



## Effect of combined inoculation of *Rhizobium* and antagonistic bacteria on nodulation and growth of green gram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek)

S. GUNASEKARAN AND R. MURUGESAN

Department of Agrl. Microbiology, Tamil Nadu Agrl. University, Coimbatore - 641 003, Tamil Nadu.

**Abstract:** The experiment was conducted to study the efficiency of the peat based combined inoculant of *Rhizobium* (CoG 15, CRM 11 and CRM 8) and antagonistic bacteria (AB 3, AB 5 and AB 9) on the growth, nodulation and grain yield of green gram variety Co 3 under pot culture condition. A significant increase was noticed in *Rhizobium* and antagonistic bacterial combinations over the *Rhizobium* alone. Maximum number of nodules (30 No./pl) and nodule dry weight (30.27 mg/pl) was recorded by CoG 15 with antagonistic bacteria (AB 3) combinations. The total nitrogen and phosphorus content were also enhanced due to the combined effect of these organisms. More grain yield was recorded due to inoculation with *Rhizobium* alone (14.28 to 24.02%) or in combination with antagonistic bacteria (28.57 to 49.35%)

**Key words:** Nitrogen fixation, *Rhizobium*, Antagonistic bacteria, Green gram.

### Introduction

Grain legumes are the major source of protein in our food. Being cheaper than other rich foods like meat, fish and milk, the legumes will continue to occupy a pivotal position in meeting the protein requirement of the masses. Besides nitrogen fixation, the legume - *Rhizobium* symbiotic partnership represents inexpensive alternate to the use of chemical nitrogen fertilizers in the production of food grains. Legume symbiosis contributes atleast 70 million tonnes of N per year for the 100-300 kg N/ha/year (Subba Rao, 1977). Green gram (*Vigna radiata*) is one of the important legume containing 24% protein, 1.3% fat, 3.5% minerals, 5.7% carbohydrate, 0.435% phosphorus, 0.12% calcium, 0.07% iron, 0.04% fibre and 334 Kcal of energy from every 100 gram of grain legumes (Gopalan *et al.* 1977). Inoculation of grain legumes with suitable rhizobial strains is carried out in many countries to ensure effective nodulation (Thompson, 1980). Though it proves fruitful, yet the introduced rhizobial population is unable to fix sufficient nitrogen, because of the occurrence of many other antagonistic group of microorganisms. Many workers have reported the inhibition of *Rhizobium* growth by several antagonistic bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes of plant rhizosphere (Pugashetti *et al.* 1982). In view of the likely importance of intergeneric competition and native rhizobial competition in colonization of the legume rhizosphere and

nodulation of *Rhizobium*, a new approach was attempted to reduce this competition (Murugesan, 1997). The approach involved here was joint inoculation of second microbe along with *Rhizobium* that might produce antagonistic compounds that hopefully suppress microbiota competing with root nodule bacteria but not *Rhizobium*.

### Materials and Methods

#### Isolation of antagonistic bacteria

Antagonistic bacteria along with respective fungi and bacteria were isolated from different rhizosphere soils of green gram collected from New area and Millet Breeding Station of Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during 2000. Plating was carried out with lower soil dilutions on nutrient agar medium and rose bengal medium respectively. The crowded plate technique facilitated to pick out colonies of bacteria that produced inhibition zones against soil fungi and bacteria. Antagonistic bacteria inhibiting fungal and bacterial colonies were selected. The antagonistic bacterium, was designated as AB, and serially numbered.

Duplication of antagonistic and susceptible microorganism were avoided during isolation based on their morphological characters, colony texture, colour etc. The isolated cultures were maintained in the respective media and stored for further studies.

*Cross reaction of antagonistic bacteria with rhizobial isolates and susceptible bacteria and fungi*

Fifteen ml of 1.8 per cent nutrient agar was spread over in sterile petridishes. Test tube containing 5 ml of 0.8 per cent soft nutrient agar in water bath maintained at 40°C was taken, cooled and mixed uniformly with 1 ml of 24 h old culture of the susceptible organisms, poured over the solid agar layer and spread uniformly throughout the plate. Using multiple inoculator, all the mid-log phase antagonistic bacteria were aseptically spotted on the seeded soft agar medium (Josey *et al.* 1979) and incubated at room temperature.

After incubation for 2-4 days at room temperature, the inoculated plates were observed for the inhibition zones. Exposure of all collected organisms against the antagonistic bacteria by this method, facilitated to screen more number of susceptible or resistant organisms. Efficiency of inhibitory action of antagonistic bacterium was tested by the following formula as described by Murugesan, (1997).

$$\frac{\text{Percentage of Antagonistic Efficiency (AE) = Total number of inhibited microbes}}{\text{Total number of organisms tested}} \times 100$$

An antagonistic bacterium with higher percentage of AE was considered to be the best and used for combined inoculation along with *Rhizobium*, in later studies.

All the antagonistic bacteria isolated previously were tested for their reaction with rhizobial isolates along with standard green gram strains available in the Department of Agricultural Microbiology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

Double layer agar technique described earlier was followed. One ml of *Rhizobium* ( $10^8$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup>) was seeded in 0.8 per cent tryptone yeast extract soft agar (Beringer, 1974) medium and plated. The antagonistic bacteria were spotted over the seeded medium and inhibitory effect was observed. If the seeded *Rhizobium* was found inhibited to a particular antagonistic bacterium (AB), it was considered

as a susceptible (S) and the resistant (R) rhizobia were the ones, which were not inhibited by antagonistic bacteria. One of the antagonistic bacteria which was found to be non-inhibitory to maximum number of rhizobial cultures was considered as compatible and was used in further combined inoculation studies, as suggested by Murugesan (1997).

*Combined inoculation of Rhizobium and antagonistic bacteria*

A pot culture experiment was conducted to study the efficiency of peat based combined inoculant of *Rhizobium* and antagonistic bacteria in green gram (*V. radiata*) var. CO3. Three isolates COG. 15, CRM 11 and CRM 8 exhibiting varying degree of resistance to antagonistic bacteria AB 3, AB 5 and AB 9 respectively were seed inoculated together. The influence of combined inoculation on the growth, nodulation and yield of green gram was studied. The pot contained 10 Kg of soil (red soil and sand mix 1:1) amended with 2% organic matter. Seed bacterization of *Rhizobium* and antagonistic bacteria was done and seeds were sown to the pots. The treatments were:

1. *Rhizobium* (COG 15)
2. *Rhizobium* (CRM 11)
3. *Rhizobium* (CRM 8)
4. COG 15 + Antagonistic Bacteria (AB 3)
5. CRM 11 + Antagonistic Bacteria (AB 5)
6. CRM 8 + Antagonistic Bacteria (AB 9)
7. Control (No inoculation)

Initially the pots contained five plants and after 15 days they were thinned to three per pot. The pots were watered regularly. The treatments were randomized with three replications. The shoot length, root length, nodule number and dry weight, plant dry weight, total nitrogen and phosphorus were recorded on 45<sup>th</sup> day after sowing. At harvest, pod yield was recorded. Total N and P of plant samples were recorded by the method of Humphries (1956) and Jackson (1973) respectively.

**Results and Discussion**

*Isolation of antagonistic bacteria*

Eleven antagonistic bacteria viz. AB1, AB2, AB3, AB4, AB5, AB6, AB7, AB8, AB9, AB10 and AB11 were isolated from rhizosphere soils of green gram. The inhibitory activity

varied from one organism to another. Some organisms exhibited larger inhibition zones while some exhibited smaller inhibition zones. Organisms which developed away from antagonistic bacteria in the crowded plate, too, were taken and tested against all antagonistic bacteria.

#### *Cross-reaction of antagonistic bacteria with soil microorganisms including rhizobia*

All the antagonistic bacteria were cross checked with susceptible and other organisms in order to find out their wide spectrum of action. The organisms that were inhibited by antagonistic bacteria were considered as susceptible (S) while uninhibited organisms were considered as resistant (R). Bacteria other than rhizobia or bradyrhizobia multiplied more rapidly and attained greater densities in the rhizosphere of legumes (Routt and Katzelson, 1957; Lennox and Alexander, 1981; Hossain and Alexander, 1984). The green gram isolates (CRM 8, 11 and COG15) exhibited resistant to antagonistic bacteria were identified. An introduced organism such as rhizobia grows slower than many indigenous species of the rhizosphere because of competitive disadvantage (Amarger, 1981). Some beneficial strains compete aggressively for sites on roots or in the rhizosphere, where nutrients are available. Occupation of these places allow introduced bacteria to pre-empt establishment of fungi on the roots. Certain strains of fluorescent pseudomonads introduced through seed or planting material control plant diseases caused by soil borne pathogens or promote growth by suppressing deleterious rhizosphere microorganisms (Suslow, 1982).

#### *Plant growth parameters*

The shoot length, root length and plant dry weight of green gram as influenced by inoculation with *Rhizobium* and antagonistic bacteria under pot culture conditions is presented in Table-1. The data revealed that combined inoculation of *Rhizobium* and antagonistic bacteria significantly increased the shoot length, root length and plant dry weight than the individual inoculation of *Rhizobium*. All the combined inoculations were statistically on par. A significant increase was noticed in *Rhizobium*

and antagonistic bacterial combinations over uninoculated control. Maximum growth was observed in COG 15 plus AB 3 combinations.

#### *Nodulation*

Inoculation of *Rhizobium* either alone or in combination with antagonistic bacteria enhanced the nodule number and nodule dry weight significantly compared to uninoculated control. Maximum nodule number (30.0 no pl<sup>-1</sup>) and nodule dry weight (30.27 mg pl<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in COG 15 with antagonistic bacteria (AB 3). The differences were statistically significant.

Combined inoculation of rhizobial strains COG 15, CRM 8 and CRM 11 with antagonistic bacteria AB 3, AB 5 and AB 9 respectively were on par in nodule number and nodule dry weight (Table 2). Hossain and Alexander (1984) conducted a study to increase colonization of *R. japonicum* resistant to benomyl, streptomycin and erythromycin in the soybean rhizosphere. The addition of streptomycin and erythromycin to soil stimulated the growth of *R. japonicum* but inhibited other bacteria in the presence or absence of soybean. When a bacteriocin producing strain was introduced along with, proportion of nodulation was enhanced many fold, due to the inhibitory effect of bacteriocin producer on the population of indigenous rhizobia (Hodgson *et al.*1985).

#### *Plant analysis*

The strain COG 15, CRM8 and CRM 11 significantly increased the total nitrogen and phosphorus content of the plant, either alone or in combination with respective antagonistic bacteria. A significant increase in plant nitrogen content due to *Rhizobium* plus antagonistic bacteria inoculation was observed over individual and uninoculated control (Table 2). Total phosphorus content of the plant was maximum with combined inoculation of *Rhizobium* with antagonistic bacteria (Table.2). Plant phosphorus content in combined inoculation were statistically on par with each others. Maximum P content was recorded in COG15 and AB3 inoculation (0.32%) than the individual inoculation (0.26%) and uninoculated control (0.21%). The same trend as observed in total N content was also noticed in total P content.

Table 1. Effect of co-inoculation of *Rhizobium* with antagonistic bacteria on shoot length, root length and plant dry weight of green gram

| Treatments    | Shoot length (cm) | Root length (cm) | Plant dry weight (g) |
|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| COG 15 + AB 3 | 52.63             | 16.50            | 3.43                 |
| CRM 11 + AB 5 | 49.33             | 17.26            | 2.96                 |
| CRM 8 + AB 9  | 49.63             | 17.90            | 2.93                 |
| COG 15        | 48.59             | 14.53            | 2.43                 |
| CRM 11        | 45.35             | 13.96            | 2.60                 |
| CRM 8         | 45.71             | 14.39            | 2.61                 |
| Control       | 41.45             | 11.36            | 2.11                 |
| SEd           | 1.86              | 0.59             | 0.03                 |
| CD            | 3.90              | 1.24             | 0.06                 |

Table 2. Effect of co-inoculation of *Rhizobium* plus antagonistic bacteria on nodule number, nodule dry weight, total N and P content of green gram

| Treatments    | Nodule number<br>(No. pl <sup>-1</sup> ) | Nodule dry<br>weight (mg) | Total<br>Nitrogen (%) | Total<br>Phosphorus (%) |
|---------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| COG 15 + AB 3 | 30.00                                    | 30.27                     | 1.32                  | 0.32                    |
| CRM 11 + AB 5 | 28.00                                    | 27.51                     | 1.31                  | 0.29                    |
| CRM 8 + AB 9  | 27.99                                    | 27.43                     | 1.32                  | 0.28                    |
| COG 15        | 26.72                                    | 24.17                     | 1.25                  | 0.26                    |
| CRM 11        | 24.70                                    | 24.22                     | 1.21                  | 0.24                    |
| CRM 8         | 24.74                                    | 24.19                     | 1.26                  | 0.24                    |
| Control       | 19.33                                    | 20.47                     | 0.80                  | 0.21                    |
| SEd           | 1.55                                     | 1.45                      | 1.50                  | 0.01                    |
| CD            | 3.25                                     | 3.04                      | 3.15                  | 0.03                    |

Table 3. Effect of co-inoculation of *Rhizobium* plus antagonistic bacteria on grain yield of green gram

| Treatments    | Grain yield (g pl <sup>-1</sup> ) | % increase over control |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| COG 15 + AB 3 | 1.03                              | 68.85                   |
| CRM 11 + AB 5 | 0.98                              | 60.65                   |
| CRM 8 + AB 9  | 0.96                              | 57.32                   |
| COG 15        | 0.87                              | 42.62                   |
| CRM 11        | 0.79                              | 29.50                   |
| CRM 8         | 0.72                              | 18.03                   |
| Control       | 0.61                              | -                       |
| SEd           | 0.09                              |                         |
| CD            | 0.19                              |                         |

**Grain yield**

More grain yield was recorded due to inoculation with *Rhizobium* alone or in combination with *Rhizobium* with antagonistic bacteria (Table 3). Combined inoculation of *Rhizobium* (COG 15) and antagonistic bacteria (AB 3) recorded the maximum grain yield (49.35%) followed by CRM11 plus AB5 (29.32%) and, CRM 8 plus AB 5 (28.57%). Significant increases over the individual inoculation as well as uninoculated control were observed.

All combined inoculation treatments were on par with each other. A significant increase was observed in all the treatment over the control. Li and Alexander (1988) reported that the colonization of alfalfa rhizosphere and nodulation by *R. meliloti* were enhanced by inoculation with *Pseudomonas* sp in soil containing  $2.7 \times 10^5$  *R. meliloti* g<sup>-1</sup>. Natarajan and Gunasekaran (1991) reported that seed and soil inoculations of *R. japonicum*, *Bacillus megaterium* increased the plant growth and grain yield. Dual inoculation of *Rhizobium* and *Bacillus* gave higher seed yield than individual inoculation. Co-inoculation of field beans with *R. leguminosarum* and *Pseudomonas putida* R 105 increased the number of nodules and acetylene activity (ARA) significantly (De Freitas *et al.* 1993)

**References**

Amarger, N. (1981). Selection of *Rhizobium* strains on their competitive ability for nodulation. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 13: 481-486.

Beringer, J.E. (1974). R factor transfer in *Rhizobium leguminosarum*. *J. Gen. Microbiol.*, 84: 188-198.

De Freitas, J.R., Gupta, V.V.S.P. and Gennida, J.J. (1993). Influences of *Pseudomonas syringae* R 25 on the growth and nitrogen fixation of pea (*Pisum sativum* L) and field bean (*Phaseolus sativum* L). *Bio Fert. Soils*, 16: 215-220.

Gopalan, C., Ramasastri, B.V. and Balasubramanian, S.C. (1977). Nutritive value of Indian foods. *Indian Fmg.*, 31: 41.

Hodgson, A.L.M., Roberts W.P. and Waid, J.S. (1985). Regulated nodulation of *Trifolium subterraneum* inoculated with bacteriocin producing strains of *Rhizobium trifolii*. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 17: 475-478.

Humphries, E.C. (1956). Mineral components ash analysis. In: Modern methods of plant analysis. Peach, K and M.V. Tracy. Vol. 1 Springer Verlag Publ., Berlin pp 468-502.

Hossain, A.K.M and Alexander, M. (1984). Enhancing soybean rhizosphere colonisation by *Rhizobium japonicum*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 48: 458472.

Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. pp 498-516.

Josey, D.P., Beynon, J.L., Johnson, A.W.B. and Beringer, J.E. (1979). Strain identification in *Rhizobium* using intrinsic antibiotic resistance. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.*, 46: 343-350.

Lennox, L.B. and Alexander, M. (1981). Fungicide enhancement of nitrogen fixation and colonization of *Phaseolus vulgaris* by *Rhizobium phaseoli*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 41: 401-411.

Li, D.M. and Alexander, M. (1988). Coinoculation with antibiotic producing bacteria to increase nodulation by rhizobia. *Plant Soil*. 108: 211-219.

Natarajan, T. and Gunasekaran, S. (1991). Coinoculants for soybean. In: Microbiol. Abstr, XXXI Annual Conference of the AML. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University. Coimbatore Jan 23-25. P. 127.

Murugesan, R. (1997). Investigation on the interaction of rhizobia with rhizobiophages and antagonistic bacteria in green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) Wilczek, Ph.D., Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

Pughashetti, B.K., Angle, J.S. and Wagner, G.H. (1982). Soil Microorganisms antagonistic towards *Rhizobium japonicum*. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 14: 45-49.

Routt, J.W. and Katzelson, H. (1957). The competitive growth of bacterial isolates from the rhizosphere and non rhizosphere soils. *Can J. Microbiol.*, 3: 271-275.

Subba Rao, N.S. (1977). Soil Microorganisms and plant growth. Oxford and IBH Publ. Co., New Delhi. P. 289.

Suslow, J.V. (1982). Role of root colonising bacteria in plant growth. In: Phytopathogenic Prokaryotes Vol. 1 (Eds) M.S. Mount and G.h. Lacy, Academic Press. New York, pp 187-223.

Thompson, J.A. (1980). Production and quality control of legume inoculants. In: Methods for evaluating biological nitrogen fixation. Bergerson, F.J. (Eds) John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester. pp 489-533.

(Received: February 2002; Revised: September 2002)