yield which was on par with the yield of Thankaikulam silt, sea weed residue, green leaf manure, farm yard manure and biogas slurry treatments. Sea weed residue also recorded higher pod yield, which is in conformity with the report of Koo (1988).

### Protein content

There was a significant difference in the protein content of kernels due to the treatments. The protein content ranged from 5.69 to 17.81 per cent. The treatment with the application of arecanut waste recorded the highest protein content (17.81 per cent). The oil percentage ranged from 34.7 to 48.4 per cent.

## Total oil yield

The treatment with the application of biogas slurry recorded the highest oil percentage (48.4 per cent) which was on par with pressmud applied treatment. The total oil yield ranged from 1.3 to 4.9 g/pot. The treatment with the application of

arecanut waste gave the highest oil yield, which was on par with pressmud applied treatment. The higher content of oil content in biogas slurry applied treatment is due to high content of N,P and K. Balanced supply of these three nutrients play a major role. This is in conformity with the results of Rani Perumal et al., (1978).

#### REFERENCES

KOO, R.C.J. (1988). Response of citrus to sea weed based nutrient sprays. Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society 101: 26 - 28.

HUMPHRIES, E.C. (1956).Modern Methods of Plant Analysis. Springer - Verlag, Berlin

RANI PERUMAL., VENKATARAMANAN,C.R. KRISHNA MOORTHY,K.K. and RAMASWAMI, P.P. (1978) Rhizobia and phosphorus incorporation influence the oil content of groundnut Arachis hypogaea L.). Oils Oilseeds J., 30: 19-20

RICE, T.L. (1948). Physical characteristics of the soil profile as applied to land classification. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc., 1:450 - 458.

SEHGAL, J.L. (1987). Soil map of India and soil resources inventory of Tamil Nadu. Soil Survey and Mapping Legend. In Service training Programme, Coimbatore.

Madras Agric. J., 82(2): 121-123 February, 1995 https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A01143

# HETEROSIS STUDIES IN BITTERGOURD(Momordica charantia)

R.RICHARD KENNEDY, R.ARUMUGAM, G.KANDASAMY and S.SURESH Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore 641 003

#### ABSTRACT

Four lines were crossed with fifteen testers and the resultant sixty hybrid combinations, were evaluated along with their parents for heterosis, for fruit yield and their component characters. Observations were recorded on 12 yield component characters. Appreciable amount of heterosis was observed for all the characters under study. The F<sub>1</sub> hybrids L<sub>3</sub> x T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Visesh x MC.13) L<sub>2</sub> x T<sub>4</sub> (MC, 84 x MDU.1), and L<sub>11</sub> x T<sub>1</sub> (DFH, 21 x MC.13) gave the highest fruit yield per vine and they showed 65.74, 61.92 and 48.04 per cent heterosis for yield over the standard variety (MC.84). The best performing F<sub>1</sub> hybrid of the study L<sub>3</sub> x T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Visesh x MC.13) recorded 65.74 per cent heterosis over the standard variety (MC.84) and 49.00 per cent heterosis over the better parent (MC.13).

## KEY WORDS: Bitter Gourd, Hetorosis

Bittergourd (Momodica charantiaL.) is one of the most important nutritious cucurbitaceous vegetables, known for its peculiar characteristic bitter taste. It is highly cross pollinated and its monoecious nature has resulted in variations in several qualitative and quantitative characters. Despite of it importance and adaptability not much work has been done especially in crop improvement aspects. The present investigation was taken up using a 4 X 15 line x tester crossing system to produce promising F1 hybrids in this crop.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The bittergourd material chosen for this study consisted of 15 lines and four testers which were crossed using the Line x Tester making system to produce 60 hybrids. The parents used were Co.1 (L1), MC.84 (L2), Pusa Visesh (I3), Coimbatore local (L4), Perumbayoor local (L5), Vadakkancheri

table 1. Heterosis and superior  $F_1$  - combinations for 15 x 4 Line x Tester analysis in bittergourd

Character	Range of heterosis over better parent (%)	Three top performing combinations with heterosis (% in parenthesis) over best check for different characters
Vine length (m)	-30.37 to 43.50	L <sub>10</sub> x T <sub>1</sub> (71.24) L <sub>11</sub> x T <sub>1</sub> (51.46) L <sub>1</sub> x T <sub>1</sub> (31.46)
Number of primary branches per vine	-35.98 to 39.62	L <sub>2</sub> x T <sub>4</sub> (41.59) L <sub>3</sub> x T <sub>4</sub> (35.39) L <sub>10</sub> x T <sub>1</sub> (35.39)
Node number of first female flower production	-56.52 to 47.73	L <sub>10</sub> x T <sub>3</sub> (-30.23) L <sub>13</sub> x T <sub>3</sub> (-22.48) L <sub>11</sub> x T <sub>3</sub> (-19.92)
Days to first harvest	-15.96 to 19.94	L <sub>13</sub> x T <sub>3</sub> (-11.41) L <sub>5</sub> x T <sub>2</sub> (-9.61) L <sub>2</sub> x T <sub>2</sub> (-8.73)
Fruit length (cm)	-47.71 to 22.71	L <sub>11</sub> x T <sub>2</sub> (32.55) L <sub>13</sub> x T <sub>2</sub> (32.41) L <sub>5</sub> x T <sub>4</sub> (13.70)
Fruit diameter (cm),	-45.59 to 31.33	L <sub>2</sub> x T <sub>3</sub> (20.91) L <sub>10</sub> x T <sub>3</sub> (16.82) L <sub>14</sub> x T <sub>2</sub> (16.59)
Fruit flesh thickness (cm)	-43.40 to 14.00	L <sub>15</sub> x T <sub>1</sub> (30.00) L <sub>3</sub> x T <sub>4</sub> (18.00) L <sub>10</sub> x T <sub>2</sub> (18.00)
Edible portion of fruits (%)	-24.59 to 18.89	L <sub>8</sub> x T <sub>2</sub> (14.95) L <sub>2</sub> x T <sub>4</sub> (13.10) L <sub>4</sub> x T <sub>4</sub> (11.78)
Number of fruits per vine	-49.42 to 77.95	L <sub>11</sub> x T <sub>4</sub> (142.94) L <sub>12</sub> x T <sub>4</sub> (137.60) L <sub>14</sub> x T <sub>4</sub> (128.14)
Mean fruit weight (kg)	-68.89 to 32.11	L <sub>9</sub> x T <sub>2</sub> (12.65) L <sub>10</sub> x T <sub>1</sub> (11.52) L <sub>3</sub> x T <sub>2</sub> (7.74)
Fruit field per vine (kg)	-64.41 to 49.00	L <sub>3</sub> x T <sub>1</sub> (65.74) L <sub>2</sub> x T <sub>4</sub> (61.92) L <sub>11</sub> x T <sub>1</sub> (48.04)
Crop duration (days)	-11.55 to 9.37	L <sub>7</sub> x T <sub>3</sub> (-10.78) L <sub>7</sub> x T <sub>2</sub> (-9.92) L <sub>3</sub> x T <sub>2</sub> (-7.56)

local (L<sub>6</sub>), Pudur local (L<sub>7</sub>), Coimbatore long green (I<sub>8</sub>), Udayamartandom local (L<sub>9</sub>), Ottanchathram local (L<sub>10</sub>), DFH.21 (L<sub>11</sub>),DFH.77 (L<sub>12</sub>), DFH.55 (L<sub>13</sub>), DFH.72 (L<sub>14</sub>), MC.47 (L<sub>-15</sub>), MC.13 (T<sub>1</sub>), VK.1. Priya (T<sub>2</sub>), Arka Harit (T<sub>3</sub>) and MDU.1 (T<sub>4</sub>).

A total of 60 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids and their respective parents were raised in a randomised block design with three replications during June-November, 1993. An interrow spacing of 2.5 m and intrarow spacing of 2.0 m was adopted and the vines were trained to pandal. Four seeds per pit were sown to obtain a good stand, but only two seedling per pit was retained, making a total of eight seedlings per genotype per replication. Observations were recorded on eight plants per replication on 12 important characters. Heterosis was calculated as the percentage of F<sub>1</sub> performance in the favourable direction of its better parents (dii) as well as standard variety (diii) for each character. The best parental lines were established individually for different characters based on their performance in the line X texter mating system. Accordingly L<sub>2</sub>

(MC.84) a high yielding commmercial cultivar, was kept as the standard variety.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The range of heterosis percentage in F<sub>1</sub> crosses varied from 9.37 (crop duration) to 77.95 (number of fruits per vine) over better parental values (Table1). Out of 60 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, the heterotic effects over better parents were observed in 24 crosses for vine length, 30 for number of primary branches per vine, 46 for node number of first harvest, 5 for fruit length, 3 fruit diameter, 13 for fruit flesh thickness, 20 for edible portion of fruits, 39 for number of fruits per vine 10 for mean fruit weight, 19 for fruit yield per vine, and 10 for crop duration. Highly significant differences were observed among the treatments.

Among the parents, Pudur local (L7) and Arka Harit (T3) were the earliest in days to first harvest, and lower node number of first female flower production and lesser crop duration. The ovule parent Pusa Visesh (L3) performed better in characters like fruit diameter and mean fruit weight, while the male parent T<sub>1</sub> performed better for vine length, number of primary branches, fruit flesh thickness, edible portion of fruits, number of fruits per vine and fruit yield pervine. The parent L2 performed best for characters like number of primary branches, and fruit yield per vine, whereas L14 gave highest vine length and number of fruits per vine. The highest fruit length was observed in parent Ls, fruit flesh thickness in L13 and edible porting of fruits in L4. The male parent T4 performed best for fruit length, and mean fruit weight. The superiority of L3 (Munshi, 1991), L2 (Devadas, 1993),L1 and L8 (Lawande and Patil, 1990) and T4 (Gopalakrishnan, 1986) for various yield components have been reported earlier.

The range of heterosis over better heterotic effect of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids exhibiting the highest percentage of heterosis when compared the standard variety for different characters included. Earlier Ranpise (1985), Gopalakrishnan (1986),

Lawande and Patil (1989) and Devadas (1993) have also reported standard heterosis in bettergourd.

The first top three hybrids L3 x T1, L2 x T 4 and L<sub>1</sub> x T<sub>1</sub> showed 65.74, 61.92 and 48.04 per cent hetetosis. It was observed that the total yeild of fruits per plant in bittergourd is mainly dependent upon the fruit-weight and number of fruits per plant. The number of fruits per plant influenced by the size of the fruits (length and diameter) and vegetative vigour (vine length and branching). The fruit weight increases or decreases depending upon the number of fruits and size of fruits. The seeds per fruit and seed weight per fruit are also directly related to the size of the fruits. Early fruiting tends to produce large number of fruits. The results indicated that from the economic point of view, it was useful to utilise the parental lines having important characters like earliness, vegetative vigour, and higher fruit size, number and weight associated with yield in order to achieve higher yeilds in F1 hybrids of bittergourd.

The best F<sub>1</sub> hybrids L<sub>3</sub> x T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Visesh x MC.13) which gave 65.74 per cent higher yield over the standard variety MC.84 (L<sub>2</sub>) can be exploited for commercial cultivation.

#### REFERENCES

- DEVADAS, V.S. (1993) Genetic studies in fruit and seed yield and quality in bittergourd (Momordica charantia L.) Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Horticulture, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore.
- GOPALAKRISHNAN, R. (1986). Diallel analysis in bittergourd (Momordica charantia L.), M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Faculty of Horticulture, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore.
- LAWANDE, K.E. and PATIL, A.V. (1989). Correlation studies in bittergourd. J.Mahashtra Agric. Univ., 14: 77-79.
- LAWANDE, K.E. and PATIL, A.V. (1990). Studies on combining ability and gene action in bittergourd. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ., 15: 24-28.
- MUNSHI, A.D. (1991). Genetical studies in bittergourd. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Horticulture, IARI, New Delhi,
- RANPISE, S.A (1985). Heterosis and combining ability studies in bittergourd M.Sc. Thesis. Mahatma Phule Agricultural University, Rahuri, Maharashtra.