NEW HOST PLANT RECORDS AND HOST RANGE OF THE SPIRALLING WHITEFLY, ALEURODICUS DISPERSUS RUSSELL (HEMIPTERA: ALEYRODIDAE)

B. GAJENDRA BABU and P.M.M. DAVID

Department of Agricultural Entomology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Killikulam, Vallanad - 628 252.

ABSTRACT

The rich collection of diversified flora at the District Science Centre, Tirunelveli was screened for infestation by the spiralling whitefly, Aleurodicus dispersus Russell during 1997-98. The survey revealed that A. dispersus did not develop on all host plants on which oviposition occurred. Of the 27 plants showing infestation, 19 were 'breeding hosts' while 8 were 'feeding hosts'. The following 14 are new host records: Dillenia indica, Adenanthera pavonina, Caesalpinia pulcherrima, Hiptage bengalensis, Malpighia punicifolia, Solanum trilobatum, Grewia tilliaefolia, Lantana sp., Stenolobium stans, Ipomoea obscura, Bombax ceiba, Jatropa multifida, Sterculia sp. A comprehension of list of host plants shows that the pest occurs on 187 plant species from 58 families.

KEY WORDS: Spiralling whitefly, Aleurodicus dispersus, host plants, new records

The entry into India of the spiralling whitefly, Aleurodicus dispersus. Russell, has added a new dimension to the economic importance of the whiteflies. Though a native of Central America as first described by Russell (1965), this pest is fast invading several parts of the globe. In India, its occurrence was first reported from the Western Ghats area of Kerala and from Kanyakumari district of Tamil Nadu (David and Regu, 1995). Its mode of infestation on an increasingly wide range of host plants portends that this exotic pest is likely to spread to other parts of the world as predicted by Cherry (1980).

Highly polyphagous, A. dispersus has been recorded on many plant species from 27 familes (Weems, 1971: Cherry, 1979). Over 100 species of plants, including vegetables, fruit trees, ornamentals and shade trees suffered from this whitefly infestation on all islands of Hawaii (Lai and Funasaki, 1990). Though several host plants have been recorded from India (David and Regu, 1995; Palaniswami et al., 1995; Ranjith et al., 1996; Prathapan, 1996; Douressamy et al., 1997), no comprehensive list of its host range is available. In view of its economic importance and recent origin, a survey was made at the District Science Centre of Tirunelveli (TamilNadu) where a diversified collection of plants had infestation of

A. dispersus. The results of the floral screening are presented in this paper along with a comprehensive revision of host plants of A. dispersus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All the flora at the District Science Centre at Tirunelveli were screened for the presence of A. dispersus. The pest load was noted host-wise by sampling 25 randomly selected leaves per host each month from May to September, 1997. The population count was made on a plant only when atleast the eggs of A. dispersus was present and the other plants were not considered for survey. Observations were made on the number of fresh egg wax spirals present on each leaf. Population per spiral was assessed after disposing the waxcover off the eggs by using a palm-held blower. The eggs were then easily counted using a hand magnifier (10x). Population density of larvae (all instars combined) and adults (both sexes) was also recorded on each sample leaf.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Aleurodicus dispersus infested 27 host plants among the diversified flora available at District Science Centre, Tirunelveli (Table 1). Of these, 14 species are new host plant records. Interestingly,

Table 1. Host range and population levels of Aleurodicus dispersus at District Science Centre, Tirunelvell.

Family	Botanical name	Mean no. of egg wax spirals/leaf	Mean no. of eggs/spiral	Mean no. of larvae/spiral/	Mean no. o adults/leaf
Apocynaceae	Plumeria rubra	0.20	0.12	0.08	0.04
Bixaceae	Bixa orellana	1.08	2.60	0.16	0.20
Dilleniaceae	Dillenia indica*	0.02	0.40	0.10	0.08
Leguminosac	Adenanthera pavonina*	0.16	0.88	0.24	0.08
Leguminosae	Bauhinia sp.	0.56	1.90	1.68	0.18
Leguminosae	Caesalpinia pulcherrima*	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.10
Leguminosae	Pithecellobium dulce	0.02	0.20	0.10	0.10
Leguminosae	Pongamia glabra	0.08	0.60	0.50	0.40
Lythraceae	Lagerstroemia sp.	0.04	0.10	0.08	0.02
Moracceae	Morus alba	0.06	1,90	1.10	0.80
Myrtaceae	Psidium guajava	0.20	2.0	1.16	1.20
Punicaceae	Punica granatum	0.04	0.43	0.26	0.10
Rosaceae	Rosa sp.	0.06	0.78	0.02	0.02
Malphigiaceae	Hiptage hengalensis*	0.04	0.10	0.00	0.00
Malphigiaceae	Malpighia punicifolia*	0.05	0.16	0.10	0.08
Solanaceae	Solanum trilobatum*	0.56	1.70	0.40	0.10
Tilicene	Grewia tilliaefolia*	0.30	0.10	0.50	0.20
Verbenáceae	Lantana sp. *	0.04	0.10	0.30	0.07
Verbenaceae	Tectona grandis	0.01	0.50	0.06	0.08
Verbenaceae	l'itex altissima	0.84	2.04	0.08	0.10
Bignoniaceae	Stenolobium stans*	0.08	0.30	0.00	0.00
Bombacene	Bombax ceiba*	0.08	0.28	0.00	0.00
Convolvulaceae	Ipomoea obscura*	0.10	0.28	0.00	0.00
Euphorbiaceae	Jatropa multifida*	0.08	0.10	0.00	0.00
Rutaceae	Murraya exotica*	0.05	0.16	0.00	0.00
Santalaceae	Santalum album	0.10	1.30	0.00	0.00
Sterculiaceae	Sterculia sp*	0.06	0.01	0.00	0.00

[·] New records

cotton is an important cash crop that still perhaps finds no scientific record. The nature of infestation on these plants is suggestive of the fact that they were of two types, 'breeding hosts' and 'feeding hosts'. For instance, observations on population of egg, larva and adult revealed that 19 hosts were of the first category and eight were of the second category. Egg wax spirals and eggs could be observed on the leaves of Stenolobium stans Seem, Bombax ceiba, Ipomoea obscura Ker-Gawl, Jatropha multifida L., Hiptage benghalensis Kurz, Murraya exotica L., Santalum album L. and

Sterculia sp. Closer examination of the eggs on sandalwood leaves showed that the crawlers perished after eclosion eventhough eggs were laid repeatedly. On 19 other host plants the crawlers developed into mature larvae and adults emerged from the pupal cases later. The number per leaf of egg wax spirals, eggs, larvae and adults averaged 0.01-1.08, 0.1-2.6, 0.06-1.68 and 0.02-1.20 respectively, on different host plants.

It is well known that A. dispersus is highly polyphagous infesting a very wide range of

Table 2. List of host plants of Aleurodicus dispersus

No. Family Acanthaceae		Botanical Name	
		Pseuderanihemum spp.6	
2.	Acanthaceae	Sanchezia nobilis ^{1,13}	
3.	Anacardiaceae	Anacardium occidentale L.11.14	
4.	Anacardiaceae	Mangifera indica L. 1.3.56.9.10.12	
5.	Anacardiaceae	Schinus terebinthefolius	
6.	Annonaceae	Annona reticulata L.11,12,14	
7.	Annonaceae	Annona squamosa L.1.19	
8.	Annonaceae	Monodora tenuifolia Benth."	
9.	Annonaceae	Polyalthia longifolia (Sonn.) Thwaites14	
10.	Apocynaceae	Beaumontia grandiflora	
11.	Apocynaceae	Plumeria acuminata Ait.14	
12.	Apocynaceáe	P.rubra L. 6.9	
13.	Apocynaceae	Plumeria sp. 4.8	
14.	Araceae	Aglaonema sp.9	
15.	Asclepiadaceae	Calotropis gigantea (L.) R.Br.ex.Ait.14	
16.	Bsalsaminaceae	Impatiens balsamina L.11	
17.	Begoniaceae	Begonia sp. ¹	
18.	Bignoniaceae	Stenalobium stans Seem.*	
19.	Bignoniaceae	Tecomaria capensis (Thunb.) Lindley14	
20.	Bixaccae	Bixa prellana L.14	
21.	Bombaceca	Bombax ceiba*	
22.	Burseracea	Bursera simaruba	
23.	Cannaceae	Canna sp.11	
24.	Cannaceae	C. hybrida Hort.*	
25.	Cannaceae	C. indica L.614	
26.	Caricoceae	Carica papaya L. 6.9.10.11.14	
27.	Combretaceae	Bucida buceras L.3	
28.	Combretaceae	Calyopteris floribunda (Roxb.) Poiret14	
29.	Combretaceae	Conocarpus erectus	
30.	Combretaccae	Quisqualis sp. 10	
31.	Combretaceae	Q. Indica ¹⁵	
32.	Combretaceae	Terminulia sp. ¹⁰	
33.	Combretaceae	Terminalia catappa L.1.2.11.34	
34.	Combretaceae	T. Indica*	
35.	Compositae	Dahlia rosea ^{17,12}	
36.	Compositac	Eupatorium adenophorum11	
37.	Compositac	Lactuca Indica L.*.	
38.	Compositae	Tridux procumbens L.11	
39.	Convolvulaceae	Ipomoca muricata Jacq.14	
40.	Convolvulaceae	1. obscura Ker-Gawl.*	
41.	Cucurbitaceae	Coccinia indica W. & A ¹¹	

Table 2. Contd.,

S.No.	Family	Botanical Name	
42.	Dilleniaceae .	Dillenia indica L.*	
43.	Dilleniaceae	D. pentagyna Roxb.17	
44.	Ebenaceae	Diospyros philippensis (Desr.) Gurke*	
45.	Euphorbiaceae	Acalypha spp. 9.11	
46.	Euphorbiaceae	A. godseffianai3	
47.	Euphorbiaceae	A. hispida Burm F.1.14	
48.	Euphorbiaceae	A. indica	
49.	Euphorbiaceae	A. wilkesiana Muell. Arg. 8.14	
50.	Euphorbiaceae	Bridelia retusa Spreng.14	
51.	Euphorbiaceae .	Codiaeum spp.6	
52	Euphorbiaceae	Euphorbia fulgens ^{1L}	
53.	Euphorbiaceae	E. geniculata14	
54.	Euphorbiaceae	E. pulcherrima Wild. ex. Klotzsch89,17,14	
55.	Euphorbiaceae	Excoecara agallocha L.14	
56.	Euphorbiaceae	Hura crepitans L.2.	
57.	Euphorbiaceae	Jatropha sp.15	
8.	Euphorbiaceae	J. multifida L.	
9.	Euphorbiaceae	Macaranga peltata (Roxb.) Muell. Arg. 13.14	
.0.	Euphorbiaceae	Manihot esculenta Crantz ^{6,8,9,10,12}	
1.	Euphorbiaceae	M. glaziovii Muell. Arg. 13.14	
2.	Euphorbiaceae	M. utilisima Pohl. 11.14	
3.	Euphorbiaceae	Ricinus communis L.14	
4.	Euphorbiaceae	Souropus andragynus (L.) Merr. 13.14	
5	Goodeniaceae	Scaevola taccada Vahlo	
6.	Guttiferac	Calophyllum calophe L.6.12	
7.	Guttiferae	C. inophyllum ¹	
8.	Guttiferae	Garcinia indica DC!	
9.	Heliconiaceae	Heliconia rostrata**	
0.	Labiatae	Coleus sp.1	
1.	Labiatae	Ocimum basilicum L.12	
2.	Labiatac	O. sanctum L.11.12	
3.	Labiatae	Salvia sp.14	
4.	Lauraceae	Persea americana Miller 1, 111	
5.	Lecythidaceae	Barringtonia speciosa!	
6.	Lecythidaceae	Careya arborea Roxb.14	
7.	Leguminosac	Acacia sp.10	
8.	Leguminosac	Adenanthera pavonia L.*	
19.	Leguminosae	Bauhinia sp.6	
0.	Leguminosac	B. acuminata ¹³	
81.	Leguminosae .	B. purpurea L. 8.14	
32.	Leguminosae	Caesalpinia pulcherrima Swartz*	

Table 2. Contd.,

No. Family.	Botanical Name	
3. Leguminosae	Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.7.14	
4. Leguminosac	Calopogonium mucnoides Desv.14	
5. Leguminosae	Cassia bahamensis ¹	
6. Leguminosae	C.fistula ¹	
7. Leguminosae	C. siamea1	
88. Leguminosae	C., senna L. 9.12	
9. Leguminosae	Centrosema pubescens Benth ¹⁴	
00. Leguminosae	Clitoria ternatea L.11	
1. Leguminosae	Enterolobium saman (Jacq.) F. Muell.14	
2. Leguminosae	Gliricidia maculata (Steudel.) Kunthi	
3. Leguminosae	G. sepium (Jacq.) Kunth ex. Walp9	
94. Leguminosae	Glycine max (L.) Metr.**	
5. Leguminosac	Inga laurina ^t	
6. Leguminosae	Lablab niger11	
7. Leguminosae	Leucaena leucocephala (Lam.) De Wit'	
8. Leguminosae	Pithecellobium dulce (Roxb.) Benth14.	
9. Leguminosac	Pongamia glabra Vent.14	
00. Leguminosae	Pterocarpus indicus Willd*	
01. Leguminosae	P. macrocarpus Kurz.*	
02. Lythraceae	Lagerstroemia indica L.*	
03. Magnoliaceae	Michelia champaca L.11	
04. Malphigiaceae	Hiptage benghalensis Kurz'	
05. Malphigiaceae	Malphigia punicifolia L.*	
06. Malvaceae	Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench12.14	
07. Malvaceae	Abutilon sp."	
08. Malvaceae	Gossypium hirsutum L'	
09. Malvaceae	Hibiscus sp. 14-9-12	
10. Malvaceae	H. rosasinensis L.***	
11. Malvaceae	Malayaviscus arboreus Dillen, ex. Cay.12	
12. Malvaceae	Sida acuta Burm. F.11	
13. Malvaceae	Urena lobata L.13.14	
14. Meliaceae	Dysoxylum alliaceum BL*	
15. Moraceae	Artocarpus heterophyllus Lamen	
16. Moraceae	A. gomezianus Wall.ex.Trec.*	
17. Moraceae	A. hirsums Lam.	
18. Moraceae	Castilloa cf. panamensis O.F. Cock*	
19. Moraceae	Ficus elasticoa**	
20. Moraceae	F. gibbosa Blume+	
21. Moraceae	F. glomerata Roxb.14	
22. Moracene	F. grandis Simonet*12	
23 Moraceae	F. religiosa	

Table 2, Contd.,

S.No. Family	Botanical Name
24. Moraccae	Morus alba L.II.II.IS
125. Musaceae	Ensete gilletti
126. Musaceae	Musa sp.6.19.11
127. Musaceae	M. nana¹
128. Musaceae	M. paradisiaca L.1.11.12
129. Musaceae	M. sapientum L.3.*
130. Musaceae	M. sumatrans
131. Myrtaceae	Encalyptus sp.10
132. Myrtaceae	Eugenia buxifolia
133. Myrtaceae	E. jambolana Lam.14
134. Myrtaceae	Melaleuca leucadendron
135. Myrtaceae	Psidium guajava L. 1.4.5.6.832.30.11.12.14
36. Nyctaginaceae	Rougainvillea sp. 6,9
137. Oleaceae	Jasminum sp.12
38. Orchidaceae	Peristeria sp.!
39. Orchidaceae	Spathoglottis sp.14
40. Palmae	Chrysalidocarpus lutescens
41. Palmae	Cocos nucifera L.1.3.6,10,11.12.14
42. Palmae	Pandanus sp."
43. Piperaceae	Piper nigrum L.13
44. Plumbaginaceae	Plumbago zeylanica L.14
45. Polygonaceae	Antigonon leptopus Hook & Arn. 14
46. Polygonaceae	Coccoloba uvifera (L.) L.23,4
47. Punicaceae	Punica granatum L.6
48. Rhamnaceae	Zizyphus jujuba (L.) Gaertner, non Miller14
49. Rhamnaceae	Z. oenoplia (L.) Miller14
50. Rosaceae	Prunus sp. '
51. Rosaceae	Rosa sp.69.12.14
52. Rosaceae	R. indica L.2.4
53. Rubiaceae	Coffea sp.1
54. Rubiaceae	Guettarda speciosa L.6
55. Rutaceae	Citrus spp. 9.30.12
56. Rutaceae	C. aurantifolia ¹
57. Rutaceae	C. paradisi Macfad
58. Rutaceae	C. sinensis (L.) Osbeck ³
59. Rutaccae	Murraya exotica L.
60. Rutaceae	M. koenigii (L.) Sprengel ¹³
61. Salicaceae	Salix babylonica L.*
62. Santalaceae	Santalum album L.14
63. Sapindaceae	Cardéospermum halicacabum L.14
64. Sapotaceae	Achras zapota L.12

Table 2. Contd.

S.No. Family	Botanical Name
165. Sapotaceae	Chrysophyllum cainito L.14
166. Simaroubaceae	Allanthus malabarica DC14
167. Solanaceae	Capsicum sp.1
168. Solanaceae	C. annum L. 8.31.32.14
169. , Solanaceae	C. diurnum
170. Solanáceae	C. frutescens L.13,14
171. Solanaceae	Cestrum sp.*
172. Solanaceae	Datura sp.14
173. Solanaceae	Lycopersicon esculentum Miller13
174. Solanaceae	Physalia minima L.13
175. Solanaceae	Solandra sp.1
176. Solanaceae	Solanum sp.11
177. Solanaceae	S. melongena L. N. 12.13.14
178. Solanaceae	S. nigrum L.14
179. Solanaccae	S. trilobatum
180. Sterculiaceae	Theobroma cacao L."
181. Sterculiaceae	Sterculia sp.*
182. Tiliaceae	Grewia tiliaefoila Vahl'
183. Verbenaceae	Clerodendrum thomsonia Balf.14
184. Verbenaceae	Lantana sp.
185. Verbenaceae	Tectona grandis L. f. 13,14
186. Verbenaceae	. l'îtex altissima L. f ¹⁴
187. Verbenaceae	V. negundo L."
I. Russell, 1965	6. Anonymous, 1990 11. David and Regu. 1995
2. Cherry, 1979	7. Gaud et al., 1991 12. Palaniswami et al., 1995
3. Cherry, 1980	 Kajita et al., 1991 Ranjith et al., 1996
4. Paulson and Beardsley, 1985	9. Akinlosotu et al., 1993 14. Prathapan, 1996
5. Anonymous, 1988	 M'Boob and van Oers, 1994 Douressamy et al., 1997.

New records

cultivated and wild plants (Mound and Halsey, 1978). However, the magnitude of infestaion as well as the extent of damage vary with plant species, seasons and localities. Moreover, the host plants of A. dispersus often react differently from region to region. A host plant species which supports higher populations at one place or in a country may be relatively free from the whitefly on another location. For instance, mango, citrus and sapota have been reported as hosts from other countries (Anon., 1990; M'Boob and van Oers, 1994) as well as from India (Palaniswami et al., 1995). However, these trees had neither any infestation

nor any egg wax spirals during the samplings although they were in the pool of infested trees or nearby. Another example is papaya (Carica papaya) which has been widely reported as a host of A. dispersus (David and Regu, 1995; Prathapan, 1996; Akinlosotu et al., 1993; M'Boob and van Oers, 1994). Though A. dispersus developed on papaya elsewhere, it did not infest papaya at Tirunelveli. Eventhough adults oviposited on papaya leaves, there was no further development. Similar behaviour in B. tabaci has been attributed to variations in agroecosystems and the possibilities of physiological races of B. tabaci (Basu, 1995). Though differences in

agroecosystems did exist in the present investigation, the probability of occurrence of physiological races of A. dispersus needs further examination. The hosts on which no immatures develop could not be treated as real 'hosts' of A. dispersus. This observation calls for further scrutiny while designating a host plant as 'breeding host' or 'feeding host'.

Prathapan (1996) also observed only eggs on sandal wood tree leaves. Another such example is J. multifida. Prathapan (1996) also made similar observations on Jatropha sp. this area needs more detailed research to find out the possible reasons for the mortality in immatures. Inhibitive host-insect interaction may be the cause. Morphological and physiological traits such as leaf toughness, hairiness, surface waxes, cell silicates and proliferation of wounded tissues would profoundly affect oviposition, locomotion and feeding as suggested by Ananthakrishnan (1992).

A comprehensive revision of the list of host plants of A. dispersus points out that A. dispersus occurs on 187 plants from 58 families, of which most susceptible plants occur in Leguminosae, Euphorbiaceae, Moraceae, Solanaceae, and Malvaceae including many economically important field, forest and horticultural crops (Table 2). The most reported host species is Psidium guajava which has been recorded in almost all reported locations. Being a perennial host, guava could well serve as a reservoir of the pest, facilitating its carry over between seasons as well as its spread. Denser canopy, continued flushing and the perennial character of guava would probably help sustain the severity of pest incidence.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors express their sincere thanks to Mr. V. Chelladurai, Research Officer (Botany), Govt. Siddha College, Palayamkottai for identifying the plant specimens and Mr. Hegde, District Science Centre, Tirunelveli for kindly permitting to carry out the study there.

REFERENCES

AKINLOSOTU, T.A., JACKAI, L.E.N., NTONIFOR, N.N., IIASSAN, A.T., AGYAKWA, C.W., ODEBIYI, J.A., AKINGBOHUNGBE, A.K., and ROSSEL, H.W. (1993), Spiralling whitefly. Aleurodicus dispersus in Nigeria, FAO Plant Prof. Bull., 41(2): 127-129.

- ANANTHAKRISHNAN, T.N. (1992). The Insects and Plant
 : A prelude. p.6. In : Dimensions of Insect-Plant
 Interactions. T.N. Ananthakrishnan (ed.). Oxford &
 IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 189 pp.
- ANONYMOUS. (1988). Pest and disease records. Papua New Guinea. Sprialling Whitefly. Quarterly Newsletter - Asia and Pacific Plant Prot. Com. FAO. Thailand, 31(3) : 24
- ANONYMOUS. (1990). Outbreak of spiralling whitefly (Aleurodicus dispersus) on Male and Villingili islands. FAO Pl. Prot. Bull., 38(3): 175-176.
- BASU, A.N. (1995). Bemisia tabaci (Genn.) Crop pest and principal whitefly vector of plant viruses. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. pp. 183.
- CHERRY, R.H. (1979). Temperature tolerance of three whitefly species found in Florida. Environ. Entomol., 8: 1150-1152.
- CHERRY, R.H. (1980). Host plant preference of the whitefly, Aleurodicus dispersus Russell. Fl. Ent., 63(2): 222-225.
- DAVID, B.V. and REGU. K. (1995). Aleurodicus dispersus Russell (Aleyrodidae: Homoptera) a whitefly pest, new to India. Pestology, 19(3): 5-7.
- DOURESSAMY, S., CHANDRAMOHAN, N., SIVAPRAKASAM, N., SUBRAMANIAN, A. and SUNDARABABU, P.C. (1997). Management of spiralling whitefly. Indian Silk, Oct. 1997, p. 15-16.
- GAUD, S.M., BENNETT, F.D. and FRANQUI, R.A. (1991).
 New records of, and notes on, whiteflies (Homoptera: Aleyrofidae) from Puerto Rico. J. Agric. Univ. of Puerto Rico, 75(3): 297-299.
- KAJITA, H., SAMUDRA, I.A., and NAITO, A. (1991). Discovery of the spiralling whitefly Aleurodicus dispersus Russell (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) from Indonesia, with notes on its host plants and natural enemies. Appl. Ent. Zool. 26(3): 397-400.
- LAI, P.Y. and FUNASAKI, G.A. (1990). The use of natural enemies to control pests in Hawaii, USA. In: Proceedings of the International Seminar on "The use of parasitoids and predators to control agricultural pests." FFTC Book series no. 40, p. 254.
- M'BOOB, S.S. and VAN OERS, C.C.C.M. (1994). Spiralling whitefly (Aleurodicus dispersus): a new problem in Africa. FAO Pl. Prot. Bull., 47(1-2): 59-62.
- MOUND, L.A. and HALSEY, S.H. (1978). Whitefly of the world. A systematic catalogue of the Aleyrodidae (Homoptera) with Host Plant and Natural Enemy Data. British Museum (Natural History). John Wiley & Sons, London, 340 pp.
- PALANISWAMI, M.S., PILLAI, K.S., NAIR, R. R. and MOHANDAS. C. (1995). A new cassava pest in India. Cassava Newsletter, 19(1): 6-7.
- PAULSON, G.S. and BEARDSLEY, J.W. (1985). Whitefly (Hemiptera: Alcyrodidae) egg pedicel insertion into host plant stomata. Ann. ent. Soc. Am., 78: 506-508.

RATHAPAN, K.D. (1996). Outbreak of the spiralling whitefly Aleurodicus dispersus Russell (Aleurodidae: Homoptera) in Kerala. Insect Environment, 2(2): 36-38.

ANJITH, A.M., RAO, D.S. and THOMAS, J. (1996). New host records of the "Mealy whitefly", Aleurodicus dispersus Russell in Kerala. Insect Environment, 2(2): 35-38.

RUSSELL, L.M. (1965). A new species of Aleurodicus douglas and two close relatives (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). Fl. Ent., 48(1): 47-55.

- WEEMS, H.V. JR. (1971). Aleurodicus dispersus Russell (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae), a possibel vector of the lethal yellowing disease of coconut palms. Fla. Div. Plant Ind. Entomol. Cir., No. 111, 2 pp.

(Received: August 1998 Revised: March 2000)

tadras Agric. J., 86(4-6); 313 - 316 April - June 1999

OF COTTON SEED cv. TCB 209 (Gossypium barbadense L.)

K. RATHINAVEL, C. DHARMALINGAM and S. PANEERSEI, VAM

Department of Seed Technology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003.

ABSTRACT

Field experiments conducted during summer and winter 1996 seasons with 10 treatments involving zine and boron in various combinations showed significant differences for yield attributing characters in cotton. The number of sympodia plant¹ (30.0%), number of bolls plant¹ (39.2%), boll weight (49.8%), seed weight boll¹ (36.8%), number of seeds boll¹ (10.8%) were significantly higher for plants given combined soil application of ZnSO, (50'kg ha¹) and borax (10 kg ha¹). The seed cotton yield and seed yield were 47.1% and 19.2% higher for the same treatment over control. The quality of resultant seeds in terms of 100 seed weight, germination, speed of germination, seedling growth, drymatter production, vigour index, dehydrogenase enzyme activity and oil content were also significantly higher for the seeds from plants received both ZnSO, and borax through soil or foliage.

KEY WORDS: Micronutrients, Zinc, Boron, Cotton

Premature floral abscission and failure to set seeds are the common problems in cotton seed production. These are to certain extent attributed to micronutrient deficiencies such as boron, zinc, copper and so on. The anthers and pollen grains accumulate relatively large amounts of zinc and get translocated to the resultant seed (Polar, 1970 : 1975). Sawan et al. (1989) reported increased seed vield plant, seed viability and seedling vigour with increase in N rate and foliar application of Ca (50 mg lit1), Cu, Zn (1.25 mg lit1), Fe. Mn (25 mg lit1) in cotton. Lakshmi (1995) also reported that soil application of zinc sulphate followed by two foliar sprays increased the seed quality. The present study was undertaken to study the effect of soil, foliar and combined application of zinc and boron on the seed yield and seed quality of cotton cv. TCB 209.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were carried out at Agricultural College and Research Institute, Coimbatore in two seasons viz., summer and winter in 1996. The experiment was laid out in black cotton soil in randomized block design using 20 m² plots with four replications. Seeds were dibbled at 60 x 45 cm spacing. Basal application of half the dose of N, full P and K (120:60:60 kg ha⁻¹) was done in all the plots, along with zinc sulphate (50 kg ha⁻¹) and borax (10 kg ha⁻¹) according to treatments. Remaining half dose of N was applied at square formation stage. Plant protection measures and cultural operations were followed as per the recommended package of practices.

Treatment particulars:

T. - Control

T. - Soil application of zinc sulphate (50 kg hard)

1, - Soil application of borax (10 kg har)

 T, - Soil application of zinc sulphate (50 kg ha⁺ borax (10 kg ha⁺)

 T_s - Foliar application of zinc sulphate (0.5%) on 90 and 110 days after sowing (DAS).