management method for getting higher yield and economic return in irrigated onion.

References

- Kblhe, S.S. (2001). Integrated Weed Management in onion (Allium cepa L.) Indian J. Weed Sci., 33 (1-2): 26-29.
- Patel, C.L., Patel, Z.G. and Patel, R.B. (1983). Integrated weed management in onion bulbs crop. *Indian J. Weed Sci.*, **15(1) :** 7-11.
- Ravinder-Singh., Nandal, T.R., Shukla, Y.R. and Singh, R. (2001). Weed intensity and onion bulb yield as influenced by different weed

management practices. *Crop Res. Hissar*, **22** (1): 32-37.

- Satao, R.N. and Dandge, M. S. (1999). Economics of weed control treatments in onion *Crop Res. Hissar*, **18**: 3, 480-481.
- Singh, R., Kolhi, U.K. and Nadai, T.R. (1997a). Efficiency of some selected weedicides against onion weeds in Himachal Pradesh *J. of Hill Res.*, **10** (2): 200-201.
- Ved Prakash., Pandey, A.K., Singh, R.D., Mani, V.P. and Prakash, V. (2000). Integrated weed management in winter onion (Allium cepa L.) under mid-hill conditions of northwestern Himalayas. Indian J. Agron., 45 (4): 816-821.

Madras Agric. J., 94 (1-6) : 130-133 January-June 2007

https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.100649

Research Notes

Evaluation of BIPM Module on tomato fruit borer (*Helicoverpa* armigera) larval population

D.VIJAYALAKSHMI

Department of Agricultural Entomology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003.

Tomato fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hub. is a polyphagous pest which unto 60 per cent yield loss in tomato (Manjunath *et al.*, 1985). Synthetic insecticides are often used to suppress *H. armigera* population, because of their wide availability and potential for quick intervention. Even though insecticides are effective the demerits like resistance, residue, pest resurgence, non-target effect and environmental pollution restrict their use. As an alternative to insecticides, bioagents are ecofriendly and thus reduce the above mentioned problems. Isolated effects have been made to check *H. armigera* infestation by using one of the organic farming methods like biocontrol agents. Organic farming produces healthy plants that are better able to resist insect predation. Organic farmer's primary strategy in controlling pest is prevention through good plant nutrition and management. Biocontrol agents are very important component of organic farming. The important bioagents are: the egg parasitoid, *Trichogramma sp.* (Mani and Krishnamoorthy, 1983; Divakar, Pawar, 1987 and Kakar *et al.*, 1990), predator, *Rhynochorus* (Ambrose and Claver, 1999a), pathogens Bt (Krishna *et al.*, 12981) and HaNPV (Natarajan *et al.*, 1991). Even though *T. chilonis* is highly effective

Pre				No. of larvae / 5 plants*					
Pre treatment count	Days after sowing								
	65	72	79	86	91				
20.33	10.33a	9.50a	6.50a	4.00a	3.00a				
(4.55)	(3.28)	(312)	(14.70)	(2.120	(1.86)				
22.00 (4.74)	13.50b (13.74)	12.17b (3.56)	10.33b (18.73)	5.67b (2.48)	10.50b (3.31)				
22.33 (4.78)	16.00c (4.06)	15.33c (3.98)	14.17c (27.08)	12.33c (3.57)	15.33c (3.98)				
21.50	21.50d	19.83d	21.17d	20.83d	15.17c				
(4.69)	(4.69)	(4.51)	(27.38)	(4.62)	(3.95)				
	treatment count 20.33 (4.55) 22.00 (4.74) 22.33 (4.78) 21.50 (4.69) NS	treatment 65 20.33 10.33a (4.55) (3.28) 22.00 13.50b (4.74) (13.74) 22.33 16.00c (4.78) (4.06) 21.50 21.50d (4.69) (4.69) NS NS	treatment657220.3310.33a9.50a (4.55) (3.28) (312) 22.0013.50b12.17b (4.74) (13.74) (3.56) 22.3316.00c15.33c (4.78) (4.06) (3.98) 21.5021.50d19.83d (4.69) (4.69) (4.51) NSNS (4.62)	treatment count 65 72 79 20.33 $10.33a$ $9.50a$ $6.50a$ (4.55) (3.28) (312) (14.70) 22.00 $13.50b$ $12.17b$ $10.33b$ (4.74) (13.74) (3.56) (18.73) 22.33 $16.00c$ $15.33c$ $14.17c$ (4.78) (4.06) (3.98) (27.08) 21.50 $21.50d$ $19.83d$ $21.17d$ (4.69) (4.69) (4.51) (27.38) NSNSNSNS	treatment count 65 72 79 86 20.33 $10.33a$ $9.50a$ $6.50a$ $4.00a$ (4.55) (3.28) (312) (14.70) (2.120) 22.00 $13.50b$ $12.17b$ $10.33b$ $5.67b$ (4.74) (13.74) (3.56) (18.73) (2.48) 22.33 $16.00c$ $15.33c$ $14.17c$ $12.33c$ (4.78) (4.06) (3.98) (27.08) (3.57) 21.50 $21.50d$ $19.83d$ $21.17d$ $20.83d$ (4.69) (4.69) (4.51) (27.38) (4.62) NSNSNSNSNSNSNS				

Table 1. Effect of biointensive pest management module against *Helicoverpa armigera* on tomato

* Data are means of six replicated values.

* Figures in parentheses are transformed values - x = 0.5 transformation

@ Means followed by common alphabets in a column are not significantly different (DMRT, p=0.05)

** Wherever T.pretiosum is mentioned, five releases were made.

132

against *H. armigera* in cotton, but not in tomato. But *T. pretiosum* is highly effective against *H. armigera* in tomato. To evaluate the potential of *T. pretiosum* incombination with other biocontrol agents this study was carried out.

Field experiments were laid out at Thondamuthur, Coimbatore during 2002-03 to evalute T. pretiosum alone and in combination with other biocontrol agents against tomato fruit borer, H. armigera. The tomato hybrid used in the present study was COTH-1. All the management practices were followed as per the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University recommendations. The various treatments of BIPM comprised five releases of T. pretiosum @ 50,000 adults ha⁻¹ from flower inition period + Two sprays of Ha NPV @ 1.5x10¹² POBs ha⁻¹ + Three sprays of B @ 1.0 kg ha-1 + Three sprays of Bt @ 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ + R. *marginafus* @ 5,000 adults $ha^{-1}(T_1)$; Insecticide only (Endosulfan $35EC \oplus 0.07\%$) (T₂); Farmers' practice (Insecticides used by the Farmers (monocrotophos, carbofuran, endosulfan and carbaryl) were applied as per their method without considering ETL level (T₃); Untreated check (T₄). The each treatment was replicated six times. The observations were recorded in five plants from each plot and reported before and after imposing the treatments at weekly intervals. The treatments were imposed when the larval population crossed the ETL. The different observations recorded were number of larvae before and after treatment, larval mortality and natural enemies. The data were subjected to analysis of variance and means were separated by Duncans Multiple Range Test.

Among the treatments highest larval population was recorded in farmers' practice plots (16.00) compared to BIPM plot (10.33) (Table 1) Reduction in larval population was recorded (10.33 to 3.00) 91 Days After Transplanting in BIPM plot. Similar trend was followed in all other treatments. On last application, larval population increased in both farmers' practice and insecticide applied plots, which were higher than BIPM plots. In this study BIPM was found to be superior in reducing the larval population than the insecticides and farmers' practice. For example, integration of Ha NPV +Bt and endosulfan reduced the *H. armigera* larval polulation on chickpea (Vikram Singh, 2000). Both egg and larval population of H. armigera were lower in BIPM plot of tomato (Jadav et al., 1999; Mahalingam, 1996). Both insectide and farmer's plot recorded higher larval population compared to BIPM plot. Similarly BIPM plot gave higher yield than anyone of them applied alone. But in this study the predators R. marginatus in the BIPM plot had been included.

In summary, the studies on evaluation of *Trichogramma pretiosum* combination with other biocontrol agents against tomato fruit borer revealed that the combined application of five releases of *T. pretiosum* @ 50,000 adults ha⁻¹ from flower initiation period + Two sprays of HaNPV @ $1.5x \ 10^{12}$ POBs ha⁻¹, three sprays of Bt @ 1.0 kg ha^{-1} , + *R. marginafus* @ 5,000 adults ha⁻¹ were the best interm of BIPM.

References

- Ambrose, D.P. and Claver, M.A. (1999a). Suppression of cotton leaf worm, Spodoptera litura, flower beetle, Mylabris pustulata and red cotton bug, Dysdercus cingulatus by Rhynocoris marginatus (Fabricius) (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) in cotton field cage. J. App. Entomol., 123: 225-229.
- Divakar, B.J. and Pawar, A.D. (1987). Biocontrol of tomato fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hebnar) in Karnataka. *Indian J. Plant Prot.*, **15:** 57-61.

- Jadav, H.R., Mehta, D.M., Gandhani, P.H. and Joni, J.J. (1999). Evalution of biocontrol based IPM modules against *H. armigera* infesting tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum). In: National Symposium on Biological Control of insects in Agriculture, Forestry, Medicine and Veterinary Science, 21-22: Jan 1993, 14 pp.
- Kakar, K.L., Sharma, J.P. and Dogra, G.S. (1990). Feasibility of using *Trichogramma species* against *Heliothis armigera* (Hubner) on tomato. *Indian J. Plant Prot.*, **18**: 237-238.
- Krishnaiah, K., Mohan, N.J. and Prasad, V.G. (1981). Efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* for the control of lepidopterous pests of vegetable crops. *Entomon.*, 6: 87-93.
- Mahalingam, C.A. (1996). Studies on management of fruit borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) in rainfed Tomato (*Lycopersion esculentum*) *Ph.D. Thesis*, Tamil Nadu Agricultural Unversity, Coimbatore, 65 pp.

- Mani, M. and Krishnamoorthy, A. (1983). Recovery of two exotic parasitoids *Trichogramma* brasiliensis (Ashm) and Eucelatoria bryani Sabrosky from Heliothis armigera (Hubn) in tomato fields. Entomophaga, 28: 401-405.
- Manjunath, T.M., Bhatnagar, V.S., Pawar, C.S. and Sithanantham, S. (1985). Economic importance of *Heliothis in* India and assessment of their natural enemies and host plants. In: *Preceedings of International Workshop on Biological control of Heliothis*, 11-15 November. New Delhi, India, 123pp.
- Natarajan, N., Subbarao, P.V. and Gopal. (1991). Management of gram pod borer *Heliothis armigera* (Hubner) with polyhedrosis virus and insectides in pigeonopea. *Madras Agric. J.*, **74(1-4):** 122-124.
- Vikram Singh, N., Mathur, M., Kalyan, R.K., Khthar, Hussai, A. and Sharma, G.K. (2000). Evalution of some IPM modules against *Helicoverpa armigera* on chick pea. *Indian J. Ent.*, 62(1): 24-27.

Madras Agric. J., 94 (1-6) : 133-135 January-June 2007

Research Notes

Survey and monitoring the incidence of pests of castor

M. SUGANTHY

Tapioca and Castor Research Station, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Yethapur - 636 119.

Castor, *Ricinus communis* Linn, is an important non-edible oilseed crop, widely grown under rainfed conditions. In Tamil Nadu, the castor hybrid, TMVCH 1 and TMV 6 are widely grown as pure crop during *kharif* in districts *viz.*, Salem, Erode, Namakkal and Dharmapuri due to their high seed and oil yield and heavy demand for castor oil in many

industries. Castor crop cultivation has attained a momentum to become as a cash crop and intensive cultivation with hybrid castor TMVCH 1 has picked up under irrigated and rainfed conditions in Tamil Nadu. Castor cultivation is constrained by more than 60 insect pests through out the crop period (Rai, 1976) resulted in heavy yield loss. Among them the castor