## Standardisation of seed size and polypot for elite seedling production in tamarind (Tamarindus indica L.)

K. PARAMESWARI, P. SRIMATHI, K. VANANGAMUDI AND G. SASTHRI Dept. of Seed Science and Technology, Tamil Nadu Agrl. University, Coimbatore-641 003, Tamil Nadu.

Abstract: Studies were made in Tamarindus indica to select seed and polypot size for production of elite seedlings at polypot nursery. The experimental duration was for 90 days. Sowing of bigger size seeds (10.8 mm diameter) in bigger size pots (25x15 cm) could be advantageous. Seedling evaluation in terms of root, hypocotyl and shoot length, number of leaves, stem circumference, drymatter production and vigour index values were higher in large seeds sown in 25x15 cm polypots. (Key words: Polypot, Seed size, Elite seedlings, Tamarind)

Production of sufficient quantity of elite seedlings is an important aspect of afforestation programme. Tamarind is one of the multipurpose and zone crop highly useful for culinary and medicinal purposes. Production of quality seedling is warrants attention in seedling and rootstock production. Seed size (Kandya, 1978), container size (Bahuguna and Lal, 1990), pot mixture (Beniwal and Dhawan, 1991) and frequency of irrigation are some of the factors that decide the production of elite seedlings in nursery. Hence studies were initiated with tamarind to study the influence of seed and pot size and seed size on elite seedling production at polypot nursery.

## Materials and Methods

Bulk seeds of tamarind collected from Coimbatore were graded using 10.8 mm (G,-large), 10.0 mm (G2-medium) and 9.2 mm (G3-small) round perforated metal sieve. The seeds were separately scarified with commercial sulphuric acid @ 200 ml kg-1 of seed for 15 min. The scarified seeds were washed with adequate water, shade dried and used. Two size of polypot viz. PP1 (20x10 cm) and PP2 (25x15 cm) selected and were filled with pot mixture by mixing soil: sand: FYM in 2:1:1 proportion. Two seeds were sown in per bag and one was retained after germination. The experimental design was FRBD using 25 polypots with two replications conducted at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during 1998.

After 15 days, the nursery germination was recorded and expressed in percentage. At 30, 60 and 90 DAS, three seedlings per replication were removed and observed for the seedling quality characters viz. root length (cm), shoot length (cm), number of leaves, stem circumference (cm) at the junction of root and shoot and drymatter production (mg/10 seedlings). No mortality was evident with increase in days of nursery. Vigour index values were computed as per Abdul-Baki and Anderson (1973) for the evaluated test periods of nursery. The results were statistically analysed as per Panse and Sukhatme (1995).

## Results and Discussion

Highly significant variations were observed among the size of seeds and bags and also for their interactions for all parameters evaluated except for germination in case of polypot size and its interaction with seed size. The germinability of seeds at nursery expressed that larger size seeds had a positive association with germination. Katsuka (1964) opined that translocation of reserve from endosperm to embryo proceeds differently in large and small seeds, better filed, large seeds of Pinus thunbergii transformed more nitrogen from the endosperm to the embryo after sowing than the small seeds. Srimathi (1997) obtained similar results in fruit amla, ber and jamun obtained in polypot nursery. The seedling quality parameters evaluated in terms of roots and shoot length (Table 1), number of leaves, stem. circumference, drymatter production and computed vigour index (Table 2) were more in larger size seeds than in smaller size seeds. The vigour index values computed were 10.5 and 41 per cent higher in larger size seeds compared to medium and smaller size seeds respectively. The stem circumference, criteria for selection of seedlings for root stock production was also more in large size seeds

Table 1. Influence of container size and seed size on germination (%), root length (cm), hypocotyl length (cm) and shoot length (cm) of tamarind of nursery

			-	Root length (cm)	th (cm)		Hyp	Hypocotyl length (cm)	gth (cm)		Sho	Shoot length (cm)	h (cm)	
Polypot	Grade	Germination				Days	after so	Days after sowing (DAS)	3)				,	
(F)	9	%	30	99	8	Mean	30	8	8	Mean	30	8	8	Mean
		-	DAS	DAS	DAS		DAS	DAS	DAS		DAS	DAS	DAS	
씂	O,	90 (71.57)	27.6	28.3	29.8	28.6	15.3	16.3	16.9	16.2	30.0	32.7	36.1	32.0
	ტ	85 (67.21)	56.9	27.6	28.7	27.7	14.5	15.2	16.0	15.2	28.7	29.8	32.6	30,4
	ග්	73 (58.69)	23.7	24.7	268	25.1	13.8	14.9	15.5	14.7	27.6	28.8	30.0	28.8
	Mean	83 (65.65)	26.1	26.9	28.4	27.1	14.5	15,5	16.1	15.4	28.8	30.4	32.9	30,4
8.	ഗ	90 (71.57)	28.1	29.1	30.3	29.2	16.0	16.8	17.4	16.7	30.6	32.8	33.4	32.3
<b>:</b> "	שׁ	85 (67.21)	27.3	28.1	29.8	28.4	15.1	16.0	16.8	16.0	30.0	31.6	32.5	31.4
	Q	73 (58.69)	25.0	26.0	28.1	26.4	14.4	15.1	16.2	15.0	28.6	29.7	31.8	30.0
	Mean	83 (65.65)	26.8	27.7	29.4	28.0	15.2	16.0	16.8	15.9	29.7	31.4	32.6	31.2
Control	ග	90 (71.57)	27.9	28.7	30.1	28.9	15.7	16.6	17.2	16.5	30,3	32.8	34.8	32.2
	ტ`	85 (67.21)	27.1	27.9	29.3	28.1	14.8	15.6	16.4	15.6	29 - 4	30.7	32,6	30.9
	ග	73 (58.69)	24.4	25.4	27.5	25.8	14.1	15.0	15.9	14.9	28.1	29.3	30,9	29.4
	Mean	83 (65.65)	26.5	27.3	28.9	27.6	14.8	15.7	16.5	15.7	29.3	30.9	32.7	30.8
	ט	æ	S. P.	Ð	윮	DAS	ט	윮	DAS	Ü	&	DAS		
0	(1.70)		SN	0.28	0.23	0.23	0.28	0.17	0.21	0.28	0.28	0.29	,	
לכמים בי							*	ž.		¥:	1		-	jor
				C. C.	GxDAS	GXDAS PPXDAS	CAR	GxDAS	<b>PPXDAS</b>	Ğ	GxPP Gx	GxDAS	PPxDAS	+
				2.68	2.77	2.53	0.14	0.18	0.22	0		0.33	0.37	

Table 2. Influence of container size and seed size on number of leaves, stem circumference (cm), drymatter production (mg 10 sdi-1) and vigour index of tamarind at nursery

Polypot Grade   Cape   Cape			Ž	Number of leaves	leaves		Sten	circum	Stem circumference (cm)	(cm)	Dry	matter produ (mg 10 sdl <sup>-1</sup> )	Dry matter production (mg 10 sdl <sup>-1</sup> )	on	e.	Vigour index	dex	
G) 30 60 90 Mean 30 60 90 Mean 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30<	Polypot Gr	ade					Days	after	sowing	(DAS)				je Lati				
G 3 5 6 5 09 1.1 1.4 1.1 420 440 458 439 5140   G 2 4 5 4 0.7 0.9 1.1 0.9 385 405 417 406 417   G 1 2 4 0.7 0.9 1.1 0.9 385 405 418 406 417   G 1 2 3 2 0.6 0.7 0.9 1.1 406 418 406 417   G 1 0.9 1.1 0.9 385 406 417 406 417   G 3 4 5 4 0.7 0.9 1.1 406 417 426 453 407 409   G 3 4 5 4 0.8 1.0 1.2 428 426 436 425 482   3 4 5 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>8</th> <th>8</th> <th>8</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>33</th> <th>8</th> <th>8</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>8</th> <th>8</th> <th>8</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>30</th> <th>8</th> <th>8</th> <th>Mean</th>			8	8	8	Mean	33	8	8	Mean	8	8	8	Mean	30	8	8	Mean
G 3 5 6 5 0.9 1.1 1.1 420 440 458 439 5140   G 2 4 5 4 0.7 0.9 1.2 0.9 393 405 419 406 4717   Gan 1 2 4 5 4 0.7 0.9 1.1 0.9 385 405 419 406 4717   G 1 2 3 2 0.6 0.7 0.9 1.1 0.9 385 402 418 406 4717   G 4 5 4 0.7 0.9 1.1 1.4 436 471 402 418 402 403 307   G 5 1 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.0 404 415 428 439 431 430   G 5 4 0.9 1.2 1.1 404 415 428		4	YYS.	DAS	DAS		DAS	DAS	DAS		DAS	DAS	DAS		DAS	DAS	DAS	
G <sub>1</sub> 3 5 6 5 09 1.1 1.4 1.1 420 440 458 439 5140   G <sub>2</sub> 1 2 4 0.7 0.9 1.2 0.9 393 405 419 406 411   G <sub>2</sub> 1 2 3 2 0.6 0.7 0.9 1.1 0.9 385 405 419 406 411   G <sub>3</sub> 1 2 3 4 0.7 0.9 1.1 0.9 385 378 379 471 472 473 <									ļ Ų									
Q <sub>1</sub> 2 4 5 4 07 09 12 09 393 405 419 406 411 609 385 405 378 360 378 301 401 411 402 <	PP, C	(5)	3	2	9	5	6.0	11	4.	1:1	420	440	458	439	5140	5486	5078	5518
G <sub>5</sub> 1 2 3 2 0.6 0.7 0.9 0.7 343 360 378 360 377   Gan 2 4 5 4 0.7 0.9 1.1 0.9 385 402 418 402 430   G <sub>1</sub> 4 5 4 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.0 415 425 436 473 436 423 436 423 430	٠.		2	4	2	4	0.7	6.0	17	6.0	393	405	419	406	4717	4879	2005	4934
Cean 2 4 5 4 0.7 0.9 1.1 0.9 385 402 418 402 430   G1 4 5 4 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 436 471 482 463 5280   G2 3 4 5 4 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.0 415 425 436 423 4829 4	·	r+C	-	7	m	7	9.0	0.7	6.0	0.7	343	380	378	38	3071	3002	4140	375
G, d,	W	can	7	4	2	4	0.7	0.9	Ξ	60	385	402	418	402	4309	4756	5091	4719
G T			77	4	Š	,	Ş		1	, A	1							-
3 4 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.0 415 425 436 425 4829	7	<b>.</b>	- : - :	n •	٥ ،	റ	7	4.	1.5	4.	436	471	482	463	2280	2967	5741	5529
43 2 3 4 3 0.7 0.8 1.0 0.9 356 388 398 4672 4773 4773 4773 4773 4773 4773 4773 4773 4773 4773 4773 4773 4773 4773 4774 4773 4774 4773 4774 4773		MH.	h.	4	ς.	4	0.8	0.1	1.2	1.0	415	425	436	425	4829	5075	5291	5065
Same 3 7.4 5 6 5 4 0.9 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.2 428 456 470 451 5210   3 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 4 5 5 3 4 4 5 5 3 4 4 5 3 4 4 5 3 4 4 5 3 4 4 5 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 4 1 3 4 4 4 1 3 4 4 4 1 3 4 4 4 4		er.	7	Ü.	4	m ·	0.7	0.8	1.0	6.0	326	388	398	381	3906	4056	4360	4107
31 4 5 6 5 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.2 428 456 470 451 210   32 3 4 5 4 0.8 0.9 1.2 1.0 404 415 428 416 4773   3 2 3 4 3 0.6 0.7 0.9 0.8 350 374 428 416 4773   3 4 5 0.6 0.7 0.9 0.8 350 374 428 416 4773   3 4 5 0.6 0.7 0.9 0.8 350 374 428 4490 4828   3 4 5 0.6 0.7 0.0 1.2 0.8 350 374 429 4828   6 PR DAS G PR DAS G PR DAS G PR   10 0.5 0.0 0.0	Me	an	ĵ.	4	'n	4	6.0	0.1	12	Ξ	402	428	439	423	4672	4899	5131	4900
3			11	٧.	۷	v	9	2	- <del></del>	2	9							
GADAS PPKDAS GADAS PPKDAS GADAS PPKDAS GADAS PPKDAS GADAS PPKDAS GADAS GADAS PPKDAS GADAS PPKDAS	1	-		, ,	) u	Y ·	0.0	2 (	<u>:</u> :	7	975	450	4/0	451	5210	5527	5835	5524
4. 3 3. 0.6 0.7 0.9 0.8 350 374 388 371 3489   ean 3 4 5 0.8 1.0 1.2 394 415 429 4490 4828   ean 3 4 5 0.8 1.0 1.2 394 415 429 4490 4828   G PP DAS G PP DAS G PP DAS G PP				1 (	n ·	<del>d</del> (	2	6.0	1.2	0.1	\$	415	428	416	4773	4977	5249	2000
G PP DAS G PP PAS G PP PAS G PAS G PAS G PAS P			ν.	η.	4 1	m	9.0	0.7	0.0	0.8	350	374	388	371	3489	3979	4250	3906
G PP DAS G PP DAS G   0.51 0.66 0.49 0.07 0.05 0.06 2.95 3.80 3.80 346.52   PP GXDAS PPXDAS GXPP GXDAS PPXDAS GXPP GXPP   59 0.68 0.59 0.07 0.07 0.09 3.84 3.86 3.41 156.78	Me	an	,	4	2		0.8	0.1	1.2		394	415	429	4490	4828	5111		
0.51 0.66 0.49 0.07 0.05 0.06 2.95 3.80 3.80 346.52 PP GNDAS PPNDAS GMP 156.78				PP	DAS		Ď	PP	DAS		G	Ьb	DAS		b	ed.	DAS	
GXDAS PPXDAS GXPP GXDAS PPXDAS GXPP GXDAS PPXDAS GXPP 0.68 0.59 0.07 0.09 3.84 3.86 3.41 156.78	CD (P=0.05)	0		99.	0.49		0.07	0.05	0.06		295	3.80	3.80		346.52	129.90	156.78	-, €
0.08 0.59 0.07 0.07 0.09 3.84 3.86 3.41 156.78	8		SYC	PPXI	SVC	GAPP	GxDAS	. 9	SAC	GAPP	Š		PxDAS	8	<u>R</u>	GNDAS	PPXI	. SAG
	Co	4	20	S	9	0.07	0.07		2	3.84	m	98	3,41	156.	78	158.21	159.00	8

and was 20 and 50 per cent higher than medium and smaller size seeds respectively. Saraswathy and Baskaran (1976) in Rubber, Pugalendhi et al. (1990) in Cashewnut observed similar increment of seed and seedling characters owing to the selection of larger size seeds in polypot nursery.

Germination percentage of seeds did not vary with polypot size but all the characters were more in bigger size pots than smaller size pots (Table 1). The root length, shoot length, hypocotyl length, stem circumference, drymatter production of seedlings and vigour index values were 3.3, 2.6, 3.2, 22.01 5.0 and 3.8 per cent higher in bigger size polypots compared to smaller size polypots (Table 1 and 2) which might be attributed to the availability of more space and nutrients in bigger size containers. Raiasingh (1987) and Misra and Jaiswal (1993) in several tree species emphasised the need for selection of larger size polypot for elite seedling production. Based on the results, selection of seeds retained in 10.8 mm round perforated metal sieve for seeds in larger polypots of size 25 x 15 cm. which could also easily transportable to other places, can be recommended.

## References

- Abdul-Baki, A.A. and Anderson, J.D. (1973). Vigour determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria. Crop Sci. 13: 630-633.
- Bahuguna, V.K. and Lal, P. (1990). To study the effects of environment and different soil mixture on germination of Acacia nilotica seed at nursery stage. Indian For., 116: 474-478.

- Beniwal, B.S. and Dhawan, V.K. (1991). Standardization of nursery technique (use of different germination media and watering methods) of Anthocephalus chinensis. Indian For., 117: 105-109.
- Kandya, A.K. (1978). Relationship among seed weight and various growth factors in *Pinus oocarpa* Schiede seedling. *Indian For.*, 104:561-567.
- Katsuka, M. (1964). Distribution of nitrogenous compounds in seedlings of *Pinus thunhergii* developed for seeds of different weight. In:Physiological basis of seed germination (Ed.) K.E. Ovcharrov Amerind Publishing Co., New Delhi.
- Misra, K.K. and Jaiswal, H.R. (1993). Effect of size of polyethylene bags and potting mixtures on survival and growth of silvar oak (Grevillea robusta Parker) seedlings. Indian For., 119:941-943.
- Panse, V.S. and Sukhatme (1995). Statistical Methods for Agricultural workers. ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 330.
- Pugalendhi, L., Ahmed Shah, H., Manoharan, V. and Manivannan, K. (1990). Studies on seedlings vigour in cashew. S. Indian Hort., 38:129-132.
- Rajasingh, N.A.M. (1987). Seed technological studies in ten species of sylvicultural importance. M. Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- Saraswathy, A. and Baskaran, C.K. (1976). Relationship of seed weight and seedling vigour in Hevea. Rubber Board Bulletin, 13: 28-29.
- Srimathi, P. (1997). Research focus on seed collection, processing and storage of Amla (Emblica officinalis), Jamun (Syzygium cuminii) and Ber (Zizuphus mauritiana). Ph.D. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

(Received: December 2000; Revised: October 2001)

