nduced chlorophyll and macro-mutational spectrum and frequency 1 sesame cv. B 67

.C. KAR AND D. SWAIN

irectorate of Research, Orissa University of Agri. and Tech., Bhubaneswar-751 003,

Abstract: Seed treatment of sesame cv.B67, with EMS, NG, Gamma rays, Gamma rays + EMS and Gamma rays + NG induced five types of chlorophyll mutations and 17 types of viable macro-mutations in M₂. Chlorophyll mutation frequency was the highest in 900 Gy gamma rays for single mutagen treatments and in 700 Gy + 0.04% NG for combined treatments. Macro-mutational frequencies for single and combined treatments were the highest in 0.25% EMS and 700 Gy + 0.02% NG, respectively. Relative difference in mutability of gene loci for chlorophyll and macro-mutations with respect to different mutagens were clearly observed.

Key words: Sesame, EMS, NG, Gamma rays, Chlorophyll mutations, Macro-mutations.

itroduction

Mutation breeding is a quicker method or genetic improvement in crop plants. In idia, 71 varieties of cereals and millets, 33 f oilseeds, 49 of grain legumes, 14 of fibre rops, 10 of vegetables and 10 of cash crops, eveloped through induced mutagenesis, have een released for cultivation (Kharkwal et al. 001). Induced mutation is directed to improve ield and other quantitative characters, amend pecific defects in adopted varietis and to create ufficient genetic variabilities. The success in autation breeding programme for any crop an be achieved by increasing the spectrum nd frequency in viable mutations. Therefore, ne present investigation was undertaken to study ne mutagenic response of ethyl methane sulphonate EMS), nitroso-guanidine (NG), gamma rays nd the combination treatments of gamma rays vith EMS and NG in sesame.

Aaterials and Methods

The materials for the present investigation vere comprised of samples of 300 dry, uniform and well-filled seeds of sesame cv.B67 (Tilottama) or each treatment. The seeds were irradiated with three doses of gamma rays (500 Gy, 700 Gy, 900 Gy) in Co⁶⁰ gamma cell at the Division of Genetics, I.A.R.I., New Delhi, for gamma ays treatment. For chemical mutagenesis, seeds were presoaked in distilled water for 12 hours ollowed by treatment with three different concentrations of EMS (0.25%, 0.50%, 0.75%)

and NG (0.01%, 0.02%, 0.04%) aqueous solutions separately for eight hours. For combined treatments, 700 Gy gamma ray irradiated dry seeds were presoaked in distilled water for 12 hours and then treated with the above mentioned three concentrations of EMS and NG for eight hours. All the chemical treatments were carriedout at room temperature (21 + 1°C) with intermittent shaking. The seeds, treated with chemical mutagens, were washed under tap water for 30 minutes to leach out the residual chemicals adsorbed to the seeds and then dried on blotting paper. Seeds of 15 treatments along with the control were sown in rows of 5 m length with a spacing of 30 x 10 cm at Central Research Station, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, during summer irrigated situation of 1999, to raise M, generation. Selfed seeds from M, plants were harvested and sown to raise M, generation. The effects of the mutagenic treatments were evaluated on the basis of spectrum and frequency of chlorophyll and viable macro-mutations in M, generations. The frequencies of mutations were estimated on the basis of 100 M, plants.

Results and Discussion

Chlorophyll mutations

Five types of chlorophyll mutations such as albina, xantha, viridis, chlorina and sectorial, were isolated in M₂ generation (Table 1). Xantha, viridis and chlorina types were induced by all the three mutagens in single as well as combined treatments; whereas albina types appeared

Table 1. Spectrum and frequency of chlorophyll mutations in M2 generation

	Dose with	Total		Number	spectrum and	requency or cun	Number, speculin and frequency of emotophyti mulains	
Mulagens	symbols	scored	Albina	Xantha	Viridis	Chlorina	Sectorial	Total
Single treatment Ethyl methane	0.25 % (E ₁)	3724) • (19	۰(2	0	21
suipnonate (EMS)	0.50 % (E ₂)	3219	<u>}</u> o(5 5 00 155	5 0	7 7)• (17
	0.75 % (E ₃)	2484)°(7	7 7 (1920)	6 6]o ((S) (S) (S)
i w	EMS pooled	9427)• ()	(0.262) 31 (0.328)	(0.127) (0.127)	(0.159) (0.159)]• <u>]</u>	(0.615) S8 (0.615)
Nitrosoguanidine	0.01 % (N ₁)	3240	(0.062)	9 (0.278)	(0.093)	5 (0.154)	• 🕽	0.586)
	0.02 % (N ₂)	2932	`o (. 12	6	`o (io (21
	0.04 % (N ₃)	2503	ૢૺ૰ૢ	(CO+CO) 10 (000 00	4 4	4 0)• (18
•	NG pooled	8675	(0.023)	31 (0.357)	(0.184)	(0.104))• <u>J</u>	(0.668)
Gamma rays (GR)	500 Gy (G ₁)	3592	0	10	2.	4 01113	۰(16
	700 Gy (G ₂)	3454)° (8 (0.23)	8 (0.232)	6 (0.174)))o (22 0
	900 Gy (G,)	2972)o (10	0.067	7 00 236)	0.236	80
	GR pooled	10018)• ()	(0.279)	(0.120)	(0.170)	(0.070)	(0.639)
Combined treatment Gamma rays 7 + EMS (GE)	t 700 Gy + 0.25% EMS (G,E,)	3063	•Ĵ	14 (0.457)	3 (0.098)	• ე	• 🤇	17 (885.0)
•	700 Gy + 0.50% EMS (G ₂ E ₂)	zm	• <u>)</u>	14 (0.505)	7 (0.253)	(0.108)	(0.217)	30 (1.083)

	Dose with	Total		Number	, spectrum and	frequency of chil	orophyll mutants	
Mutagens	treatment	plants	Albina	Xantha	Viridis	Chlorina	Sectorial	Total
	700 0 0 750/	2460	C	2	9	01	0	23
	EMS (G.E.)	7017	·)	(0.527)	(0.243)	(0.405)	J	(1.174)
	Follow 25	8303	0	41	16	13	9	92
	OE poored	600	, ((0.494)	(0.193)	(0.157)	(0.072)	(0.915)
Comme comme	700 6*+0 01%	2879	ìo	=	2	7	∞	ន
Canimid tays 110	NG(G,N.)	· ·	0	(0.382)	(0.069)	(0.069)	(0.278)	(0.799)
ì	700 0 1 7002	7537		12	7	4	m	36
	NG(G.N.)	1007	•O	(0.455)	(0.265)	(0.152)	(0.114)	(0.986)
	7070 0 0001	1350		16	7	6	2	*
	NG(G,N.)	6770	2	(0.678)	(0.297)	(0.382)	(0.085)	(1.442)
	Poloco NO	7874	j	33	91	. 21	ដ	8
	on pooled	t o	,)	(0,495)	(0.203)	(0.191)	(0.165)	(1.054)
	() lording)	2003	0	0	0	0	0	0
	(2) 1011100		J	Э.	Э	Э	Э	C

only in the single treatment of NG (N₁). The gamma rays in single (G₃) as well as in the combined treatments (G,E,, G,E,, G,N,, G,N, & G,N,) induced sectorial types. The mutational spectrum was much wider (four types) at 0.01% NG, 900 Gy gamma rays, 700 Gy + 0.50% EMS, 700 Gy + 0.01% NG, 700 Gy + 0.02% NG and 700 Gy + 0.04% NG treatments. mutant Chlorophyll (50.15%) appeared more frequently others>viridis followed by (21.24%)>chlorina (20.35%)>sectorial (7.67%)> albina (0.59%).

frequencies The chlorophyll mutations per 100 M₂ plants (Table 1) were in order of GN>GE>NG>GR>EMS. Along the nine single mutagenic treatments, the frequencies of the chlorophyll mutations per 100 M, plants were in order of G₃>E₃>N₃>N₂>G₂> $N_1 > E_1 > E_2 > G_1$. Among the six combined mutagenic treatments, the frequencies of chlorophyll mutations per 100 M2 plants were in order of $G_2N_3 > G_2E_3 > G_2E_2$ > G,N, > G,N, > G,E,. In general, the frequencies of total chlorophyll mutations increased with the increase in dose for single as well as combined treatments except for 0.50% EMS, possibly due to increase in physiological damage at a faster rate with the increase in dose.

Note : Figures in parentheses indicate frequency of chlorophyll mutations per 100 M2 seedlings

All the treatments, except 0.50% EMS, induced the highest frequencies of xantha type compared to any other types (Table 1). Among the single mutagenic treatments, NG induced the highest frequencies of xantha and viridis, while gamma rays induced highest frequency of chlorina types. Drastic chlorophyll mutations (albina, xantha, viridis) were induced more by chemical

Table 2. Spectrum and frequency of macromutations in M, generation

	0.0			Number	Number, spectrum and frequency of macromutation	frequency of n	nacromutation		
Treat- ments	Fasciated cotyledon	Uniculm stem	Fasciated stem	Tall	Dwarf	Bushy.	Whorled leaf phyllotaxy	Stipulate	Long-nar leaf
щ	0	14	4	0	М	7	0	0	0
. ъ	Je	(0.376)	(0.107)	J°	(0.081)	(0.188)	Ĵ·	Ĵ°	Ĵ°
r () ())	· J.	ĵ	(0.124)	· ()	ĵ	·ე	·9
ъį,	0000	٥(1	૦ી) ه	9 00	o (o (ó(
EMS poo	led 1) ₄	5.	<u>]</u> 0	<u>_</u>	(0.242) 13)•	<u></u>]0).).
	(0.011)	(0.149)	(0.053)	Э	(0.074)	(0.138)	Э	Ĵ	0
z	7	0	0	8	0	0		0	0
. 2	(0.062)	ၟႝႋ	Ĵ°	(0.247)	Ĵ°)°	(0.031)	J	Ĵ
7.7	· 🔾	ĵ	ĵ	ĵ	ĵ	ĵ	ĵ	,)	.
z	o (8 6	o (୍ଦି	£ 6	o (0 (0	o (
NG pool	(<u>2</u>)	. (0.520) 8	<u>)</u> 0	<u>]</u> ∞	(0.120)	Jo)-	<u></u>	Je
200	(0.023)	(0.092)	ĵ	(0.092)	(0.035)	'nĴ	(0.012)	ĵ	ĵ
ජ	0	0	0	10	0	8	0	0	0
	J	J	Ĵ	(0.278)	J.	(0.223)	Ĵ	J	J
5 '	• C	· C	•0	•C	(0.087)	(0.261)	0	0	0
ග්	0	0	0	0	0	0	S	0	0
GR noole)°)°	Ĵ°	Ĵ٩	<u></u> ["	4 <u></u>	(0.168)	Ĵ°	Ĵ°
	J	· 0	ĵ	(0.100)	(0:030)	(0.170)	(0:050)	ĵ	ij
G.E.	0	. 51	0	14	9	4	0	7	41.
	Q°	(0.490)	Ĵ	(0.457)	(0.196)	(0.131)	Ģ	(0.229)	(0.55_,
£	ĵ	(0.361)	(0.217)	(0.217)	•Ĵ	•0	• 🔾	• J	(0.180)
Эď	0	0	0	0	4	14	0	ļm	7
GE noole	<u></u>) ا	Ĵ	Q۶	(0.162)	(0.567)	Ĵ	(0.122)	(0.284)
and an	·)	(0.301)	(0.072)	(0.241)	(0.120)	(0.217)	ĵ	(0.120)	(0.349)

+
macromutation
늉
frequency
and
spectrum
Number,

Long-narrow leaf	9. (0.313) 0	<u></u> 0		(0.114)	48 (1.289) 24	(0.746)	(0.483)	(0.891)	20 (0.617)) <u>a</u>	(0.559)	(0.392)	38 (1.058)	30 (0.869)	16 (0.538)	84 (0.838)	contd
Stipulate	•	ĵ°	Ĵº	Э	• J•	Je	- O	ĵ	∘ე∘	- Je	·)=	Ĵ	•Ĵ	jo ĵ	•ĵ	•Ĵ	
Whorled leaf phyllotaxy	(0.069)	0.190) <u>'</u>	(0.089	8 (0.215) ·	- <u>C</u> -	(0.085	9.278	- J.	(0.1200	(0.138)	15 (0.418)	8 (0.232)	(0.370)	34 (0.339)	
Bushy	∘ ე≊	(0.683) 8	(0.339)	(0.330)	(0.269)	(0.342)	-);	(0.223)	°)"	- J.	- J	Ç	٥()o ()	jo ()	jo ĵ	
Dwarf	4 (0.139) 2	(0.076)	(0.085)	(0.102)	(0.054)	- <u>)</u> (- ()·	(0.021)	o)	• <u> </u>	- J°	•J	•()	,10 (0.290)	`° C	(0.100)	
Tall	8 (0.278) 0)=	(0.466)	(0.241)	• <u>]</u>	•)·	• J	•Ĵ	•Ĵ	• <u>G</u>	-)·	•J	٥()°()o ()• ()	
Fasciated stem	o) 4	(0.152)	ĵ	(0.051)	•)	• <u>J</u>	•ე	•ĵ	•Ĵ	• <u> </u>	• J	•J) ه)°()o ()• ()	ê l
Uniculm	∘ ြ≊	(0.531))=	(0.178)	• 🕽	•	•ĵ	• J	•ე	•Ĵ	• <u>)</u> •	ĵ	٥(]0[)o ()o ()	
cat- Fasciated	o) o) (. J. C.	N pooled (_)	• 🕽	(0.280)	(0.161)	MS pooled 13 (0.138)	o)	o	ວ ີ່ ວີ	NG pooled 0	رم م	ور (۱۳۵۶) م	ر م	GR pooled 5 (0.050)	
	Uniculm Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Lo	Fasciated Uniculm Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Lo cotyledon stem stem at (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (0.139) (2) (4) (6) (6) (6) (7)	Fasciated Uniculm Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Lo cotyledon stem stem at the cotyledon stem at the cotyledon stem at the cotyledon stem at the cotyledon (0.139) (1.5) (1.5) (1.39) (1.5) (1.	Fasciated Uniculm Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Lo cotyledon stem stem stem $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$	Fasciated Uniculm Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Lo cotyledon stem stem stem at $\frac{0}{0}$ 0 0 8 4 0 2 18 $\frac{1}{1}$ 0.139) 0.139) 0.0693) 0.0693) 0.0693) 0.152) 0.11 0.278) 0.076) 0.0683) 0.051) 0.0466) 0.0685) 0.0330) 0.059 0.139) 0.051	Fasciated Uniculm Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Lo cotyledon stem stem stem stem stem stem stem stem	Fasciated Uniculm Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Locyledon stem stem stem stem stem stem stem stem	Fasciated Uniculm Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Lo cotyledon stem stem stem stem by the cotyledon stem stem stem stem stem stem stem stem	Fasciated Uniculum Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Locyledon stem stem stem stem stem stem stem stem	Pasciated Uniculm Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Locyledon stem stem stem stem stem stem stem stem	Fasciated Unicular Fasciated Tail Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Locyledon Stem S	Fasciated Uniculan Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Locyledon stem stem stem stem stem stem stem stem	Fasciated Uniculm Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Locally Indicated stem stem stem stem stem stem stem stem	Fasciated Uniculan Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Locyledon stem sem sem sem sem sem sem sem sem sem s	Fascisted Unionim Fasciated Tall Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Locotytedon stem stem	Pasciated Unicular Fasciated Tail Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Locatyledon Stem S	Fascinted Uniculum Fascinted Tail Dwarf Bushy Whorled leaf Stipulate Lo cotyledon stem stem

		Numbe	Number, spectrum and f	frequency of macromutation	acromutation				
Treat- ments	Fasciated cotyledon	Uniculm	Fasciated stem	Tall	Dwarf	Bushy -	Whorled leaf phyllotaxy	Stipulate	Long-narrow leaf
G.E.	8	0 (0	. 0 (ο(. 12	٥(٥(82.0
G.F.	(0.098))°	<u></u>]4	ြ၀	<u>]</u> 6	0)&]=	(1+(2,0)
F :	(0.469)	Ĵ,	(0.144)	ე-	(0.325)	J	(0.289)	(0.397)	(2.598)
步	-ີ	(0.162)	•0	(0.041)	(0.122)	(0.162)	(0.608)	(0.041)	(2.268)
GE poolec	ed 16 (0.193)	(0.048)	(0.048)	. 1 (0.0120	12 (0.145)	16 (0.193)	23 (77.20)	12 (0.145) [*]	206 (2.481)
N	c	0	0	0	0	EI .	80	0	4
5 3	·) •)-	<u></u> _"	Je	Ĵ	(0.452)	(0.278))a	(1.528)
ž. ;	° ()°	(0.038)	(0.114)) ((0,455)	Ĵ°) '	(0.4930	(2.730)
Ž.	- ()	(0.042)	(0.382)	(0.085)	· 🗇	· ()	(0.212)	(0.594)	(2.205)
GN pooled	o ()	(0.025)	12 (0.1520	(0.025)	(0.152)	(0.165)	(0.165)	(0.343)	(2.134)

- Figures in parentheses indicate frequency of macromutations per 100 M, plants. - Number of plants scored in each treatment as mentioned in Table 1. Note: - Symbols of treatments as in Table 1.

Ī

mutagens (EMS and NG), whereas gamma rays produced higher proportion of less drastic types (Chlorina and sectorial). The alkylating agents, such as EMS and NG, due to their specificity nature could be possibly severely affected adjacent regions of centromore and proximal segments of chromosome, where the genes controlling the chlorophyll development seem to be located (Swaminathan, 1964 & 1965), resulting higher proportion of drastic types of chlorophyll mutations. Thus, differences relative mutability of genes for various chlorophyll mutations by different mutagens were clearly observed.

Macro-mutations

Seventeen types of morphological macro-mutations affecting cotyledon (fascinated cotyledon), plant type (tall, dwarf, busy, uniculm), stem (fascinated stem), leaf and leaf phyllotaxy (long narrow leaf, small leaf, stipulated, whorled phyllotaxy), flower (tiny flower, coloured flower), capsule (rudimentary capsule, long-bold capsule, small-bold capsules. multi-loculed capsule) and fertility (polypetalous" or gamopetalous flowers with sterile pollen grains) were recorded in M, (Table 2) Among single mutagenic treatments, the mutation spectrum was wider (sever types) for 0.25% EMS followed by five types to 500 Gy gamma rays and four types at 0.75% EMS, 0.01% NG and 700 Gy gamma rays. Interestingly, the spectrum of macromutations at 0.02% NG was zero. Among the combined treatment, the pectrum was highest (ten) for G_2E_3 followed by nine for G_2E_2 and G_2N_2 . In general, the pectrum for single mutagenic treatments showed decreased tendency with the increase in dose. Occurrence of higher spectrum of macro-mutation a combined treatments than single treatments uggested the cumulative action in case of combined reatments. Out of seventeen macromutants, long old capsule mutants were most frequent and ascinated cotyledon and coloured flower mutants were least. Bushy, uniculm, tall and small bold apsule mutants were also quite common as ompared to other mutants.

Among the single mutagenic treatments, nacro-mutational frequency per 100 M, plants ras the highest at 0.25% EMS (1.29%) followed y 500 Gy gamma ray (1.05%). Among the ombined treatments, the highest macro-mutational equency was observed at 700 Gy gamma rays 0.02% NG (2.73%) followed by 700 Gy amma rays + 0.50% EMS (2.60%). In overall, ie frequencies of macromutations estimated on the basis of 100 M, plants were in order of GE>GN>EMS>GR>NG (Table 2). The frequencies of viable macro-mutations per 100 M, plants were the highest at the lowest doses of EMS, NG and gamma rays for single treatments and for combined treatments, the highest value(s) was observed at medium doses. This inverse relationship of dose with frequency could possibly due to gradual inactivation of the repair system with the increase in dose.

Relative differences in mutability of gene loci for various macromutations with respect to different mutagens were also clearly observed and these observations can be analysed from wo aspects. Firstly, the rate of mutation of ndividual gene loci was found to be highly variable for different mutagens. For example, EMS induced the highest number of small bold capsule mutants, whereas both NG and gamma ays induced the highest number of long bold capsule mutants. The results also clearly suggest hat some gene loci were affected by one mutagen nuch more than the other. For example, EMS nduced uniculm stem, bushy plant and small eaf mutants more frequently, whereas gamma ays induced more long-bold capsule, busy plant, ascinated stem, tall plant and rudimentary capsule

type mutants. Secondly, some of the gene loci were affected by one mutagen, but not by the other. For example, only EMS induced uni-culm stem, bushy plant and small leaf mutants more frequently, whereas gamma rays induced more long-bold capsule, busy plant, fascinated stem, tall plant and rudimentary capsule type mutants. Secondly, some of the gene loci were affected by one mutagen, but not by the other. For example, only EMS induced fascinated stem and small bold capsule mutants. Similarly, gene loci like uniculm stem and fascinated cotyledon were affected by both EMS and NG but not by gamma rays. The gene loci, which were affected by both EMS and NG but not by gamma rays. The gene loci, which were affected by EMS and/or NG but not by gamma rays might be located in some regions on the chromosome that are more likely to yield mutations when exposed to chemical mutagens than the radiations (Auerbach and Kilbey, 1971).

The differential sensitivity of sesame genes to different mutagens indicates that the mutation process varies from one mutagen to another. The alkylating agents, such as EMS and NG, specifically react with DNA by alkylating the phosphate groups as well as the purine and pyramidine bases, but guanine is more affected leading to the formation of 7-alkyl guanine. Similarly, gamma rays result chromosomal aberrations like deletion, duplication, inversion and translocation. However, the differential sensitivyt of different genes of sesame to EMS, NG and gamma rays cannot be interpreted on the basis of action of these mutagens on a particular base (all the genes contain all the bases) or on the basis of chromosomal aberrations. Auerbach and Kilbey (1971) suggested that differetnial sensitive of genes even in prokaryotes cannot be determined by the reaction between base or base sequences and mutagens. Besides, several other factors such as genetic background, cell cycle, treatment condition, environmental factors (Auerbach, 1965), numbe of essential sites in the code message of gene (Lifschytz and Falk, 1969) etc. determine the differential response of the mutagens. Therefore, it is difficult to determne the particular cause(s) for the differential sensitivity of sesame genes to different mutagens. However, a number of such and related investigations might help for inducing desired mutations at specific gene loci or for directed mutagenesis upto some extent.

References

- Auerbach, C. (1965). Past achievements and future task of research in chemical mutagenesis, in Genetics Today, Vol.2, pp.275-284. Ed.Greets, S.I.Paragon Press, Oxford.
- Auerbach, C. and Kilbey, B.J. (1971). Mutation in Eukaryotes. Ann. Rev. Genet. 5: 163-218.
- Kharkwal, M.C., Pawar, S.E. and Pandey, R.N. (2001). Seventy-five years of research on induced mutations with special reference to crop improvement in India. In: Proceedings of NAARRI Internatioal Conference on Applications of Radioisotopes and Radiation Tech nology in the 21st Century. (Ed.N.Rama-

- moorthy, M.Ananthakrishnan and A.N.Nandakumar) December 12-14, 2001, Mumbai, India, pp.230-235.
- Lifschytz, E. and Falk, R. (1969). Fine structure analysis of chromosome segment in Drosophila melanogaster. Analysis of ethyl methane sulphonate induced lethal. Mutation Res. 8: 147-155.
- Swaminathan, M.S. (1964). A comparison of mutations induction in diploid and poly ploids. In: The use of induced mutation in plant breeding. Rad. Mut. Organ. FAO IAEA, Vienna: 619-641.
- Swaminathan, M.S. (1965). The experimenta manipulation of genes. Curr. Sci. 34 108-11.

(Received: September 2002; Revised: January 2007)