- PANSE, V.G. and SUKHATME, P.V. (1967) Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers ICAR, New Delhi, India.
- PATEL, J.A., PATEL, D.B., ZAVERI, P.P. and PATHAK, A.R. (1988). Path coefficient studies in pigeonpea. Int.Pigeonpea Newsl., 7: 5-6
- POKLE, Y.S. and MAHATKAR, L.C. (1976). Path analysis of yield components in pigeonpea. Nagpur Agri. Coll. Mag., 48: 23-24.
- SAXENA, K.B., FARIS, G., REDDY, L.J., SHARMA, D., REDDY B.V.S., GUPTA, S.C. and GREEN, J.M. (1986) Prospects of hybrid pigeonpea, In: New Frontiers in Breeding Researches. Proc. V. Int. Cong. (SABRAD). (NAPOM PETH, B. and SUBHADRA BANDHU, eds). Faculty of Agriculture, Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand, pp. 379-388.
- SHRIVASTAVA, M.P., SINGH, L. and SINGH, R.P. (1976). Heterosis in pigeonpea. Indian J.Genet., 36: 197-200.

- SINGH, G.P. SINGH, R.M. and SINGH, A.P. (1993). Character association and path coefficient analysis in pigeonpea hybrids. Indian Blol., 25: (in press)
- SINHA, S.C., SHRIVASTAVA, A.N. and SINGH, K.N. (1986) Heterosis in relation to genetic divergence in pigeonpea. Int. Pigeonpea Newsl., 5: 20-21.
- UPADHYAY, L.P. and SAHARIA, P. (1980). Interrelationship between yield and yield components in pigeonpea. Res.J.Assam Agric. Univ., 1: 43-47.
- WAKANKAR, S.M. and YADAV, L.H. (1975). Path analysis of yield components in Arhar. Indian J.Agric. Sci., 9: 182-185.
- WRIGHT, S. 1921. Correlation and causation. J.Agric. Res., 28: 557-585.
- YADAVENDRA, J.P., DIXIT, S.R. and SHAH, R.M. (1981) Genetic variability correlation and path coefficient analysis in pigeonpea. Gujarat Agric. Univ. J., 7: 37-40.

(Received: September 1994 Revised: January 1995)

Madras Agric. J., 83(1): 37-39 January 1996 https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A00963

# USE OF BRACKISH WATER IN RAISING CHILLIES IN RED SOIL

K.SUNDARAVADIVEL, P. MUTHUSAMY, R.KRISHNASAMY, S.RAMAMOORTHY and M. PERIASWAMY

Agricultural Research Station Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Kovilpatti 627 701

## ABSTRACT

Field experiments were conducted in the red soil of Agricultural Research Station, Kovilpatti with chillies (var.K2) in raised bed, under brackish water irrigation (EC 5.1 dSm<sup>-1</sup>) revealed that the application of gypsum to irrigation water (@ 1 t/ha) increased the dry pod yield significantly.

KEY WORDS: Red soil, Raised bed, Chillies, Brackish Water, Gypsum.

The water intended for irrigation must be free from excess soluble salts and concentration of specific chemical substances which may be hazardless to soil environment. The concentration and composition of dissolved salts in the water decides its suitability for irrigation. Salts affect the plants by increasing the osmotic pressure making the plant to exert more energy to absorb the soil water (Wilcox and Durum, 1967). The water samples were collected at random from open wells in different blocks of Kovilpatti Taluk used for irrigation which revealed that 60 per cent of the water samples were unfit for irrigation, 30 per cent suitable for raising tolerant crops like ragi and cotton and the remaining 10 per cent is fit for cultivation of all crops. Hence, a study was carried out to find out the effect of brackish water on the yield of chillies.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted in a split plot design replicated thrice, in the red soil of Agricultural Research Station, Kovilpatti during 1990-91, 1991-92 and 1992-93 with the following treatments.

### Main plot treatments

Bed method (M<sub>1</sub>) Raised bed (M<sub>2</sub>) Ridges and furrows (M<sub>3</sub>) Paired rows (M<sub>4</sub>)

## Sub plot treatments

Controls (S<sub>1</sub>)

Gypsum treatment to soil (as per GR) (S<sub>2</sub>

Pig manure compost @ 5 t ha-1 (S<sub>3</sub>)

Green leaf manure @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (S<sub>4</sub>)

Table 1. Dry pod yield of chillies (kg/ha)

Treatments	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93
MtSt	506	270	347
M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	615	683	613
M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	702	528	432
M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	685	408	541
M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	746	742	875
M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	650	1345	1412
M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	612	330	432
M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	572	783	681
M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	550	628	654
M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	595	438	412
M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	602	670	952
M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	750	1362	1492
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	475	408	249
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	502	658	712
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	550	687	594
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	540	475	315
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	596	687	790
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	612	1245	1315
M <sub>4</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	457	412	395
M <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	550	733	590
M <sub>4</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	681	537	605
M4S4	615	612	541
M4S5	702	615	754
M4S6	602	1013	1255
CD at 5%			
Method	50	62	58
Amendment	45	81	85
Amendment at Method	90	163	171
Method at Amendment	96	161	166

Note: M1 - Bed method; M2 - Raised bed;

M3 - Ridges and furrows; M4 - Paired rows; S1 - Control;

S5 - Enriched farm yard manure @ 750 kg ha-1;

S6 - Gypsum to irrigation water @ 1 t ha7

Enriched farmyard manure @ 750 kg ha-1 (S5) Gypsum to irrigation water @ 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (S6)

The soil, plant and water samples were analysed by adopting standard procedures. The experimental soil had pH 7.1, EC 1.2 dSm<sub>-1</sub>, KMNO<sub>4</sub>-N, 110 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, Olsen's-p, 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and K 320 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

Table 2. Characteristics of well water used for irrigation

Property	Content	
pH	7.9	*** 4.
EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	5.1	
Cations (mmol L-1)		
Ca	33.4	
Mg	20.2	
Na	10.9	
K	0.4	
Anions (mmol L-1)		
CO <sub>3</sub>	Nil	
HCO <sub>3</sub>	4.8	
Cl <sub>2</sub>	60.4	
SO <sub>4</sub>	0.64	U#

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The method of raising chillies, and the effect of application of gypsum, pig manure compost, green leaf manure, farmyard manure, on dry pod yield of chillies is presented in Table 1 which indicates that dry pod yield of chillies was significantly influenced by raising chillies in raised bed and gypsification of water (@1 t/ha). Gupta and Abichandani (1977) advocated the application of gypsum in the surface of the soil during the months of May and June for effective desalinisation of the soils irrigated with high EC waters. But Prasad and Paliwal (1976) reported better results with gypsum applied in irrigation water than when applied in soil. Gypsum application increases Ca: Mg ratio irrigation water and Ca: Na ratio in exchange complex which resulted in better growth. Generally red soils are ususally deficient in calcium, nitrogen, and phosphorous. Calcium is supplied to the soil naturally by irrigation water, which was reflected in the yield. To supply calcium to soil, a gunny bag of gympsum (@ 1 t/ha) was also placed in the irrigation system.

The high C12 content enhanced the EC in irrigation water (Table 2) Chloride salts are more harmful than sulphates. Chloride has not received much attention in evaluating the quality of irrigation water mainly because they do not affect the physical properties of the soil and are adsorbed little if any on the soil complex and thus move readily with the soil water.

S2 - Application of gypsum in soil;

S<sub>3</sub> - Pig manure compost @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>;

S4 - Green leaf manure @ 5 t ha-1;

Table 3. N,P and K content in the post harvest soils and their uptake (kg ha") (Pooled treatment mend 1990-93)

Treatments -	Content			Uptake		
	N	P	K	N	P	K
MıSı	94	6.8	248	19.7	7.0	11.7
M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	105	7.5	258	25.4	8.0	16.2
M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	70	7.4	280	26.9	7.8	15.5\9
M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	93	7.7	246	26.1	9.7	15.5
M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	28	7.4	302	31.5	10.4	21.6
MISS	110	9.4	283	40.3	13.4	22,2
M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	121	7.1	272	33.2	9.1	10.8
M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	116	8.4	280	32.1	11.3	15.1
M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	122	7.5	309	31.1	11.5	15.0
M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	110	7.7	290	29.9	11.0	19.1
M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	123	7.9	294	33.2	12.8	15.5
M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	131	9.0	309	44.2	15.3	25.2
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	108	6.9	271	22.9	8.6	10.5
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	104	7.9	283	26.2	8.8	15.1
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	119	8.0	300	26.0	11.3	18.3
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	120	8.7	301	30.8	11.1	18.1
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	109	8.1	289	32.8	12.9	21.4
M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	88	8.3	287	41.5	14.9	29.8
M <sub>4</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	115	8.4	310	23.6	9.7	11.7
M <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	110	7.4	273	27.5	9.9	18.3
M <sub>4</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	116	7.5	272	25.0	12.9	18.5
M <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	112	7.9	264	32.2	12.9	19.9
M4S5	102	7.9	269	32.2	11.3	19.7
M <sub>4</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	124	8.9	288	40.9	15.1	25.0

M1 - Bed method; M2 - Raised bed; M3 - Ridges and furrows; M4 - Paired rows; S1 - Control; S2 - Application of gypsum in soil;

Higher value of available N,P,K was registered where chillies were grown in raised bed with gypsification water (Table 3).

Results pertaining to the effect of brackish water and application of various amendments to the soil and water on soil fertility status, and uptake of nutrients showed that there was no significant change in fertility as well as uptake (Table 3). Table 2 showed that the application of gypsum in the irrigation water has significant influence on the yield.

From the above study, it could be concluded that wherever the brackish water is the only source of irrigation for red soil for raising irrigated chillies, application of gypsum @ 1 t/ha in the irrigation water and raising chillies in raised bed can be advocated for getting higher yield.

#### REFERENCES -

GUPTA, I.C. and ABICHANDANI, C.T. (1977) Use of saline waters for irrigation and their influence on soil character. In:Natural Resources of Rajasthan. University of Jodhpur, pp. 1071-78.

PRASAD, M. and PALIWAL, K.V. (1976). Effect of gypsum on the growth of wheat irrigated with magnesium rich waters. Indian J.Agri.Sci.,46: 171-174.

WILCOX, L.V. and DURUM, W.H. (1967) Quality of irrigation Water In: Irrigation of Agricultural Lands. (HAGAN, R.M., HAISE, H.R. and EDMINISTER, T.H., eds. American Society of Agronomy, INC., Madison, Wisconsin, pp 104-124.

(Received: December 1993 Revised: March 95)

S<sub>3</sub> - Pig manure compost @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>; S<sub>4</sub> - Green leaf manure @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>; S<sub>5</sub> - Enriched farm yard manure @ 750 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;

S6 - Gypsum to irrigation water @ 1 t ha-1