

## Effect of soil reaction and organic matter on germination and seedling vigour of Babul (*Acacia nilotica* Linn.)

P. MASILAMANI, K. ANNADURAI, P. SARAVANAPANDIAN AND A. BHARATHI  
*Agricultural Engineering College and Research Institute, Kumulur 621 712, Tamil Nadu*

**Abstract :** An experiment was conducted to find out the effect of different soil pH along with nursery mixture on germination, seedling vigour and chemical attributes of *Acacia nilotica* at Agricultural Engineering College and Research Institute, Kumulur, Tiruchirappalli during February 1999. The seeds were sown in soil with different pH (8.1, 9.0, 10.2 and 10.5) and the differential pH soil mixed with sand + farm yard manure (2:1:1 ratio) compared with control (red earth + sand + farm yard manure 2:1:1 ratio). The result revealed that *Acacia nilotica* has a wider range of adaptability in relation to pH. The species is able to tolerate extreme soil pH of 10.5, when the soil is mixed with sand and farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio, in terms of germination, seedling growth and chemical attributes of the seedlings. (*Key words* : *Acacia nilotica*, Soil reaction, Germination, Seedling vigour).

*Acacia nilotica* is an important multipurpose tree and gives very strong, tough and heavy timber which is nearly twice as hard as teak. It grows in all the types of soil, in areas having pH < 7.9 under poor drainage conditions and also tolerates seepage of high water table at a soil depth of 2-3 m (Singh and Jha, 1990). Seed germination is affected by a number of environmental factors, of which one is pH (Parihar *et al* 1984; Munns and Termaat, 1986, Roy 1986 and Sharma *et al*, 1998). These studies have shown that relationship between germination and pH varies considerably with seeds of different crops and grass plants, whereas woody perennials have been little studied. Establishment of tree plantation in salt affected soil results in ameliorative process by favourable effect on physical, chemical and biological properties of soil. Determination of salt tolerance of tree species for plantation of such sites, especially during establishment and early stage of growth, when the plants are too tender to bear inhospitable soil conditions (Sharma *et al*. 1998). Such studies on *Acacia nilotica* were reported by Chaturvedi (1955) and Luna (1996). Whereas study on germination and juvenile growth influence on soil pH are very few. Hence, the present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of soil reaction and organic matter on germination and seedling vigour of *Acacia nilotica*.

### Materials and Methods

Matured pods of *Acacia* were collected from 10 year old stands in Agricultural Engineering College and Research Institute campus, Kumulur, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu (10°4' N; 78°5' E; 70 m.a.s.l.) and the seed were extracted by manual shelling, and they were cleaned and subjected to scarification with commercial sulphuric acid 200 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> of seed for 25

min. (Rajasingh, 1987). Acid scarified seeds were washed and dried back to original moisture content of 9.3%. The soil samples of varying pH were collected from Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tiruchirappalli and Manikandam block of Tiruchirappalli district and sieved. These sieved soil samples were analysed for chemical properties viz. pH, cation exchange capacity (C.mol.p<sup>+</sup>kg<sup>-1</sup>), exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) and electrical conductivity (EC) of the soil (dSm<sup>-1</sup>). The seeds were sown in polythene bags (size 13 cm x 25 cm) filled with different nursery media viz. red earth + sand + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio (T<sub>1</sub>), soil pH 8.1 (T<sub>2</sub>), soil pH 9.0 (T<sub>3</sub>), soil pH 10.2 (T<sub>4</sub>), soil pH 10.5 (T<sub>5</sub>), soil pH 8.1 + sand + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio (T<sub>6</sub>), soil pH 9.0 + sand + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio (T<sub>7</sub>), soil pH 10.2 + sand + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio (T<sub>8</sub>) and soil pH 10.5 + sand + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio (T<sub>9</sub>). T<sub>1</sub> was considered as control. The trial was set up in completely randomized design (RBD) with 200 seeds sown in each treatment and replicated four times. On 28 days after sowing, the germination (ISTA 1985) was counted and the percentage germination was computed. Ten random seedlings were dried in a hot air oven at 85°C for 24 hr and the dry weight was recorded. Vigour index was calculated as the product of germination percentage and seedling length (Abdul Baki and Anderson, 1973). When the seedlings were 180 days old, the seedling attributes viz. survival (%) root length (cm), shoot length (cm), number of leaves seedling<sup>-1</sup>, root collar diameter (cm), root and shoot dry weight (g) and leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) and biochemical attributes viz. chlorophyll content - a, b and total (mg g<sup>-1</sup>) and total N (%), P (%) and K (%) content were estimated. The data were subjected to analysis of variance as per Panse and Sukhatme year (1978) and tested for the significance of variance (P = 0.05 per cent) and mean values were compared using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

## Results and Discussion

The pH of the experimental soils ranges from 8.1 to 10.5 (slightly alkaline to strong alkaline). The CEC was increased from 18.4 to 23.8, as the soil pH increased from 8.1 to 9.0. There was a reduction in CEC i.e. 15.7 and 14.5 for soil pH of 10.2 and 10.5 respectively. The exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) was 11.8 for 8.1 soil pH, 21.4 for 9.0 soil pH, 45.8 for 10.2 soil pH and 49.5 for 10.5 soil pH. The EC was 0.18 dSm<sup>-1</sup> for 8.1 soil pH. after that, there was no much variation in EC.

The effect of soil pH and organic matter on germination and initial seedling vigour of *Acacia nilotica* is given in Table 1. The results revealed that 100 per cent germination was observed with T<sub>3</sub> treatment (soil pH of 9.0) followed by T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> treatment (99.0 per cent). The lowest germination of 95.0 per cent was recorded with T<sub>9</sub> treatment, where the seeds were sown in soil with a pH of 8.1 + sand + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio. Similar results have been reported by Srinivasu and Toky, (1996) in *Acacia nilotica*, *Albizia lebbeck*, *Pithecellobium dulce* and *Prosopis juliflora* in an alkalinity range of pH 8.5 - 11.0. The presence of large amount of soluble salts in the soil retards germination mainly because water cannot easily enter the germinating seed due to high osmotic pressure. Some salts are also directly toxic to the germinating seeds and young seedlings (Daji, 1992). The highest root length of 11.2 cm and shoot length of 12.1 cm was observed with T<sub>3</sub> treatment (soil pH of 9.0). There was a reduction in root and shoot length as the soil pH increased from 9.0 to 10.5. Regarding drymatter production, the treatment T<sub>2</sub> where the seeds were sown in the soil of 8.1 pH. There was a slight decrease in dry matter production as the pH of soil increased from 8.1 to 10.5. When these alkaline soil was mixed with sand and farmyard manure, there was a slight improvement in drymatter production. The highest vigour index of 2325 was recorded with the soil of 9.0 pH, which was on par with the normal nursery mixture of red earth + sand + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio (2064) and the soil with a pH of 10.2 + sand + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio (2062).

When the seedlings were 180 days old, the seedling attributes viz. survival percentage, root length, shoot length, number of leaves seedlings, root collar diameter, root dry weight, shoot dry weight and leaf area were recorded and the results are presented in Table-2. The results revealed that the highest survival percentage of 99.4 was recorded with control (T<sub>1</sub>) which was on par with all other treatments except T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>.

The survival percentage was the lowest (92.4 per cent) with T<sub>5</sub> (soil with a pH of 10.5). When it was mixed with sand and farmyard manure at 2:1:1 ratio, there was a in improvement in survival percentage (99.0 per cent). The highest root length of 62.5 cm was recorded with T<sub>2</sub> (soil with a pH of 8.1) which was on par with T<sub>6</sub> (soil with a pH of 10.2 + s and + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio), T<sub>7</sub> (soil with a pH of 9.0 + sand + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio) T<sub>9</sub> (soil with a pH of 10.2 + sand + farmyard manure at 2:1:1 ratio) and T<sub>3</sub> (soil with a pH of 9.0). The lowest root length of 47.3 cm was recorded with T<sub>5</sub> (soil with a pH of 10.5). Regarding the shoot length, the highest shoot length of 77.9 cm was recorded with T<sub>6</sub> (soil with a pH of 8.1 + sand + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio) and T<sub>5</sub> (soil with a pH of 10.5) recorded the lowest shoot length of 42.4 cm. The number of leaves was more in T<sub>6</sub>, which was on par with T<sub>7</sub>. There is not much significant influence on root collar diameter and leaf area due to different treatments tried. The same trend was also noticed in influencing root dry weight except T<sub>4</sub> treatment (soil with a pH of 10.2) where it recorded the lowest root dry weight of 0.48 g., this might be due to higher soil pH may impair the root development of the seedling. T<sub>8</sub> treatment (soil with a pH of 10.2 + sand + frm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio) recorded the highest shoot dry weight of 3.09 g, where as the lowest shoot dry weight of 1.29 g was recorded with T<sub>5</sub> treatment (soil with a pH of 10.5). Sharma *et al* (1991) had reported in *Eucalyptus terticonis*, *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and *Eucalyptus grandis* when grown at pH 9.6 that there was a severe mortality and pH limits corresponding to 50% reduction in survival and growth were 8.70, 8.76, 8.39 and 8.49, respectively. A 50% reduction in growth of seedlings of *Prosopis chilensis*, *Leucaena leucocephala* var, K28, *Acacia nilotica*, *Leucaena leucocephala* var k-8 and *Albizia lebbeck* occurred at pH 8.69, 8.77, 9.24, 8.76 and 9.07 respectively, whereas 9.6 pH caused severe mortality of all the species (Sharma *et al* 1992). Seedling growth attributes enhanced by addition of sand and farmyard manure in varying pH might be due to CO<sub>2</sub> liberated by the decaying organic matter and by the plant roots which increases the solubility of calcium carbonate. Consequently the concentration of Ca ions in the soil solution is increased, this ca ions on the soil solution helps to promote the reclamation of alkali soils (Metha, 1983, Daji, 1992).

The results on the effect of soil pH and organic matter on biochemical constituents of 180 days old *Acacia nilotica* seedlings are given in Table3 The highest chlorophyll 'a' content of 4.44 mg g<sup>-1</sup> was recorded with T<sub>9</sub> treatment (soil with a pH of 10.5 +

sand + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio) where as  $T_4$  (soil with a pH of 10.2 recorded the lowest chlorophyll 'a' content of 2.40 mg g<sup>-1</sup>. The highest chlorophyll 'b' content of 4.27 mg g<sup>-1</sup> and total chlorophyll content of 7.46 mg g<sup>-1</sup> was recorded with  $T_5$  (soil with a pH of 10.5) whereas the lowest value for chlorophyll 'b' (2.49 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) and total (5.35 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) chlorophyll were recorded with  $T^2$  (soil with a pH of 8.2).

The total N content of the treatment ranges from 1.47 to 2.91 per cent.  $T_1$  (control) treatment registered the highest total 'N' content of 2.91 per cent followed by  $T_6$  (soil with a pH of 8.1 + sand + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio) with a value of 2.66 per cent. When the pH of soil was increased to 10.5, there was a drastic reduction in total N content (1.47 per cent). This may be due to microorganisms which are involved in the decomposition and transformation

of organic N in the system declined in the alkali pH ranges (Patra *et al* 1996). The total P and K content of the plant sample ranges from 0.30 to 0.68 per cent and 1.40 to 2.22 per cent respectively. The highest total P content (0.68 per cent) and the lowest K content (1.40 per cent) was recorded with control treatment, whereas the highest total K content of 2.22 per cent was recorded with  $T_6$  treatment (soil with a pH of 8.1 + sand + farm yard manure at 2:1:1 ratio). The lowest K in  $T^1$  treatment might be due to more leaching of K in porous soil (Dutta and Joshi, 1990).

It is concluded that *Acacia nilotica* has a wider range of adaptability in relation to pH. The species is able to tolerate soil pH of 10.5, when the soil is mixed with sand and farmyard manure mixture at 2:1:1 ratio, expressing higher values for germination, seedling and biochemical attributes.

Table 1. Effect of soil pH and organic matter on germination and initial seedling vigour of *Acacia nilotica*

Treatments	Germination (%)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Dry matter production (mg seedling <sup>-1</sup> )	Vigour Index
$T_1$	90.0 <sup>ab</sup> (86.59)	10.6 <sup>abc</sup>	10.3 <sup>bcd</sup>	8.6 <sup>ab</sup>	2064 <sup>b</sup>
$T_2$	99.0 <sup>ab</sup> (85.25)	10.9 <sup>ab</sup>	11.1 <sup>abc</sup>	10.1 <sup>a</sup>	2174 <sup>ab</sup>
$T_3$	100.0 <sup>a</sup> (88.19)	11.2 <sup>a</sup>	12.1 <sup>a</sup>	8.2 <sup>abc</sup>	2325 <sup>a</sup>
$T_4$	97.3 <sup>abc</sup> (82.31)	10.0 <sup>bcd</sup>	10.4 <sup>bcd</sup>	6.5 <sup>cd</sup>	1988 <sup>bc</sup>
$T_5$	91.5 <sup>c</sup> (73.03)	8.9 <sup>c</sup>	9.4 <sup>d</sup>	6.1 <sup>d</sup>	1677 <sup>d</sup>
$T_6$	97.2 <sup>abc</sup> (82.47)	10.0 <sup>bcd</sup>	10.7 <sup>bcd</sup>	8.5 <sup>abc</sup>	2014 <sup>ab</sup>
$T_7$	98.4 <sup>ab</sup> (85.83)	10.0 <sup>bcd</sup>	12.2 <sup>a</sup>	9.8 <sup>a</sup>	2186 <sup>ab</sup>
$T_8$	98.0 <sup>ab</sup> (83.28)	9.8 <sup>abc</sup>	11.3 <sup>ab</sup>	9.2 <sup>ab</sup>	2062 <sup>b</sup>
$T_9$	95.0 <sup>bc</sup> (76.62)	9.6 <sup>bc</sup>	9.7 <sup>cd</sup>	7.2 <sup>bcd</sup>	1826 <sup>cd</sup>

Figures in parentheses indicate arc sine values)

Means followed by same letter in a column are not significantly different by DMRT

Table 2. Effect of soil pH and organic matter on seedling attributes of 180 days old *Acacia nilotica*

Treatments	Survival (%)	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Number of leaves / seedling	Root collar diameter (cm)	Root dry weight (g)	Shoot dry weight (g)	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )
$T_1$	99.4 <sup>ab</sup> (86.34)	57.3 <sup>b</sup>	66.4 <sup>cd</sup>	2218 <sup>b</sup>	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.8 <sup>a</sup>	1.76 <sup>bc</sup>	0.083 <sup>a</sup>
$T_2$	98.0 <sup>a</sup> (89.01)	62.5 <sup>a</sup>	71.7 <sup>b</sup>	2833 <sup>ab</sup>	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.84 <sup>a</sup>	2.66 <sup>ab</sup>	0.055 <sup>a</sup>
$T_3$	98.0 <sup>ab</sup> (82.79)	58.6 <sup>ab</sup>	71.2 <sup>b</sup>	2156 <sup>b</sup>	0.30 <sup>a</sup>	0.72 <sup>ab</sup>	2.52 <sup>abc</sup>	0.069 <sup>a</sup>
$T_4$	96.4 <sup>bc</sup> (79.49)	48.0 <sup>c</sup>	67.4 <sup>cd</sup>	2887 <sup>ab</sup>	0.27 <sup>a</sup>	0.48 <sup>b</sup>	2.09 <sup>abc</sup>	0.066 <sup>a</sup>
$T_5$	96.4 <sup>c</sup> (74.13)	47.3 <sup>c</sup>	42.4 <sup>f</sup>	2513 <sup>ab</sup>	0.23 <sup>a</sup>	0.68 <sup>ab</sup>	1.29 <sup>c</sup>	0.060 <sup>a</sup>
$T_6$	99.0 <sup>ab</sup> (84.62)	55.8 <sup>b</sup>	77.9 <sup>a</sup>	3437 <sup>a</sup>	0.37 <sup>a</sup>	0.95 <sup>a</sup>	2.58 <sup>ab</sup>	0.064 <sup>a</sup>
$T_7$	98.0 <sup>a</sup> (88.40)	60.0 <sup>ab</sup>	57.8 <sup>c</sup>	3354 <sup>a</sup>	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.84 <sup>a</sup>	2.44 <sup>abc</sup>	0.058 <sup>a</sup>
$T_8$	99.3 <sup>ab</sup> (86.15)	61.6 <sup>a</sup>	65.1 <sup>d</sup>	2493 <sup>ab</sup>	0.30 <sup>a</sup>	0.77 <sup>ab</sup>	3.09 <sup>a</sup>	0.072 <sup>a</sup>
$T_9$	99.0 <sup>ab</sup> (83.46)	58.4 <sup>ab</sup>	68.1 <sup>c</sup>	2683 <sup>ab</sup>	0.23 <sup>a</sup>	0.73 <sup>ab</sup>	2.00 <sup>abc</sup>	0.059 <sup>a</sup>

Figures in parentheses indicate arc sine values)

Means followed by same letter in a column are not significantly different by DMRT

Table 3. Effect of soil pH and organic matter on biochemical constituents of 180 days old *Acacia nilotica* seedling

Treatment s	Chlorophyll content (mg/g)			Total nitrogen content (%)	Total phosphorus content (%)	Total potas- sium content (%)
	a	b	Total			
T <sub>1</sub>	2.57 <sup>cd</sup>	2.57 <sup>d</sup>	5.36 <sup>d</sup>	2.91 <sup>a</sup>	0.68 <sup>a</sup>	1.40 <sup>f</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	2.38 <sup>d</sup>	2.49 <sup>d</sup>	5.35 <sup>d</sup>	2.31 <sup>c</sup>	0.44 <sup>d</sup>	1.63 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	2.79 <sup>c</sup>	3.53 <sup>b</sup>	6.44 <sup>b</sup>	1.64 <sup>e</sup>	0.30 <sup>f</sup>	2.08 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	2.40 <sup>d</sup>	3.16 <sup>c</sup>	6.42 <sup>b</sup>	1.47 <sup>f</sup>	0.63 <sup>b</sup>	1.82 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	3.47 <sup>b</sup>	4.27 <sup>a</sup>	7.46 <sup>a</sup>	1.15 <sup>g</sup>	0.45 <sup>d</sup>	1.76 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	2.55 <sup>cd</sup>	3.43 <sup>bc</sup>	7.46 <sup>a</sup>	2.66 <sup>b</sup>	0.37 <sup>e</sup>	2.22 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	2.75 <sup>c</sup>	3.27 <sup>bc</sup>	5.81 <sup>c</sup>	1.82 <sup>d</sup>	0.45 <sup>d</sup>	1.63 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	3.36 <sup>b</sup>	3.38 <sup>bc</sup>	6.53 <sup>b</sup>	1.65 <sup>e</sup>	0.53 <sup>e</sup>	1.67 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	4.44 <sup>a</sup>	3.19 <sup>c</sup>	7.55 <sup>a</sup>	1.49 <sup>f</sup>	0.37 <sup>e</sup>	1.65 <sup>de</sup>

Means followed by same letter in a column are not significantly different by DMRT.

#### References

- Abdul-Baki, A.A. and Anderson, T.D. (1973). Vigour determination in soybean seed by multipl criteria. *Crop Sci.*, 13 : 630-633.
- Chaturvedi, M.D. (1955). No tree fit to compare with babul. *Indian Farm.*, 5 : 15.
- Daji, J.A. (1992). A text book of Soil Science. Media Promoters and Publishers Pvt Ltd, Bombay. 380 pp.
- Dutta, B.K. and Joshi, D. (1990). Quantity intensity parameters of potassium and their relationship with available forms and soil properties in dune and introduce soils. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 38 : 404-409.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomz, A.A. (1984). Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research. Wiley Inter-Science Publications. New York. 680 pp.
- Gupta, B.N., Pattanath, P.G., Kumar, A., Thapliyal, R.C. and Rahuri, A.S. (1975). Rules for germination test of tree seeds for certification. *Indian For.*, 101 : 320-328.
- Ista (1985). International rules for seed testing. *Seed Sci. & Technol.* 13 : 322-341.
- Luna, R.K. (1996). Plantation trees. International book distributors. 775 pp.
- Metha, K.K. (1983). Reclamation of alkali soils of India. Oxford & IBH-publishing Co., New Delhi. 280 pp.
- Munns, R. and Termat, (1986). Whole plant response to salinity. *Australian J. Pl. Phy.*, 13 : 143-160.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, R.V. (1978). Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publications, New Delhi. 330 pp.
- Parihar, S.S., Kanodia, K.C. and Singh, K.A. (1984). Effect of pH on germination of range grasses. *Forage Res.*, 10 : 43-44.
- Patra, D.D., Arun Prasad, Nitpendhakumar and Singh, D.V. (1996). Nitrogen transformation in sal-affected soils. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 44 : 151-153.
- Rajasingh, N.A.M. (1987). Studies on certain aspect of sed quality in ten species of silvicultural importance. M.Sc.(Ag.) thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- Roy, M.M. (1986). Effect of pH on germination of *Dichrostachys cinerea* (L) wight. Arn. *J. Tree Sci.*, 5 : 62-64.
- Sharma, A., Kukkadia, M.V., Jadeja, D.B. and Vashi, B.G. (1998). Effect of different salinity levels on germination and initial growth parameters of different agroforestry tree species in nursery stage. *Indian J. For.* 21 : 156-159.
- Sharma, S.D., Prasad, K.G. and Singh, H.B. (1992). Salinity and alkalinity tolerance of some leguminous tree seedlings. *Van Vigyan.* 30 : 86-94.
- Sharma, S.D., Prasad, K.G. and Banerjee, S.P. (1991). Salinity and alkalinity tolerance by selected *Eucalyptus* species. *Van Vigyan.* 29 : 9-16.
- Singh, K. and Jha, M.N. (1990). Trees, shrubs and grasses on saline soils of Indo-Gangetic plains. National seminar on technology for afforestation of wastelands, FRI, Dehradun. 1990.
- Srinivasu, V. and Toky, O.P. (1996). Effect of alkalinities on seed germination and seedling growth of important arid trees. *Indian J. For.* 19 : 227-283.

(Received : March 2001 ; Revised : July 2001)