Residual effect of Sources and Levels of Boron application on Greengram (Vigna radiata L) in Sunflower - Greengram cropping sequence

A. RENUKADEVI, P. SAVITHRI AND K. ANDI Dept. of Soil Science and Agrl. Chemisry, Tamil Nadu Agrl Univ., Coimbatore - 641 003, Tamil Nadu.

Abstract: Field experiments were conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Bhavanisagar, Tamil Nadu in Inceptisols (Typic Ustropepts) to evaluate boron fertilizers, (Borax, Boric acid and Agribor) and to assess the right mode, level of boron application on the B content and yield of the residual crop greengram. The experimental field was deficient in available B (0.35 mg kg-1). Sunflower was raised as a main crop. Different B levels 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg B ha-1 as soil application and two levels of foliar spray 0.2% and 0.3% were compared with control. The treatments were super imposed with recommended dose of NPK. After harvesting the main crop, green gram was raised as a residual crop on the same field without any alternation. The grain haulm yields of green gram were significantly increased by the residual effect of boron applied to the previous crop. Application of B at the rate of 2.0 kg ha-1 recorded highest grain and haulm yields of 958 and 2663 kg ha-1, respectively. The residual effect was not observed at the lower level of B (0.5 kg ha-1) application. The interaction between two source showed that the performances of borax and Agribor were equal at lower levels, while at higher levels Agribor was found superior to borax. Significant correlations existed between B availability and B concentration in grain and haulm and B uptake with yield indicated the residual effect of B on the yield of green gram .

Key Words: Boron nutrition, B concentration and uptake, Residual effect of B, Green gram

Introduction

In the recent years, the yield potential of many crops could not be realized owning to the widespread micronutrient deficiency. Among the micronutrients, boron is an essential element required for the growth and development of plants. Boron is important for root and shoot growth, flower fertility, sugar translocation, chlorophyll synthesis, N utilization, protein synthesis etc. Boron deficient plants generally manifest restricted growth of terminal bud, short internodes and lateral branching. Under severe stress, B deficient plants develop chlorosis, drop their flower buds and fail to develop seed (Golakiya, 1987). B deficiency in plants is mostly widespread and in last 50 year there have been hundreds of reports dealing with essentially of B for a large number of agricultural and horticulture crops in countries from every continent of the world (Gupta, 1979).

A lot of work done so far support the enhanced nutrients uptake, increased yield attributes and yield of sunflower due to B application. But little attention is paid on the residual effect of B on the subsequent crop. An effort is made here to study the residual effect of sources and levels of B on greengram in sunflower – greengram cropping sequence.

Materials and Methods

Experiments were conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Bhavanisagar during 1998-99 in an Inceptisol (Typic Ustropepts) to evaluate the efficacy of Agribor (S₂) which is a boron fertilizer (Na₂B₁₀O₁₆.10 H₂O; pH 6.5 – 7.5 at 3% solution; 100% water soluable; specific gravity 0.4 g cc⁻¹) and to find out the residual effect on the yield of greengram in comparison with borax (soil) and boric acid (foliar) (S₁)

Table 1. Effect of sources, concentrations and methods of B application on the post harvest soils of sunflower and greengram: Available B (mg kg1)

Freatment	4	Sunflower			Greengram	
	S,	S ₂	Mean	S,	S ₂	Mean
Γ,	0.316	0.275	0.296	0.243	0.263	0.260
T ₂	0.316	0.341	0.329	0.253	0.267	0.260
Γ,	0.358	0.383	0.371	0.260	0.283	0.272
Γ_{4}	0.408	0.516	0.463	0.308	0.333	0.321
r _s .	0.516	0.566	0.542	0.350	0.375	0.363
Γ,	0.300	0.283	0.283	0.243	0.233	0.238
Γ,	0.325	0.325	0.317	0.245	0.257	0.251
Mean	0.362	0.380	0.370	0.274	0.287	0.281
CD (P=0.05)	T=0.030	S=0.0106	TxS=0.042	T=0.017	S=0.009	TxS=NS

MS: Non significant

Table 2. Residual effect of sources and levels of B application on greengram: Grain and haulm yields (kg ha-1)

Treatment	,	Grain	*-		Haulm	*)
-	, s _i	S ₂	Mean	S,	S2	Mean
T,	830	828	829	2293	2287	2290
T ₂	810	833	822	2307	2310	2308
T,	863	868	866	2433	2443	2438
T,	922	953	938	2547	2597	2572
T ₅	933	983	958	2627	2700	2663
T ₆	835	838	837	2190	2250	2220
T.	830	818	824	2133	2263	2198
Mean	860	875	868	2361	2407	2384
CD (P=0.05)	T=10.96	S=5.86	TxS=15.5	T=48.2	S=25.7	TxS=NS

NS: Non significant

Initial Soil Characteristics

The texture of the soil in which the field experiment conducted was sandyloam classified as Typic Ustropepts under Irugur series. The soil was deficient in hot water soluable B (0.35 mg kg⁻¹). The pH of the soil was neutral (7.2) and electrical conductivity non

saline (0.34 dSm⁻¹). The experimental soil was low in available N (270 kg ha⁻¹) and medium in available P (20.5 kg ha⁻¹) and available K (220 kg ha⁻¹). The organic carbon content of the soil was medium (0.60%) and cation exchange capacity of the soil was 21.8 cmol (p+) kg⁻¹.

- 22
- 5
7
쯢
ä
-
ĕ
53
-
.=
54
5
=
.=
-
7
9
2
ρt
_
9
-
- 53
P
2
ď
=
6.2
he
2
**
9
E
_
0
.=
. 50
- =
8
્ર
ĕ
8
ğ
Д
-
• • •
я
===
- 64
gran
ngu
sengr
reengr
greengr
n greengr
on greengr
1 on greengr
on on greengr
tion on greengr
ation on greengr
cation on greengr
lication on greengr
plication on greengr
application on greengr
application on greengr
B application on greengr
f B application on greengr
of B application on greengr
s of B application on greengr
els of B application on greengr
vels of B application on greengr
evels of B application on greengr
levels of B application on greengr
d levels of B application on greengr
and levels of B application on greengr
and levels of B application on greengr
ss and levels of B application on greengr
ces and levels of B application on greengr
rces and levels of B application on greengn
urces and levels of B application on greengr
sources and levels of B application on greengr
sources and levels of B application on greengr
of sources and levels of B application on greengr
t of sources and levels of B application on greengr
ct of sources and levels of B application on greengr
fect of sources and levels of B application on greengr
ffect of sources and levels of B application on greengr
effect of sources and levels of B application on greengr
al effect of sources and levels of B application on greengr
ual effect of sources and levels of B application on greengr
dual effect of sources and levels of B application on greengr
sidual effect of sources and levels of B application on greengri
esidual effect of sources and levels of B application on greengr
Residual effect of sources and levels of B application on greengr
. Residual effect of sources and levels of B application on greengr
3. Residual effect of sources and levels of B application on greengr
3. Residual effect of sources and levels of B application on greengr
le 3. Residual effect of sources and levels of B application on greengri

· ·			B content	tent	. +	**			B uptake	ake		
Treatment		Grain			Haulm	*		Grain			Haulm	
	S,	S ₂	Mean	S.	S,	Mean	S ₁	S	Mean	s'	S	Mean
H	20.0	19.8	19.9	213	20.5	20.9	16,6	16.4	16.5	48.9	46.9	19.9
T,	22.2	21.8	22.0	28.0	28.5	28.3	19.3	18.2	18.8	64.5	66.1	653
Ţ,	26.0	25.0	25.5	32.0	33.3	32.7	22.4	21.7	22.1	677	81.4	79.7
'n,	32.0	32.8	32.4	33.2	35.5	34.3	29.5	31.5	30.5	84.5	92.2	883
Ţ,	32.5	33.7	33.1	34.3	36.8	35.6	30.4	31.6	31.0	0.06	4.66	¥.7
Ţ,	20.3	19.8	20.1	7.72	21.8	22.3	17.1	16.7	16.9	49.6	49.2	46.4
Ť,	203	19.7	20.0	21.7	20.7	212	16.9	197	16.5	45.9	46.8	46.4
Mean	27.0	. 0.92	26.5	27.6	28.2	27.9	21.7	21.7	21.7	629	689	67.4
8	T=1.29	SN=S	TXS=NS	T=1.06	S=0.57	TxS=1.51	T=1.89	SHINS	TxS=NS	T=2.44	S=1.31	TxS=3.46
(P=0.05)			1) T			49	:		7		

Treatment details

Treatments comprised of four levels of boron (T₂-T₅) aplied basally to the soil (0.5,1.0,1.5 and 2.0 kg B ha⁻¹) and two levels of foliar spray (0.2 and 0.3 %) T₆ and T₇) besides control (T₁). Soil application of B fertilizers was done as basal by placement along the furrows and the treatments were imposed before sowing. The experiment was laid out in a factorial randomised block design (FRBD) with each treatment replicated thrice.

The detailed treatment structures are as follows

- T, NPK alone
- T, NPK + B 0.5 kg ha-1
- T, NPK + B 1.0 kg ha-1
- T₄ NPK + B 1.5 kg ha⁻¹ T₂ NPK + B 2.0 kg ha⁻¹
- T. NPK + Foliar spray 0.2% Agribor*
- T, NPK + Foliar spray 0.3% Agribor*

* Spray solution was prepared on B equivalent to that of 0.2% and 0.3% boric acid.

After the harvest of sunflower, greengram was sown in the residual soil moisture without disturbing the original layout. At harvest, grain and haulm yields of greengram were recorded and the plant samples were analysed for B concentration (Banualoes et al. 1992).

Results and Discussion

Available boron status in the post harvest soil of sunflower and the extent of depletion by the end of greengram crop are given in Table 1. The data showed significant increase in hot water soluble B with the increasing levels of B added to the soil as compared to control in post harvest soil samples of green gram. Application of B at the rate of 2.0 kg ha⁻¹ recorded a significantly higher available B (0.363 mg kg⁻¹) followed by soil application of B @ 1.5 kg ha⁻¹ which recorded a hot water soluble boron content of 0.32 mg kg⁻¹. The treatment which received soil application of B at the rate of 2.0 kg ha⁻¹ showed higher available B content indicating the significant

residual effect on green gram even after satisfying the requirement of the first crop. Between the two sources, Agribor showed higher value of 0.287 mg kg⁻¹ than borax (0.274 kg⁻¹).

Though B was added at equal level, the neutral pH nature of Agribor helped to maintain higher B content in soil solution as compared to borax, which has pH on the alkaline side. The effect of B application on its availability was also highlighted by Asokan and Raj (1974) in groundnut and Prabha (1995) in tomato.

Grain and haulm yields.

The grain and haulm yields of residual crop greengram were significantly influenced by the residual effect of boron applied to the previous crop. Application of B at the rate of 2.0 kg ha-1 (T.) resulted in highest grain and haulm yields of 958 and 2663 kg ha-1, respectively and this was followed by treatments with 1.5 and 1.0 kg B hard. The greengram grain yield enhanced by a tune of 4.2 per cent to 13.5 per cent at 1.0 (T.) and 2.0 kg B hard (Ts) levels of its application to the main crop. The residual effect was not observed at the lower level of B application (0.5 kg hard) (Table 2). The post harvest soil of sunflower crop which decides the B availability to the residual crop, was in the sufficiency status only at 2.0 kg level of B ha1, while in the remaining treatments, the contents were below the critical level. Inspite of this the grain yield greengram obtained for 1.5 kg B had was significantly higher than control. The response to B on yields of grain and haulm of greengram was also reflected the significant r values obtained for yields of yields grain and stover with B content (r = 0.841*, r = 0.926*) and B uptake (r = 0.898*, r = 0.964**). Residual effect of B on yield was reported by Dongle and Zende (1977) in wheat. The effect of B in enhancing the yield promoting attributes for increasing the crop yield was also reported by Sutaria and Golakiya (1990)...

B concentration in haulm and grain

A concomitant increase in the residual boron content was observed with an increase in the application of boron. Boron content of haulm ranged from 20.9 mg kg-1 in the NPK control (T,) to 35.6 mg kg1 in the plots which received soil application of boron at the rate of 2.0 kg hard (Ta). The highest B content was recorded for the B application at the rate of 2.0 kg ha-1 (33.8 mg kg-1) (Table 3). The enhanced availability of B in the B treatments resulted in increased concentration of B in haulm and grain of green gram. There also existed a positive and significant correlation between B availability and B concentration in grain and haulm with values of r=0.833** and r=0.945**, respectively.

B uptake in haulm and grain.

Boron in haulm (94.7 g ha-1) was observed in the treatment which received 2.0 kg hard (T.). The uptake of B in other treatments followed the same orders as those noted in the content : T,>T,>T,>T,>. In grain, the uptake of boron was highest for T, (31.0 g ha⁻¹). The trend followed similar to concentration in the order of T₅=T₄>T₃>T₅. The effect was significant on soil application of boron at higher rates viz 1.5 and 2.0 kg hard. Between the two sources tried, Agribor registered higher value for total B uptake (90.6 g ha-1) when compared with borax (87.6 g hard). The interaction between the treatment and sources showed that performance of borax and Agribor were similar in their uptake at lower level of application (Table 3). While at higher levels, Agribor proved superior to borax by showing an uptake of 68.9 g ha-1 against 65.9 g ha-1 with borax. Boron fertilization in the form of Agribor apart from influencing its nutrition in green gram, increased the uptake of other nutrients and thus, was helpful to increase the yield of the crop.

References

- Asokan, S. and Raj. D. (1974). Effect of forms and levels of boron application on groundnut. Madras Agric. J. 61: 467-469.
- Banuelos, G.S., Cardon, G., Pflaum, T. and Akohove, A.K. (1992). Comparison of dry ashing and wet acid digestion on the determination of boron in plant tissue. Common Soil Sci. Plant Annual. 23: 2387-2389.
- Dongale, J.H. and Zende, G.K. (1997). Residual effect of micronutrient application to groundnut crop on the grain yield of succeeding wheat crop. *Indian J. Agron.* 22: 110-112.

- Golakiya, B.A. (1987). Boron A Micronutrient of major importance. Farmers and Parliment, 22: 6-8.
- Gupta, U.C. (1979). Boron nutrition of corps. Adv. Agron. 31: 282-287.
- Prabha, K. (1995). Studies on the boron nutrition of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, TNAU. Coimbatore.
- Sutaria, G.S. and Golakiya, B.A. (1990). Mechanism of yield control in groundnut by boron. Adv. Pl. Sci. 3: 53-60.
- (Received: November 2003; Revised: December 2004)