

In brief, the results indicate that since wider spacing resulting in non uniform tillers, non uniform flowering and ripening, the production of HD grain is limited and therefore an optimum spacing of 20x10 cm is beneficial for future adoption in scented varieties. As higher nitrogen resulted in lodging and being non-responsive to HD grain, an optimum of 40-60 N/ha is being suggested. Further, the low HD grain index (37-47%) in scented varieties emphasises the need for focussing future thrust on grain filling.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is immensely grateful to Dr.E.A.Siddiq, and Dr.B.Venkateswarlu (Project Director and Head, Dept of Plant Physiology, respectively) Directorate of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad for providing facilities,

Madras Agric. J., 82(2): 112-114 February, 1995  
<https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A01140>

## YIELD ATTRIBUTES AND YIELD OF N AND RHIZOBIUM INOCULATIONS IN SORGHUM - SOYBEAN INTERCROPPING

G.PUSHPARAJ, S.J., G.S.THANGAMUTHU, M.R.IRUTHAYARAJ and D.PURUSHOTHAMAN  
 Arul Anandar College (Autonomous), Karumathur 626 514.

### ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted during September to December 1986 (*rabi* season) and February to May 1987 (summer season) on sandy loam and clay loam soils respectively under irrigation at the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, to find out the effect of N and *Rhizobium* inoculations in sorghum - soybean intercropping. It was inferred that the sorghum Co26 in double paired row intercropped with soybean Col at 50 per cent of the recommended level of N (45 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) in combination with the indigenous Rhizobial strain, *Rhizobium japonicum* soybean 53 gave the highest grain yield of sorghum and soybean with a saving of 45 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**KEY WORDS :** Yield, *Rhizobium* inoculation, Intercropping

In India, sorghum is being grown as a sole crop, as intercrop with pulses or mixture crops to serve as an 'harvest insurance'. The compatibility of sorghum - soybean based cropping system in terms of agronomic practices, monetary returns and improvement of soil is appreciated widely. It has become evident from the recent studies that not only total yields from intercropping can be larger than those from pure stands of sorghum. (Wiley, 1979; Anon., 1981) but also a legume as an intercrop has exercised beneficial effects on the associated non legume system (Burton *et al.*, 1983) in terms of gains in grain protein and soil N fertility and its maintenance without N fertilisation (Searl *et al.*, 1981)

timely help and for giving their kind encouragement in conducting the present investigation.

### REFERENCES

- PADMAJA RAO, S., VENKATESWARLU, B. and ACHARYULU, T.L. (1985). Screening technique for differentiating the degree of spikelet filling in rice. *Plant Soil* 88 : 289-293.
- PADMAJA RAO, S. and VENKATESWARLU, B (1986). Grain grade index-A new method for realizing potential yields in rice. *Curr. Sci.*, 55 : 465-466.
- PADMAJA RAO, S. (1987). Panicle type-few structural considerations for higher yield potential in rice, *Ind.J.Pl. Physiol.*, 30 : 87-90.
- PADMAJA RAO, S. (1988). Production trends of high density grain as influenced by nitrogen, season, crop canopy and duration of low land irrigated paddy. *Oryza* 25 : 47-51.
- VENKATESWARLU, B., VERGARA, B.S., PARAO, F.T. and VISPERAS, R.M. (1986). Screening quality grains of rice with a seed blower. *SABRAD J.*, 18 : 19-24.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments, conducted during September to December 1986 (*rabi* season) and February to May 1987 (summer season) on sandy loam and clay loam soils respectively under irrigation at the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, were laid out in split plot design with four replications. The spacing adopted for sorghum Co26 between rows was 30cm as against normal 45cm and 90cm between two rows of soybean with a plant spacing of 5cm for soybean as against normal plant spacing of 15cm. The population was maintained uniformly under the sole and intercrop system. While the main plot consists of N levels,

the sole plot is treated with rhizobial cultures. The treatment details are as follows:

### Main Plot N Levels

No - No nitrogen

N1 - 25 per cent of the recommended N for sorghum (22.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

N2 - 50 per cent of the recommended N for sorghum (45.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

N3 - 75 per cent of the recommended N for sorghum (67.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

N4 - 100 per cent of the recommended N for sorghum (90.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

### Sub plot Rhizobial strains

CO - Soybean uninoculated

C1 - Soybean inoculated with *Rhizobium japonicum* strains, soybean 53.

C2 - Soybean inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strains USDA 110.

The entire quantity of N, 45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O each were applied basally to the base crop

Table 1. Effect of treatments on the yield and yield attributes basecrop sorghum - Co 26 intercrop soybean Co 1

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Ear head length (cm)	Ear head width (cm)	Ear head weight (g)	Thous and grain weight (g)	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Plant height (cm)	No. of bran ches plant <sup>-1</sup>	No. of pods Plant <sup>-1</sup>	No. of seeds plant <sup>-1</sup>	Hundred seed weight (g)	Seeds yield kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Stover yield kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
1986 Rabi														
N0	159.76	21.41	7.46	87.79	33.10	3540	2378	52.20	3.80	11.32	15.06	8.07	128	253
N1	172.38	20.53	6.90	85.08	36.58	3556	2154	50.25	3.67	9.78	14.69	8.13	159	265
N2	179.92	24.70	9.19	103.65	45.62	4197	2445	59.25	4.33	14.28	17.03	8.68	240	180
N3	189.53	26.67	9.67	142.90	44.12	4137	2994	52.98	4.11	16.99	16.30	8.05	173	259
N4	165.61	25.30	9.02	102.58	52.88	4530	2395	56.67	3.73	10.06	13.11	8.18	145	244
S.E.	10.27	1.61	0.98	13.94	6.36	192	230	2.93	0.44	1.66	2.91	0.12	15	34
C.D. (5%)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	592	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	5.13	N.S.	0.37	46	N.S.
CO	153.63	22.97	7.93	95.65	41.89	4057	2693	56.72	3.74	10.73	12.55	8.22	167	224
C1	174.94	23.29	8.65	106.61	40.60	4088	2257	53.09	3.87	12.42	17.62	8.17	169	222
C2	191.75	24.92	8.76	110.95	44.88	3826	2439	53.00	4.18	14.31	15.54	8.28	170	246
S.E.	6.50	0.64	0.28	4.52	2.62	219	186	1.19	0.21	0.85	1.36	0.11	9	16
C.D. (5%)	18.75	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	2.45	3.91	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
N X C	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
1987 Summer														
N0	151.77	19.83	6.11	37.58	31.92	1802	3724	57.46	7.51	24.82	19.98	10.74	151	143
N1	156.67	21.16	6.15	41.54	30.73	2071	3666	62.31	7.70	22.47	21.62	10.89	183	182
N2	152.32	19.59	6.05	44.30	30.66	2178	3845	72.68	7.62	27.84	33.28	11.19	244	244
N3	154.43	20.15	5.59	47.81	29.96	2177	4418	64.52	7.97	29.77	34.33	11.89	244	326
N4	153.67	19.41	5.88	46.51	29.49	1982	3888	58.69	7.80	29.01	26.70	11.47	201	144
S.E.	4.93	0.85	0.24	4.29	0.73	149	348	1.87	0.70	2.40	4.94	0.27	12	35
C.D. (5%)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	5.75	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	37	108
CO	155.33	19.76	5.92	42.81	30.04	1942	3749	67.79	7.51	26.97	29.00	11.25	193	216
C1	154.71	20.06	6.03	43.51	30.82	2115	4114	59.64	8.05	26.99	27.03	11.34	224	203
C2	151.28	20.26	5.91	44.81	30.79	2069	3851	61.97	7.61	26.39	25.50	11.12	197	204
S.E.	2.65	0.73	0.27	2.32	0.53	108	159	2.28	0.47	2.18	3.13	0.17	7	28
C.D. (5%)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	6.58	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	21	N.S.
N X C	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.

N.S. : Not Significant



of sorghum Co26 only. The soybean Co1 seeds were inoculated with the two *Rhizobium* strains. The sorghum Co26 and soybean Co1 were sown on 17 August 1986 and 9 February 1987 and harvested on 18 December 1986 and 3 May 1987 and *rabi* and summer season crops respectively.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Sorghum base crop

The effect of N and rhizobial strains on the yield attributes, grain and straw yield are presented in Table 1 for both the seasons. It is seen that the yield attributes and grain yield were not influenced by the treatments studied but influence of season on these characters was well pronounced. The lower grain yield during summer may be due to the rainfall received between mid April 1987 to mid May 1987 which coincided with flowering and milky stage of crop resulting in flower and pollen shedding. The air temperature during summer at flowering (35.17/23.6 °C) and at grain formation (35.0/23.3 °C) might have resulted in the abortion of floral parts and sterility. Peacock and Heinrich (1962) reported that floral abortion occurs at 33/28° C and even moderately high temperature at anthesis caused embryo abortion. In *rabi*, such conditions did not exist and favoured the floral setting and grains formation resulting in higher grain yield. Further the source sink relationship was higher because of low respiration and greater translocation of photosynthesis from leaf to floral parts resulting in higher grain yield in *rabi* that summer.

Neither N nor *rhizobium* cultures and their interaction was significant in both the seasons for all the characters except grain yield during *rabi* was significant. Summer straw yield was higher than *rabi* crop was raised under sandy loam soil. The higher soil moisture availability in clay loam soil to the summer crop coupled with high temperature promoted, during vegetative growth, increased straw yield. This increased vegetative growth of the base crop sorghum had its negative influence on the reproductive system resulting in lower grain yield and yield components. Similar to grain yield N2

level (45.0 kg ha) and indigenous *rhizobium* (C1 was found to perform better in summer than *rabi*).

### Soybean - intercrop

The influence of N and rhizobial strains on yield attributes and yield is presented in Table 1. The yield attributes and yield were higher during summer than *rabi*. The increased seed yield in summer as against *rabi* was in proportion to the increase in yield components of the former. The N levels were significant in increasing the soybean intercrop yield in both the seasons and the application of *Rhizobium* in summer alone was significant. The interaction effect was not significant.

Intercropped soybean, stover yield was higher and the seed yield was lower in *rabi* and in summer it was vice-versa. Neither N nor *Rhizobium* and their interaction was significant in both the seasons, except the effect of N during summer. Of N levels, N3 (67.5 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) gave higher stover yield during summer but did not differ from N2 level (45 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and in *rabi* the lower stover yield at N2 level (45 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) would suffice for the intercrop soybean indicating that 45 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (N2) is sufficient for the stover yield irrespective of rhizobial application since rhizobia did not influence the stover yield.

## REFERENCES

- ANONYMOUS. (1981) Proceedings of an International Workshop on Intercropping, Hyderabad, India, held at ICRIAT, Hyderabad India (summary)
- BURTON, J.W., BRIM, C.A. and RAWLINGS, J.O. (1983). Performance of non nodulating and nodulating soybean isolines in mixed culture with nodulating cultivars. *Crop Sci.* 23: 469-473.
- PEACOCK, J.M. and HEINRICH. (1982). Light and temperature response in sorghum. Proceedings of International Symposium on Millets in the Semi Arid Tropics. ICRIAT, Patancheru, India. November 15-20. pp 143-158.
- SEARLE, P.G.E, YUTHAPONG COMUDOM., SHEDDEN, D.C., and NANCE, R.A. (1981) Effect of maize and legume intercropping systems and fertilizer nitrogen on crop yields and residual nitrogen. *Field Crops Res.*, 4: 133 - 143.
- WILLEY, R.W. (1979). Intercropping: its importance and research needs (A) Part 1 Competition and Yield advantages. *Field Crop Abstr.*, 31 : 3-10