https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A00217

field and economics of maize-sunflower-fodder cowpea cropping sysem as influenced by phosphorus management practices.

I. KUMARESAN, V.S. SHANMUGASUNDHARAM, T.N. BALASUBRAMANIAN AND M. RAJAVEL repartment of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641003, Tamil Nadu.

Abstract: Field experiments were carried out during 1997-98 and 1998-99 at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, to study the effect of phosphorus sources and levels in a maize - sunflower- fodder cowpea cropping system. The maize grain equivalent yield MGE increased when SSP was applied with microbial inoculants with 75 % recommended level of P2O5 when applied to all the three crops in the system. The economics of the system revealed that SSP with microbial inoculants, P2O5 at 75% recommended level, P2O5 for maize and sunflower and skipping P2O5 for fodder cowpea was found to be economical.

Key words: Phosphorus, Maize Grain Equivalent, Cropping system, Economics.

utroduction

Phosphorus is a major nutrient which is having wide response in major soils of India. Phosphorus as single super phosphate (SSP) is costlier whereas Mussoorie rock phosphate (MRP) is a cheaper source of P. gained momentum in ecent years. In India about 145 million tonnes of rock phosphate deposits one available. (Jaggi, 1986). Phosphorus through MRP is not quickly compared released as SSP but M.R.P is effective in a cropping system. Ratnamurthy and Velayudham (1976) reported that the magnitude of the residual effect depends on the rate and kind of P fertilizer used, the crop management systems followed and to a greater extent on the type of the soil.

Materials and Methods

Field experiments were conducted during 1997-98 and 1998-99 at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. The soil was clay loam with a pH of 8.3. The first crop maize was raised in a split plot design and the treatments consisted of phosphorus sources as main plots viz. Mussoorie rock phosphate (MRP) + microbial inoculants (M,), MRP alone (M,), Single super phosphate (SSP)+ microbial inoculants (M₂), SSP alone (M,). The phosphorus levels in the sub plots were 50% recommended level of P.O. (P.). 75% recommended level of P.O. (P.), and 100% recommended level of P2O5 (P3). The second crop sunflower and the third crop of fodder cowpea consisted of the same main and sub plot treatments of maize but for the sunflower crop, the sub sub plot treatments were skipping P,O, for sunflower ie. application of PO, for maize crop alone (S,), and No skipping ic. P,O, application for both

maize and sunflower (S₂). Whereas for the fodder cowpea, the sub sub plot treatments were, skipping P,O, for sunflower and fodder cowpea (S,), skipping P,O, for fodder cowpea alone (S,), and no skipping P₂O₅ application for all the three crops in the system (S₁). The design adopted for second and third crop was split split plot. The N and K were applied at recommended levels for all the three crops in the system. The microbial inoculants consisted of Phosphobacteria at 1.75 kg ha-1, Trichoderma viride 880 g ha-1 and Pseudomonas florescens 880 g ha-1. This mixture was applied after the crop was sown. The varieties of maize, sunflower and fodder cowpea used were Col. Co4, and Co5 respectively. Maize crop was raised during the month of September; sunflower during January and fodder cowpea during April. The recommended P.O. for maize. sunflower and fodder cowpea were 62.5, 20 and 40 kg had respectively. The root stubbles of the crops in the system were allowed as such in the field. The Trichoderma viride was used to decompose the stubbles left by the preceding crops.

Results and Discussion

The net return and B:C ratio for the individual crop viz. maize, sunflower and fodder cowpea were higher at SSP with microbial inoculants and P₂O₅ at recommended level. The reason might be due to the increased yield attributes and yield. The P₂O₅ applied for all the three crops in the system increased the net return and B:C ratio of the individual crop in the system. (Table. 1)

Table 1. Net return and B:C ratio of maize, sunflower and fodder cowpea

Treat- ments	Maize				Sunflower				Fodder Cowpea			
	1997-98		1998-99		1997-98		1998-99		1997-98		1998-99	
	Net return Rs. ha-1	B:C ratio	Net return Rs. ha-1	B:C ratio	Net return Rs. ha ⁻¹	B:C ratio	Net return Rs. ha-1	B:C ratio	Net return Rs. ha-1	B:C ratio	Net return Rs. ha ⁻¹	B:C ratio
Phose	horus so	urces				-	,			-	* '+ g'	
M,	16099	2.30	14272	2.13	4099	1.50	4307	1.51	353	1.07	1526	1.26
M,	13607	2.10	11674	1.93	3551	1.43	3916	1.47	361	1.07	1217	1.21
M,	20993	2.66	16374	2.28	5061	1.61	5429	1.65	2138	1.37	3488	1.59
M,	18901	2.50	16122	2.26	4891	1.59	4939	1.59	1809 .	1.32	2719	1.47
	horus lev	els				*			*		- 1	
P,	16174	2.32	13221	2.06	3942	1.48	4114	1.49	499	0.91	946	1.16
Ρ,	17683	2.41	15015	2.18	4570	1.51	4855	1.58	1833	1.33	2746	1.47
P,	18344	2.44	15597	2.21	4689	1.56	4975	1.59	2159	1.38	3021	1.52
	ing Phos	ohorus-		, 2			100					
S,	_			-	. 3941	1.48	4204	1.51	-94	0.98	1077	0.79
S.		-			4860	1.58	5091	1.60	1728	1.32	2918	1.01
S ₂ S,		1 1	40			-		•	1863	1.32	2718	0.96

Table 2. Maize grain equivalent yield and Economics of maize- sunflower - fodder cowpea cropping system.

Treatments	Maize (Grain Equivalen	t yield (t ha-1)	Net return (' 000 Rs ha-')-	B:C ratio	
	1997-98	1998-99	Mean	(000 Ks Ha-)	ture () No other	
Phosphorus sources		**	1	75 80		
M,	12.84	13.89	13.37	20.48	0.77	
M,	12.10	12.94	12.52	17.21	1.65	
M,	15.61	16.51	16.06	26:95	2.00	
M ₄	14.74	15.46	15.10	24.92	1.93	
CD (P=0.05)	1.20	0.84	=	1.023	0.08	
Phosphorus levels			4			
P,	11.77	13.02	12.40	19.08	1.72	
P ₂	14.63	15.30	14.97	23.53	- 1.88	
P ₃	15.07	15.77	15.42	24:57	1.91	
CD (PO.05)	0.55	0.70	• •	0.945	0.06	
Skipping Phosphorus	4					
S,	12.51	13.33	-12.92	20.58	1.78	
S ₂	14.14	15.17	14.66	23.32	1.88	
S,	14.82	15.60	15.21	23.28	1.86	
CD (P=0.05)	0.64	0.49	. 4	0.787	0.07	

M₁: MRP + Microbial inoculants M₂: MRP alone P₃: 50% recommended P₂O₅ S₃: Skipping P₂O₅ for sunflower & cowpea M₃: SSP + Microbial inoculants M₄: SSP alone P₃: 75% recommended P₂O₅ S₂: Skipping of P₂O₅ to cowpea alone P₃: 100% recommended P₂O₅ S₃: Application of P₂O₅ to all the crops.

Phosphorus sources had significant influence on maize grain equivalent yield (MGEY). During 1997-98, SSP with microbial inoculants recorded higher MGEY which was comparable to SSP applied alone, whereas in 1998-99, SSP with microbial inoculants was significantly superior over the SSP applied treatment. SSP with microbial inoculants might have increased the soil available P and the crop residues of the preceding crops also decomposed, resulting in increased uptake of P and the yield of the individal crops in the system, thereby increased the MGEY. Increased P uptake increased the maize grain yield (Sankhyan and Sharma, 1997), sunflower seed yield (Jagadev singh and Singh, 1997), green fodder yield of cowpea (Jene et al. 1995). Crop residues increased the available soil P by several folds. (Walters et al. 1992). The phosphorus levels also significantly influenced the MGEY The MGEY increased upto 75% recommended level of P.O. This trend was noticed in both the years. (Table 2). Phosphorus application to all the crops in the system increased the MGEY (14.82 t ha⁻¹) during 1997-98, and in 1998-99, (15.60 t ha1) of P.O. and was on par with P.O. application for the first two crops in the uptem during the year 1998-99.

In the maize -sunflower -fodder cowpea Cowpea cropping system, SSP with microbial inoculants recorded a higher net return and B:C ratio and was significantly superior over the SSP applied alone. Increased yield of the individual crops might be the reason for increased net return and B:C ratio. The net return and B:C ratio increased up to 75 % recommended level of P₂O₅. (Table 2). The P₂O₅ application for maize, sunflower and skipping P₂O₅ for fodder cowpea recorded a higher net return and B:C ratio. Similar finding of skipping P in the cropping system was reported by Duraisamy (1997).

Thus from the study, it can be concluded that, SSP with recommended level of P₂O₅ increased the net return and B:C ratio of maize. Skipping P for fodder cowpea increased the net return and B:C ratio of the sunflower and fodder cowpea. Increased MGEY was observed when SSP was applied with or with out microbial inoculants, P₂O₅ at 75% recommended level applied to all the three crops in the system. Considering the overall economics of the system, SSP with microbial inoculants, P₂O₅ at 75% recommended level and skipping P₂O₅ for fodder cowpea in the cropping system was found to be economical.

References

- Duraisamy, K. (1997). Integrated phosphorus management in groundnut-rice-sesame cropping system. Ph.D.Thesis, Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agric Univ., Coimbatore.
- Jagadev Singh and Singh, K.P. (1997). Integrated nutrient management in sunflower (Helianthus annus). Indian J Agron. 42: 370-374.
- Jaggi, T.N. (1986). Potentiality of using ground rock phosphate as a direct phosphatic fertilizer in Indian soils. Proceedings of the seminar on "Rock phosphate in Agriculture" held in August 23-24,1985 at Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ., Coitnbatore. P. 1-14.
- Jene, V.C., Pradhan, L and Mohapatra, B.K. (1995).
 Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and cutting management on fodder yield of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata). Indian J. Agron. 40: 321-322.
- Ramamurthy, B and Velayudham, M. (1976). Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium in soil, chemistry, forms and availability. Soil fertility- Theory and Practices (Ed.) T.S.Kanwar, ICAR, New Delhi.
- Sankhyan, N.K. and Sharma, C.M. (1997). Effect of phosphorus and zinc fertilization on grain yield and uptake by maize (Zea mays). Indian J. Agric. Sci. 67: 63-66.
- Walters, D.T., Aulakh and Doran, J.W. (1992). Effects of soil aeration, legume residue and soil texture on transformation of macro and micro nutrients in soil. Soil Sci. 153: 100-107.

(Received: November 2000; Revised: February 2002)