- unguiculata (L.) Walp.), for leaf/fodder plus grain. Trop. Agric., (Trinidad)67: 133-136.
- BIRADAR, B.D., GOUD, J.V. and PATIL, S.S. (1991). A study on character association and path coefficient in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.). J. Maharashtra Agric Univ., 16: 27-29
- DEWEY, J.R. and LU, K.H. (1959). A correlation and path analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agron J., 51: 515-518.
- JATASRA, D.S. and DAHIYA, B.N. (1988). Relative importance of forage yield components in cowpeas under dryland conditions. Indian J. Agric. Res., 32: 1-5.
- JERMYN, W.A. and SLINKARD, A.E. (1976). Protein-yield relationships in field peas. Can.J.Pl. Sci.,56:427
- KUMAR, A., MISHRA, S.N. and VERMA, J.S. (1983). Correl ation and path analysis in cowpea. Crop Improve., 10: 36.
- MITTAL, V.P. and SOHOO, M.S. (1991). Partitioning of quantitative variation in forage cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.). In: Golden Jubilee Symposium on Genetic Research Education: Current Trends and the Next Fifty Years. Abst., Vol.II. Feb 12-15, 1991. Indian Society of Genetics and Plant Breeding, IARI, New Delhi, 501 pp.
- PATIL, R.B. and BHAPKAR, D.G. (1987). Correlation studies in cowpea. J. Maharashtra Agric, Univ., 12:56-59.
- Madras Agric. J., 82(6,7,8): 422-424 June, July. August 1995 https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A01221

- RADHA MANOHARAN, G. (1978). Biometrical studies in fodder cowpea (Vigna sinensis (L.) Savi.). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- RANG, A. and UPPAL, B.K. (1986). Causation and association analysis in forage sorghum. Crop Res., 1: 210-217.
- ROQUIB, M.A. and PATNAIK, R.K. (1989). Correlation and path analysis for fodder attributes in Vigna unguiculata Env. Ecol., 6: 892-896.
- SINGH, R.K. and CHAUDHARY, B.D. (1985). Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis (Revised), Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi
- THAWARE, B.L., BIRARI, S.P. and BENDALE, V.W. (1992).Genetic analysis in forage cowpea. Ann. Agric. Res., 13: 188-190.
- VIJAY PAL, M. (1989). Genetic analysis of some quantitative characters in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.). Thesis Abst., Hariyana Agric. Univ., 188: 50.
- VIJENDRA DAS, L.D. (1992). Present strategies and future research in climate and crop production. In: Proceeding the National Seminar on Agriculture in Nineties: Challenges and Research Needs.Oct.23- 25. 1991 SINHA M.N. and RAI, R.K, eds. Indian Society of Agricultural Science, IARI, New Delhi,pp.33-38.

(Received: January 1994 Revised: May 1994)

# COMBINING ABILITY OF NEW MALE STERILE LINES OF DIVERSE SOURCES IN PEARL MILLET FOR YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS

## G.KANDASWAMI and R.SETHUPATHI RAMALINGAM

School of Genetics Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore 641 003.

#### ABSTRACT

Nine male sterile lines of pear milet and six inbreds were evaluated for their combining ability in a line x tester mating design. The GCA and SCA variance focussed the importance of non-additive effects for days to 50 per cent flowering, panicle girth and grain yield per plant indicating heterosis breeding for yield improvement. For plant height and humber of productive tillers, both the components were almost equal. Among lines, 834A and Pb405A were good combiner for yield and 338A and Pb302A for early flowering. The testers PJB 2231P, PT 1921 and K560-230 were good combiner for grain yield per plant. The hybrid 834AxPJB 2231P showing highest mean expression and sca effect for grain yield offered scope for exploitation of heterosis.

KEY WORDS: Combining Ability, Pearl Millet, Male Sterile Lines.

In pearl millet Pennisetum glaucum(L.) R.Br.) there is a need to study the combining ability of parental lines for identifying prepostent parents and potential hybrids since the development of hybrids possessing high yield is being felt owing to the manifestation of heterosis for yield. The present study was undertaken to assess the combining ability of nine male sterile lines of diverse sources and six inbred lines for yield components in pearl millet.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Six inbred lines of pearl millet derived from diverse sources were crossed with nine new male sterile lines viz., 81A, 834A, 3383A, Pb302A, Pb305A, Pb403A, Pb405A, PT732A and ms1A representing different cytoplasmic bases. The resulting F1 hybrids were grown at Regional Research Station, Virdhachalam, TamilNadu is a randomised block design with three replications during kharif 1987, in single row of three m length

Table 1. Analysis of variance for different characters

| Source        | Characters .                     |              |                                 |                |               |             |  |  |
|---------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|--|--|
|               | Days to 50 per<br>cent flowering | Plant height | Number of<br>productive tillers | Panicle length | Panicle girth | Grain yield |  |  |
| Hybrids       | 12.95**                          | 1373.09**    | 1.96**                          | 29.41**        | 2.41**        | 124.72**    |  |  |
| Lines         | 16.11**                          | 4276.75**    | 4.75**                          | 55.83**        | 7.17**        | 266.12**    |  |  |
| Testers       | 41.53**                          | 3394.78**    | 6.49**                          | 92.31**        | 2.98**        | 333.78**    |  |  |
| Line x Tester | 8.74**                           | 539.64**     | 0.84**                          | 16.26**        | 1.39**        | 79.31**     |  |  |
| Error         | 0.65                             | 68.91        | 0.15                            | 3.38           | 0.22          | 9.87        |  |  |
| GCA .         | 0.89                             | 146.49       | 0.21                            | 2.57           | 0.16          | 10.21       |  |  |
| SCA           | 2.70                             | 156.91       | 0.23                            | 4.29           | 0.39          | 20.15       |  |  |
| GCA: SCA      | 0.33:1                           | 0.93:1       | 0.91:1                          | 0.60:1         | 0.41:1        | 0.51:1      |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup> Significant at p=0.05 \*\* Significant at p=0.01

and spaced 45cm apart. The parental genotypes were also randomised and sown in adjacent plots with three replications. Observations on six traits riz., days 50 per cent flowering, plant hight, number of productive tillers per plant, length of panicle, girth of panicle and grain yield per plant were recorded on ten randomly selected plants. The combining ability analysis was carried out following Kempthorne (1957).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The male sterile lines chosen for the present study are based on different sources of cyctoplasm. The lines 81A, 834A and 3383A represent A<sub>1</sub> cytoplasm; Pb302A and Pb305A belong to A<sub>2</sub>

Table 2. General combining ability effects of parents

cytoplasm, Pb403a and Pb405A to A3 cytoplasm, ms 1A belongs to V cytoplasm while PT732A is yet to be characterised. The data on analysis of variance for combining ability is given in Table 1. The variance due to lines was significant for all the characters studied, indicating greater variation among lines selected for the study. The testers were also genetically differentiated with each other in respect of many morphological and economic attributes as indicated by the significant variances for all the characters. The differences between hybrids were also significant for all the traints, indicating that the hybrids varied considerably among themselves. The variances due to interaction between lines and testers were highly significant for all the characters, indicating the importance of

| Genotype | Characters                       |              |                              |                |               |             |  |  |
|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|--|--|
|          | Days to 50 per<br>cent flowering | Plant height | Number of productive tillers | Panicle length | Panicle girth | Grain yield |  |  |
| Lines    |                                  |              |                              |                |               |             |  |  |
| 81A      | 0.69*                            | 2.17         | -0.09                        | 0.68           | 0.19          | 1.99        |  |  |
| 834A     | 0.75**                           | -8.43**      | -0.57**                      | 1.94**         | 0.09          | 5.04**      |  |  |
| 3383A    | -1.86**                          | -10.43**     | 0.85**                       | -0.67          | -0.23         | 1.11        |  |  |
| Pb302A   | -1.25**                          | -16.39**     | 0.52**                       | -0.64          | -0.87**       | -3.12**     |  |  |
| Pb305A   | -0.20                            | -1.16        | -0.11                        | 1.17           | -0.23         | 0.81        |  |  |
| Pb403A   | 0.52                             | -16.43**     | 0.49**                       | -4.05**        | -0.94**       | -7.43**     |  |  |
| Pb405A   | 0.75**                           | 21.18**      | -0.39**                      | -0.30          | 0.52**        | 4.18**      |  |  |
| PT732A   | 0.19                             | 2.74         | -0.08**                      | 0.70           | 0.63**        | -2.07*      |  |  |
| ms IA    | 0.41                             | 26.80**      | -0.62**                      | 1.17           | 0.85**        | -0.52       |  |  |
| Testers  |                                  |              |                              |                | 74            |             |  |  |
| P1B2231P | -0.59**                          | -3.91        | -0.33**                      | 0.93           | -0.02         | 3.16**      |  |  |
| K560-230 | 1.41**                           | 2.25         | -0.24**                      | -0.49          | 0.32*         | 1.86*       |  |  |
| PT1921   | 1.23**                           | 21.31**      | -0.24*                       | 1.27*          | 0.33**        | 2.12*       |  |  |
| PT3832   | -0.10                            | -3.87        | -0.26*                       | 1.51**         | -0.14         | 0.29        |  |  |
| JE104    | 0.01                             | -5.18*       | 0.13                         | 0.25           | 0.07          | -0.86       |  |  |
| Local    | -1.96**                          | -10,60**     | 0.95**                       | -3.47**        | -0.56**       | -6.56**     |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup> Significant at p=0.05 \*\* Significant at p=0.01

|  |        |        |          |          |                 | - A              |
|--|--------|--------|----------|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| ## (A) 0.1 (C) (A)                       | Line   |        | Tester   |          | Hybrids         |                  |
| Characters -                             | Mean   | gca    | Mean     | gca      | Mean -          | áca              |
| Days to 50 per cent<br>flowering (early) | msIA   | 3383A  | Local    | Local    | Pb302A x Local  | Pb302A x Local   |
| Plant height                             |        |        |          |          |                 | and the second   |
| i) Tall                                  | Pb305A | ms1.A  | PT1921   | PT1921   | ms1A x PT1921   | ms1A x PT1921    |
| ii) Dwarf                                | PT732A | Pb403A | P1B2231P | Local    | Pb403A x JL104  | Pb405A x P1B2231 |
| Number of Tillers                        | 3383A  | 3383A  | Local    | Local    | Pb302A x Local  | Pb305A x JL104   |
| Panicle length                           | 834A   | 834A   | PT3832   | PT3832   | 834A x P1B2231P | 834A x PIB2231P  |
| Panicle girth                            | 834A   | ms1A   | K560-230 | PT1921   | 834A x P1B2231P | 81A x Local      |
| Grain yield                              | 834A   | 834A   | PT3832   | P1B2231P | 834A x PIB2231P | 834A x P1B2231P  |

Table 3. Best parents and Hybrids based on mean performance and combining ability effects.

specific combining ability effects for these characters. The proportion of GCA to SCA variance focussed the importance of non-additive effects for days to 50 per cent flowering, panicle length, panicle girth and grain yield per plant indicating the need for heterozygosity in the population and heterosis exploitation for yield improvement. Similar result was reported by Tyagi et al. (1975) and Basavaraju et al. (1980) for days to 50 per cent flowering, Tyagi et al. (1975) Mukerji et al. (1981) and Mithila (1987) for panicle length and Mithila (1987), Virk (1988) and Vijayalakshmi (1990) for grain yield. For plant height and number of productive tillers additive and non additive components were almost equal.

The estimated gca effects of parents for different characters are given in table 2. The parent 834A was the best combiner among lines, for yield and showed good combining ability for late flowering, dwarfness and panicle length. The parent pb405A was a good combiner for late flowering, tallness, panicle girth and grain yield per plant. The parents 3383A and pb302A were good combiner for early flowering, dwarf stature and number of productive tillers per plant but the latter parent (Pb302A)showed significant negative effects for panicle girth and grain yield. PT 732A and ms1A were the best combiner for panicle girth. Among the testers, P1B2231P was a good combiner for early flowering and grain yield. PT1921 was the best combiner for lateness, panicle length, panicle girth and grain yield. K560-230 was good combiner for lateness, panicle girth and grain yield per plant. The highest per se performance and maximum sca effect for grain yield was recorded by the hybrid 834A x P1B2231P (Table 3). The parents involved in the cross were good combiners. The outstanding

performance of the hybrid was due to exploitation of gca and sca effects.

The studies indicated that the genotype havinhigh gca for lateness, high / average gca for panicle length / panicle girth as in 834A was go combiners for yield. The parents showing geneffects in desired direction can also be used as one of the parents for hybridisation programme. The other parent involved should be a high combine for seed yield. The parent showing very high go for a particular character could be used for specific component improvement programme. A the yield and its major components are under the influence of non-additive gene effects, heterosi breeding could be profitably employed for the improvement of yield in pearl millet.

#### REFERENCES

BASAVARAJU,R., SAFEEULLA, K.M. and MURTHY, B.R (1980). Combining ability in pearl millet. Indian J Genet., 40:528-536.

KEMPTHORNE, O. (1957). An introduction to Geneti Statistics. John Wiley and Sons. Inc., New York.

MITHILA,J. (1987). Genetic and biochemical studies in pear millet (Pennisetum americanum(L.) Leeke). M.Sc(Ag. Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

MUKHERJI, P., DWIVEDI, S.L., SINGH, B.D. and SAHU G.R. (1981). Genetic divergence and character association in pearl millet. Indian J. Agric, Sci., 51:69-72.

TYAGI,C.S., ARORA,N.D., SINGH, R.K. and SINGH, K.P. (1975). Combining ability analysis in *Pennisetun typhoides* (Burm.) S-& H. J. Res. Haryana Agric. Univ. 5:14-24.

VIJAYALAKSHMI, C. (1990). Genetic and biochemica characterisation of male sterile line of pearl mille (Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R.Br.). M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

VIRK,D.A. (1988). Biometrical analysis in pearl millet: A review. Crop Improv., 15:1-29.

(Received: Febuary 1994 Revised: June 1994)