Fertilizer dose kg ha'l Post harvest soil analysis kg ha Output / input Yield targest q ha K20 ratio P2O5 K i) Groundnut - first season without FC 20 289 17 292 25 13 49 327 13 37 298 31.2 30 25 366 5 73 76 304 20.4 ii) Sorghum - second season 22 116 319 21 45 50 302 14.5 25 50 65 143 329 20 304 13.0 170 55 80 338 20 305 11.9

Table 4. Fertilizer recommendation for yield targets in groundnut - sorghum sequence based on initial soil test values of N, P and K

(Initial fertility: KMnO4 - N 199, Olsen - p 27, NH4OAc - K 268 Kg ha-1)

tested for difference. From the t.test values, it was confirmed that the variations were not significant, so the derived multiple linear regression equations can be utilised for predicting the post - harvest soil test value or the presowing soil test value for the next crop of sorghum in the sequence.

Thus by following the fertiliser prescription procedure appropriate fertiliser recommendations for specific yield targets in the cropping sequence of groundnut - sorghum could be prescribed.

#### REFERENCES

ALTAF AHMED, S.M. 1985. Evaluation of soil tests - Nitrogen methods for efficient and economic fertiliser use -Sorghum. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, TNAU, Coimbatore.

A.O.A.C. 1975. Official and Tentative Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists. Washington, D.C.

Madras Agrie. J., 81(11): 612-615 November, 1994

JACKSON, M.L. 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India P. Ltd., New Delhi.

HANWAY, J.J. and HEIDAL, H. 1952. Soil analysis methods as used in Iowa State. College Agric. Bull. 57: 1-13.

OLSEN, S.R. COLE, C.V., WATANABE, F.S. and DEAN, L.A. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate U.S.D.A. Circ. 939. U.S. Govt. Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

RANI PERUMAL, CHANDRASEKARAN, A., NATARAJAN, K., MANI, S. and RAMANATHAN, P. 1982. Soil test crop response studies in the state of Tamil Nadu. Bull. TNAU, Coimbatore.

SELVARAJ, M.J. 1988. Evaluation of soil tests - potassium methods for efficient and economic fertiliser use - Sorghum. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, TNAU, Coimbatore.

SUMAN, S.V. 1988. Soil fertility evaluation for efficient and economic use of organics and inorganics - Maize Co.1 Ph.D. Thesis, TNAU, Coimbatore.

SUBBIAH, B.V. and ASIJA, G.L. 1956. A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soil. Curr.Sci: 25: 259 - 260.

https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A01596

# RELATIVE VIABILITY AND VIGOUR OF DIFFERENT GENOTYPES OF SOYBEAN (Glycine max (L.) Merril) DURING STORAGE.

D. KALAVATHI, K. RAMAMOORTHY and S. SUNDARESWARAN
Department of Seed Technology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003

#### ABSTRACT

Seed viability and vigour studies with the twenty seven genotypes of soybean brought out the genotypic differences for germination potential, root and shoot length and dry matter production of the seedlings in storage. Large seeds retained by 15/64" (aperture width 6.00 mm) sieve maintained the viability and vigour during eight months storage period with minimum loss compared to medium and small seeds retained by 14/64" (aperture width 5.60 mm) and 13/64" (aperture width 5.20 mm) sieves.

Variation in loss of viability and vigour during storage among species (Agrawal, 1976) and varieties within the species (Agarwal, 1978) has been reported in several crops including soy bean

(Sharma et al., 1980: Banumurthy and Gupta, 1981: Minor and Paschal, 1982). Studies were carried out to determine the relative viability and vigour with different soybean genotypes in a

Table 1. Germination and Root Length of Eight-Month-Old seed of soybean Genotypes

Gr	ade -	Germination			Root length (cm)			
Genotypes	Large	Medium	Small	Mean	Large	Medium	Small	Mea
JGM 21	95	90	80,	88	21.6	20.1	19.0	20.2
7.74	(77.10)	(71.58)	(63.45)	(70.71)				
UGM 35	95	85	80	87	22.3	21.1	20.3	21.2
	(77.10)	(67.22)	(63.45)	(69.26)				
Co 1	95	85	70	83	22.0	20.6	19.3	20.6
	(77.10)	(67.22)	(56.80)	(67.04)				
В 72-185	95	- 80	70	82	21.3	20.0	19.4	20.2
	(77.10)	(63.45)	(56.80)	(65.78)				
JGM 36	90	80	70	80	21.2	20.7	20.3	20.7
4	(71.58)	(63.45)	(56.80)	(63.94)				
KHSB 2	90	80	70	80	21.6	20.0	18.4	20.0
1 7	(71.58)	(63.45)	(56.80)	(63.94)				
KB 13	90	85	60	78	19.7	18,4	17.5	18.5
	(71.58)	(67.22)	(50.75)	(63.18)				900
UGM 34	85	85	65	78	19.0	19.5	18.3	18.9
	(67.22)	(67.22)	(53.75)	(62.73)	77.37	1.4714	5556	
KB 16	90	80	60	77	20.4	18.3	18.4	19.0
20.24	(71.58)	(63.45)	(50.75)	(61.93)		FOID.	.0.4	1,5,0
UGM 32	90	85	50	75	21.0	19.5	18.9	19.8
	(71.58)	(67.25)	(45.00)	(61.28)	27.0	****	****	12.0
UGM 30	80	80	65	75	20.3	19.3	17.8	19.1
	(63.45)	(63.45)	(53.75)	(50.22)	20.3	13.3	17.0	1.243
JB 76-259	80	75	60	72	20.9	18.2	17.2	18.8
10.200	(63.45)	(60.00)	(50.75)	(58.07)	20.7	10.2	17.2	10.0
LBS 2	90	65	55	70	20.3	19.2	18.4	19.3
	(71.58)	(53.75)	(47.90)	(57.74)	20.3	19.2	10.4	19.3
JS 70-11	80	75	55	70	19.1	17.8	17.5	10.1
	(63.45)	(60.00)	(47.90)	(57.12)	19.1	17.0	17.5	18.1
UGM 33	75	55			10.0	10.0	160	170
	(60.00)	(47.90)	55	62	19.6	18.2	16.0	17.9
1107 ( 20	47 100,000,000	91 11 11 11 11	(47.90)	(51.93)	20.4	244.4		
UGM 29	70	65	45	60	20.4	17.1	16.6	18.0
00120	(56.80)	(53.75)	(42.15)	(50.90)	20.0	awa	22.0	
DS 17-2	80	65	30	58	20.2	18.4	17.2	18.6
mich a	(63.45)	(53.75)	(33.21)	(50.14)	20.4	366	1400	
KHSB 3	65	60	45	57	20.4	17.7	15.5	17.9
reinan e	(53.75)	(50.75)	(42.15)	(48.88)		0.00	0.252	1.25
KHSB 5	60	55	45	53	20.0	17.6	15.7	17.8
erin: Ve	(50.75)	(47.90)	(42.15)	(46.93)	Carrier Said	1922	2-2-220	14.410
KB 17	60	55	45	53	20.5	17.8	15.7	18.0
gage of the same	(50.75)	(47.90)	(42.15)	(46.93)	dim 1411	9000.00	Caral.	02,000
Macs 92	80	50	30	53	19.1	18.5	16.3	18.0
urron c	(63.45)	(45.00)	(33.21)	(47.22)	giarine).	.6.4	200	1,600
KHSB 6	80	60	15	52	19.2	18.2	17.9	18.4
Macs 101	(63.45)	(50.75)	(22.77)	(45.66)	6000	340	40-12	المسائلة في
	60	45	40	48	19.0	17.6	15.9	17.5
	(50.75)	(42.15)	(39.24)	(44.05)	1 1 1 1 1 1	granar	- 1800 1	الترابية
RP 75-303	50	35	30	38	- 18.7	16.6	14.7	16.7
Ottor i	(45.00)	(36.30)	(33.21)	(38.17)	a decision	gi bi cab	40.6.04	. 2021.12
/LS-1	40	35	30	35	18.1	16.2	14.9	16.4
ente	(39.24)	(36.36)	(33.21)	(36.25)	18.6	Sa 345.401	Works.	a fed and
25915	55	25	30	30	18.5	16.2	14.7	16.5
Care a construction	(47.90)	(30.00)	(33.21)	(32.12)		and the same	1173-114	
UGM 24	- 20	20	15	18	17.6	16.0	13.5	15.7
	(26.62)	(26.62)	(22.77)	(25.34)		al last const	b	
MEAN	70	65	50	4 1	200	18.5	17.2	
CD (P=0.05)	(61.75)	(54,36)	(44,71)	<del></del>				
	Genotype	Grade	Genotype	Grade			4	

Kalavathi et al.,

Table 2. Shoot length and dry matter production of eight-month-old seed of soybean genotypes

Grade	Shoot length (cm)				Dry matter production (mg/seedling)			
Genotypes	Large	Medium	Small	Mean	Large	Medium	Small	Mean
UGM 21	26,7	27.2	22.4	25.4	97.2	75.0	66.9	79.7
UGM 35	28.0	27.0	25.8	26.9	100.1	84.1	75.2	86.5
CO 1	29.5	24.5	23.9	25.9	96.0	76.5	51.0	74.5
JS 72-185	28.6	27.8	22.6	26.3	98.3	71.1	50.2	73.2
UGM 36	29.9	24.0	26.7	26.9	91.7	70.3	57.1	73.0
KHSB 2	26.5	24.0	23.3	24.6	92.8	65.0	50.4	69.4
KB 13	24.2	23.4	20.4	22.6	85.4	70.1	55,3	70.3
UGM 34	24.7	23.7	20.4	22.9	79.1	68.2	50.4	65.9
KB 16	23.1	22.3	20.0	21.8	87.4	62.2	56.1	68.6
UGM 32	24.9	23.4	20.2	22.8	90.2	65.5	54.8	70.2
UGM 30	24.1	21.3	19.0	21.5	82.8	56.5	56.5	65.3
JS 76-259	23.7	21.5	18.9	21.4	70.2	60.9	46.3	59.1
LBS 2	24.3	23.9	21.9	23.4	80.1	67.2	50.8	66.0
JS 70-11	24.7	20.9	19.7	21.7	78.4	59.3	48.0	61.9
UGM 33	22.4	20.0	18.4	20.2	78.2	63.5	46.7	62.8
UGM 29	22.9	20.4	18.5	20.6	76.3	60.2	44.0	60.2
DS 17-2	22.8	21.1	19.3	21.1	74.2	63.7	47.2	61.7
KHSB 3	23.0	19.5	18.5	20.3	70.2	63.5	39.3	57.9
KHSB 5	21.8	19.9	17.1	19.6	65.0	65.0	44.2	58.1
KB 17	21.4	18.3	17.9	19.2	67.2	59.1	40.5	55.6
Macs 92	22.2	20.9	18.9	20.7	77.4	64.9	42.8	61.7
KHSB 6	24.2	21,7	19.5	21.8	78.0	65.2	50.0	64.4
Macs 101	21.2	19.8	18.3	19.8	65.2	54.9	34.8	51.7
PR 75-303	20.7	19.5	15.6	18.6	53.2	50.1	30.4	44.6
VLS 1	20.9	18.0	16.2	18.4	40.1	80.2	25.3	31.9
25915	20.1	19.5	18.0	19.2	70.5	50.7	25.0	48.7
UGM 24	20.0	18.6	14.8	17.8	30.0	34.8	20.2	28.3
MEAN	23.9	21.9	19.8		76.9	62.1	46.7	
	Genotype		Grade		Genotype		Grade	
CD (P=0.05)	1.565**		0.522**		9.015**		3.004**	

germplasm collection during storage under ambient conditions of Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu.

#### MATERIALS AND METHOS

Seeds of 27 genotypes of soybean produced under identical conditions were pre-cleaned, size graded using 15/64" (large), 14/64" (medium) and 13/63" (small) size round perforated metal sieves. The graded seeds were dried to 7-8 per cent moisture content, slurry treated with captan 75% (W.P.) and DDT 50% (W.P.) at 2 g and 200 mg respectively dissolved in 5 ml of water, per kg of seed and after air drying the seeds were stored, in cloth bags under ambient conditions (mean temp.  $25 \pm 0.7$  °C and relative humidity  $64 \pm 3\%$ ) for eight months. Seed samples drawn initially and at

the end of the storage period were analysed for germination in roll towel method using 4 x 100 seeds (ISTA, 1985). The root and shoot length measurements were taken from all normal seedlings, and expressed in cm. The seedlings after air drying, were oven dried at 85° C for 24 h for dry weight estimation and expressed in mg/seedling.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The germination values ranged from 95 to 97% in harvest fresh seeds, for the different genotypes. Germinability of eight-month- old seed differed significantly among genotypes and size grades (Table 1). Genotypes UGM 21, UGM 35, CO 1, JS 72-185, UGM 36 and KHSB 2 maintained their

viability in storage with germination of 80% and above, while UGM 24 recorded only 18% germination. The percentage germination ranged from 30 to 78 in other genotypes. Burgess (1938) in soybean, observed significant differences among varieties, for viability and vigour in storage. Large size seeds (76%) recorded significantly higher germination percentage than the medium (65%) and small size seeds (50%). The association between seed size and storability of seeds has been well documented by Verma and Gupta (1975) in soybean and according to Ovcharov (1969), large and small seed differed in their germination capacity after storage.

The root and shoot length measurements and dry matter production of seedlings from eight months old seed exhibited significant variations among genotypes and size grades (Tables 1, 2). Among the genotypes, UGM 35 recorded the maximum lengths of root and shoot and dry matter production of seedlings after eight months of storage while UGM 24 recorded the minimum. Seed vigour has been defined as the inherent ability of the seed to produce a vigourous seedlings (Hydecker, 1972). The root and shoot length and dry matter production of seedlings were higher in large seeds than in medium and smaller seeds. From the results of the present study, it is suggested that the genotypic configuration and the seed size

differences cause variations in seed viability and vigour during storage.

#### REFERENCES

- AGRAWAL, P.K. 1976. Identification of suitable seed storage places in India on the basis of temperature and relative humidity conditions. Seed Res., 4: 6-11.
- AGRAWAL, P.K. 1978. Changes in germination, moisture and carbohydrates of hexapolid triticale and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) seed stored under ambient conditions. Seed Sci. Technol., 6:711-716.
- BANUMURTHY, N. and GUPTA, P.C. 1981. Germinability and seed vigour of soybean in storage. Seed Res., 9: 97-101.
- BURGESS, J.L. 1938. Report on project to determine the percentage and duration of viability of different varieties of soybean grown in North Carolina. Assoc.Off.Seed Analysts.Proc., 23-69.
- HEYDECKER, W. 1972. Vigour. In: VIABILITY OF SEEDS (ed.E.H.Roberts) Chapman and Hall Ltd., London. pp. 209-252.
- ISTA. 1985. International Rules for Seed Testing. Seed Sci. Technol., 13: 356-513.
- MINOR, H.C. and E.H. Paschal. 1982. Variation in storability of soybean under simulated tropical conditions. Seed Sci. Technol., 10: 131-139.
- OVCHAROV, K.E. 1969. The physiology of different quality seeds. Proc Int.Seed Test Ass., 34: 305-313.
- SHARMA, S.M., KHANDE, B.K., MISHRA, Y. and JAIN, K.K. 1980. Varietal differences in storability of soybean seeds under ambient conditions. Seeds and Farms 6: 41-45.
- VERMA, R.S. and GUPTA, P.C. 1975. Storage behaviour of soybean varieties vastly differing in seed size. Seed Res., 3: 39-44.

Madras Agric. J., 81(11): 615-618 November, 1994

## RUN OFF PARAMETERS - A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF TWO RIVER BASINS

S. JAYASREE and K. JOHN THOMAS

Kelappaji College of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, Kerala Agricultural University, Malappuram, Kerala.

#### ABSTRACT

The morphological and climatic factors affecting runoff were studied using correlation and regression methods during 1989-'90. Two river basins of Kerala viz. west flowing Chaliyar and east flowing Kabbani were selected for the analysis. They were divided into sub-basins, each containing a river gauge station. Drainage area length and order of the main stream, maximum straight length of the sub-basin and rainfall were the chief factors influencing streamflow. Non-monsoon discharge was only a small fraction of the total discharge. Major portion of the monthly streamflow was from monsoon rainfall of the same month.

Rivers have a significant role in the geomorphological processes in human use. If the morphological balance of the river basin is disturbed the distributaries of all orders are affected, which, in turn affects the run off. A

comparative study of the climatic and morphological parameters of Chaliyar and Kabbani river basins was conducted at Kelappaji College of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, Tavanur during 1989-'90. The data were analysed at the