

can also be recommended for the effective management of carmine spider mite on Bendi.

#### REFERENCES

- CHERIAN, M.C. (1931). South Indian Acarina. J. Asiat. Soc. Beng. 27(1): 141-147.
- HENDERSON, C.F. and E.W. Tilton (1955) Tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. J. Econ. Ent., 48: 157-161.
- RAI, S.N. and SINGH, J. (1996). Biology and chemical control of carmine spider mite *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Boisd.) (Acarina : Tetranychidae) on Ashwagandha. *Withania somnifera*. Pestology 20(11) : 23-27.
- SINGH, R.N. MUKHERJEE, I.N., SINGH, R.K., RAI, S.N. and SINGH, J. (1991). Biology of *Tetranychus ludeni* Zacher a serious pest of cowpea In : Proceedings of First Asia Pacific Conference of Entomology 1989, Thailand 1: 179-184.
- SINGH, R.N. and SINGH, J. (1993) Biology of *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Boisd.) a serious pest of Lady's Finger (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Pestology 17(11) : 19- 22.
- SUCHITRA, R.K. and KHOKHAR. (1966) Studies on the chemicals of sucking pests infesting Okra. Annals of Biology 12(1) 104-109.

(Received : November 97 Revised : February 99)

Madras Agric. J., 85(7-9): 427 - 429 July - September 1998

<https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A00777>

## PREY POTENTIAL AND PREFERENCE OF THREE RICE DWELLING SPIDERS

K. SAMIAYAN and B. CHANDRASEKHARAN

Soil and Water Management Research Institute  
Kattuthottam, Thanjavur - 613501.

#### ABSTRACT

Laboratory experiments conducted at Soil and Water Management Research Institute, (TNAU), Thanjavur to assess the prey potential and preference of three common rice dwelling spiders on rice pests showed that *Pardosa* sp was the most efficient predator and it had the highest predatory potential with BPH (14.31) followed by WBPH (10.96). *Tetragnatha* and *Oxyopes* sp consumed more of GLH 5.69 and 7.29 and their potential against WBPH and BPH was almost equal. *Pardosa* preferred plant hoppers (BPH and WBPH) to leaf hopper (GLH). *Tetragnatha* and *Oxyopes* preferred more of GLH than WBPH and BPH. The three spiders behaved differently on the preference of moths of leaf folder, stem borer and caseworm.

KEY WORDS : RICE-SPIDERS-PREY-POTENTIAL-PREFERENCE

Agricultural entomologists have acknowledged the importance of spider species as a major factor in regulating pest populations of crops. Spiders as obligate suctorial carnivores often constitute a large part of the predatory arthropod fauna of rice agro ecosystem and prey on many insect pests (Barrion and Litsinger, 1980). Because of their ability to build up high populations densities and their insectivorous feeding behaviour, it is suspected that under favourable habitats spiders may play a significant role as mortality agents of insect populations (Kiritani, 1972). Preliminary estimates of prey biomass consumed by wolf spiders vary substantially between 0.5 kg/ha/yr fresh weight in cereal crops (Nyffeler 1982 a), to 50 kg/ha/yr in undisturbed grassland (Van Hook, 1971), with an intermediate value of 3-9 kg/ha/yr found in forests (Nyffeler, 1982 b). Despite their importance highlighted by several workers, the role they play in regulation of pest populations has received scant attention in India and few workers have attempted

on prey predator relationship and faunistic survey (Nirmala, 1990 and Ganesh kumar, 1994). Hence to assess the prey potential and preference of three importance rice dwelling spiders viz., *Pardosa*, *Oxyopes* and *Tetragnatha* on rice insect pests, studies were conducted and results presented.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**1. Predatory potential :** The predatory potential of *Pardosa* sp., *Oxyopes* sp and *Tetragnatha* sp adults was studied in the laboratory. The adult spiders were collected from pesticide unsprayed rice fields, sorted to uniform size and starved for 24 hours. Spiders were caged in tube pots containing 30 day old rice seedlings separately with adults of ten numbers of green leafhopper (GLH = *Nephotettix virescens* Distant), brown plant hopper (BPH = *Nilaparvata lugens* Stal. and white backed plant hopper (WBPH = *Sogatella furcifera* Horvath.). Observations were taken

daily on the number of individuals fed and the prey insects were replenished daily. The experiment was replicated four times and the cumulative number of prey consumed over 5 days was calculated.

## 2. Prey preference

### A. Prey preference over a mixed population of rice hoppers

The adult female spiders of above were caged individually with ten adults of each of BPH, WBPH and GLH. A total of 10 each of respective prey hoppers were let into a cage. Observations were taken daily on the number of individuals fed and the prey hoppers were replenished daily. The experiment was continued over a period of seven days and replicated four times. The cumulative percentage mortality over 7 days was calculated.

### B. Prey preference over a mixed population of rice lepidopteran moths

The adult female spiders of each of *Pardosa* sp, *Tetragnatha* and *Oxyopes* sp were caged individually with ten adult moths of rice stem borer, leaf folder and case worm. Totally there were thirty moths per cage. Observations were taken daily on the number of individuals fed and the prey moths were replenished daily. The experiment was continued over a period of seven days and replicated four times. Cumulative percentage mortality over 7 days was calculated.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**1. Predatory Potential :** The results of predatory potential of three common rice spiders over a period of five days are summarised in the Table 1. Among the three spiders, *Pardosa* was significantly superior and it could prey upon twice the number of plant and leafhoppers as that of

Table 1. Predatory potential of spiders occurring in the rice ecosystem

Spider	Prey consumed by a spider (Nos.)*			
	BPH	WBPH	GLH	Mean
<i>Pardosa</i>	14.31 aA	10.96 aB	5.73 bC	10.33 A
<i>Tetragnatha</i>	4.53 bB	4.22 bB	5.69 bA	4.81 B
<i>Oxyopes</i>	3.40 cB	3.78 bB	7.27 aA	4.81 B
Mean	7.41 a	6.32 b	6.23 b	

In a column, (lower case) and in a row (upper case) means followed by the same letter denotes statistical parity under DMRT (P=0.05)

\* Mean of four replications

*Tetragnatha* and *Oxyopes*. This finding is in accordance with that of earlier workers Kamal *et al.*, (1992) and Ganeshkumar, (1994). *Pardosa* consumed 10.33 hoppers over a period of five days and other two consumed 4.81 hoppers each. Of the three preys, *N. lugens* was preyed more (14.31) followed by *S. furcifera* (10.96) and *N. virescens* (5.73) by *Pardosa*. *Tetragnatha* and *Oxyopes* spiders consumed more of *N. virescens* 5.69 and 7.27 respectively and their prey potential against *S.furcifera* and *N. lugens* was almost equal (3.78 to 4.53).

## 2. Prey preference

### A. Prey preference over a mixed population of rice hoppers

Prey preference of spiders on plant and leaf hoppers of rice is presented in Table 2. *Pardosa* preferred *N. lugens* (41.04%) to *S.furcifera* (30.79%) and *N. virescens* (14.05%). Nirmala (1990) and Ganeshkumar (1994) have also reported that *Pardosa* had a distinct preference for *N. lugens* than *S. furcifera* or *N. virescens*. *Tetragnatha* preferred significantly more *M. virescens* (16.81%) to *S. furcifera* (11.08%) and *N. lugens* (10.44%) while *Oxyopes* had a greater preference for *N. virescens* (39.23%) followed by *S. furcifera* (19.19%) and *N.lugens* (14.40%). *Tetragnatha* though preferred more of *N. virescens* than the plant hoppers, the number of insects preyed upon by it was not as high as that of *Pardosa* and *Oxyopes*. This could be attributed to the habit of the spiders. *Pardosa* inhabits the lower parts of the rice plants and that might explain why it preys on planthoppers (Chiu, 1979). The lynx spider *Oxyopes* and *Tetragnatha* inhabit the upper canopy and this would account for their preference for *N. virescens*.

Table 2. Prey preference of spiders when offered a mixed population of rice hoppers

Spider	Prey consumed in seven days (%) <sup>a</sup>			
	BPH	WBPH	GLH	Mean
<i>Pardosa</i>	41.04 aA	30.79 aB	14.05 bC	28.62 A
<i>Tetragnatha</i>	10.44 cB	11.08 cB	16.81 bA	12.78 C
<i>Oxyopes</i>	14.40 bC	19.19 bB	39.23 aA	24.27 B
Mean	21.96 b	20.35 c	23.36 a	

In a column, (lower case) and in a row (upper case) means followed by the same letter denotes statistical parity under DMRT (P=0.05)

\* Mean of four replications

Table 3. Prey preference of spiders to moths

Spider	Prey consumed in seven days (%)*			Mean
	Stem borer	Leaf folder	Case worm	
<i>Pardosa</i>	34.60 aB	37.24 aA	29.70 bC	33.85 a
<i>Tetragnatha</i>	29.60 bA	29.07 bA	24.65 cB	27.77 b
<i>Oxyopes</i>	19.51 cC	29.72 bA	35.17 aA	28.13 b
Mean	27.90 C	32.01 A	29.84 A	

In a column, (lower case) and in a row (upper case) means followed by the same letter denotes statistical parity under DMRT ( $P=0.05$ )

\*Mean of four replications.

### B. Prey preference over a mixed population of rice lepidopteran moths

The preference of spiders over a mixed population of moths viz., yellow stem borer, leaf folder and case worm is presented in Table 3. *Pardosa* preferred significantly more leaf folders (37.24%), followed by stem borer (34.60%) and case worm (29.70%). *Tetragnatha* preferred stem borer (29.60%) and leaf folder (29.07%). *Oxyopes* preferred more of caseworm (35.17%) followed by leaf folder (29.72%) and showed a lesser preference to stem borer moths (19.51%). Similar to plant and leafhoppers, *Pardosa* (33.85%) accounted for significant extermination of the prey moths followed by *Oxyopes* (28.13%) and *Tetragnatha* (27.77%). The difference may also be due to the habit of the spiders. *Pardosa* and *Oxyopes* are hunters while *Tetragnatha* is web builder.

Madras Agric. J., 85(7-9): 429 - 432 July - September 1998

## PREDICTING RICE LEAFFOLDER DAMAGE AND YIELD LOSS IN IR 50 RICE BY MATHEMATICAL MODELLING

V. PANDI, P.C. SUNDARA BABU and C. KAILASAM

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University,  
COIMBATORE - 3.

### ABSTRACT

The predicted damage and yield loss caused by rice leaffolders, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Guenee) and *Marasmia patnalis* (Bradley) in microplot experiment during kharif 1996 showed that the yield loss was higher at the initial infestation by leaffolder larvae at 40 DAS than the infestation at 30 DAS. There was no proportionate increase in yield loss with increasing larval populations. The rate of yield loss due to an increment of one percent damage was more pronounced at 10 percent base level damage i.e., 10 to 11 percent than at higher base level damage of 20, 30 to 90 percent.

KEY WORDS : Rice, leaffolder, damage, yield loss and modelling

### INTRODUCTION

In recent past, some of the rice pests, hitherto recorded as minor pests have assumed major status

under the changed rice ecosystem. The rice leaffolders, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Guenee) and *Marasmia patnalis* (Bradley) which were

### REFERENCES

- BARRION, A.T. and LITSINGER, J.A. (1980). Taxonomy and Bionomics of spiders in Philippine rice agroecosystem : Foundations for future biological control efforts. Paper presented at the Annual conference of the pest control council of the Philippines. Cebu City, Philippines, 23-26 April-1980, 44 p.
- CHIU.S. (1979). Biological control of the brown planthopper. In Brown Planthopper : threat to rice production in Asia. IRRI, Los Banos, Philippines. 369 pp.
- GANESHKUMAR, M. (1994). Prey-Predator Interactions in the Rice Ecosystem with Special Reference to Spiders. Ph.D. Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 204 pp.
- KAMAL, N.Q., BEGUM, A. and BISWAS, V. (1992). Studies on the abundance of spiders in rice ecosystems. J. Insect Sci., 5: 30-32.
- KIRITANI,K. (1972). Strategy in Integrated control of rice pests. Rev. Plant Prot. Res. 5: 76-104.
- NIRMALA, R. (1990). Studies on predatory spiders of rice pests. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, 183 pp.
- NYFFELER, M. (1982 a). Field studies on the ecological role of the spiders as predators of insects in agro ecosystems. Ph.D., Dissertation. Swiss Fed. Inst. Technology, Zurich.
- NYFFELER, M. (1982 b). The ecological importance of spiders in forest ecosystem, a literature review. Anz Schadlingsk., Pflanzenschutz, Umweltschutz. 55. 134-137 (in German).
- VAN HOOK, R.I. (1971). Energy and nutrient dynamics of spider and orthopteran populations in a grassland ecosystem. Ecol. Monogr., 41: 1-26.

(Received : August 97 Revised : May 98)