

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to express their sincere thanks to Dr. T.N. Shukla (Plant Pathologist (Retd.) and Professor and Head Deptt of Plant Pathology, C.S. Azad Univ. of Agric & Tech., Kanpur for facilities.

## REFERENCES

FISHER, R.A. and YATES, F. 1963. Statistical tables for Biological Agricultural and Medical research (Sixth ed.) J.W. Arrow Smith Ltd. Bristol pp.144.

GUPTA, S.P. 1982. Parasitism and control of leaf blight disease of kodo *Paspalum scrobiculatum* Linn). Ph.D. Thesis, C.S.A. Univ. of Agric & Tech., Kanpur pp.173.

GUPTA, S.P. and SINGH, B.R. 1992. In vitro evaluation of fungicides against Kodo leaf blight pathogen. Indian J. Agric. Sci. (Submitted).

GUPTA, S.P.; NARAIN UDIT, SINGH MOHIT and SHUKLA, T.N. 1982. A new leaf blight disease of Kodo *Paspalum scrobiculatum* L.) Nat. Acad. Sci. India 5 (2):41-42.

SIMMONS, E.G. 1967. Typification of *Alternaria*, *Stemphyllum* and *Ulocladium*. Mycologia 59:67-92.

Madras Agric. J., 81(8): 442-445 August, 1994

<https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A01558>

## STORABILITY OF CHILLI (*Capsicum annum* L.) SEED

C.P. THIAGARAJAN.

Dept. of Seed Technology, TNAU, Coimbatore.

### ABSTRACT

Seeds dried to a moisture content of 8 per cent and with an initial germination of 85 per cent recorded 73 per cent germination after 30 months of storage under ambient conditions. Slurry treatment with captan 75% WP at 2 g + DDT 50% WP at 200 mg/kg of seed was found better than untreated seeds. Seeds obtained from various pickings exhibited differences in their storability. Seed germination decreased with increase in storage; whereas E.C. increased with the increase in storage period.

Seed quality is not only influenced by prevailing external environmental conditions but also on the condition of storage (Harrington, 1960). Production of seed itself is a costly venture and the possibilities of carrying over of the unsold stock to the next season is inevitable in a competitive seed market. Therefore, reliable information for prolonging the shelf life of seed under storage will be of immense help to the seed producers.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

For evaluation, 20 g samples were made from seeds retained by 8/64" round perforated sieve separately from each picking obtained from a rabi season crop. The seed samples were given the following treatments: T<sub>1</sub> - Control without any treatment. T<sub>2</sub> - Seeds were slurry treated with Captan 75% WP @ 2 g + DDT 50% WP at 200 mg/kg of seed with 5 ml of water. The seeds were packed in cloth bags (C<sub>1</sub>) and polythene bags (C<sub>2</sub>) of 700 gauge thick and stored in the Department of Seed Technology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore under ambient condition. At trimonthly interval samples were drawn and the following estimations were made for 30 months

(P<sub>0</sub> - P<sub>10</sub>) on germination and electrical conductivity.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Germination

The mean germination after 30 months of storage was 72.8 and 75.9% in control and treated seeds respectively.

Among the pickings the mean germination ranged between 85.8 to 59.41 per cent. The highest and the lowest germination was in first and last pickings respectively. (Table 1). Untreated seeds from first four pickings maintained the standard germination of 70% upto 21 months in cloth bag and upto 31, 21, 24 and 21 months in C<sub>2</sub> respectively in the first four pickings. Seeds from fifth and sixth picking recorded 70 per cent germination only upto 6 and 3 months in cloth bags and upto 12 months in polythene bags. Treated seeds from six pickings maintained 70% germination upto 30, 24, 21, 24, 9 and 9 months in cloth bags and upto 30, 27, 24, 24, 15 and 15 months in polythene bags respectively.

Table 1. Percentage of germination as influenced by pickings, period of storage, containers and seed treatment chemicals in cv. K2 chilli.

		C <sub>1</sub>										Mean	
		P <sub>0</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>9</sub>	P <sub>10</sub>	
T <sub>1</sub>	Pi. I	92 (73.5)	92 (73.5)	90 (71.5)	89 (70.6)	88 (69.7)	88 (69.7)	85 (67.3)	83 (65.6)	79 (62.7)	70 (56.7)	69 (56.1)	84.1 (66.5)
	Pi. II	92 (73.5)	92 (73.5)	87 (68.8)	79 (62.7)	75 (60.0)	79 (62.7)	74 (59.3)	72 (58.0)	55 (47.8)	51 (45.5)	51 (45.5)	73.4 (58.9)
	Pi. III	86 (68.0)	86 (68.0)	85 (67.2)	83 (65.6)	82 (64.9)	81 (64.1)	79 (62.7)	71 (57.4)	51 (45.5)	51 (45.5)	57 (49.0)	73.8 (59.3)
	Pi. IV	84 (66.4)	84 (66.4)	82 (64.9)	79 (62.7)	78 (62.0)	77 (61.3)	75 (60.0)	71 (57.4)	67 (54.9)	59 (50.1)	53 (46.7)	73.5 (59.0)
	Pi. V	79 (62.7)	78 (62.0)	71 (57.4)	63 (52.5)	57 (49.0)	53 (46.7)	59 (50.1)	57 (49.0)	47 (43.2)	43 (40.9)	39 (38.6)	58.7 (50.0)
	Pi. VI	75 (60.0)	74 (59.3)	69 (56.1)	65 (53.7)	59 (50.1)	51 (45.5)	47 (43.2)	47 (43.2)	47 (43.2)	43 (40.9)	39 (38.6)	56.0 (48.4)
	Mean	84.7 (66.9)	84.3 (66.6)	76.3 (60.8)	73.2 (58.8)	71.5 (57.7)	71.5 (57.7)	69.8 (56.6)	66.8 (54.8)	57.7 (49.4)	52.8 (46.6)	51.3 (45.7)	69.9 (56.7)
		C <sub>2</sub>										Mean	
		P <sub>0</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>9</sub>	P <sub>10</sub>	
T <sub>1</sub>	Pi. I	92 (73.5)	92 (73.5)	91 (72.5)	90 (71.5)	90 (71.5)	89 (70.6)	88 (69.7)	84 (66.4)	81 (64.1)	79 (62.7)	74 (59.3)	86.4 (68.3)
	Pi. II	92 (73.5)	92 (73.5)	89 (70.6)	88 (69.7)	84 (66.4)	81 (64.1)	80 (63.4)	70 (56.7)	68 (55.5)	64 (53.1)	62 (51.9)	80.0 (63.4)
	Pi. III	86 (68.0)	86 (68.0)	84 (66.4)	83 (65.6)	82 (64.9)	81 (64.1)	80 (63.4)	79 (62.7)	70 (56.7)	68 (55.5)	60 (50.7)	78.0 (62.0)
	Pi. IV	84 (66.4)	84 (66.4)	82 (64.9)	81 (64.1)	80 (63.4)	79 (62.7)	78 (62.0)	76 (60.0)	64 (53.1)	60 (50.5)	58 (49.6)	75.1 (60.0)
	Pi. V	79 (62.7)	79 (62.7)	76 (60.6)	74 (59.3)	70 (56.7)	68 (55.5)	66 (56.3)	64 (53.1)	63 (52.3)	60 (50.7)	53 (55.8)	68.4 (55.8)
	Pi. VI	75 (60.0)	75 (60.0)	74 (59.3)	72 (58.0)	71 (59.4)	69 (56.1)	67 (54.9)	65 (53.7)	57 (49.0)	54 (47.2)	49 (44.4)	66.2 (54.4)
	Mean	84.7 (66.9)	84.6 (66.8)	82.7 (65.4)	81.3 (64.3)	79.5 (63.0)	77.8 (61.0)	76.5 (61.0)	73.0 (58.6)	67.2 (55.0)	64.2 (53.2)	59.3 (50.3)	75.7 (60.4)
		C <sub>1</sub>										Mean	
		P <sub>0</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>9</sub>	P <sub>10</sub>	
T <sub>2</sub>	Pi. I	92 (73.5)	92 (73.5)	91 (72.5)	90 (71.5)	89 (70.6)	88 (69.7)	87 (68.8)	84 (66.4)	80 (63.4)	79 (62.7)	75 (60.0)	85.2 (67.3)
	Pi. II	92 (73.5)	92 (73.5)	89 (70.6)	86 (68.0)	84 (66.4)	82 (64.9)	80 (63.4)	76 (60.6)	72 (58.0)	68 (55.5)	62 (51.9)	80.3 (63.6)
	Pi. III	86 (68.0)	86 (68.0)	86 (68.0)	84 (66.4)	83 (65.6)	82 (64.9)	80 (63.4)	72 (58.0)	68 (55.5)	66 (56.3)	60 (50.7)	77.5 (61.6)
	Pi. IV	84 (66.4)	84 (66.4)	83 (65.6)	82 (64.9)	81 (64.1)	80 (63.4)	78 (62.0)	76 (60.6)	72 (58.0)	66 (56.3)	60 (50.7)	76.9 (61.2)
	Pi. V	79 (62.7)	79 (62.7)	76 (60.6)	72 (58.0)	68 (55.5)	66 (56.3)	64 (53.1)	61 (51.3)	60 (50.7)	57 (49.0)	52 (46.1)	66.7 (54.7)
	Pi. VI	75 (60.0)	75 (60.0)	73 (58.6)	72 (58.0)	64 (53.1)	62 (51.9)	60 (50.7)	58 (49.6)	56 (48.4)	52 (46.1)	48 (45.8)	63.2 (52.6)
	Mean	84.7 (66.9)	84.7 (66.9)	83.0 (65.6)	81.0 (64.1)	78.7 (62.5)	76.7 (61.1)	74.8 (59.8)	71.2 (57.5)	68.0 (55.5)	64.7 (53.5)	54.5 (47.5)	75.0 (60.0)

	C <sub>2</sub>											Mean	
	P <sub>0</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>9</sub>	P <sub>10</sub>		
T <sub>2</sub>	Pi. I	92 (73.5)	92 (73.5)	92 (73.5)	91 (72.5)	90 (71.5)	90 (71.5)	89 (70.6)	88 (69.7)	83 (65.6)	80 (63.4)	76 (60.6)	87.5 (69.3)
	Pi. II	92 (73.5)	92 (73.5)	91 (72.5)	89 (70.6)	86 (68.0)	83 (65.6)	82 (64.9)	79 (62.7)	75 (60.0)	70 (56.7)	64 (53.1)	82.1 (64.9)
	Pi. III	88 (68.0)	88 (68.0)	87 (68.8)	86 (68.0)	85 (67.2)	83 (65.6)	82 (64.9)	78 (62.0)	72 (58.0)	69 (56.1)	62 (51.9)	80.0 (63.4)
	Pi. IV	84 (66.4)	83 (65.6)	83 (65.6)	83 (65.6)	82 (64.9)	81 (64.1)	79 (62.7)	77 (61.3)	72 (58.0)	68 (55.5)	62 (51.9)	77.6 (61.7)
	Pi. V	79 (62.7)	79 (62.7)	78 (62.0)	76 (60.6)	72 (58.0)	70 (56.7)	68 (55.5)	66 (56.3)	64 (53.1)	57 (49.0)	54 (47.2)	69.4 (56.4)
	Pi. VI	75 (60.0)	75 (60.0)	75 (60.0)	74 (59.3)	72 (58.0)	70 (56.7)	68 (55.5)	66 (56.3)	60 (50.7)	56 (48.4)	50 (45.0)	67.3 (55.1)
Mean	84.7 (67.2)	84.8 (67.0)	84.3 (66.6)	83.2 (65.8)	81.2 (64.3)	79.5 (63.0)	78.0 (62.0)	75.7 (60.4)	71.0 (57.4)	66.7 (54.7)	61.3 (51.5)	76.8 (61.1)	
	P	Pi.	C	T	P x Pi.	P x C	P x T	Pi. x C	Pi. x T	C x T			
SEd	0.2	0.16	0.09	0.09	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.13			
CD (P=0.05)	0.4	0.32	0.18	0.18	1.0	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.26			

### Electrical conductivity

The mean values for untreated and treated seeds stored in cloth bags and seeds stored in polythene bags were 65, 54, 64 and 56 Mmhos/cm respectively. The mean values among the pickings ranged between 53 and 57 Mmhos/cm and between periods 23 to 98 Mmhos/cm. The difference between treated and untreated seeds stored in both the containers was significant from third picking onwards. The difference in electrical conductivity values among pickings was significant from second picking onwards.

Abdalla and Roberts (1969) reported that the percentage of germination is an excellent indication of growth potential of the surviving seeds irrespective of the factors responsible for the loss of viability. In the present study seeds stored in P-AF-P pouches recorded high germination thirty months after storage as compared to those in cloth bag.

The usefulness of moisture vapour proof containers for storing seeds for longer period can also be exemplified by the behaviour of the seeds obtained from the last two pickings.

Decrease in germination was evident with increase in the storage period. However, the rate of decrease was rather gradual upto 21 months in moisture proof containers; whereas it was faster from 6th month onwards in the cloth bag.

Organic fungicides are beneficial as they do not often impair the germination potential under favourable storage conditions. In the present investigation, seeds treated with captan + DD<sub>1</sub> maintained a higher germination for a longer duration of storage than the untreated seeds/

Harvest conditions also decide the storability of the seeds as influenced by the prevailing environmental conditions (Highkin, 1958). In the present study, seeds obtained from the first picking stored well for a longer time than those obtained from fifth and sixth pickings. The rate of deterioration was also faster in seed obtained from the later pickings. The presence of larger number of immature seeds deficient of certain essential nutrients due to the onset of senescence (Harrington, 1972) may be the possible cause for poor storability of the seeds obtained from the last pickings.

The low electrical conductivity of seed leachate had amply revealed the superiority of the moisture proof containers for storing the seeds. High electrical conductivity of seed leachate might have been accompanied by reduction in mobilization efficiency, synthesis of DNA and RNA and Ribosome enzyme activity (Srivastava, 1975) and increased permeability arising out of oxidation of polar lipids of the membrane producing more pores (Koostra and Harrington, 1969).



## REFERENCES

- ABDALLA, F.H. and ROBERTS E.H. 1969. The effect of storage conditions on the growth and yield of barley broad beans and peas. *Ann. Bot.*, 33: 160-184.
- HARRINGTON, J.F. 1960. Germination of seeds from carrot, lettuce and pepper plants grown under severe nutrient deficiencies. *Hilgardia*, 30: 219-235.
- HARRINGTON, J.F. 1972. Seed storage and longevity. In: *Seed biology* (Ed.) T.T.Kozlowski, V.3 pp.145-245 illus. New York, London.
- HIGHKIN, H.R. 1958. Temperature induced variability in peas. *Am.J.Bot.*, 45: 625-632.
- KOOSTRA, P.J. and HARRINGTON, J.F. 1969. Biochemical effects of age on membranes lipids of *Cucumis sativus*. *L. Seed Proc. Int., Seed Test. Ass.*, 34: 329-340.
- SRIVASTAVA, A.K. 1975. Physiological studies on soyabean seed viability during storage and its practical applicability *Seed Res.*, 4: 56-61.

Madras Agric. J., 81(8): 445-446 August, 1994

## RESEARCH NOTES

### CORRELATION STUDIES IN COWPEA (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) WALP.) FOR SEED YIELD

In cowpea, reports on correlation between yield and yield related characters are limited. Hence, an attempt has been made to obtain pertinent information with 14 genotypes in a line X tester model. Ten geographically diverse lines (Paiyur 1, Km 1, Co 2-1, 1-26, Covu 95, Covu 358, Covu 623, No 417, No 985 and No 1208) and four well adapted and released varieties (Co 2, Co 3, Co 4 and C 152) constituted the experimental material for the present study. All the 14 parents and 40 hybrids (4 X 10) were sown in field in a randomised block design with three replications during *Khariff*, 1991 in the Agricultural College and Research Institute, Killikulam. With the data obtained, genotypic correlation co- efficiencies were worked out to find out the association between yield and yield components and the results are presented in Table 1.

From the present study it is observed that seed yield per plant had significant positive association

with plant height, branches per plant, cluster of pods per plant, pods per plant, pod length, seeds per pod and hundred seed weight. Similar findings were observed earlier for plant height, cluster of pods per plant, pods per plant, pod length and seeds per pod by Thiyagarajan and Rajasekaran (1989), for cluster of pods per plant, pods per plant, pod length and seeds per pod by Birdar *et al.* (1991) and Oseni *et al.* (1992), for cluster of pods per plant, pods per plant and seeds per pod by Marangappanvar (1986). This clearly indicates selection based on these traits will simultaneously improve the yield.

The inter correlation of plant height with days to 50 per cent flowering, cluster of pods per plant, pod length and hundred seed weight was found to be positive. Similarly, pod length showed positive association with seeds per pod and hundred seed weight. The positive relationship indicates long pods can accommodate more number of seeds which

Table 1. Estimate of correlation Co-efficient among yield Components.

Variable	X <sub>2</sub>	X <sub>3</sub>	X <sub>4</sub>	X <sub>5</sub>	X <sub>6</sub>	X <sub>7</sub>	X <sub>8</sub>	X <sub>9</sub>
X <sub>1</sub>	0.5618**	-0.062	0.1588*	0.0456	0.1571**	-0.0985	0.1914*	0.3018**
X <sub>2</sub>		-0.3561**	-0.2263**	-0.3822**	-0.1766*	-0.1473*	-0.1102	-0.3056**
X <sub>3</sub>			0.6671**	0.7468**	-0.2432**	-0.1479*	-0.1739*	0.3321**
X <sub>4</sub>				0.9194**	-0.1991**	-0.1882	-0.2179**	0.5606**
X <sub>5</sub>					-0.2066**	-0.0880	-0.2156**	0.5569**
X <sub>6</sub>						0.8613**	0.6396**	0.4349**
X <sub>7</sub>							0.5963**	0.4675**
X <sub>8</sub>								0.5505**

\* - Significant at 5 per cent level \*\* - Significant at 1 per cent level X<sub>1</sub> - Plant height (cm) X<sub>2</sub> - Days to 50 per cent flowering  
X<sub>3</sub> - Branches per plant X<sub>4</sub> - Clusters per plant X<sub>5</sub> - Pods per plant X<sub>6</sub> - Pod length (cm) X<sub>7</sub> - Seeds per pod X<sub>8</sub> - 100 seed weight (g)  
X<sub>9</sub> - Seed yield per plant (g)