# Studies on integration of tillage and herbicides for weed control in sugarcane

A. SATHYAVELU, E. SOMASUNDARAM, R. POONGUZHALAN AND R. JAGANATHAN Dept. of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003, Tamil Nadu.

Abstract: Field experiments were conducted at Sugarcane Research Station, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu during 1995-96 and 1996-97, to integrate tillage and herbicides to control weeds with special reference to Cyperus rotundus in sugarcane. The results revealed that the preplant application of glyphosate @ 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (with 2% ammonium sulphate)plus three disc harrowings followed by pre-emergence application of atrazine 1 kg or alachlor 1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + hand weeding at 60 DAP recorded 92% weed control efficiency and increased the cane population (50%), cane yield (91%) and sugar yield (86.6%) over farmers method of three disc harrowings and hand weeding twice at 30 and 60 DAP.

Keywords : Sugarcane, C.rotundus, Tillage, Herbicides, Weed control.

#### Introduction

Sugarcane, being a long duration crop with slow initial growth habit, faces acute problem from weeds. Yield losses due to the presence of weeds were estimated as 12 to 83 per cent (Kanwar et al. 1992). However, the growth during its germination phase, sugarcane takes more time to cover the interspaces and hence, a weed free environment during the germination and tillering phases are important for getting higher yield (Ponnusamy et al. 1996).

Due to continuous use of atrazine for many years, the population of seed germinated broad leaved and grassy weeds, was reduced while the population of C. rotundus steadily increased. It was reported that C. rotundus reduced tillering of sugarcane by 40 to 50 per cent (Ponnusamy et al. 1996), millable cane population by 34.6 per cent (Kuntohartono et al. 1995) and cane yield 85 by per cent (Turner, 1985). The sugar cane setts take about 30 to 35 days for completion of germination, whereas the C. rotundus starts emerging within two to three days after planting of cane and occupies the inter space within two weeks. Hence, the emerging shoots of sugar cane have to compete with the already established C. rotundus. A study was therefore, undertaken to develop an integrated method of weed control with special reference to C. rotundus in sugarcane.

### Materials and Methods

Field experiments were conducted at Sugarcane Research Station, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu, during 1995-96 and 1996-97 using the sugarcane variety Co 8021 maturing in 330 days. The soil of the experimental area was sandy loam in texture with a pH of 7.2 and an EC of 0.3 dSm<sup>-1</sup>. The experiments were laid out in split plot design, replicated thrice, with the tillage practices viz

M, : Farmers' practice of three disc harrowings

M2 : Disc ploughing + two disc harrowings

M<sub>3</sub>: Pre-plant application of glyphosate 2 kg ha-1 (with 2% ammonium sulphate) at 20 days before planting (DBP) + 3 disc harrowings and

M<sub>4</sub>: Pre-plant application of glyphosate 2 kg + 2,4-D Na salt 1 kg ha: at 20 DBP + 3 disc harrowings.

in main plots and weed control methods viz.

S<sub>1</sub>: PE atrazine 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + HW (hand weeding) at 60 DAP

S, : PE alachlor 1.5 kg ha-1 + HW at 60 DAP

S<sub>3</sub>: PE atrazine 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> fb PoEDS glyphosate 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (with 2% ammonium sulphate at 30 DAP

S<sub>4</sub>: PE alachlor 1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> fb PoEDS glyphosate 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (with 2% ammonium sulphate at 30 DAP)

S5 : PE atrazine 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> fb PoEDS glyphosate 2 kg + 2,4-D 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 30 DAP

S6 : PE alachlor 1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> fb PoEDS glyphosate 2 kg + 2,4-D 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 30 DAP.

S7: Hand weeding at 30 and 60 DAP and

S8: Unweeded check.

(PE = Pre-emergence application; PoEDS = Post-emergence directed spray; fb = followed by)

The post-emergence herbicides (glyphosate and 2,4-D Na salt) were applied as directed spray

Table 1. Effect of tillage and weed control practices on total weed population (No m<sup>-2</sup>), weed control efficiency and cane population in sugarcane (mean of two plant crops),

Treatment	Tota	Total weeds at 90 DAP (No m²)	it 90 DA	P (No m	( <sub>2</sub> ,		Weed co	Weed control efficiency (%)	iency (%)			Cane po	Cane population ('000 ha-1)	7000 ha	
	M	M,	M,	M	Mean	M	M <sub>2</sub>	M,	M	Mean	M	M	M	M.	Mean
s's	217	217	ĸ	38	. 121	20.5	20.5	92.0	90.5	55.9	89.7	0.66	130.0	119.1	109.5
S,	219	216	Ø	8	122	19.8	20.8	920	89.0	55.4	200	94.5	126.0	118.1	107.5
S.	168	100	14	15	8	38.5	41.4	94.8	94.5	673	86.4	95.7	10601	102.5	98.4
ึ้ง	165	151	4	16	8	39.6	44.7	94.8	94.2	683	86.9	616	111.5	104.2	98.6
Š	159	9	13	15	82	41.8	41.4	95.2	94.5	68.2	81.8	87.5	115.1	103.0	696
Š	150	149	61	15	æ	45.1	45.4	93.0	94.5	69.5	84.0	88.3	112.5	104.0	97.2
s,	241	237	त	38	135	11.7	13.2	91.2	86.1	20.6	999	91.2	110.9	97.5	596
S.	273	253	75	8	172	r	7.3	72.5	68.5	٠	73.3	74.3	87.5	85.0	80.0
Mean	661	193	23	30	¥	¥	29.3	200.7	89.0	;	84.9	903	112.9	104.3	1
*		SEd	q	CD (P=0.05	(50)	7					SE.	34	CD (P=0.05)	(50)	
For M		0.0	1	ö	03						2	24	7.13	_	
for S		0.0	2	õ	¥						7	35	4.82		
For S at M	757-	0.04	*	Ö	80.0						4	4.71	9.64	_	**
For M at S	أنجي	0.0	3	Ö	80						4	94	11.2	1	
						-						- F.			

using the hand operated knapsack sprayer fitted with WFN 60 flood jet nozzle covered by a spray hood. A spray volume of 500 1 had of solution was used. The hand weeding operations were carried out manually at 30 and 60 DAP. All other recommended package of practices were followed. Population of weed species present in quadrates (50 cm x 50 cm) from two spots were recorded and the weed control efficiency was worked out. The data on cane yield and quality parameters were recorded at harvest. The mean data of two plant are taken for discussion.

## Results and Discussion Total weed population

The species in the experimental field revealed that the sedge, C. rotundus was the predominant weed species found up to 82 per cent and the annual grasses and broad leaved weeds constituted 18 per cent of the total weed population. Preplant application of glyphosate with three disc harrowings (M,) significantly recorded lower weed population of 25 weeds m<sup>-2</sup>. The reduction in the weed population was 87.4 per cent (Table 1). Among the weed control methods, the PE atrazine or alachlor followed by PoEDS glyphosate or PoSED glyphosate + 2,4-D (S, S<sub>4</sub>, S<sub>5</sub> and S<sub>6</sub>) decreased the population of weeds to the tune of 49.4 per cent. But the combination of preplant glyphosate 2 kg ha-1 and disc harrowings, PE atrazine or alachlor and PoEDS glyphosate or glyphosate + 2,4-D reduced the weeds up to 94.6 per cent. This may be attributed to the better control of C. rotundus plants and tubers by pre-plant application of glyphosate.

## Cane Population

Pre-plant application of glyphosate with three disc harrowings (M<sub>3</sub>) recorded significantly higher cane population of 1,12,900 ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1) which was 33 per cent higher than the farmers practice of

Table 2. Effect of tillage and weed control practices on yield and quality of sugarcane (mean of two plant crops)

Transmont		Cane	Cane yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	ha-1)				CCS%	Þ			Suga	Sugar yield (t ha-1)	t ha-1)	
Hearmen	Σ,	M <sub>2</sub>	M,	M <sub>4</sub>	Mean	M,	M,	M,	M	Mean	Σ	Σ,	M,	M	Mean
s'	93.1	104.0	164.0	. 156.5	129.5	13.74	13.42	13.42	13.45	13.51	12.79	11.04	21.99	21.10	17.48
S,	93.4	105.1	165.6	157.3	130.3	13.91	13.39	13.45	13.39	13.43	13.00	14.07	22.26	21.06	17.59
s,	85.3	. 99.1	154.8	148.1	121.8	13.62	13.76	13.25	13.48	13.51	11.62	13.63	20.50	19.97	16.43
S	85.0	100.8	155.4	148.6	122.4	13.55	13.39	13.51	13.63	13.52	11.52	13.51	20.96	20.25	16.56
Š	86.0	1001	155.8	149.7	122.9	13.63	13.40	13.81	13.59	13.61	11.77	13,41	21.46	20.34	16.75
Š	86.9	103.3	155.8	150.4	123.3	13.35	1357	13.51	13.30	13.45	11.60	13.61	21.18	19.97	16.59
s,	86.2	983	142.6	139.8	116.7	13.73	13.54	13.40	13.17	13.46	11.83	13.30	1161	18.39	15.66
S.	64.2	78.8	109.3	106.0	9.68	13.57	13.44	13.52	13.06	13.40	8.71	10.59	11.79	13.85	11.98
Mean	85.0	98.4	150.6	144.5	1,5	13.64	13.49	13.49	13.38		11.60	13.27	20.28	1936	,
	0,3	Ed	CD (P=0.05)	=0.05)		S	PG PG	CD (P=0.05)	(50)			SEd	CD	P=0.05)	
For M	_	66:	4.5	33		0	80.	SN		, d		0.79		2.50	
For S		2.13	426	92		0	0.11	SN				0.19		0.38	
For S at M	4	120	86	=		0	23	SS				0.37		0.76	
For M at S			8.8	33		0	23	SN			,	98.0	ŗ.·	2.57	

three disc harrowings (M,). Among the weed control methods PE atrazine or alachlor followed by hand weeding at 60 DP (S, and S<sub>2</sub>) recorded significantly higher cane population of 1,09,500 har respectively. The treatments S<sub>3</sub>, S<sub>4</sub>, S<sub>5</sub> and S<sub>6</sub> though had higher weed control efficiency, registered lower cane population as compared to S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub> due to the phytotoxic effect of PoEDS of glyphosate. But the integration of pre-plant glyphosate with three disc harrowings. PE atrazine or alachlor followed by hand weeding at 60 DAP (M,S, M,S,) recorded 1.30,300 and 1,26,000 canes had which was 50.5 and 45.5 per cent, respectively, higher than the farmers practices of three disc horrowings and hand weeding at 30 and 60 DAP (M,S,). The increase in cane population in these treatments were due to better control of weeds in general and C. rotundus in particular which helped the canes to (iller profusely by the efficient use of nutrients, water and other resources. This corroborates with the findings of Kuntohartono et al. (1995) and Ponnusamy et al. (1996).

# Cane yield and quality

Cane yield is the ultimate goal of any management practice. Pre-plant application of glyphosate followed by three disc harrowings (M<sub>3</sub>) recorded the highest cane yield of 150.6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> which was 77.2 per cent higher over farmers' practice of three disc harrowings (M<sub>1</sub>). Among the weed control methods, PE atrazine or alachlor followed by hand weeding at 60 DAP (S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>) recorded significantly higher than hand weeding at 30 and 60 DAP (S<sub>2</sub>).

Combined effect of pre-plant glyphosate + 3 harrowings, PE atrazine or alachlor + hand weeding at 60 DAP (M<sub>3</sub>S<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>) recorded 81.6, 82.3 per cent higher cane yield over farmers practice of three disc harrowings and hand weeding twice (M<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>). However, the Commercial Cane Sugar (CCS)

percentage was not influenced by any of the with three disc harrowings, PE atrazine or alachlor treatments studied.

Sugar yield

The pre-plant application of glyphosate with three disc harrowings (M,) recorded the highest sugar yield of 20.28 t had which was 74.8 per cent higher than the farmers' practice of three disc harrowings (M,). Regarding weed control methods, the PE atrazine or alachlor followed by hand weeding (S, and S,) recorded significantly higher sugar yield of 17.48 and 17.59 t had, which was 11.6 and 12.3 per cent higher respectively over hand weeding at 30 and 60 DAP (S,) (Table 2). The combined effect of pre-plant glyphosate with three disc harrowings, PE atrazine or alachlor + hand weeding at 60 DAP (M,S,, M,S,) recorded 85.9 to 88.2 per cent higher sugar yield over farmer's method of three disc harrowings and hand weeding (M,S,).

Pre-plant application of glyphosate 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> controlled the weed population by 94.6 per cent. Combined effect of pre-plant glyphosate with three disc harrowings, PE atrazine or alachlor followed by hand weeding at 60 DAP not only reduced the weed flora but also increased the cane population, cane yield and sugar yield to the tune of 50, 80 and 88 per cent, respectively.

#### References

Kanwar, R.S., Sarjitsingh Sodhi, R.S. and Garcha, A.I.S. (1992). Comparative performance of different herbicides combination for weed control in sugar cane. *Indian Sugar XLII*: 621-625.

Kuntohartono, T.D., Chudjaemi and Sasongko, S. (1995).
Competitive effects of two nut sedge ecotypes on sugarcane and their reaction to herbicide.
In Proc. 21st Congress of ISSCT p. 21-30.

Ponnusamy, K., Santhi, P. and Sankaran, S. (1996).
Effect of timing "One weeding" on growth and yield of sugarcane. Philippines Sugar Commun. Q. 2: 47-50.

Turner, P.E.T. (1985). Nut grass competition and control in cane field. Sugarcane, 4: 1-7.

(Received: September 2001; Revised: April 2002)