growth stages. The total <sup>15</sup>N recovered by the sorghum (Co.25) was very less. The FUE calculated based on the total <sup>15</sup>N recovery was 21.62 percent after harvest.

#### REFERENCES

- AYOUB, A.T. (1986). <sup>15</sup>N-labelled urea recovery by different crops in the Sudan Gezira soil, Fert. Res. 9: 213-221.
- BREMNER, J.M.(1965) In Black, C.A. (ed.) Methods of soil analysis, Part II. Amer. Soc. Agron. Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A. p. 1149 -1255.
- BURESH, R.J. P.L.G. VLEK and J.M. STUMPE.(1984). Labeled nitrogen fertilizer research with Urea in the semi - arid tropics. I Green house studies. Plant & Soil 80: 3-19.
- CLEEMPUT, O. VAN, G. HOFMAN and L. BAERT. (1981). Fertilizer nitrogen balance study on sandy loam withwinter wheat. Fert. Res, 2: 119-126.

- MANICKAM, T.S. (1987) <sup>13</sup>N techniques in fertiliaer use efficiency. Research paper presented in Nationa Workshop organised by M/S Ref. Ltd., Bombay.
- MYERS, R.J.K. (1979) Nitrogen and phosphorus nutrition of dryland grain sorghum at Katherine. Northers Territory 4.15 - Nitrogen studies on nitrogen carrie and method of application. Aust. J.Exp. Agric. Anim Hush. 1: 481-487.
- RAO. K.A. and SIIINDE (1984) Turnover of <sup>15</sup>N labeles urea in various rotations of groundnut, sorghum and pigeonpea crops. Plant & Soil 79: 159-167.
- RITTENBERG, D. (1948) The precipitation of gas samplefor mass spectrographic isotope analysis. In, D,W Wilson, A.O.C. Wier and S.P. Reimann ed. Be preparation and measurement of isotopic tracers. pp.31 -42. J,W Edwards, Aan, Arbar, Mich.

(Recived May 1996 Revised : April 2000

Madras Agric, J., 86(7-9): 438 - 440 July - September 1999 https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A00640

# ASSESSMENT OF STABILITY PERFORMANCE IN PIGEONPEA

V. VANNIARAJAN\*, P. RANGASANIY, N. NADARAJAN and J. RAMALINGAM

Department of Agricultural Botany, Agricultural College and Research Institute Madurai - 625, 104

## ABSTRACT

Fifty three genotypes of pigeonpea grown over four different environments were analysed for stability of 10 biometrical traits. The pooled analysis of variance showed the presence of enough diversity among genotypes and among environments. Based on three stability parameters. MSPrabhatDT / Vamban1. QMS1 / ICPL161 and MST21 / ICPL 161 recorded stable performance for seed yield along with desirable mean.

KEY WORDS: Genotypes, Diversity, Stability, Seed yield

Exploitation of hybrid vigour is one of the potential avenues for quantum jump in the grain yield of crop plants. The indetification of genetic male sterility and the presence of a considerable degree of natural outcrossing have made it possible to exploit non-additive genetic variation through, economical production of heterotic hybrids in pigeonpea. It is commonly observed that the performance of different genotypes varies in different environments. The occurrence of genotype x environment interactions has provided a major challenge in obtaining a complete understanding of genetic control of variability. The study of genotype-environment interaction in

biometrical aspects is thus important from the genetical and evolutionary point of view. In the present study, the phenotypic stability of 13 parents and 40 hybrids was assessed and the stability performance of different characters in pigeonpea was computed.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material comprised of eight geenetic male sterile lines viz. MSPrabhatDT and five testers viz. ICPL151, ICPL161, Vamban1, ICPL87 and ICPL84032 (totally 13 parents) and fourty hybrids of pigeon pea generated by 13 parents

Present address: Department of Agrl. Botany, Agricultural college and Research Institute, Killikulam - 628 252

were grown in a randomised block design with three replications during Rabi 1992 (E,) at Agricultural Research Station, Kovilpatti and during Rabi, 1992 (E,) Summer 1993 (E,) and Kharif 1993 (E,) at Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai. Each genotype was accomodated in a single row of 4.5 m length with a spacing of 60 cm X 30 cm. Recommended package of practices and plant protection measures were followed. Data were recorded on five randomly selected plants from each genotype in each replication for days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, branches per plant, clusters per plant, pods per plant, pod length, seeds per pod, 100 seed weight and seed yield per plant. Since the female parents segregated for fertility / sterility, only the fertile lines within a row were selected at random for recording the observations. The data was subjected to stability analysis as per the procedure outlined by Eberhart and Russel (1966). The stable performance of different characters in pigeonpea is also worked out and presented.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The pooled analysis of variance over environments for different biometrical traits is presented in Table 1.

The abalysis of variance revealed significant differences for all the 10 characters studied due to genotypes and environments and due to genotype x environmental interactions, showing that enough diversity among genotypes and among environments has been involved in the present study. Ghodke et al. (1992) also obtained similar results. The arithmetic translations of the mean squares for the pooled data over environments into the estimates attributable to genotypic component and genotype x environment interaction component revealed the increased share of genotypic component as compared to genotype x environment interaction were equal. Manivel (1990) stated that yield was expressed mainly through genotypic component than genotypic x environment interaction.

Eberhart and Russel (1966) defined a stable genotype as one which showed a high mean yield, regression coefficient (bi) around unity and deviation from regression nearer to zero. Only those genotypes which showed high mean (overall mean +2SE) were considered. In this study, all the hybrids and parents were assessed for their stability performances based on regression coefficient and deviation from regression for each character and is presented in Table 2.

The line MSPrabhatDT was stable for most of the characters like branches per plant, pod length, seeds, per pod, 100 seed weight and seed yield per plant. The line MSCO5 was not stable for anyone of the characters studied. Among the testers,

Table 1. Pooled analysis of variance over environments

Source	d	Days to 50% Nowe- ring	Days to matu- rity	Plant height	Branches /plant	Clusters /plant	Pods /plant	Pod length	Seeds / pod	100 seed weight	Seed yield / plant
Environment		93.84*	51.59*	6874.25*	27.06*	1313.82*	14860.24*	11.38*	7.40*	6.78*	3959.95*
Genotypes	5	278.93*	517.70*	3973.07*	8.97*	2002.58*	9163.55	0.32*	0.30*	3.75*	479.95*
Genotypes x environments	15	3.44	6.24*	152.18*	0.55*	60.35*	372.65*	0.06*	0.70*	0,11*	27.32*
Error	42	1.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.02
o-g		22.9	42.6	318.4	0.7	161.8	732.5	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.2
σgl		1.0	2.0	50.6	1.0	20.0	124.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0
σ'g: σ'gl		21.06	20,89	6.29	3.89	8.09	5.90	2.0	1	7.5	4,17

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significant at 1 per cent level

Table 2. Seed yield of pigoenpea genotypes and their stability for yield and its components characters

				the state of the s			2 - 1 ·					
Genotypes	Seed yield per plant (g)	Days to 50% flow- ering	Days to- matu- rity	Plant height	Bran- ches/ plant	Clus- ters plant	Pods/ plant	Pod length		100 seed weight	Secd yield/ plant	Total stable chara- cters
MSPrabhat DT	19.95			-	+	-	-	+	+	+ .	- <sub>(**</sub> = 1	5
MS Co5	30.27	-	. +			· .	3.8			<b>-</b> 19	11.24	12
Vamban	21.55		1,0	4		•	٠,	*	+ -	: # · · ·	•	3
ICPL 87	21.27	; <del>-</del> -			+	÷.	-+ -	4:	-	•	3	
QMS1 x ICPL 161	29.77	*	*		+	-	+	+	+	+ "	++	6
IMS1 x ICPL 84032	5.88	-	, <u>.</u> ,		+	4%	4	+	14:	•	<del>40</del> 0 ×	4
QMS1 x ICP 151	21.59	•	. <u>.</u> .	,-		•	•	•	٠.	-	•	- "
MSPrabhat DT x Vamban	37.90	÷ .	; • • • •	•	:*	•	+	+	-	20.00 n to	*	3
MST21 x ICPL 161	43.70	2	:•;.	-			*	+	•	•,	+	3 -

Vamban 1, ICPL87 was stable for three characters but not for sed yield per plant.

Among the hybrids, QMSI X ICPL161 was stable for six characters including seed yield per plant with high mean. The hybrid IMSI/ICPL84032 was stable for four characters including seed yield per plant but with low mean. The hybrid QMSI X ICPL151 was not stable for any ofthe characters studied. Sunil Holkar et al. (1991) stated that the magnitude of regression coefficient and deviation from regression varied from genotype to genotype indicating that they were responsive towards environmental variation.

As a whole, when considering all the parameters, (i.e) high mean, regression coefficient and deviation from regression, MSPrabhatDT recorded stable performance with high mean for branches per plant and seeds per pod. The tester ICPL151 for 100 seed weight, ICPL161 for pod length and Vamban1 and ICPL84032 for seeds per pod recoreded stable performance with desirable mean.

In the present study, three hybrids viz., MSPrabhatDT / Vamban1. QMS1/ICPL161 and MST21 /ICPL161 were stable for seed yield per plant with high mean performance. Apart from this character, the hybrid MSPrabhatDT/Vamban1

possessed stability with desirable mean values for seeds per pod and QMSI X ICPL161 for pods per plant and 100 seed weight. These stable hybrids can be further tested in different environments to test their yield potentiality.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Dr. R.P. Ariyanayagam and Dr. K.B. Saxena, Pigeonpea Breeders, ICRISAT, Patencheru for providing seed materials. The first author is grateful to CSIR, New Delhi for providing financial assistance.

#### REFERENCES

EBERHART, S.A. and W.A. RUSSEL. (1966). Stability parameter for comparing varities. Crop Sci., 6: 36-40.

GHODKE, M.K. NERKAR, Y.S. KHARPRE, P.R. MAKNE, V.G. and JAHAGIRDAR, J.E. (1992), estimates of genotypic coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield contributing characters of pigeonpea under three cropping systems. Int. Pigeonpea Newsl., 16: 7-9

MANIVEL. P. (1990). Heterosis. combining ability and stability analysis in pigeonpea. M.Sc. (Ag). Thesis. TNAU, Coimbatore.

SUNIL HOLKAR, V.K., MISHRA, V.K. and BILLORE, S.D. 1991. Phenotypic stability of seed yield in pigeonepea under dryland conditions. Crop Res., 4: 247-252.

(Received: October 1997 Revised: July 1999)