#### REFERENCES

- A.A.C.C. 1976. Approved Methods. Amer. Assoc. of Cereal Chemist Inc., St. Paul, Minnesota, USA, 41-12, 44-15 A, 80-01, 30-20, 56-81 a, 84-10.
- ANONYMOUS, 1988. Food and Agriculture organization year book, 42:118.
- BHASHYAM, M.K. and SRINIVAS, T. 1981. Studies on the association of white core with grain dimension in rice. J. Fd. Sci. Technol. 18:214-215.
- KANWADE, L.R., BHOSALE, B.M. and KADAM, M.S. 1990.
  Effect of moisture content on certain selected physical properties of pulse seeds. J. Maharashtra Agric, univ. 15:60-62.

Madras Agric. J., 81(6): 323-325 June, 1994

- KHUSH, G.S. PAUL, C.M. and DELDA CRUZ, N.M. 1979.
  Rice grain quantity evaluation improvement at IRRI,
  Proceedings of the workshop on chemical aspects of rice grain quality. Los Bonos, Philippines, pp.21.
- LITTLE, R.R., HILDER, G.B. and DEWSON, E.H. 1958. Differential effect of dilute alakli on 25 varieties of milled white rice. Cereal Chem. 35:111-126.
- SINGH, B.,JUNEJA, P.K. and KAWARTRA, B.L. 1977. A study on the cooking quality of different varieties of punjab rice. Fd. Farm. agric. 9:80-82.
- SOUBHAGYA, C.M., RAMESH, B.S. and BHATTA CHARYA, K.R. 1984. Improved Indices for dimentional classification of rice. J. Fd.Sci, Technol. 21:15-19.

https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A01527

# EFFECT OF CHEMICAL WEED CONTROL ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF GROUNDNUT

G.VELU., R.CHANDRA BABU and M.NAGARAJAN Department of Crop Physiology TNAU, Coimbatore.

#### ABSTRACT

The effect of certain pre-emergence herbicides on the gowth and yield of two bunch groundnut varieties was field tested. The chemical weed control methods increased plant growth in terms of leaf area and dry matter. The chemical treatments gave higher pod yields in both the varieties by better weed control efficiency. The chemical treatments were compared with hand weeding given twice on 15 and 40 DAS. Among the chemicals imazethapyr at 2.30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> gave higher yield through effective weed control.

Weed problem is very severe in the initial stages of growth of groundnut crop. The rate of growth of the groundnut crops being slow at the early stages, the weeds compete for the available water and nutrients. Maximum ground coverage is attained only around 60 days after sowing especially in bunch types. It is generally estimated that the yield of groundnut is reduced by 25 to 50% due to competition by weeds (Sankara Reddi, 1982). Earlier studies using herbicides indicated that fluchloralin affected crop stand in groundnut (Kulandaivelu et al., 1978). Alachor at 1.5 kg ha -1 was found to have effective control (Kulandai velu and Sankaran, 1976). However information regarding the effect of chemical weed control on the growth behaviour of the groundnut is lacking and hence the present study was undertaken with the object of understanding the influence of chemical weed control on the growth and yield characteristics of groundnut.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiement was conduced during February-May 1991 season in an experimental field of the University with red sandy loam soil. The bunch varieties viz., CO 1 and CO 2 were employed. The experiement was laid down in a factorial randomised block design with three replications and ten treatments. The treatments were as follows, T1 - Unweeded control, T2 - Hand weeding, T3 - Pendimethalin (1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T4 - pendimethalin (1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T5 - Metolachlor (1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T6 - metochlor (1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T7 - Oxyfluorfen (0.10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T8 - Oxyfluorfen (0.15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T9 - Imazethapyr (0.15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T10 - Imazethapyur (0.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In the treatment T2 handweeding was done at 15 and 40 days after sowing. The herbicide treatments were given as pre-emergence sprays.

A NPK dose of 17,34 and 54 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was given basally. A spacing of 13 x 15 cm was adopted. Data on plant growth characteristics viz., leaf area index, and dry matter production and yield parameters viz., number of pegs, number of pods, pod yield, harvest index, shelling percentage were recorded besides observations on weed density and weed control efficiency.

Table 1. Effect of chemical weed control on crop growth and weed density in groundnut varieties.

Character	Variety		. 21	Barren								
		TI	T2	T3	T4	T5	Т6	T7	T8	T9	T10	- CD 5%
Leaf area index	CO 1	0.40 0.44	0.53	0.57 0.69	0.61 0.68	0.61 0.63	0.60	0.52	0.57 0.63	0.66 0.72	0.69	
Dry matter (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	CO 1	37.5 38.6	40.2 42.4	38.6 39.2	39.2 40.8	37.5 40.2	38.0 41.6	37.6 40.0	38.4 49.0	42.6 42.3	43.8 43.5	1.78 2.15
Weed biomass (g m <sup>-2</sup> )	CO 1	201 198	67 56	87 73	84 80	96 90	92 87	100 90	97 89	2I 20	. 20 19	7.60 9.90
Weed control effciency (%)	CO 1	:2 :2	67 71	57 63	58 59	52 54	54 56	50 54	52 55	90	90 91	***
Weed Index (%)	CO 1	44.3 45.9	10.0 21.0	8.0 19.7	3.4 16.7	2.9 14.1	3.2 14.2	11.3 18.7	10.7 19.0	2.5 1.2		¥.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data on leaf area index indicated that in both the varieties there was considerable increase in LAI in all the chemicals tried over both hand weeding and unweed control (Table 1). Among the several herbicides tested imazethapyr at 0.2 kg harecorded higher LAI in both CO 1 (0.691) and CO 2 (0.752) over handweedings (0.525 and 0.589 respectively). Similar trend was observed in terms of total biomass production. The herbicide treatments increased the total dry matter than the T1 and T2. Again maximum dry matter was recorded in the T10 with 43.8 and 43.5 g/plant in CO 1 and CO 2 respectively.

Observations on weed biomass, weed control efficiency and weed index at harvest are given (Table 1). In general, the herbicides given a better weed control effect than the hand weeding. Among

the treatments, the imazethapyr at 0.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> gave lesser weed biomass (19.8 and 18.5 g m<sup>2</sup>) in CO 1 and Co.2 respectively. The hand weed treatment recorded 67.2 and 56.4 g m<sup>2</sup> weed biomass in CO 1 and CO2 respectively at harvest. The weed control efficiency was maximum (89,7 and 90,0 in CO 1 and CO 2 respectively) in imazethapyr 0.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as against the normal practice of hand weeding with a WCE of 66.6 and 81.4 in CO 1 and CO 2 respectively. Similarly the same treatment had very low weed index at harvest indicating its effective weed control efficiency over rest of the treatments.

Data on yield parameters are presented (Table 2). In both the varieties the imazethapyr at 0.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> produced more number of mature pods than the rest of the treatments. This treatment gave 23.7 and 22.5 mature pods in CO 1 and CO 2 respectively as compared to 18.2 and 21.5 in the

Table 2. Effect of chemical weed control on yield and yield parameters in groundnut varieties.

Character	Variety -		Treatment											
		TI	T2	T3	T4	T5	Т6	T7	T8	T9	T10	- CD 5%		
Pegs No. Plant <sup>*1</sup>	CO 1	8.6 9.4	11.2 11.8	10.3 11.3	10.6 12.1	9.2 10.6	8.4 11.5	10.2 12.3	11.2	8.6 9.7	9.4 10.2	NS NS		
Immature pods No. plant <sup>-1</sup>	CO 1	6.2 5.6	7.2 8.1	5.4 5.8	7.6 6.4	8.4 7.1	7.2 6.6	6.5 5.4	4.6	5.1	5.4 6.1	NS NS		
Mature pods No. Plant <sup>-1</sup>	CO 1	13.0 15.8	18.2 · 21.5	16.5 18.5	17.5 19.1	19.6 19.5	18.4 21.2	19.1 23.1	17.2 19.4	21.5	23.7 22.5	1.48		
Harvest Index (%)	CO 1	20.8 23.8	25.4 26.9	24.9 26.9	25.5 26.5	22.9 26.1	24.7 26.0	23.9 25.5	26.6 28.8	29.3 30.3	29.2	3.5 3.4		
100 kernel weight (g)	CO 1	22.1 22.8	24.3 ° 26.3	23.5 27.2	24.9 26.9	22.9 25.3	24.7 26.0	25.9 25.7	26.5 26.8	27.4 27.9	30.2 29.6	2.4		
Shelling per cent	CO 1	56.5 58.1	60.7 60.7	59.6 63.7	57.3 60.4	54.7 59.5	61.3 60.0	61.4	63.9 60.5	65.6 62.1	66.4 67.5	1.8		
Pod yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	CO 1	748 871	.1204 1273	1237 1293	1297 1343	1302 1384	1299 1383	1191 1310	1198 1305	1309 1593	1342 1612	92.0 84.0		
Haulms yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	CO 1 CO 2	2003 2016	2194 2506	2103 2367	2152 2472	2125 2503	2153 2452	2125 2549	2126 2464	2236 2674	2292 2678	105.0 124.0		

handweeding treatment. Correspondingly the chemical treatment gave lesser number of pegs and immature pods at harvest than the hand weeded check in both the varieties. The herbicide treatments gave higher pod vield than both the control treatments. For instance, the imazethapyr at 0.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> gave a pod yield of 1342 and 1612 kg ha-1 in CO 1 and CO 2 in the hand weeded check. This increased yield was brought about by higher shelling per cent, 100 kernel weight and more number of mature pods.

With the better control on the weed growth the crop could have effectively utilized available water, nutrient, light and space this possibly would have

lead to more growth and yield than the traditional methods of weeding where considerable amount of nutrients and water is taken by the competing weeds. Among the several treatments imagethapyr gave higher with effective control of weed growth.

#### REFERENCES

KULANDAIVELU, T. and SANKARAN, S. 1976. Chemical weed control in groundnut, Madras Agric. J. 63: 498-499.

KULANDAIVELU, T., DAMODARAN, A. and SANKARAN, S. 1978. Screening of herbicides for weed control in groundnut. All India Weed Sci.Conf. Feb. 3-4, 1978.TNAU, Coimbatore.

SANKARA REDDI, G.H. 1982. Groundnut production technology, ASPEE, ARD, Malard, Bombay.

Madras Agric, J., 81(6): 325-328 June, 1994

# STUDIES ON CHLOROPHYLL, NODULATION, NITROGEN FIXATION, SOYBEAN YIELD AND THEIR CORRELATIONS AS INFLUENCED BY MICRONUTRIENTS.

D.B.BHANAVASE, B.R.JADHAV, C.R.KSHIRSAGAR, and P.L.PATIL Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Agril.College, Pune-411 005

# ABSTRACT

A pot culture experiment results revealed that application of FeSo4; ZnSO4 treatments showed beneficial effects on chlorophyll content of soybean leaves, nodulation, dry weight of nodules/plant, grain and dry matter yield/plant as compared to control and treatments of CuSO4 and MnSO4 in both soybean varieties. Cholorophyll content, number of nodules/plant, fresh and dry weight of nodules/plant at 50% flowering as well as pod formation stage indicated positive correlation with seed and dry matter yield/plant. The characters showing positive association with yield/plant were also associated amongst themselves except nitrogen in nodules at pod formation stage.

The soybean (Glycine max. L.) a popular crop is cultivated throughout the world. It is one of the important protective food crop for meeting high demand of protien and oil. So soybean is introduced and intensive efforts are being made to popularise it with view to reduce the shortage of edible oil in India. But basic information on the influence of various micronutrients on chlorophyll content, nodulation, nitrogen fixation as well as correlations among the various characters are inadequte in soybean crop. Hence a study was made on chlorophyll content, nodulation, nitrogen fixation, soybean yield and their correlations as influenced by various micronutrients.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A pot culture experiement was conducted during the summer of 1992 to study on chlorophyll

content, nodulation, nitrogen fixation, soybean yield and their correlation as influenced by micronutrients. Soil was sterilized by autoclaving for 2 hours having pH 7.8., E.C. = 0.39 mmhos/Cm2, Organic Carbon = 0.56%, Total nitrogen - 0.054%. Soybean seed Cv. MACS-57 and MACS-13 were inoculated with an efficient culture of Bradyrhizobium Japonicum . Treatments consisted of the soil application of M1 = FeSO4,  $M_2 = ZnSO_4$ ,  $M_3 = CuSO_4$  and  $M_4 = MnSO_4$ @ 25 kg/ha and two soybean cultivars viz. V<sub>1</sub> - MACS-57 and V2 -MACS-13 were used in combination indicated below:

- V<sub>I</sub> V<sub>2</sub>
- V<sub>1</sub> M<sub>1</sub> 7) V<sub>2</sub> M<sub>1</sub>
- V<sub>1</sub> M<sub>2</sub> 8) V<sub>2</sub> M<sub>2</sub>
- V<sub>2</sub> M<sub>3</sub> 4) V<sub>1</sub> M<sub>3</sub>
- 10) V<sub>2</sub> M<sub>4</sub> 51 VI M4