The crop sown earlier required more period for attaining the various growth stages. Due to sufficient moisture available during the crop growth stages and reverse was the case in delayed sown condition. Therefore the plants completed their life cycle in the shorter period under delayed sown conditions.

The data also reveal that the coeficient of variation was high during seedling and button formation stage of sunflower crop, while it was branching and start of flowering stage in red gram. There was no clear variation between other stages. The heat units required to attain harvest stage marginally differed within the years under all sowing dates, as evidenced from coefficient of variation values.

The regression equation between GDD and number of days required to attain various physiological growth stages was worked out for sole sunflower and sunflower intercropped with redgram and intercropped redgram depiced in Fig. I and 2a and 2b. The equations were as follows:-

Sole sunflower

$$Y = 20.54 + 16.68 \times R^2 = 0.97$$

Intercropped sunflower

$$Y = 2.25 + 17.11 \times R^2 = 0.92$$

Intercropped Redgram

$$Y = 134.83 + 23.68 \times R^2 = 0.94$$

Where Y = Accumulated growing degree days. X = Number of days required to attain growth .

From this equation and by knowing th number of days required to attain the growth stage the GDD can be worked out for sole sunflowe redgram sunfower intercropped with intercropped red gram grown under drylan conditions.

Therefore, for accumulating more GDD and t obtain better production the sunflower an sunflower + redgram intercropping be sown in 2 and 25th M.W. under scarcity zone of Mahrashtra.

#### REFERENCES

- DIWANSIGH, UMAMAHESHWARRAO, V. and BISHNO O.F. (1993). Thermal requirement of Brassical Specie under three dates of sowing. Indian J. Agron., 38: 45-52.
- IWATA, F. (1984). Heat Unit Concept of Crop Maturity i Physiological Aspects of Dryland Farming. Oxford an IBN, New Delhi.
- PATIL, N.D., UMRANI, N.K. SHENDE, S.A. MANAKS, R.: KALE, S.F. and SHINGTE, A.K. (1981). Improved crt production technology for drought prone areas t Maharashtra, Tech. Bull. MP.A.U. Rahuri.
- SWAN, J.B., SCHENEIDER, E.C. MONEGRIF, J. PAULSOR, W.H. and PETERSON, A.E. (1987) Estimating corn growth yield and grain moisture from a growing degree days and residue cover. Agron. J. 7'
- VENKATESHWARLU, J.T., VISHNUMURTHY and M.\ PADMANABHAN (1991). Contingent crop productio strategy for rainfed areas under different weath conditions. Project Bull. No.5 Central Researc Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Hyderabad 1P.
- WARRINGTON, I.J. and KANEMASU, F.I. (1993). Cor growth response to temperature and photoperiod. Agro: J., 75: 749-754.

(Received: April 1995 Revised: June 1995

Madras Agric. J., 83(8): 493-495 August 1996 https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A01039

## SODIUM CHLORIDE NUTRITION IN COCONUT

P.DEVASENAPATHY, A.CHRISTOPHER LOURDURAJ, F.SALALRAJAN C.S. SRIDHARAN, R. MOHAN and R. MATHIAZHAGAN

> Agricultural Research Station Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Aliyar Nagar 642 101

Field experiment was conducted at the Agricultural Research Station, Aliyarnagar during 1989-1994 to study the effect of sodium chloride (Nacl) application to coconut. The results revealed that application of Nacl @ 1 kg/tree/year along with recommended dose of NPK, significantly influenced the growth and nut characters and nut yield of coconut. There was no residual sodium available in the soil to cause sodium toxicity after application.

KEY WORDS: Coconut, Common Salt, Quality Features, Residual Toxicity

Application of common salt (sodium chloride)

crowns is an age old practice among the coconu to the base of the coconut palms as wells as in the . cultivators in India (Ramanathan, 1973). Sodium

Table 1. Effect of Nacl on growth characters of coconut

Treatment .	Girth at base (m)	Girth at coller. (m)	Height of trunk (m)	No. of functional leaves	Length of petiole (m)	Length of leaf bearing portion (m)	Length of middle leaflet (m)	Breadth of middle leaflet (cm)	No. of bunches with buttons	No. of female flowers produced
T <sub>1</sub>	0.82	0.81	4.62	24.6	1.43	3.89	1.19	6.1	1.50	3.66
T <sub>2</sub>	0.83	0.83	4.92	29.3	1.56	3.97	1.20	5.6	2.08	5.30
Т3	0.73	0.82	4.45	28.6	1.41	3.85	1.28	5.6	2.43	5.13
T4	0.89	0.85	5.03	30.4	1.50	4.05	1.29	6.1	2.86	5.63
T <sub>5</sub>	0.78	0.78	3.91	28.0	1.41	3.80	1.31	5.9	1.66	4.76
T <sub>6</sub>	0.87	0.85	4.91	28.0	1.41	3.60	1.23	6.6	2.60	4.10
T7	0.82	- 0.82	4.82	29.3	1.48	3.74	1.23	6.0	2.70	5.03
Ts.	0.86	0.80	5.71	28.9	1.48	3.74	1.23	6.0	2.70	5.03
Tg	0.87	0.83	5.02	29.2	1.42	3.84	1.26	6.2	2.03	5.05
T10	0.88	0.84	5.07	- 28.6	1.48	3.85	1.26	6.0	2.36	5.26
SED	0.03	0.024	0.3	1.20	0.07	0.098	0.035	0.02	0.60	1.08
CD	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.205	0.07	0.04	1.12	2.26

itself might be a nutrient or it can make available more important potassium in the nutrition of palm (Magert et al., 1988). Though application of sodium chloride is practiced by the coconut growers in Tamil Nadu, the knowledge on its dose and combination with potassium chloride (Kcl) is lacking and this stady was taken up to find out the combined effect of Kcl and Nacl on coconut.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Agricultural Research Station, Aliyarnagar, Tamil Nadu, with 9 year old West Coast Tall palms. The experiment was conducted during 1989-1994. The experimental soil had a pH of 7.3 with low,

medium and low status of available N, P, and K respectively. The treatments included T<sub>1</sub> - Control; T<sub>2</sub> - recommended dose of NPK; T<sub>3</sub> - T<sub>2</sub> + 0.5 kg Nacl; T<sub>4</sub> - T<sub>2</sub> + 1 kg Nacl; T<sub>5</sub> - T<sub>2</sub> + 1.5 kg Nacl; T<sub>6</sub> - T<sub>2</sub> + 2 kg Nacl; T<sub>7</sub> - recommended dose of NP + 1.5 kg Kcl + 0.5 kg Nacl; T<sub>8</sub> - recommended dose on NP + 1 kg Kcl + 1 kg Nacl and T<sub>10</sub> - recommended dose of NP + 2 kg Nacl. The fertilizers were applied twice in a year. The experiment was conducted in a randomised blocks design with three replications. To maintain homogenity in the experimental plot, stabilised trees for nut yield were selected at the rate of 4 trees/treatment and pre- treatment observations on growth characters recorded.

Table 2. Effect of Nacl on nut characters of coconut

Treatment	Whole nut weight (kg)	Husked nut weight (kg)	Water content (ml)	Husk weight (kg)	Meat wieght (kg)	Shell weight (kg)	Copra weight (kg)	
T <sub>1</sub>	1.750	0.590	180	0.590	0.210	0.143	0.125	
T <sub>2</sub>	1.810	0.610	190	0.605	0.230	0.150	0.130	
Т3	1.812	0.601	185	0.590	0.225	0.150	0.128	
T4	1.815	0.647	195	0.620	0.255	0.155	0.132	
T5	1.805	0.597	183	0.595	0.235	0.145	0.129	
T <sub>6</sub>	1.790	0.582	. 175	0.590	0.230	0.140	0.127	
T <sub>7</sub> .	1.795	0.585	178	0.580	0.210	0.142	0.123	
Т8	1.815	0.650	205	0.625	0.235	0.152	0.130	
. T9	1.805	0.625	190	0.610	0.235	0.152	0.131	
T <sub>10</sub>	1.812	0.645	200	0.623	0.237	0.150	0.129	
SED	0.05	0.04	21	0.03	0.004	0.02	0.019	
CD .	0.11	0.09	NS	0.06	0.010	0.05	0.04	

Table 3. Effect of treatments on nut yields of coconut

Treatment	Pre-treatment 1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	% increase over control
T <sub>1</sub>	42	42	42	43	47	47	55	4. •
T <sub>2</sub>	43	44	45	49	52	55	65	18 -
T3	45	47	49	56	61	63	68	. 23
T <sub>4</sub>	45	51	55	57	63	68	71	29
T <sub>5</sub>	48	51	53	57	63	65	68	23
T <sub>6</sub>	46	49	53	55	62	64	67	21
T <sub>7</sub>	43	47	50	54	60	60	62	12
Т8	44	46	49	55	58	61	63	.15
T <sub>9</sub>	43	45	48	51	54	63	66	20
	45	49	54	57	59	60	62	12
T10	0.11	0.19	0.74	2.1	1.9	0.20	0.57	
SE <sub>D</sub> .	0.11	0.40	1.55	4.4	3.9	0.40	1.20	1.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# Effect of Nacl on growth characters

The growth characters viz., number of functional leaves, length of leaf bearing portion, width of middle leaf let, number of bunches with buttons and number of female flowers (buttons) produced were significantly influenced by the application of recommended dose of NPK Nacl @ 1 kg/tree/year (Table 1). Sodium chloride @ 1-1/2 kg, along with the recommended dose of NPK influenced the length of middle leaflet.

### Effect of Nacl on nut characters

The whole nut weight was more than 1.80 kg for the treatments with Nacl application (Table 2). Higher nut weight was obtained with recommended dose of NPK + Nacl @ 1 kg/tree/year. Sodium chloride at higher rate with NPK and partial substitution with Kcl reduced the nut weight. Similar trend was obtained with husked nut weight also. Higher husked nut weight was obtained with NPK Nacl @ 1 kg/tree/year. Application of Nacl also influenced the water content in the nut. Higher husk weight was obtained with NPK + Nacl @ 1 kg/tree/year. Similar trend was seen in shell and copra weight also. Maintenance of water status of coconut, improvement in gaseous exchange and Co2 assimilation, correction of deficiencies by improved nutrition all contributed by application of Nacl to coconut palms (Markose, 1989 Jayasekara et al., 1993) and resulted in good quality nuts.

## Effect of treatments on yield of nuts

The average yield on nuts in the pre treatment period ranged from 42 to 48 nuts/tree/year (Table 3). Increased nut yield was obtained over years of treatment. In all the years of observation, the cumulative nut yield was higher with Nac application. The treatment, application of recommended dose of NPK along with Nacl @ 1 kg/tree/year resulted in significantly higher cumulative nut yield in all the years of observation. In general, application of NPK and Nacl resulted in higher nut yield over control in all the years of observation. There was 29 per cent increased nut yield in recommended dose of NPK + Nacl @ 1 kg/tree/year over control.

The post-treatment soil analysis showed that the residual sodium available in the soil was very low to cause sodium toxicity to coconut and so Nacl @ 1 kg/tree/year along with recommended dose of NPK can be safely applied to get higher yields in coconut.

### REFERENCES

JAYASEKARA. C., MUDALIYE, R.G. and RAMASINGHE, C.S. (1993). Effect of N, K, Cl on photosynthesis and water relations of open pollinated tall coconut seedlings. Cocos 9: 30-39.

MAGERT,S.S., MARGATE, R.Z. and HABANA, J.A. (1988). Effects of increasing rates of sodium chloride (common salt) fertilisation on coconut palms. oleagineux 43: 13-17.

MARKOSE, V.T.(1989). Common salt. A manure for coconut. Ind.Coconut J., 2: 3-5.

RAMANATHAN, P.L.(1973). Use of common salt in coconut garden. Coconut Bull 9: 1-3.

(Received: June 1995 Revised: December 1995)