https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A00205

influence of nitrogen and potassium on incidence of sheath rot and rop yield in rice (Oryza sativa L.)

VIJAYA BHASKAR, G. RAMA RAO AND K. B. REDDY

epartment of Plant Physiology, S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh 517 502.

Abstract: A field experiment was conducted during late kharif 1999 to study the influence of nitrogen and potassium on the incidence of sheath rot and crop yield in rice revealed that the disease incidence increased with increase in nitrogen level from 0 to 300 kg ha⁻¹ while decreased with increased potassium level from 0 to 140 kg ha⁻¹, The number of infected tillers and grains were more with 300 kg of nitrogen and 0 kg of potassium and were less in plants treated with higher levels of K (140 kg ha⁻¹) irrespective of nitrogen level. Application of 300 Kg N and 140 Kg K ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher grain yield of 3909 kg ha⁻¹ compared to 0 kg N and 0 Kg K ha⁻¹ (2900 kg ha⁻¹). Higher grain yield of 3623 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded in CV NLR 30491 compared to NLR 33633 (3288 kg ha⁻¹).

Key words: Nitrogen, Potassium, Sheath rot, Crop yield.

ntroduction

Rice crop is constantly subjected to several ungal, bacterial and viral diseases. Among fungal iseases, sheath rot caused by sarocladium oryzae as assumed much importance in recent years y causing heavy yield losses. The disease as reported to cause 80-85 per cent yield loss a Andhra Pradesh. Sheath rot effected plants how reduced grain filling and results in decreased eed germination (Vidyasekharan et al. 1984). all nutrients may effect plant health but nitrogen nd potassium plays a major role. Most authors gree that nitrogen decrease the crop resistance. Disease incidence increased with increase in nitrogen levels but decreased with increase in potassium application (Sekhar and Prasad, 1989). This suggests that the knowledge regarding the interaction of mineral nutrition with plant disease may be useful in understanding the influence of sheath rot of rice. Hence the present investigation was planned to study the influence of nitrogen and potassium on incidence of sheath rot and crop yield in rice.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at the S.V.Agricultural College farm in Tirupati (Andhra Padesh) during late kharif, 1999 on sandy loam soil. The experiment was laid out in a factorial randomised block design with three replications The treatments consists of four levels of nitrogen (0, 100, 200, and 300 kg ha⁻¹) and 3 levels of potassium (0, 70, and 140 kg ha⁻¹). The varieties used in this study were NLR 33633

and NLR 30491. The crop was planted in an individual plot size of 2mx5m with a spacing of 20 cm x 10 cm. Different levels of nitrogen in the form of urea was applied in two splits viz. half the nitrogen as basal and another half at 30 days after planting. Phosphorus in the form of single super phosphate at the rate of 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and different levels of K in the form of murate of potash was applied as basal.

The symptoms developed due to sheath rot infections were carefully observed and recorded at regular intervals based on the visual symptoms by using the disease score (Table 1). The data was recorded on number of sheath rot affected tillers per hill, number of plants affected per m² and number of productive tillers per panicle at regular intervals. The yield components and yield were recorded at the time of harvest.

Results and Discussion

The sheath rot intensity increased with increase in nitrogen level from 0 to 300 kg ha⁻¹ and maximum disease intensity (4) was recorded at 300 kg N ha⁻¹ (Table 2). Increased application of nitrogen increased the reducing and non reducing sugars resulting in increased susceptability of the crop to the pathogen (Mohan and Subramanian, 1977). Increased application of potassium from 0 to 140 kg ha⁻¹ reduced the sheath not intensity and maximum reduction of disease intensity was observed at 140 kg

Table 1. Sheath rot intensity disease score grade in rice

Grade	Disease
1	Small brown lesions on boot leaf sheath and panicle emergence normal
2	Lesions enlarge or coalesce and cover about 5 per cent of leaf sheath and panicle emergence
	normally
3	Lesions cover about 6-15 per cent of leaf sheath area and 75 per cent of panicle exerted
4.	Lesions cover about 16-50 per cent of leaf sheath area and 50 per cent of panicle exerted
5.	Lesions cover more than 50 per cent of the leaf sheath and panicle emergence completely affected or only about 25 per cent of panicle exerted.

Table 2. Effect of nitrogen and potassium on sheath rot intensity (score) in rice

				Days	after trai	nsplanting	g		1	ć
Treatments		50		60		70	8	0	1	00
	V ₁	V ₂	V _i	V,	V_{i}	V_2	V,	V ₂	V,	V ₂
N _o K _o	2.6	2.3	2.9	2.7	3.1	3.0	3.63	3.6	3.8	3.7
N_0K_{20}	2.2	2.0	2.6	. 2.5	3.0	2.8	3.3	3.1	3.4	3.4
N ₀ K ₁₄₀	2.0	1.7	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.5	3.1	2.8	3.2	3.1
N ₁₀₀ K ₀	2.8	2.6	3.0	2.1	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.4	3.8	3.3
N ₁₀₀ K ₇₀	2.5	2.1	3.0	2.9	3.2	3.2	3,5	3.2	3.7	3.5
N ₁₀₀ K ₁₄₀	2.0	2.0	2.8	2.6	3.0	2.8	3.4	3.2	3.8	3.4
N ₂₀₀ K ₀	2.8	2.6	3.2	3.1	3.4	2.4	3.8	3.6	4.0	3.9
$N_{200}K_{20}$	2.6	2.2	3.1	2.9	3.2	3.2	3.6	3.5	3.8	3.7
$N_{200}K_{140}$	2.1	1.9	3.0	2.4	2.9	2.6	3.2	3.1	3.5	3.3
$N_{300} K_0$	2.8	2.6	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.8	3.7	4.0	3.9
N ₃₀₀ K ₇₀	2.5	2.4	3.1	3.0	3.1	2.6	3.6	3.5	3.8	3.7
N ₃₀₀ K ₁₄₀	2.0	2.0	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.3	3.3	3.6	3.5

 $V_1 = NLR 33633$

 $V_{2} = NLR 30491$

had (2) irrespective of nitrogen levels. The disease intensity increased with ageing of the crop and maximum was recorded at 100 DAT in both the cultivars. The disease intensity was higher in NLR 33633 compared to NLR 30491 irrespective of nitrogen application. The reduced disease incidence in potassium treated plants might be due to the effect of potassium on the host by altering its physiological and biochemistry (Ramaswamy and Prasad, 1974) and by offering and or increasing the anatomical resistance of host (Matsobayoshi et al. 1963).

There were significant differences observed among the treatments regarding number of sheath rot affected tillers per hill, plants per m² and productive tillers per m² at all stages of plant growth (Table 3). The number of sheath rot affected tillers per hill and number of sheath rot affected plants per m² increased with increase in nitrogen application from 0 to 300 kg ha⁻¹, while decreased with increase in potassium application from 0 to 140 kg ha⁻¹. Among the treatments, application of higher level of potassium (140 kg ha⁻¹) irrespective of nitrogen levels significantly decreased the number of infected tillers per hill and plants per m². Similar reduction in number of affected tillers due to increased level of potassium was observed by Chen and Chu (1970). Maximum number of sheath rot affected plants were observed with 300 kg N + 0 kg K ha⁻¹.

Table 3. Effect of fertilizer nitrogen and potassium on sheath rot disease in rice.

Treatments (kg ha ⁻¹)		of sheath ted tillers		5.000	o. of shea ted plants			of produ lers per	
	50 DAT	70 DAT	100 DAT	50 DAT	70 DAT	100 DAT	$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}$. V ₂	Mean
N ₀ K ₀	2.37	2.96	3.83	11.50	22.83	31.00	198.3	216.6	207.5
N_0K_{70}	2.1	2.53	3.40	9.67	22.83	28.50	206.6	219.3	213.0
N ₀ K ₁₄₀	1.76	2.33	2.93	9.50	20.83	27.00	219.0	229.6	223.8
$N_{100}K_0$	2.30	2.90	3.80	9.33	20.67	28.83	207.3	225.6	216.5
$N_{100}K_{70}$	2.0	2.50	3.16	7.50	19.00	25.67	225.0	235.0	230.0
$N_{100}K_{140}$	1.9	2.30	3.04	6.83	18.83	24.17	230.3	238.0	234.1
N ₂₀₀ K ₀	2.43	2.86	3.63	12.83	25.33	32.33	206.03	224.3	215.3
N ₂₀₀ K ₇₀	2.23	2.73	3.50	9.83	24.83	30.83	207.6	232.3	220.0
N ₂₀₀ K ₁₄₀	1.83	2.30	3.69	8.0	23.00	30.0	220.3	238.6	229.8
N ₃₀₀ K ₀	2.47	2.89	3.83	9.67	22.67	28.50	219.6	236.0	227.3
$N_{300}K_{70}$	2.16	2.67	3.53	8.0	20.50	27.33	227.3	244.0	235.6
$N_{300}K_{140}$	1.87	2.33	3.0	6.83	20.17	26.00	232.33	249.6	241.0
CD (P=0.05)	0.22	0.09	0.25	0.83	0.72	0.48	-	-	.
Varieties						1	216.9	232.1	
V, NLR 33633	3 2.13	2.65	3.39	8.50	20.39	27.14			
V, NLR 3049		2.53	3.36	9.67	23.19	29.56.			
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	2.64	1.77	1.18		2.39	
TXV	NS	NS	NS	NS				8.28	
Т	4			1		-		5.85	

Increased application of nitrogen increased the reducing and non reducing sugars resulting increased susceptability of the crop to the pathogen (Mohan and Subramanian, 1977). Among the cultivars, NLR 30491 recorded lesser number of plants affected by sheath rot compared to NLR 33633.

The number of productive tillers per m² increased significantly with increase in nitrogen. Higher number of productive tillers were observed with 300 kg N and 140 kg K ha¹ may be due to continuous supply of nutrients for plant growth and lower number were observed with 0 kg of nitrogen and potassium per hectare. Nair et al. (1988) observed that foliar application of nitrogen and potassium together with carboxin reduced panicle damage by the sheath rot fungus and gave highest yields.

There was significant difference between treatments with respect to number of infected grains per panicle and number of uninfected grains per panicle (Table 4). The lowest number of infected grains per panicle was observed in 300 kg N and 140 K ha⁻¹ (8.28) and highest number of infected grains was observed in 0 kg N and 0 kg K ha⁻¹ (24.6). Cultivar NLR 33633 recorded higher number of infected grains per panicle (18.5) compared to NLR 30491 (15). Varietal differences were also observed in rice by Ahamed et al. (1974).

Sheath rot disease caused reduction in 1000 grain weight and grain yield. This may be due to reduced current photosynthesis and leaf area as the sheath rot affects the entire foliage including the boot leaf. Lakshmanan and Velusamy (1991) reported that reduction in weight of panicles, and 1000 grains weight in sheath rot infected panicles. 1000 grain weight and grain yield was more in plants treated with 300 kg N and 140 kg K ha⁻¹ and lower in plants treated with no N and K application. NLR 30491 recorded higher grain yield (3623 kg ha⁻¹) compared to NLR 33633 (3288 kg ha⁻¹) The increased grain yield by higher application of potassium might be

Table 4. Effect of sheath rot on yield components of rice as influenced by different levels of nitrogen and potassium

Mean V ₁ V ₂ Mean V ₁ V ₃ Mean V ₁ V ₂ Mean V ₁ V ₃ Mean V ₁ V ₂ Mean V ₁ V ₃ Mean V ₁ V ₂ Mean V ₁ V ₃ Mean V ₂ V ₃ Mean V ₁ V ₃ Mean V ₂ V ₃ Mean V ₁ V ₃ Mean V ₂ V ₃ V ₄ V ₃ Mean V ₁ V ₃ Mean V ₁ V ₁ V ₁ V ₁ V ₂ Mean Mean <th>Treatments (kg ha")</th> <th>No.0</th> <th>No.of grains infected per panicle</th> <th>ected</th> <th>No.of</th> <th>No.of grains uninfected per panicle</th> <th>infected</th> <th><u></u></th> <th>1000 grain weight (g)</th> <th></th> <th>U</th> <th>Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹)</th> <th></th>	Treatments (kg ha")	No.0	No.of grains infected per panicle	ected	No.of	No.of grains uninfected per panicle	infected	<u></u>	1000 grain weight (g)		U	Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	
25.13 23.00 24.61 51.93 74.67 63.30 22.46 22.82 24.10 18.07 21.23 44.87 55.40 51.13 22.58 22.83 24.10 18.07 21.23 44.87 55.40 51.13 22.58 22.83 20.63 13.20 16.92 84.8 85.20 -83.50 22.69 22.84 25.03 13.47 12.13 12.63 65.6 83.13 73.90 22.88 22.80 23.87 24.53 24.20 90.33 61.93 22.85 22.80 20.73 18.30 19.51 70.67 62.47 64.50 22.88 22.80 17.97 9.93 13.95 64.47 58.33 58.97 22.74 22.91 14.80 13.47 14.13 75.67 80.33 89.60 22.86 22.95 14.80 13.47 14.13 75.67 80.33 89.60 22.86 22.95 18.50 60.7 82.8 70.10 66.80 68.45 22.90 22.96 18.50 15.05 25.50 14.62 15.05 14.62 15.05 14.62 15.05 14.62 15.05 14.62 15.05 14.62 15.05 14.62 15.05 14.65 14.62 15.05 14.62 15.05 14.65 14.62 15.05 14.65 14.62 15.05 14.65 14.65 14.62 15.05 14.65 14.62 15.05 14.65 14.62 15.05 14.6		, v	V ₂	Mean	'n	V,	Mean	>-	>,	Mean	>-	>*	Mean
24.10 18.07 21.23 44.87 55.40 51.13 22.58 22.83 19.60 17.33 78.83 50.80 47.60 49.77 22.69 22.83 20.63 13.20 16.92 84.8 85.20 -83.50 22.69 22.84 13.47 12.13 12.63 65.6 83.13 73.90 22.88 22.80 23.87 24.53 24.20 90.33 63.67 77.0 22.62 22.88 20.73 18.30 19.51 70.67 62.47 64.50 22.58 22.87 17.97 993 13.95 64.47 58.33 58.97 22.74 22.91 18.97 16.27 17.62 95.60 83.60 78.0 22.78 22.95 14.80 13.47 14.13 75.67 80.33 89.60 22.78 22.95 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.96 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.90 22.96 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.90 22.96 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.90 22.96 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 22.90 22.96 22.96 22.95 22.95 22.90 22.96 22.95 22.90 22.96 22.95 22.90 22.96 22.95 22.90 22.96 22.95 22.90 22.96 22.95 22.90 22.96 22.95 22.90 22.90 22.95 22.90 22.	NK	25.13	23.00	24.61	51.93	74.67	63.30	22.46	22.82	22.64	2800	3000	2900
19.60 17.33 78.83 50.80 47.60 49.77 22.69 22.83 20.63 13.20 16.92 84.8 85.20 -83.50 22.69 22.84 20.63 13.47 12.13 12.63 65.6 83.13 73.90 22.88 22.80 23.87 24.53 24.20 90.33 63.67 77.0 22.62 22.88 22.80 20.73 18.30 19.51 70.67 62.47 64.50 22.58 22.87 20.73 18.30 19.51 70.67 62.47 64.50 22.58 22.87 20.73 18.97 16.27 17.62 95.60 83.60 78.0 22.78 22.02 14.80 13.47 14.13 75.67 80.33 89.60 22.86 22.95 11.85 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.90 22.96 20.65)	S X	24.10	18.07	21.23	44.87	55.40	51.13	22.58	22.83	22.70	3083	3333	3208
20.63 13.20 16.92 84.8 85.20 -83.50 22.69 22.84 13.47 12.13 12.63 65.6 83.13 73.90 22.88 22.80 23.87 24.23 10.90 65.53 58.33 61.93 22.85 22.90 20.73 18.30 19.51 70.67 62.47 64.50 22.58 22.87 20.73 18.30 19.51 70.67 62.47 64.50 22.58 22.87 20.73 18.30 13.95 64.47 58.33 58.97 22.74 22.91 14.80 13.47 14.13 75.67 80.33 89.60 22.86 22.95 10.50 607 82.8 70.10 66.80 68.45 22.90 22.96 10.50 607 82.8 70.10 66.80 68.45 22.90 22.96 10.50 25.59 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 14.65 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 14.65 15.05 - 62.68 14.62 14.62 14.62 14.62 14.62 14.62 14.62 14.65 14.62 1	, M. Z	19,60	17.33	78.83	50.80	47.60	49.77	22.69	22.83	22.76	3233	3516	3375
13.47 12.13 12.63 65.6 83.13 73.90 22.88 22.80 13.13 8.33 10.90 65.53 58.33 61.93 22.85 22.90 20.73 18.30 19.51 70.67 62.47 64.50 22.58 22.87 17.97 9.93 13.95 64.47 58.33 58.97 22.74 22.91 18.97 16.27 17.62 95.60 83.60 78.0 22.78 22.02 18.05 607 8.28 70.10 66.80 68.45 22.90 22.95 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.90 22.66 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.90 22.96 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.90 22.96 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.90 22.96 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.90 22.96 18.58 15.05 - 68.37 68.21 22.90 22.96 18.58 15.05 - 60.05 NS	×	20.63	13.20	16.92	84.8	85.20	-83.50	22.69	22.84	. 22.76	3183	3500	3346
13.13 8.33 10.90 65.53 58.33 61.93 22.85 22.90 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.	Z Z	13.47	12.13	12.63	929	83.13	73.90	22.88	22.80	22.84	3333	3633	3483
23.87 24.53 24.20 90.33 63.67 77.0 22.62 22.88 20.73 18.30 19.51 70.67 62.47 64.50 22.58 22.87 17.97 9.93 13.95 64.47 58.33 58.97 22.74 22.91 18.97 16.27 17.62 95.60 83.60 78.0 22.78 22.02 14.80 13.47 14.13 75.67 80.33 89.60 22.86 22.95 10.50 6.07 8.28 70.10 66.80 68.45 22.90 22.96 18.58 15.05 – 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 18.59 15.05 – 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 14.60 NS	X X	13.13	8.33	10.90	65.53	58.33	61.93	22,85	22.90	22.87	3366	3666	3283
20.73 18.30 19.51 70.67 62.47 64.50 22.58 22.87 13.95 64.47 58.33 58.97 22.74 22.91 22.91 18.97 16.27 17.62 95.60 83.60 78.0 22.78 22.02 14.80 13.47 14.13 75.67 80.33 89.60 22.86 22.95 10.50 6.07 82.8 70.10 66.80 68.45 22.90 22.96 18.58 15.05 – 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 10.05) =0.05) NS NS 18.30 19.51 70.67 62.47 64.50 22.74 22.91 22.91 22.02 22.95 10.50 6.07 82.8 70.10 66.80 68.45 22.90 22.96 10.05 10.	N N	23.87	24.53	24.20	90.33	63.67	0.77	22.62	22.88	22.75	3200	3366	3283
17.97 9.93 13.95 64.47 58.33 58.97 22.74 22.91 18.97 16.27 17.62 95.60 83.60 78.0 22.78 22.02 14.80 13.47 14.13 75.67 80.33 89.60 22.86 22.95 15.60 6.07 8.28 70.10 66.80 68.45 22.90 22.96 18.58 15.05 – 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 15.05 – 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 15.05 NS 0.05 NS 0.17 NS 0.17	3 X X	20.73	18.30	19.51	70.67	62.47	64.50	22.58	22.87	22.64	3216	3433	3325
18.97 16.27 17.62 95.60 83.60 78.0 22.78 22.02 14.80 13.47 14.13 75.67 80.33 89.60 22.86 22.95 10.50 6.07 8.28 70.10 66.80 68.45 22.90 22.96 18.58 15.05 – 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 =0.05) 8.2559 NS	Z. K.	17.97	9.93	13.95	64.47	58.33	58.97	22.74	22,91	22.85	3366	3633	3500
14.80 13.47 14.13 75.67 80.33 89.60 22.86 22.95 22.95 22.95 22.96	L.K.	18.97	16.27	17.62	95.60	83.60	78.0	22.78	22.02	22.84	3383	3766	3575
10.50 6.07 8.28 70.10 66.80 68.45 22.90 22.96 15.05 – 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 (2.05) 25.59 NS 0.05 (2.268 NS	I., K.,	14.80	13.47	14.13	75.67	80.33	89.60	22.86	22.95	, 22.90	3513	4066	3759
18.58 15.05 – 68.37 68.21 22.72 22.89 =0.05) 2559 NS 0.05 6.268 14.62 0.12 NS NS 0.17	[K,40	10.50	2009	828	70.10	08'99	68.45	22.90	22.96	22.93	3553	4266	3909
2.559 NS 6.268 14.62 NS	fean	18.58	15.05	1	68.37	68.21		22.72	22.89	22.81	3288	3623	
NS 14.62 NS	D (P=0.05)												
14.62 NS	141		2.559		1.	SZ			0.05	,		54.64	
SN		47	6.268	- e	, .	14.62			0.12		***	113.8	
	ΧŢ		SN			SN		-	0.17			189.	
	V 1. INLA 33633		V 2. [NEAL 3049]	30491									

due to mobilization of phenolic compounds owards the disease portion of the plant for nhibiting the multiplication of the pathogen hereby preventing the pathogens (Ranga Reddy and Sridhar, 1976). From these results it can be inferred that the disease intensity was more n plants applied with 300 kg N and 0 kg K while less in plants applied with 140 kg K and 0 kg N had. Higher grain yield was observed n the plants applied with 300 kg N and 140 kg K had.

eferences

- hamed N. J., Kolandaisamy, S. Kannaiyan, S and Venkata Rao, A. (1974). Reaction of certain rice cultivars in Tamil Nadu to bacterial leaf blight and sheath rot, *The Farm Sci.* 1: 4-6.
- ien, C.C. and Chu, C.L. (1970). Studies on the effect of fertilizers to rice diseases. J. of Taiwan Agri Res. 19: 62-71.
- akshmanan, P and Velusamy, R. (1991). Resistance to sheath rot of breeding lines observed from Oryza officinalis. IRRI News letter 16: 8-9.
- Matsobayoshi, M.R., Ito, T., Takase, T., and Nomoto Yaamade. (1963). Theory and practices of growing rice. Fuji Fi Co Ltd., Tokyo.

- Mohan, R and Subramanian, C.L. (1977). The effect of certain fungicides on rice sheath rot. IRRI News letter 5: 7-8.
- Nair, B.K., Balakrishnan, B., and Nair, M.C. (1988). Managing rice sheath rot disease in Kerala, IRRI News letter. 13: 20-21.
- Ramaswamy, K and Prasad, N.N. (1974). Influence of potassium nutrition on wilt incidence in muskmelon. Potash News letter. 9: 3-8.
- Ranga Reddy, P and Sridhar, R. (1976). Influence of potassium nutrition and bacterial blight disease on phenol soluble carbohydrate and amino acid constituents in rice leaves. *Indian potash* 3: 13-21.
- Sekhar, J.M. and Prasad, N.N. (1989). Effect of different levels of potassium at each of the nitrogen levels on the incidence of sheath rot, growth and yield of rice. Madras Agric. J. 76: 1-4.
- Vidhyasekharan, P. Ranganathan, K., Rajamanickam, B. and Radhakrishnan, J. (1984). Quality of rice grains from sheath rot affected plants. IRRI News letter. 9: 19.

(Received: February 2001; Revised: March 2002).