under varying climatic and soil conditions in an area of 85.6 lakh ha, with a production of 223 lakh bales. Tamil Nadu accounts for 1.60 lakh ha producing 5.50 lakh bales with a productivity of 584 kg lint ha-1 (Raveendran et al., 2002). The damage caused by the insect pests is one of the major causes for poor yield of cotton. Nearly 1326 insects and mites all over the world (Hargreaves, 1948) and about 200 in India (Anonymous, 1981) have been recorded as pests of cotton. So the efforts in the past resulted in the development of less persistent chemicals with novel mode of action to overcome the ecological constraints like resurgence, resistance and residues. At present the Golden Age of insecticide research has many selective, neuro active and easily degradable compounds. These newer molecules always have a higher stability and superiority over the conventional pesticides to control the pest population density in classical manner at field level. Emamectin benzoate, one of the newer compounds is synthesized from the naturally occurring insecticide/acaricide of avermectin family. This was discovered in 1984 as a broad

spectrum lepidoptericide. Patil and Rajanikantha (2004) reported emamectin benzoate under the new class of insecticide "avermectins" and explained its mode of action and efficacy. This product is a mixture of emamectin benzoate B1a and emamectin benzoate B₁b that are extracted from Streptomyces avermitilis. It is both a stomach and contact insecticide. It interferes with neurotransmitters of target pests which results in disruption of nerve impulses. It is used primarily for control of lepidopteran pests in foliage and fruity vegetables (Ishaaya and Ohsawa, 2002; Leibee et al., 1995; Jansson et al., 1996), cotton (White et al., 1997) and range of other crops (Dunbar et al., 1998). Hence the present study was undertaken to evaluate the residue of emamectin benzoate 5 EC in cotton.

Materials and Methods

Emamectin benzoate 5 EC was tested in two doses to evaluate the harvest time residue in cotton during 2005-07 at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. The experiments were conducted in a randomized block design with a plot size of 5 x 5m with seven replications. The treatments tried were emamectin benzoate 5 EC@ 15g a.i ha⁻¹, 30 g a.i ha⁻¹ and untreated control. Applications of different treatments were imposed three times at an interval of 15 days on

reproductive stage using a high volume sprayer. The spraying was done during morning hours in such a way as to give uniform coverage on foliage and to avoid drift.

Collection of sample

For pesticide residue analysis, sampling of cotton kapas was done during first and third pickings. The interval between the last spray and the first harvest and the first harvest to third harvest were 50 and 30 days, respectively. The samples were ginned to analyse pesticide residues in seed, lint and soil. The samples of lint, seed and soil were analysed for pesticide residue using HPLC as detailed below.

Analytical methodology

Lint

Ten gram of cotton lint was soaked in 50 ml acetonitrile over night and was blended in a blender for approximately five minutes. The mixture was filtered through filter paper and micro filtration unit using filter paper supported on Buchner funnel in to 500 ml vaccum filter flask. Five ml filtered solution was diluted to 25 ml by acetonitrile mixture (750 ml acetonitrile + 250 ml HPLC water + 0.8 ml triethanolamine (TEA) in a 25 ml volumetric flask. Twenty five microliters of this sample was directly injected to HPLC (Shimadzu LC - 20 AT model) with a running time of 10 minutes. Prior to this a chromatogram was prepared with standard solution of emamectin benzoate with the same configuration.

Seed

Twenty grams of cotton seed was soaked in 50 ml acetonitrile over night and was blended in a blender for approximately five minutes. The mixture was filtered through filter paper and micro filtration unit using filter paper supported on Buchner funnel in to 500 ml vaccum filter flask. Five ml filtered solution was diluted to 25 ml by acetonitrile mixture (750 ml acetonitrile + 250 ml HPLC water + 0.8 ml TEA in a 25 ml volumetric flask. Twenty five microliters of this sample was directly injected to HPLC (Shimadzu LC-20AT model) with a running time of 10 minutes.

Oil

Fifty gram of seed was blended, tumbled and placed in Soxhlet apparatus and run for 6-8 h in hexane to extract the oil. Hexane portion was collected, condensed and the oil content weighed. To this 25 ml of acetonitrile mixture (750 ml acetonitrile + 250 ml HPLC water + 0.8 ml TEA) in a 25 ml volumetric flask. Twenty five microliters of this sample was directly injected to HPLC (Shimadzu LC - 20 AT model) with a running time of 10 minutes. Prior to this a chromatogram was prepared with standard solution of emamectin benzoate with the same configuration.

Soil

The soil samples taken from treated plots were air dried. It was ground in a pestle and mortar and passed through 2 mm sieves. Five gram soil sample was taken in a 50 ml volumetric flask and mixed with 25 ml acetonitrile and kept for 48 hrs following sonication for 16 hrs in a sonicator. The solution was filtered and 1 ml aliquot was made up to 50 ml with acetonitrile mixture (750 ml acetonitrile + 250 ml HPLC water + 0.8 ml TEA). Twenty five microlitres of this sample was directly injected to HPLC (Shimadzu LC - 20 AT model) with a running time of 10 minutes.

Final quantification

Emamectin benzoate residues were estimated by Shimadzu LC - 20 AT model HPLC equipped with SPD - M20A prominence Diode array detector (DAD) fitted with RP-18 e Chromolith[®] column. The following were the operating parameters.

Column : RP- 18e Chromolith [®]					
Temperature : Ambient temp. (40°C)					
Detector : SPD – DAD (Diode array					
detector					
Mobile phase : Acetonitrile + double distilled water + TEA (750 : 250 : 0.8v /v)					
Flow rate : 1 ml/min.					
Wavelength : 260 nm					
Total run time: 10 minutes					

The amount of residue was determined by comparing the sample response with the response of standard by using the formula,

Residues =
$$\frac{A_s}{A_{std}}$$
 X $\frac{W_{std}}{W_s}$ X $\frac{V_s}{A_{sj}}$

Where, A_{s} Peak area of the sample, A_{std} -Peak area of the standard, W_{std} - Weight of the standard in ng, Ws - Weight of the sample in g, Vs - Volume of the sample (final extract in ml), Asj - Aliquot of the sample injected in ml

Results and Discussion

Recovery studies were carried out in order to establish reliability of the analytical methods and to know the efficiency of extraction and clean up steps employed for the present study by fortifying the lint, seed, oil and soil samples with analytical standard of emamectin benzoate at 1, 2 and 5 ppm level. The results of the recovery study are presented in Table 1. The mean recovery of emamectin benzoate was 73.2, 74.9, 76.8 and 76.0 per cent from fortified lint, seed, oil and soil samples, respectively. The minimum detection limit of the instrument was 0.5 ng and the determinability level in the sample was 0.04 and 0.1 mg g⁻¹, considering the weight of the sample as 25 and 10 g and final volume of the extract as 2 ml for cotton seed and lint, respectively while that was 0.5 mg g⁻¹ for oil considering the sample weight of 2g. The harvest time residues of emamectin benzoate 5 EC at 15 and 30 g a.i.ha⁻¹ were at below detectable level in cotton seed, lint and oil samples collected during first and third harvest in both the

Table 1. Recovery of emamectin benzoate from lint, seed, oil and soil samp	les of cotton

Matrix / Substrate	Amount fortified (ppm)	% recovered	Average % recovery
Lint	1.0	72.1	
	2.0	73.0	73.2
	5.0	74.5	
Seed	1.0	74.8	
	2.0	73.5	74.9
	5.0	76.4	
Oil	1.0	80.2	
	2.0	77.5	76.8
	5.0	72.6	
Cropped soil	1.0	75.6	
	2.0	74.3	76.0
	5.0	78.0	

* Average of seven replicates

	Residues in µg g ⁻¹ at harvest							
		Lint	Seed		Soil		Oil	
Treatment	l Picking	III Picking	l Picking	III Picking	l Picking	III Picking	l Picking	III Picking
Emamectin benzoate 5 EC @ 15 g a.i. ha ⁻¹	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
Emamectin benzoate 5 EC @ 30 g a.i. ha ⁻¹	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
Untreated control	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL

BDL - Below detectable level

experiments (Table 2). Yoshi et al. (2000) reported that the detection limits of the analytes in vegetables were 0.1-0.3 ppt, and nine commercial crops had 0.2-6.7 ppb of emamectin benzoate out of 20 crops surveyed. Crouch et al. (1997) reported that cabbages after treatment with 8 weekly applications of avermectin at 0.015 Ib and 0.075 lb a.i. ac⁻¹ required pre harvest intervals of 2 h to 10 days; and the total residue declined from 450 to 200 and 2900 to 1300 ppb for the two doses, respectively. Gonzalez and Barria (1999) stated that the residues of abamectin fell below 2.0 ppb after 8 days in many vegetable and fruit crop nectarines. Cobin and Johnson (1995) determined abamectin residues in apples by using reversed-phase liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. Recoveries of avermectins from apples fortified with about 2-77 ppb avermectin B1a or 2-27 ppb 8, 9-Z avermectin B1a averaged 85 per cent. The limit of quantitation was 2 ppb and the limit of detection was 1ppb for each analyte.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to M/s Krishi Rasayan Exports Ltd for providing financial supposrt and the chemicals used for this study.

Reference

- Anonymous. 1981. The bio method in cotton fields. Aschechita rastenil ot Vreditelhii hoeznei. 8: 4-6.
- Cobin, J.A. and Johnson,N.A. 1995. Liquid chromatographic method for rapid determination of total avermectin B₁ and 8,9z- avermectin B₁ residues in apples. *J. AOAC Internatl.*, **78:** 419-423.
- Crouch, L.S., Wrzesinski, C.L. and Feely, W.F. 1997. Fate of [14C/superscript 3H] emamectin benzoate in cabbage. 1. Extractable residues. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **45:** 2744-2757.
- Dhawan, A.K. 1998. Integrated pest management in cotton: Status of different management options and future perspectives. *Pestology*, **22**: 21-23.
- Dhawan, A.K. 2000. Impact of some new insecticides on natural enemy complex of cotton ecosystem. *Pestology*, **24:** 8-14.

Manuscript number	:	155/08
Date of receipt	:	August 14, 2008
Date of acceptance	:	February 18, 2009

- Dunbar, D.M., Lawson,D.S., White, S.M., Ngo, N., Dugger, P. and Richter, D. (eds).1998. Emamectin benzoate: Control of the heliothinae complex and impact on beneficial arthropods. *Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf.*, San Diego, California, UAS, 5-9 January 1998. 2: 1116-1118.
- Gonzalez, R.H. and Barria, G. 1999. Abamectin: insecticide / acaricide of biological origin in the control of the false grape mite and Californian thrips and degradation of residues in nectarines. *Revista Fruticola*, **20:** 5-10.
- Hargreaves, H. 1948. List of Recorded Cotton Insects of the World. Common Wealth Institute of Entomology, London, p. 50.
- Ishaaya, I. and Ohsawa, K. 2002. Emamectin, a novel insecticide for controlling field crop pests. *Pest Management Science*, **58**: 1091-1095.
- Jansson, R.K., Peterson,R.F., Halliday, W.R. Mookerjee, P.K. and Dybas,R.A. 1996. Efficacy of solid formulations of emamectin benzoate controlling lepidopteran pests. *Florida Entomol.*, **79:** 434-449.
- Leibee, G.L., Jansson, R.K., Nuessly, G. and Taylor, J.L. 1995. Efficacy of emamectin benzoate and *Bacillus thuringiensis* for control of diamond back moth on cabbage in Florida. *Florida Entomol.*, **78**: 82-96.
- Patil, B.V. and Rajanikantha, R. 2004. New class of insecticides, mode of action and their bioefficacy. International Symposium on Strategies for Sustainable Cotton Productiona Global Vision, 3. Crop protection, 23-25 Nov., UAS, Dharwad, 77-85.
- Raveendran, T.S., Mohan,S., Ravikesavan,R., Surendran,C., Meyyazhagan, K. and Parthiban, V.K. 2002. *Cotton-The White gold*. Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ., Coimbatore, India. p. 1-8.
- White, S.M.D.M., Dunbar, R., Brown, B., Cartwright, D., Cox, C., Eckel, Jansson, R.K.,Mookerjee, P.K., Norton, J.A., Peterson, R.F. and Starner, V.R. 1997. Emamectin benzoate: a novel avermectin derivative for control of lepidopterous pests in cotton. *Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf.*, New Orleans, USA, 6-10th January 1997, **2**: 1078-1082.
- Yoshii, K., Kaihara, A., Tsumura, Y., Ishimitsu, S. and Tonogai, Y. 2000. Liquid chromatographic determination of emamectin, milbemectin, ivermectin and abamectin in crops and confirmation by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J. Chromato.* **896:** 75-85.