

45 : 117-121.

Ghildyal, B.P. and Gupta, R.P. (1991). Soil structure problems and management. ICAR, New Delhi.

Gupta, R.P. and Abrol, I.P. (1993). A study of some tillage practices for sustainable crop production in India. *Soil Tillage Res.* 27 : 253-272.

Larson, W.E., Eynard, A., Hadsa, A. and Lipiec, J.

(1994). Control and avoidance of soil compaction. In: Practice in soil compaction in crop production. (Ed.) B.D. Soane, C.Ouwerkerk, Van. Amsterdam Netherlands, Elsevier Science Publishers, p. 597-625.

Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1978). Statistical methods for Agricultural workers. ICAR, New Delhi.

(Received : March 2000; Revised : May 2001)

Madras Agric. J. 88 (1-3) : 69-73 January - March 2001

<https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A00304>

## Heterosis and inbreeding depression in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.)

R. KARUPPAIYAN AND P. RAMASAMY

Centre for Plant Breeding and Genetics, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003.

**Abstract :** Hybridization was effected between twenty lines and four testers and the resultant eighty  $F_1$  hybrids were selfed to obtain  $F_2$  seeds. The  $F_1$ s and  $F_2$ s were evaluated along with their parents. Observations were recorded for six quantitative traits namely days to maturity, plant height, number of capsules, test weight, seed yield and oil content. Two hybrids namely Si.3214 x SVPR 1 and TMV 6 x SVPR 1 recorded significant heterosis over standard check Co 1. In general, crosses with significant heterosis expressed significant inbreeding depression in  $F_2$ . The  $F_2$  progenies of cross Si.3214 x SVPR 1 showed high heterosis with non-significant inbreeding depression for seed yield. (*Key words: Heterosis, Inbreeding depression, Sesame.*)

Crop improvement in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.), so far has been mostly confined to selection or hybridization followed by selection and in this way only marginal improvement could be effected. Therefore, to have a quantum jump in yield, exploitation of hybrid vigour and heterosis breeding is gaining importance. In addition, for enhancing yield potential of hybrids it is necessary to reshuffle the genes by crossing and study the heterotic effects in  $F_1$  and its maintenance in  $F_2$  generation. Hence, attempts were made to study the heterosis and inbreeding depression in sesame. This study will have a direct bearing on the breeding methodology to be employed for rapid genetic improvement in sesame.

### Materials and Methods

Hybridization was effected between twenty lines viz. S.0613, Si. 102, Si.1577 Si.3232, S.0626, NL 4, IVTS 18-94, JLSC.50, TNAU 137, Si.1576, S.0651, TNAU 72, TNAU 65, TMV 6, TMV 4, Si.3214, Si.1071, Si.1671 and Si.9091 and four testers viz. Annamalai 1, TMV 3, Co 1 and SVPR 1 in line x tester model (Kempthorne, 1957). The resultant eighty  $F_1$  hybrids along with their 24 parents were raised in a randomized block design with three replications during *rabi*-1995. A portion of the seeds of  $F_1$  (Fresh crosses) were preserved for sowing in the next season

(*khari*-1996). Each entry was grown in single row of three metre long with a spacing of 30 x 30 cm. The  $F_1$ 's were selfed to obtain  $F_2$  seeds. Simultaneously, the parents were selfed to maintain their purity. The generated materials viz.  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of eighty crosses were grown along with their parents in a randomized block design with three replications during *khari* 1996. In each replication the parents and  $F_1$ 's were raised in three rows (each 3-m long) and  $F_2$ 's in ten rows by adopting 30 x 30 cm spacing. Observations were recorded on ten randomly selected healthy plants in parents and  $F_1$  and 50 plants in  $F_2$  in each replication for six quantitative traits namely days to maturity, plant height, number of capsules per plant test weight, seed yield per plant and oil content. The cultivar Co 1 was used as standard check. The overall mean values for each character in each parent and hybrid were taken to estimate heterosis and inbreeding depression as given below.

$$i. \text{ Standard heterosis } (d_m) = \frac{F_1 - SC}{SC} \times 100$$

where,  $F_1$  = Mean of  $F_1$  hybrids

SC = Mean of standard check Co1

The test of significance of heterosis was ascertained as per the formula suggested by Snedecor (1983).

$$\text{ii. Inbreeding depression} = \frac{F_1 - F_2}{F_1} \times 100$$

Where,  $F_2$  = Mean of  $F_2$  progenies of the respective  $F_1$ .

The significance of inbreeding depression was tested by computing least significant differences (LSD).

## Results and Discussion

The range of standard heterosis and crosses exhibiting significant heterosis in desirable direction is presented in Table 1. The potentiality of a hybrid might be judged by comparing the *per se* performance and heterotic vigour. In the present study, close association between *per se* performance of hybrids and heterosis expression was observed for all the traits, suggesting that the selection of crosses based on *per se* performance would be more realistic in sesame as reported by Kadu *et al.* (1992) and Subbalakshmi (1996).

Promising hybrids showing significant standard heterosis for six metric traits is given in Table 3. For seed yield the crosses Si.3214 x SVPR 1 and TMV 6 x SVPR 1 recorded the highest standard heterosis of 28.02 and 9.71 per cent, respectively (Table 2). The hybrid Si.3214 x SVPR 1 have also recorded significant heterosis for plant height, number of capsule, test weight, oil content and days to maturity. Thus, heterosis for seed yield in the cross Si.3214xSVPR 1 was due to simultaneous heterosis of yield attributing traits. It is in close agreement with the findings of Raj Gupta (1980).

In respect of oil content the extent of standard heterosis was very narrow and maximum of 9.29 per cent was observed in TVTS 18-94 x SVPR 1 which indicated that it could be very difficult to improve this trait and the increment was rather low. Balsane *et al.* (1994) observed similar trend for this economic trait.

Hybrid vigour is based primarily an allelic non-additive gene action while inbreeding depression is based on allelic additive gene action (Fasoulas, 1980). Based on this theory, it is essential to study the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations to distinguish whether the observed heterosis is due to fixable genes and to see whether the vigour is maintained or not. The significant

negative inbreeding value indicates the presence of inbreeding vigour whereas significant positive value indicates inbreeding depression.

The range of inbreeding depression in  $F_2$  progenies of eighty crosses for six metric traits is given in Table 1. Majority of the crosses which showed significant heterosis in  $F_1$  has also showed significant inbreeding depression in  $F_2$  for the characters studied (Table 2). The  $F_2$  progenies of the cross combination viz. Si.102 x Annamalai 1 and TNAU 65 x Annamalai 1 for plant height, TMV 6 x SVPR 1 for number of capsules and seed yield, TNAU 137 x Annamalai 1 and S.0651xCo 1 for test weight, and Si.1071 x SVPR 1 for oil content recorded significant positive standard heterosis coupled with high inbreeding vigour for the respective traits. The increased depression might be due to non-allelic interaction of higher magnitude in the inheritance of these traits and fixation of unfavourable recessive genes in  $F_2$ . Similar observations were made by Chavan *et al.* (1982), Sodani and Bhatnagar (1990) and Anandakumari (1995).

The extent of inbreeding depression for oil content was low (maximum 7.67 per cent) and it might be due to the inherently limited variability available in the base population. The  $F_2$  progenies of crosses JLSC 50 x Annamalai 1 and TNAU 137 x Annamalai 1 exhibited high standard heterosis coupled with more inbreeding vigour (i.e. negative inbreeding depression) for number of capsules and test weight, respectively. Presence of such enhanced vigour for these traits could be attributed to epistatic gene action.

However, in some  $F_2$  progenies of crosses viz. TMV 4 x TMV 3 for plant height, Si.3214 x SVPR 1 for number of capsules, test weight, seed yield and oil content, TNAU 65xCo1 for test weight, IVTS 18-94 x SVPR 1 for oil content there was significant standard heterosis in  $F_1$  with no marked inbreeding depression in  $F_2$ . This suggests that there might be a high proportion of fixable genes in these crosses (Fasoulas, 1980 and Sharma and Chauhan, 1983) and inheritance is due to stable polygenic system.

Instances were also found in which significant negative heterosis over standard check Co 1 coupled with high inbreeding vigour was observed as in the cases of S.0626 NL4 x SVPR 1, TMV 6 x SVPR 1 and Si.1576 x SVPR 1 for days to maturity, suggesting the occurrence of transgressive segregation for this trait. Such segregates might be handled through pedigree breeding for the isolation of high yielding genotypes as viewed by Naidu and Sathyanarayana (1993) and Hedge *et al.* (1996). However, selection would be more effective only in later filial generation

Table 1. Range of standard heterosis ( $d_{ij}$ ) and Inbreeding depression (I.D) and crosses exhibiting significant standard heterosis in desirable direction

Heterosis ( $d_{ij}$ )		Inbreeding depression (I.D)				
Range	Hybrid showing minimum & maximum value	Crosses showing significant heterosis in desirable direction	Range	Hybrid showing minimum & maximum value	No. of F <sub>2</sub> showing significant I.D.in	
					Negative side	Positive side
					(Lvgour)	(I.D)
1. Days to maturity						
-24.55 to -2.49	S.026 NL4 x SVPR 1, TNAU 137xCo 1	JLSC 50 x Annamalai 1, TNAU 137xAnnamalai 1, IVTS 18-94 x TMV 3, JLSC 50 x MV 3, JLSC 50 x Co 1 and all crosses involving SVPR 1 as tester	-18.86 to 10.99	VRI 1 x SVPR 1 Si.1671 x TMV 3	20	11
2. Plant height (cm)						
-46.18 to 12.86	S.0651 x SVPR 1, Si.102 x Annamalai 1	TNAU 65 x Annamalai 1, TMV 3 and Si.3214 x SVPR 1	-23.37 to 36.04	IVTS 18 94 X Annamalai 1, TMV 6 x SVPR 1	9	31
3. No. of capsule per plant						
-52.30 to 12.86	JLSC 50 X SVPR 1, TMV 6 x SVPR 1	Si.3232x Annamalai 1, IVTS 18-94 X Annamalai 1, TNAU 72 x Annamalai 1, TMV 4 x Annamalai 1, Si. 1071 x Annamalai 1, Si.163 x TMV 3, TNAU 72 x TMV 3, TNAU 65 x TMV 3, TMV 6 x TMV 3, Si.1671 x TMV 3, S. 9091 x TMV 3, Si.1577 x SVPR 1, TMV 6 x SVPR 1, Si.3214 x SVPR and S.9091 x SVPR	-59.77 to 50.31	TNAU 65 x SVPR 1, S.0626 NL4 x Co 1	8	37
4. Test weight (g)						
-70.12 to 28.02	IVTS18-94 x Annamalai 1, TNAU 137 x Annamalai 1	TNAU 137 X Annamalai 1, S.0651 X Co 1 and Si.3214 x SVPR1	-18.22 to 21.04	JLSC 50 X Annamalai 1, TNAU 72 x TMV 3	18	12
5. Seed yield per plant (g)						
-70.12 to 28.02	IVTS18-94 x Annamalai 1, Si. 3214 x SVPR 1	TMV 6 x SVPR 1 and Si. 3214 x SVPR 1	-22.06 to 7.67	Si.1576 x Annamalai, 1 TMV 6 x TMV 3	11	26
6. Oil Content (%)						
-8.91 to 9.29	Si.1577 x TMV 3, IVTS 18-94 x SVPR 1	Si.102 x TMV 3, Si.163 x TMV 3, Si.1576 x TMV 3, IVTS 18-94 x TMV 3, Si. 1671 x TMV3, S. S. 9091 x TMV 3, Si.3232 x Co 1, Si. 163 x Co 1, TNAU 72 X Co 1, TMV 4 x Co 1, Si.1071 x Co 1, S.9091 x Co 1, Si.3232 x SVPR 1, S. 0626 NL4 x SVPR 1, Si.3214 x SVPR 1, Si. 1071 x SVPR 1 and Si.1671 x SVPR 1	-22.06 to 7.67	Si. 1576 xAnnamalai, TMV 6 x TMV 3	11	17

Table 2. Extent of inbreeding depression for the top ranking hybrids with significant standard heterosis

Traits	Top ranking crosses	Standard heterosis (%)	Inbreeding depression (%)
1. Days to maturity	S. 0626 NL4 x SVPR 1	-24.55**	-18.86*
	TMV 6 x SVPR 1	-18.86**	-5.79**
	Si. 3214 x SVPR 1	-19.93**	-0.55
	Si. 1576 x SVPR 1	-19.99**	-6.80**
2. Plant height (cm)	Si. 102 x Annamalai 1	12.86**	20.80**
	TNAU 65 x Annamalai 1	5.50**	15.24**
	TMV 4 x TMV 3	4.98**	-7.79
3. No of capsule per plant	Si. 1071 x Annamalai 1	74.66**	45.36**
	Si. 3214 x SVPR 1	71.35**	-0.04
	JLSC 50 x Annamalai 1	67.45**	-43.32**
	S. 0626 NL4 x Co 1	50.68**	50.31**
	S. 9091 x TMV 3	43.29**	26.95**
4. Test weight (g)	TNAU 137 x Annamalai 1	9.35**	-10.33**
	S. 0651 x Co 1	8.39**	9.23**
	TNAU 65 x Co 1	8.06**	2.39
	SI. 3214 x SVPR 1	8.06**	0.67
5. Seed yield per plant (g)	Si. 3214 X SVPR 1	28.02**	8.43
	TMV 6 x SVPR 1	9.71**	16.64**
6. Oil content (%)	IVTS 18-94 x SVPR 1	9.29**	3.35
	Si 3214 X SVPR 1	8.19**	-0.01
	Si. 1017 x SVPR 1	7.30**	4.14**
	Si. 3232 x SVPR 1	7.03**	-0.46
	Si. 163 x Annamalai 1	6.64**	6.16**

\*, \*\* Refers to significant at P=0.05 and 0.01 levels respectively.

Thus, the present study has showed a maximum of 28 per cent standard heterosis for seed yield in the hybrid Si.3214 x SVPR 1. This cross has also showed non-significant inbreeding depression in F<sub>2</sub> generation. However, it requires test verification across the seasons and environments for practical utility. To realize the heterotic potential of the hybrid, it can be initially recommended for high input conditions where nutrients and the water are not limiting. Further, exploitation of residual heterosis in F<sub>2</sub> generation would further motivate the farmers for taking up hybrid sesame on commercial scale.

#### Acknowledgements

The senior author is thankful to

Dr.N.Sundaresan, Professor of Genetics (Retd.), Dr.M.Rangaswamy, Ex-Director, School of Genetics and Dr.T.N.Balasubramanian, Professor of Agronomy, TNAU, Coimbtore for their guidance and to the ICAR, New Delhi for financial assistance.

#### References

- Anandakumar, C.R. (1995). Heterosis and inbreeding depression in Sesame. *J. Oilseeds Res.* 12 : 100-102.
- Balsane, A.G., Pawar, B.B. and Dumber, A.D. (1994). Studies on heterosis in Sesame. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* 19 : 140-141.

- Shavan, A.A. Makne, V.G. and Chopde, P.R. (1982). Components of heterosis and inbreeding depression studies in Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *J. Maharashtra agric. Univ.* 7 : 15-16.
- Sasoulas, A. (1980). Principles and Methods of Plant Breeding. Pub.Np.11; Dept.of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Aristotellian Univ. of Thessalonikil, Greece.
- Hedge, V.S. Parameswarappa, R. and Goud, J.V. (1996). Heterosis and inbreeding depression in Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L). Wilczek.) *Mysore J. agric. Sci.* 30 :101-105.
- Kadu, S., Narkhede, M.N. and Khorgade, P.W. (1992). Studies on combining ability in Sesamum. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* 17 : 392-393.
- Naidu, N.V. and Sathyanarayana, A. (1993). Heterosis and inbreeding depression for yield and yield components in Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). *Ann. Agric. Res.* 14 : 30-34.
- Raj Gupta, T. (1980). A study on heterosis in Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) *Madras Agric. J.* 67 : 295-299.
- Sharma, R.L. and Chauhan, B.P.S. (1983). Heterosis and inbreeding depression in Sesame. *Madras Agric. J.* 70 : 561-566.
- Snedecor, B.W. (1983). *Statistical Methods*. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi.
- Sodani, S.N. and Bhatnagar, S.K. (1990). Heterosis and inbreeding depression in Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) *Indian J. Genet.* 50 : 87-88.
- Subbalakshmi, B. (1996). Heterosis in Sesamum. *Madras Agric. J.* 83 : 345-348.

(Received : August 2000; Revised : April 2001)

Madras Agric. J. 88 (1-3) : 73-77 January - March 2001

## Fungitoxic properties of some essential oils from higher plants

OM PRAKASH, V.N. PANDEY, AND D.C. PANT

Dept. of Mycology and Plant Pathology, Inst. of Agri. Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005

**Abstract :** Essential oils of *Callistemon lanceolatus*, *Citrus medica*, *Eclipta alba*, *Hyptis suaveolens* and *Ocimum canum* showed fungitoxicity against *Rhizoctonia solani*, the incitant of damping-off disease. The essential oils of *C. media*, *E.alba* and *O. canum* completely inhibit the growth of the fungus within 24 hours. Other oils take more time. The essential oil of *C. lanceolatus* was capable of penetrating the soil upto 4 cm while it was 3 and 2 cm respectively for *C. medica* and *O.canum*. The essential oil of *C. lanceolatus* and *O.canum* could control damping-off disease of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) and chilli (*Capsicum annum*) upto 57.13, 71.44, 40.90 and 83.32 percent respectively. (**Key words:** Essentials oils, Higher plants, Fungitoxic properties.)

Use of seed protectants, soil fumigants and cultural practices are some of the common methods for the control of damping-off diseases. Synthetic chemicals which are used for this purpose has now been cautioned due to their side effects (Arya, 1988 . Lingk, 1991). There is need for application of only selective chemicals which will not affect the non-target organisms that may even be beneficial to crops. Lately the increasing reliance on plant products as alternative source for disease management is gaining importance. Essential oils of different plants has been explored by several workers for their antifungal activity (Singh *et al.* 1998, Caccioni *et al.* 1995).

Hence, essential oils from some higher plants were tried against *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn. the

incitant of damping-off of tomato and chilli. Formaldehyde, the routinely used chemical, was taken for comparison. Before conducting the actual *in-vivo* tests, some properties of these oils i.e., minimum inhibitory concentration, killing time and persistence of efficacy were studied. The data obtained were subjected to factorial design for statistical analysis.

### Materials and Methods

#### Isolation of essential oils

Essential oils (volatile fungitoxic fractions) of some plants (*Callistemon lanceolatus*, *Citrus medica*, *Eclipta alba*, *Hyptis suaveolens* and *Ocimum canum*) were isolated by hydrodistillation