

## Humic acid and fertilizers on nutrition of rice in an Alfisol and Inceptisol

K. SATHYA BAMA, G. SELVAKUMARI, R. NATESAN AND P. SINGARAM

Dept. of Soil Science & Agrl. Chemistry, Tamil Nadu Agrl. University, Coimbatore-641 003, Tamil Nadu

**Abstract:** To study the fertilizers and humic acid on nutrient uptake in Alfisol and Inceptisol, two field experiments were conducted during *rabi* 2001 with various levels of fertilizers and humic acid treatments. A large increase was recorded in the uptake of nutrients for the application of humic acid (HA) upto 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The significant increase of N, P and K uptake were recorded upto 20 and 10 kg HA ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively in both Alfisol and Inceptisol. In the presence of humic acid, the effect of 75 and 100% NPK fertilizers on nutrient uptake and grain yield was comparable with each other.

**Key words :** Rice, Humic acid, Nutrient uptake, Alfisol, Inceptisol.

### Introduction

Humic acid application had a definite input on the protein synthesis and nucleic acid synthesis (Guminski, 1968). The different humic acids had significant effect on nitrogen and phosphorus uptake by oats. The efficiency indices of various humic acids ranged between 25 and 65 per cent (Mishra and Srivastava, 1988). The humate migrated from one part of the root system into another, contributing to a more intensive absorption of iron (Aso and Sakai, 1963).

Raina and Goswami (1988) reported a significant increase in the uptake of N, P, Cu, Zn and Fe upto 20-ppm carbon as humic acid over control. Saalbach (1956) stated that humic acid enhanced the uptake and content of nitrogen in rye. Jelanic *et al.* (1966) reported that HA from lignite increased the P content and uptake in maize plants. Application of 10kg HA ha<sup>-1</sup> as potassium humate along with 75 per cent recommended dose of fertilizer found to increase the crude protein content and mineral nutrition (P, K, Ca, Mg, Zn, Cu, Fe and Mn) of amaranthus (Bama and Selvakumari, 2001). Govindasamy and Chandrasekaran (2002) reported that, addition of humic acid was found to increase the content and enhance the uptake of N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn and Zn by rice. Effect of humic acid may vary with the source, soil type and variety

of rice and hence to study the effect of lignite humic acid on rice in an Alfisol and Inceptisol the study was undertaken.

### Materials and Methods

To study the influence of humic acid and fertilizers on nutrient uptake in rice, two trials were carried out during *rabi* 2001 i.e. one in Alfisol at wet lands Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (ADT36), other one in Inceptisol at Agricultural Research Station, Bhavanisagar (ADT39). The experimental soil of the wetlands, Tamil Nadu agricultural University, Coimbatore was clay loam in texture with the pH and EC of 8.0 and 0.32 dSm<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The taxonomy of the soil was *Typic Haplustalf*. The organic carbon content and CEC of the soil were 0.706 per cent and 26.7 cmol (p+) kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The soil was low in KMnO<sub>4</sub>-N (238 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in Olsen-P (19 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and high in NH<sub>4</sub>OAc K (670 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The soil (*Typic Ustropept*) of the experimental field at Agricultural Research Station, Bhavanisagar was sandy loam in texture with a pH and EC of 7.7 and 0.30 dSm<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The soil organic carbon content was 0.58 per cent and the cation exchange capacity was 19.5 cmol (p+) kg<sup>-1</sup>. The soil was low in KMnO<sub>4</sub>-N (198 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in Olsen-P (16 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium in NH<sub>4</sub>OAc-K (170 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The recommended dose of NPK fertilizer

Table 1. Humic acid and fertilizers on N uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by rice in Alfisol

Treatments	Tillering				Flowering				Grain				Straw				
	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	
	S <sub>1</sub>	4.53	10.87	11.63	9.01	16.51	36.90	44.57	32.66	9.04	40.91	42.82	30.92	6.86	31.07	34.42	24.12
S <sub>2</sub>	5.93	14.26	15.75	11.98	18.41	47.80	50.44	38.88	12.91	51.92	53.47	39.43	9.96	38.08	42.41	30.15	
S <sub>3</sub>	6.75	15.34	16.58	12.89	20.61	51.99	54.27	42.29	15.23	55.62	56.78	42.54	12.33	42.49	43.52	32.78	
S <sub>4</sub>	7.36	16.16	17.00	13.51	22.04	54.43	55.88	44.12	16.74	57.87	59.22	44.61	14.41	47.42	48.87	36.90	
S <sub>5</sub>	8.36	16.61	17.82	14.26	23.62	56.52	57.24	45.79	17.85	59.99	60.66	46.17	15.81	52.14	52.51	40.15	
S <sub>6</sub>	6.50	14.95	16.27	12.57	21.96	53.01	53.63	42.87	14.90	56.28	56.96	42.71	11.78	45.52	45.65	34.32	
S <sub>7</sub>	6.37	14.51	15.98	12.29	19.84	53.91	55.26	43.00	14.44	53.34	54.61	40.80	11.36	41.73	42.99	32.03	
S <sub>8</sub>	6.86	15.63	16.91	13.13	23.51	54.08	56.29	44.63	16.84	57.28	58.27	44.13	13.63	47.23	48.48	36.45	
Mean	6.58	14.79	15.99	12.46	20.81	51.08	53.45	41.78	14.74	54.15	55.35	41.41	12.02	43.21	44.86	33.36	
CD (P=0.05)																	
M		1.17			1.41					1.59				9.54			
S		0.83			2.62					2.24				7.53			
M at S		1.05			3.80					4.50				15.32			
S at M		1.20			3.50					4.20				13.04			

Table 2. Humic acid and fertilizers on N uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by rice in Inceptisol

Treatments	Tillering				Flowering				Grain				Straw				
	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	
	S <sub>1</sub>	10.8	21.5	25.0	19.1	26.8	64.7	67.6	53.0	22.0	57.1	62.7	47.3	15.7	48.9	51.8	38.8
S <sub>2</sub>	11.7	23.1	26.3	20.4	28.6	69.0	70.5	56.0	24.0	62.5	67.9	51.5	19.0	55.5	60.0	44.8	
S <sub>3</sub>	12.4	24.4	27.6	21.5	29.8	73.5	73.7	59.0	25.9	67.3	71.7	54.9	21.6	62.2	62.6	48.8	
S <sub>4</sub>	13.0	25.3	28.5	22.3	30.9	76.1	76.3	61.1	27.7	71.5	74.0	57.7	23.9	68.2	70.2	54.1	
S <sub>5</sub>	13.4	26.1	29.4	23.0	32.1	78.7	79.0	63.3	29.0	73.9	77.3	60.1	25.8	73.9	73.5	57.7	
S <sub>6</sub>	12.2	24.2	27.4	21.3	30.0	73.4	74.3	59.2	26.3	67.6	72.3	55.4	22.4	62.7	65.3	50.1	
S <sub>7</sub>	12.3	23.8	26.8	21.0	29.1	70.8	72.9	57.6	26.6	64.4	69.2	53.4	21.4	60.5	62.1	48.0	
S <sub>8</sub>	12.5	24.7	27.9	21.7	30.7	75.5	75.7	60.7	27.5	69.0	73.4	56.6	23.1	65.7	68.6	52.5	
Mean	12.3	24.1	27.4	21.3	29.8	72.7	73.8	58.7	26.1	66.7	71.1	54.6	21.6	62.2	64.3	49.4	
CD (P=0.05)																	
M		1.2			1.0					3.0				3.28			
S		0.9			2.8					2.1				2.88			
M at S		1.9			4.6					3.4				4.42			
S at M		1.7			5.4					2.9				3.26			

- 166787 -  


Table 3. Humic acid and fertilizers on P uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by rice in Alfisol

Treatments	Tillering			Flowering			Grain			Straw						
	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean				
	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean				
S <sub>1</sub>	1.34	2.73	2.96	2.34	5.31	11.21	11.74	9.42	2.18	9.07	9.41	6.89	1.52	7.58	7.88	7.66
S <sub>2</sub>	1.45	2.90	3.09	2.48	5.67	11.73	12.32	9.90	2.52	9.60	9.86	7.33	2.03	8.19	8.25	6.16
S <sub>3</sub>	1.53	3.05	3.19	2.59	6.01	12.15	12.75	10.30	2.74	10.02	10.13	7.63	2.31	8.67	8.71	6.56
S <sub>4</sub>	1.61	3.16	3.25	2.67	6.26	12.47	13.05	10.60	2.94	10.26	10.44	7.88	2.52	9.13	9.37	7.01
S <sub>5</sub>	1.66	3.19	3.27	2.70	6.55	12.86	13.31	10.91	3.06	10.47	10.67	8.07	2.70	9.67	9.57	7.31
S <sub>6</sub>	1.48	2.98	3.14	2.53	6.02	12.17	12.76	10.31	2.62	9.81	10.02	7.49	2.14	8.79	8.82	6.58
S <sub>7</sub>	1.43	2.99	3.10	2.51	6.20	12.29	12.86	10.45	2.74	9.77	9.97	7.49	2.22	8.72	8.61	6.52
S <sub>8</sub>	1.54	3.00	3.20	2.58	6.30	12.57	13.12	10.67	2.97	10.05	10.15	7.72	2.46	9.08	9.01	6.85
Mean	1.50	3.00	3.15	2.55	6.04	12.18	12.74	10.32	2.72	9.88	10.08	7.56	2.24	8.73	8.78	6.58
CD(P=0.05)																
M		0.05				0.24				0.18				0.36		
S		0.11				0.44				0.40				0.45		
M at S		0.19				NS				NS				NS		
S at M		0.20														

Table 4. Humic acid and fertilizers on P uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by rice in Inceptisol

Treatments	Tillering			Flowering			Grain			Straw						
	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean				
	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean				
S <sub>1</sub>	1.58	3.12	3.64	2.78	5.40	12.98	13.69	10.69	5.03	12.94	13.43	10.46	3.05	8.86	9.34	7.08
S <sub>2</sub>	1.70	3.31	3.80	2.94	5.68	13.43	14.19	11.10	5.32	13.74	14.04	11.03	3.36	9.71	10.24	7.77
S <sub>3</sub>	1.79	3.46	3.92	3.06	5.87	13.90	14.56	11.44	5.57	14.22	14.51	11.43	3.72	10.37	10.86	8.32
S <sub>4</sub>	1.87	3.58	4.05	3.17	6.05	14.23	14.87	11.72	5.77	14.54	14.91	11.74	4.06	11.05	11.47	8.86
S <sub>5</sub>	1.92	3.68	4.19	3.26	6.17	14.46	15.18	11.94	5.94	14.81	15.14	11.96	4.34	11.72	11.83	9.30
S <sub>6</sub>	1.71	3.35	3.81	2.96	5.90	13.78	14.63	11.43	5.49	14.02	14.27	11.26	3.64	10.02	10.85	8.17
S <sub>7</sub>	1.76	3.40	3.84	3.00	5.81	13.67	14.40	11.29	5.75	14.00	14.20	11.32	3.62	9.99	10.63	8.08
S <sub>8</sub>	1.78	3.44	3.89	3.04	5.94	13.98	14.83	11.58	5.70	14.31	14.61	11.54	3.88	10.56	11.20	8.55
Mean	1.76	3.42	3.89	3.03	5.85	13.80	14.54	11.40	5.57	14.07	14.39	11.34	3.71	10.28	10.80	8.27
CD(P=0.05)																
M		0.49				0.11				0.71				0.54		
S		0.14				0.51				0.32				0.36		
M at S		0.47				0.60				0.73				0.59		
S at M		0.29				0.63				0.40				0.48		

for short duration variety 120:38:38 kg N, P and K per hectare and for medium duration variety 150:50:50 kg N, P and K per hectare. The treatments comprised of three main plot treatments viz. 0 ( $M_1$ ), 75 ( $M_2$ ) and 100 ( $M_3$ ) per cent NPK fertilizer and eight sub plot treatments viz. 0 ( $S_1$ ), 10 ( $S_2$ ), 20 ( $S_3$ ), 30 ( $S_4$ ) and 40 ( $S_5$ ) kg HA ha<sup>-1</sup> and 10 kg HA ha<sup>-1</sup> combined with foliar spray of 0.1% HA ( $S_6$ ), 10 kg HA ha<sup>-1</sup> combined root dipping of 0.3% HA ( $S_7$ ) and 10 kg HA ha<sup>-1</sup> combined with foliar spray of HA and root dipping of HA ( $S_8$ ). The foliar spray was given during flowering stage of rice and the root dipping was done by dipping the roots of rice seedlings in the 0.3% HA solution for 30 minutes. The soil samples were drawn at tillering and flowering stage of rice. The grain and straw samples were drawn during harvest stage and the N, P and K uptake were calculated by multiplying nutrient content with respective dry matter production.

### Results and Discussion

The nutrient uptake of rice as influenced by the application of NPK fertilizers and HA was estimated at tillering, flowering and at harvest stages of the rice crop and the uptake of N, P and K nutrients were determined in both Alfisol and Inceptisol.

#### Nitrogen uptake

The data pertaining to the N uptake at different growth stages in Alfisol by short duration variety are given in Table 1. At tillering stage, the N uptake was increased significantly due to NPK fertilizer treatment. The  $M_3$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_1$  recorded 15.99, 14.79 and 6.58 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N uptake and the per cent increase recorded by  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  over  $M_1$  were 58.8 and 55.5. In HA treatments, the  $S_5$  recorded 14.26 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N uptake, which was on par with  $S_4$  (13.51 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The treatments  $S_6$  and  $S_8$  recorded 12.57 and 13.13 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N uptake and  $S_7$  was followed by  $S_7$  (12.29 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Again the  $S_8$  and  $S_4$  were comparable. The range of N uptake (10.87 to 11.63 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded

by NPK fertilizer treatment was less than that recorded by NPK fertiliser with HA (14.26 to 17.82 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

At flowering stage, the fertilizer treatment  $M_3$  recorded significantly the highest N uptake of 53.45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> than  $M_2$  (51.08 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $M_1$  (20.81 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Among the HA treatments, the highest N uptake of 45.79 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in  $S_5$  and it was followed by  $S_4$  (44.12 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $S_3$  (42.29 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Among  $S_6$ ,  $S_7$  and  $S_8$ , the treatment  $S_8$  recorded the highest N uptake of 44.63 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and it was followed by  $S_7$  (43.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $S_6$  (42.87 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In interaction, the application of NPK fertilizer recorded 36.90 to 44.57 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N uptake than NPK fertilizer with HA (47.8 to 57.24 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

At harvest, the N uptake was calculated both in grain and straw samples. In the grain, the per cent increase recorded by  $M_3$  and  $M_2$  over  $M_1$  were 73.4 and 72.8. Among the HA treatments,  $S_2$  recorded 46.17 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N uptake. This was on par with  $S_4$  (44.61 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), which was followed by  $S_3$  (42.54 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The treatments  $S_5$ ,  $S_8$  and  $S_4$  were comparable. The per cent increase recorded by  $S_5$  over  $S_8$ ,  $S_4$  and  $S_3$  were 4.4, 3.4 and 7.9. The interaction of NPK fertilizer and HA on N uptake in grain was significant. The NPK fertilizer combined with HA recorded higher range of N uptake (51.92 to 60.66 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than NPK fertilizer (40.91 to 42.82 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In straw samples, the N uptake performed similar to that of grain samples.

The data regarding the N uptake in Inceptisol are given in Table 2. At tillering stage, N uptake was found to be higher in NPK fertilizer applied treatment than no fertilizer. The treatment  $M_3$  recorded significantly higher N uptake of 27.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> than  $M_2$  (24.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $M_1$  (12.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In HA treatments, the N uptake recorded in  $S_5$  (23.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $S_4$  (22.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were statistically on par with each other and followed by  $S_3$  (21.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and

Table 5. Humic acid and fertilizers on K uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by rice in Alfisol

Treatments	Tillering			Flowering			Grain			Straw						
	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean				
	S <sub>1</sub>	6.20	12.08	12.99	10.42	17.24	35.88	37.07	30.06	2.13	8.24	8.67	6.35	16.40	62.26	64.38
S <sub>2</sub>	6.60	12.60	13.35	10.85	17.95	37.04	37.81	30.93	2.47	8.70	9.13	6.77	18.35	63.91	65.95	49.40
S <sub>3</sub>	6.85	13.07	13.65	11.19	18.63	37.98	38.75	31.79	2.70	9.15	9.49	7.11	19.94	65.37	66.88	50.73
S <sub>4</sub>	7.14	13.40	13.74	11.43	19.27	38.86	39.46	32.53	2.93	9.54	9.80	7.42	20.85	66.98	68.09	51.97
S <sub>5</sub>	7.27	13.48	14.01	11.59	19.81	39.50	40.11	33.14	3.03	9.80	10.02	7.62	21.69	68.70	68.33	52.91
S <sub>6</sub>	6.59	12.70	13.30	10.86	18.67	37.89	38.72	31.76	2.64	9.21	9.47	7.11	18.88	66.13	67.45	50.82
S <sub>7</sub>	6.55	12.93	13.49	10.99	19.07	38.38	39.07	32.17	2.71	9.06	9.31	7.02	19.82	66.18	67.34	51.11
S <sub>8</sub>	6.84	13.02	13.58	11.15	19.48	39.00	39.58	32.68	3.03	9.36	9.48	7.29	21.44	67.72	68.54	52.56
Mean	6.75	12.91	13.51	11.06	18.76	38.07	38.82	31.88	2.71	9.13	9.42	7.09	19.67	65.91	67.12	50.90
CD (P=0.05)																
M		0.12				0.13				0.19				1.43		
S		0.46				1.42				0.36				2.45		
M at S		NS				NS				NS				NS		
S at M																

Table 6. Humic acid and fertilizers on K uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by rice in Inceptisol

Treatments	Tillering			Flowering			Grain			Straw						
	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean				
	S <sub>1</sub>	8.91	16.52	18.93	14.79	19.84	45.94	46.76	37.51	4.73	12.32	12.81	9.95	31.49	77.02	78.41
S <sub>2</sub>	9.40	17.19	19.47	15.36	20.51	47.01	47.82	38.45	5.02	13.07	13.50	10.53	32.34	79.91	81.35	64.53
S <sub>3</sub>	9.75	17.72	19.85	15.77	20.95	47.93	48.49	39.12	5.26	13.46	13.84	10.85	33.27	81.29	82.59	65.72
S <sub>4</sub>	10.01	18.10	20.26	16.12	21.26	48.45	49.03	39.58	5.48	13.77	14.17	11.14	34.31	82.86	84.40	67.19
S <sub>5</sub>	10.22	18.40	20.54	16.39	21.59	48.95	49.19	39.91	5.59	14.06	14.45	11.37	34.91	84.81	85.25	68.32
S <sub>6</sub>	9.48	17.34	19.58	15.47	20.79	47.85	48.38	39.01	5.21	13.47	13.78	10.82	33.52	80.74	83.32	65.86
S <sub>7</sub>	9.73	17.64	19.68	15.68	20.80	47.96	48.21	38.99	5.27	13.45	13.63	10.78	34.10	81.36	82.58	66.01
S <sub>8</sub>	9.80	17.73	19.80	15.78	21.06	48.44	48.62	39.37	5.44	13.67	13.93	11.01	34.46	83.14	84.44	67.35
Mean	9.66	17.58	19.76	15.67	20.85	47.82	48.31	38.99	5.25	13.41	13.76	10.81	33.55	81.39	82.79	65.91
CD (P=0.05)																
M		0.86				0.46				0.72				1.52		
S		0.66				0.68				0.38				0.98		
M at S		0.75				0.70				0.74				1.60		
S at M		0.78				0.78				0.38				0.90		

(20.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The treatments S<sub>8</sub> (21.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>6</sub> (21.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and S<sub>7</sub> (21.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were comparable.

At flowering stage, the NPK fertilizer treatment M<sub>3</sub> recorded significantly the highest N uptake of 73.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and it was followed by M<sub>2</sub> and M<sub>1</sub> that recorded 72.7 and 29.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. In HA treatments, the S<sub>5</sub> recorded the highest N uptake of 63.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and it was on par with S<sub>4</sub> (61.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and followed by S<sub>3</sub> (59.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

At harvest stage, the N uptake of grain and straw samples were determined. In the grain, M<sub>3</sub> recorded 71.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N uptake and that was significantly higher than that obtained by M<sub>2</sub> (66.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and M<sub>1</sub> (26.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In HA treatments, S<sub>5</sub> recorded the highest N uptake (60.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and it was followed by S<sub>4</sub> (57.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The S<sub>8</sub> recorded the highest N uptake of 56.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, which was followed by S<sub>6</sub> (55.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and S<sub>7</sub> (53.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The application of NPK fertilizer with HA recorded higher N uptake in grain (62.5 to 67.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than NPK fertilizer treatments (57.1 to 62.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

In the straw, the fertilizer treatments M<sub>3</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> recorded 64.3 and 62.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N uptake, and they were on par with each other. The HA treatments S<sub>5</sub> recorded the higher N uptake of 57.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and it was followed by S<sub>4</sub> (54.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Among S<sub>6</sub>, S<sub>7</sub> and S<sub>8</sub>, the S<sub>8</sub> recorded 52.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N uptake and it was on par with S<sub>6</sub> (50.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

The N uptake was increased by HA application. Significant increase was observed upto 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for all stages in Alfisol. For Inceptisol, the N uptake was found to increase with increase in HA dose at each critical stage of the rice growth. The highest uptake of nitrogen in grain and straw was found up to 40 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The increased N uptake by the rice crop for HA application was attributed to better use efficiency of applied nitrogen fertilisers in the presence of HA (Guminski, 1968). HA application would have sustained the flow of

ammonical nitrogen for longer period of time. When such N availability was coupled with enhanced activation of roots, it would have led to the better utilization of N by rice. This is in agreement with the findings of Govindasamy *et al.* (1989). According to Guminski (1968), HA application had a definite impact on the protein and nucleic acid synthesis, which indirectly indicated the increased uptake of various nutrient elements essentially N, K and Ca.

#### Phosphorus uptake

In Alfisol at tillering stage, M<sub>3</sub> recorded significantly increased P uptake of 3.15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as compared to M<sub>2</sub> (3.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and M<sub>1</sub> (1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 3). In HA treatments, the P uptake recorded in S<sub>5</sub> (2.70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>4</sub> (2.67 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and S<sub>3</sub> (2.59 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were statistically on par with each other. The treatments S<sub>6</sub> (2.53 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>7</sub> (2.51 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and S<sub>8</sub> (2.58 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were comparable. The treatments S<sub>5</sub>, S<sub>4</sub>, S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>8</sub> were also on par with each other. At flowering stage, M<sub>3</sub> recorded significantly the higher P uptake of 12.74 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> whereas M<sub>2</sub> and M<sub>1</sub> registered 12.18 and 6.04 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of P uptake. In HA treatments, S<sub>5</sub> recorded the highest P uptake of 10.91 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and it was on par with S<sub>4</sub> (10.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The application of NPK fertilizer with HA recorded the higher range of P uptake (11.37 to 13.31 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than NPK fertilizer treatments, which registered only 11.21 to 11.74 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

At harvest the P uptake of grain and straw samples were calculated. In grain among the fertilizer treatments, M<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest P uptake of 10.08 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and it was followed by M<sub>2</sub> (9.88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and M<sub>1</sub> (2.72 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The application of NPK fertilizer with HA recorded higher range of P uptake (9.60 to 10.67 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than NPK fertilizer (9.07 to 9.41 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The interaction was found to be significant. In straw, per cent increase recorded by M<sub>3</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> over M<sub>1</sub> were 74.5 and 74.3. Among the HA treatments, the S<sub>5</sub> recorded highest P uptake (7.31 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Combination of NPK fertilizer and HA recorded higher range of P uptake of 8.19 to 9.57 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> than NPK fertilizer alone (7.58 to 7.88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

The statistical scrutiny of the data showed that the uptake of P increased from tillering to flowering stage (Table 4) in Inceptisol. At tillering stage, P uptake was significantly increased by addition of fertilizer. The treatments  $M_3$  and  $M_2$  registered 3.89 and 3.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of P uptake and they were on par with each other, while the  $M_1$  recorded only 0.76 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of P uptake. In the HA treatments, the  $S_5$  recorded 3.26 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of P uptake which was on par with  $S_4$  (3.17 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The treatment  $S_8$  (3.04 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $S_7$  (3.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $S_6$  (2.96 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $S_2$  (2.94 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were comparable. The NPK fertilizer with HA recorded higher P uptake (3.31 to 4.19 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than NPK fertilizer treatments alone (3.12 to 3.64 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

At flowering stage, the higher P uptake of 14.54 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in  $M_3$  than  $M_2$  (13.80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $M_1$  (5.85 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In the HA treatments, the  $S_5$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_6$  and  $S_8$  were found to be comparable. At harvest stage, the P uptake of grain and straw samples were determined. The P uptake was higher in grain than straw. In grain, the  $M_3$  and  $M_2$  recorded 14.39 and 14.07 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of P uptake and they were statistically on par with each other. Among the HA treatments, the  $S_5$  recorded 11.96 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of P uptake and it was on par with  $S_4$  (11.74 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and followed by  $S_3$  (11.43 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The treatments  $S_8$  (11.54 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $S_7$  (11.32 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $S_6$  (11.26 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were on par with each other. The application of NPK integrated with HA recorded higher P uptake of 13.74 to 15.14 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> than NPK fertilizer treatments (12.94 to 13.43 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In the straw, between the fertilizer treatments, the  $M_3$  and  $M_2$  recorded 10.80 and 10.28 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of P uptake, which were comparable. In the HA treatments,  $S_5$  recorded higher P uptake of 9.30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and it was followed by  $S_4$  (8.86 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $S_3$  (8.32 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The NPK fertilizer integrated with HA recorded higher P uptake of 9.71 to 11.83 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> than NPK fertilizer (8.86 to 9.34 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Humic acid application favourably influenced the P uptake of rice. The significant increase in P uptake was observed at 10 kg

ha<sup>-1</sup> in all the growth stages of rice in Alfisol. But in Inceptisol, the trend was different. Significant increase in P uptake occurred at lower level of HA (10 kg HA ha<sup>-1</sup>) at tillering and as the growth of rice advanced, the P uptake was significant at higher levels of HA. In Alfisol, 10 kg of HA ha<sup>-1</sup> itself would have mobilised enough of P to meet the crop need throughout its growth. Probably the rich native P would have contributed to P nutrition in the presence of 10 kg HA ha<sup>-1</sup>. In contrast in Inceptisol, more than 10 kg HA ha<sup>-1</sup> would have needed to meet the P requirement of rice. The variations in the levels of HA to bring about the significant effect on P nutrition of rice might be attributed to the chemistry of soil towards P release. The results have clearly showed that, the dose of HA would differ in different soil types to produce marked effect on nutrition of crops. The increased P uptake was ascribed to the action of forming humo phospho complexes, which could be easily assimilable by plants (Szymanski, 1962) and this explains the more of P uptake by rice in the present study. Vaughan and Ord (1985) reported that, the higher P uptake by rice could be due to development of uptake capacity in plants through the stimulating effect of HA. In the presence of humates, the plants could use phosphate fertilizers fully at the humic molecules and the phosphate anion compete on an almost equal basis. Anion exchange phenomenon could be another reason for increasing P availability and higher P uptake by rice (Deb and Datta, 1967).

#### *Potassium uptake*

The data pertaining to K uptake in Alfisol are given in Table 5. At tillering stage, among the fertilizer treatments, the highest K uptake was recorded in  $M_3$  (13.51 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The  $M_2$  and  $M_1$  recorded 12.91 and 6.75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of K uptake. In the HA treatments,  $S_5$  (11.59 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $S_4$  (11.43 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $S_3$  (11.19 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded comparable K. The per cent increase recorded by  $S_5$  over  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$ ,  $S_6$ ,  $S_7$  and  $S_8$  were 3.5, 1.4, 6.3, 5.2 and 3.8. The NPK fertilizer with HA recorded higher

uptake (12.6 to 14.01 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than NPK fertilizer alone (12.08 to 12.99 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

At flowering stage, the per cent increase recorded by M<sub>3</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> over M<sub>1</sub> were 51.7 and 50.7. In HA treatments, S<sub>5</sub> recorded 33.14 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of K uptake and it was followed by S<sub>4</sub> (32.53 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and S<sub>3</sub> (31.79 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The treatment S<sub>8</sub> (32.68 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>7</sub> (32.17 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and S<sub>6</sub> (31.76 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were on par with each other. The per cent increase recorded by S<sub>5</sub> over S<sub>3</sub>, S<sub>4</sub>, S<sub>6</sub>, S<sub>7</sub> and S<sub>8</sub> were 4.1, 18, 4.2, 2.9 and 1.4. The NPK fertilizer recorded 12.88 to 37.07 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of K uptake, whereas the NPK fertilizer with HA recorded 37.04 to 40.11 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. At harvest, the K uptake was estimated both in grain and straw. In the grain the NPK fertilizer treatment M<sub>3</sub> recorded 12 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of K uptake and it was significantly higher than that obtained in M<sub>2</sub> (9.13 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and M<sub>1</sub> (2.71 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In HA treatments, S<sub>4</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> recorded 7.62, 7.42 and 7.11 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of K uptake respectively and they were on par with each other. Per cent increase recorded by S<sub>5</sub> over S<sub>4</sub> and S<sub>8</sub> were 2.6 and 4.3. In straw, the per cent yield increased by M<sub>3</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> over M<sub>1</sub> were 70.7 and 70.1. In the HA treatments, the S<sub>5</sub> (52.91 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>4</sub> (51.97 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and S<sub>3</sub> (50.73 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were on par with each other.

The K uptake for different growth stages in paddy in Inceptisol is given in Table 6. At tillering stage, the M<sub>3</sub> registered significantly the highest K uptake of 19.76 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and was followed by M<sub>2</sub> (17.58 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and M<sub>1</sub> (9.66 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In the HA treatments, the S<sub>5</sub> (16.39 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>4</sub> (16.12 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and S<sub>8</sub> (15.77 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were on par with each other and S<sub>3</sub> was followed by S<sub>2</sub> (15.36 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The treatments S<sub>3</sub>, S<sub>4</sub>, S<sub>5</sub> and S<sub>8</sub> were found to be comparable. The application of NPK fertilizer with HA recorded higher K uptake of 17.19 to 20.54 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> than NPK fertilizer treatments (16.52 to 18.93 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

At flowering stage, the uptake of K registered by M<sub>3</sub> was significantly highest (48.31 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as against M<sub>2</sub> (47.82 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and M<sub>1</sub>

(20.85 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In the HA treatments, the highest K uptake was recorded in S<sub>5</sub> (39.91 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), which was on par with S<sub>4</sub> (39.58 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and followed by S<sub>3</sub> (39.12 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The S<sub>5</sub>, S<sub>4</sub> and S<sub>8</sub> were found to be comparable.

In the grain, uptake of K recorded in M<sub>3</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> were 13.76 and 13.41 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and they were on par with each other. The M<sub>1</sub> recorded only 5.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of K uptake. In the HA treatments, S<sub>5</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> recorded 11.37 and 11.14 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of K uptake and the S<sub>4</sub> was followed by S<sub>3</sub> (10.85 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and S<sub>2</sub> (10.53 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The S<sub>4</sub>, S<sub>5</sub> and S<sub>8</sub> were comparable. The application of NPK fertilizer combined with HA recorded higher K uptake (13.07 to 14.45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than NPK fertilizer treatments (12.32 to 12.81 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In the straw, the NPK fertilizer combined with HA registered higher K uptake of 79.91 to 85.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> than NPK fertilizer (77.02 to 78.41 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Potassium uptake by rice was also marked due to HA application. The K uptake significantly increased upto 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in both Alfisol and Inceptisol. Rice being a monocot it could have taken up more of K by virtue of its high root CEC (Tisdale *et al.* 1997), which was increased due to HA. The mobile nature of K and increase in the root volume would also have resulted in higher K uptake. The increased K content of the soil due to HA application would have led to more K absorption by rice.

A large increase of nutrient uptake was recorded for the application of HA upto 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The increased nutrient availability by HA as evident from the literature would have resulted in better absorption and higher uptake of nutrients by rice. Thus HA influenced the nutrition and growth of plants in an indirect manner. HA might also influence the plant growth directly either through its effects on nutrient uptake or by more direct effects on the growth regulation of the plant (Vaughan and Linehan, 1976). The increased nutrient uptake due to HA would be attributed to the enhanced microbial activity and reduced nutrient losses in the soil. With increasing dose of HA from



0 to 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, the uptake of N, P and K also increased.

At optimum level of HA, the roots were highly branched and this might have resulted an increase in surface area, which would have facilitated more efficient nutrient absorption (Mallikarjuna Rao *et al.* 1987). Tan and Nopamornbodi (1979) also reported similar results. Increased root volume, surface area and CEC together would have led to more nutrient uptake by providing better means for greater absorption. The mechanisms of root interception with soil nutrients is one of the ways in which crop could take nutrients. It was made possible when there was profuse root growth (Tisdale *et al.* 1997). The improved root growth of rice in the presence of HA observed would have induced the large uptake of nutrients.

### Conclusions

The results have highlighted that HA levels to bring out pronounced effect on rice nutrition would depend largely on soil type, rice variety and yield. In Alfisol, the significant effect on nutrient uptake by a short duration variety of rice was observed upto 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, in Inceptisol, the medium duration rice variety recorded significantly high amount of N, P and K uptake for the application of HA at a level higher than 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> especially as growth advanced towards maturity.

### References

- Aso, S. and Sakai, J. (1963). Studies on the physiological effect of humic acid (Part 1). Uptake of humic acid by crop plants and its physiological effects. *Soil Sci. Pl. Nutr.* 9: 1-5.
- Bama, K.S. and Selvakumari, G. (2001). Effect of humic acid on growth, yield and nutrition of amaranthus. *South Indian Hort.* 49: 155-156.
- Deb, D.L. and Datta, N.P. (1967). Effect of association of ions on phosphorus retention in soils. II. Under variable anion concentrations. *Pl. Soil*, 26: 432-444.
- Govindasamy, R. and Chandrasekaran, S. (2002). Effect of graded levels of humic acid with and without N on the performance of lowland rice. *In: National seminar on recent trends on the use of humic substances for sustainable agriculture*, Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu, p.5.
- Govindasamy, R., Chandrasekaran, S. and Natarajan, K. (1989). Influence of (lignite) humic acid on ammonia volatilization from urea. *In Proc. National seminar on "humus acids in agriculture"*. Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu, pp.319-325.
- Guminski, S. (1968). Present day views on physiological effects induced in plant organisms by humic compounds. *Soviet Soil Sci.* 9: 1250-1256.
- Jelanic, D.B., Hajdukovic, M. and Aleksic, Z. (1966). The influence of humic substances on phosphate utilization from labelled superphosphate. *In: Report of FAO/IAEA proceedings*. Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- Mallikarjunarao, M., Govindasamy, R. and Chandrasekaran, S. (1987). Effect of humic acid on *Sorghum vulgare* var. CSH-9. *Curr. Soil Sci.* 56: 1273-1276.
- Mishra, B. and Srivastava, L.L. (1988). Physiological properties of humic acids isolated from some major soil associations of Bihar. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 36: 83-89.
- Raina, J.N. and Goswami, K.P. (1988). Effect of fulvic acid and fulvates on the growth and nutrient uptake by maize plant. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 36: 264-268.
- Saalbach, E. (1956). The influence of humic substances on the metabolism of plants. Sixth Congress International Dela Science de Sol Paris, Rapports, pp.107-111.
- Szymanski (1962). *Trans. Intl. Symp. Humus e planta*, p.165.
- Tan, K.H. and Nopamornbodi, V. (1979). Effect of different levels of humic acids on nutrient content and growth of corn (*Zea mays*). *Pl. Soil*, 51: 283-287.
- Tisdale, S.L., Nelson, W.L., Beaton, J.D. and Havlin J.L. (1997). *Soil fertility and fertilizers* (5th eds.) Prentice-Hall of India. Ltd., New Delhi
- Vaughan, D. and Linehan, D.J. (1976). The growth of wheat plants in humic acid solutions under axenic conditions. *Pl. Soil*, 44: 445-499.
- Vaughan, D. and Ord, B.G. (1985). *Soil organic matter-a perspective on its nature, extraction turn over and role in soil fertility. Soil organic matter and biological activity*, pp.4-18, Junk Publishers, Werterland.

(Received: December 2002; Revised: September 2003)