higher level of N (202.5 kg/ha) in low N soils. The response equation was worked out and is as follows:

$$Y = \frac{59.3}{1 + e^{-(-1.84 + 1.48)}}$$

Y = yield (g/pot)

X = applied N (g/pot)

The genetic potential and varietal characters might be the probable reasons for this type of curve. Krogman et al. (1980) observed a maximum whole-plant yield at 225 kg N/ha level.

ii) Medium N soils: The straw yield was enhanced by 35 per cent over control with the addition of 202.5 kg N/ha. The response was quadratic in nature and equation worked out for this curve is:

$$Y = 35.3 + 28.5 x - 16.5 x^2$$

Y = yield (g/pot)

X = applied N (g/pot)

Madras Agric. J., 119-124 March, 1993

From the above response equation, the physical optima was found to be 173 kg N/ha (0.864 g/pot). Soil factors such as high initial N status, lower efficiency of added N at higher levels might have resulted into quadratic response.

It is concluded that the grain and straw yields increased upto 202.5 kg N/ha in low N soils while in medium N soil, the optimum dose to get the highest grain and straw yield was 148 and 173 kg N/ha, respectively.

REFERENCES

KROGMAN, K.K., M.D. MACDONALD and E.H. HOBBS. 1980. Response of silage and grain corn to irrigation and N fertilizer. Can. J. Plant Sci. 60: 445-451.

KUMARASWAMY, K., A. GOPALASWAMY, K.RANGASAMY and P. MURUGESA BOOPATHY. 1975: Response of hybrid maize (Zea mays L.) to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization, Madras Agric. J. 62(5): 299-304.

SHUKLA, G.G. 1972. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on yield, soil properties and nurtients of corn. Agron. J. 64: 136-139.

SINGH, B.R and A.P. YRIYO 1980. The relationship between response to N and P fertilizer and soil N and P. J.Agric.Sci. 94: 247-249.

https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A01629

EFFECT OF NEW HERBICIDES IN LOW LAND RICE

S. MURALI KRISHNASAMY, P. POTHIRAJ, SP. PALANIAPPAN and M. MOOSASHERIFF

ABSTRACT

Pre-emergence application of anilofos at 0.4 kg ha⁻¹ and piperophos at 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ at 4th day after transplanting were effective in controlling Echinochlon crus-galli and other rice weeds. Fluoroxypyr at 0.8 kg ha⁻¹ was found to control broad leaved and aquatic weeds effectively. Highest grain yield of 5808 kg ha⁻¹ and 7034 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded in piperophos at 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ and anilofos at 0.4 kg ha⁻¹ treated plots in Kharif and summer respectively.

Weeds are bane to crop productivity. Chang (1970) found that Echinochloa crus-galli at densities of 100-200 plants m⁻² reduced rice yield by 86-91 percent respectively. Park and Kim (1971) reported 48 percent yield reduction in rice due to weeds. Weeds compete with the crop for light, space and nutrients.

Pre-emergence herbicides like butachlor and thiobencarb are in vogue for the control of rice weeds. The performance of weedicides varies with climate, dose, nature of weed flora and intensity. A detailed study was conducted during Kharif 1985 and summer 1986 in IR 50 paddy with a view to evaluate the performance of new

$\overline{}$
9
۔ سا
U.M
200
-
~
~
K -
$\overline{}$
4.
-55
B
12
-
=
=
=
10
43
2.0
-
-
=
\Box
40
₩
~
0.0
0
7.7
-
.=
-
C2
-
=
- 12
-
-
-
7
್ಟ
-

		E. crus.qalli	Other	Other Grasses	Sed	Sedges	a a	Broad leaved and aquatics	o u	Total Weeds	Veeds
Treatments	0	۲	o	Н	o	H	0		T.	0	н
Pretilachlor 0.5 kg ha-1		1.669	29	1.453	28	1.433	43	F.	1.627	147	2.165
		1.553	22	1.398	28	1.365	37		1.572	122	2.085
	28	1.447	16	1.403	12	1.111	20	-	1.283	11	1.883
	59	1.764	23	1.380	18	1.251	57		1.750	158	2.200
Piperophos 0.75 "	28	1,426	21	1.313	16	1.193	93		1.466	94	1.973
Piperophos 1.0	23	1.355	14	1.149	10	0.996	27		1.424	74	1.867
Anifofos 0.4	52	1.405	16	1.93	16	1.193	19		1.278	т.	1.884
Fluoroxypyr 0.4	81	1.192	24	1.371	19	1.278	22		1.336	146	2.159
Fluoroxypyr 0.4	28	1.754	18	1.262	15	1.174	. 17		1.219	108	2.034
19 Molinate 0.75	09	1.774	27	1.416	56	1.408	588		1.760	171	2.230
EPIC+24-D(10+05)*	53	1.713	31	1.486	35	1.538	25		1.399	144	2.155
Thiobencarb 1.5	27	1,433	19	1.271	25	1.376	36		1.547	101	2.024
Butachlor 1.5	33	1.517	27	1.421	15	1.170	43		1.627	118	2.068
14 Hand weeding Twice	16	1.179	. 21	1.166	10	0.970	26	9	1.396	.67	1.814
15 Unweeded check	06	1.956	42	1.626	43	. 1.617	17.	e de la companya de l	1.849	246	2.401
CD (P=0.05)		0.144	ï	0.210	9	0.183		± .	0.151	· 4,	0.088

le 2. Weed po	Weed population on 40 DAT (NO M'+) - Kharif	DAT (NO	M*) - Kharif								
	Dose	E. crus.qalll	. Illub	Other Grasses	sses	Sedges	1	Broad leaved and aquatics	red ics	Total Weeds	spa
*:	(kg na)	0	H	0	Н	0	Ŧ	0	Į.	0	H
Pretilachlor	0.5	39	1.585	14	1.226	13	1.106	27	1.424	92	1.967
Pretilachlor	0.75	32	1.496	. 21	1.075	=	1.011	20	1.307	75	1.873
Pretilachlor	1.0	23	1.365	10	0.982	7	0.861	17	1.230	. 85	1.761
Piperophos	0.5	39	1.587	15	1.168	12	1.089	31	1.488	86	1.990
Piperophos	0.75	22	1.324	12	1.045	6	0.947	14	1.131	26	1.744
Piperophos	1.0	13	1.118	œ	0.903	5	0.661	12	1.075	38	1.578
Anilofos	0.4	11	1.053	8	0.916	4	0.625	12	1.063	36	1.576
Fluoroxypyr	6,4	23	1.733	13	1.124	13	1.091	œ	0.894	87	1.929
Pluoroxypyr	0.4	37	1.569	13	1.121	11	1.047	· ·	0.903	70	1.849
Molinate	0.75	43	1.633	.91	1.212	10	1.013	20	1.308	. 06	1.954
EPIC+24D 10x 0.5	5.0.3	- 82	1,462	17.	1.238	10	1,013	15	1,164	71	1.849
Thiobencarb	1.5	13	1:102	7	0.793	. vı	.0.661	17	1.215	41	1.661
3 Butachlor	1.5	20	1.300	7	0.735	7	0.795	11	1.218	.21	1.706
Hand weeding Twice	Twice	=	1.040	S	0.678	2	0.301	п	1.016	29	1.403
Unweeded check	ick	19	1.782	23	1.356	20 .	1.301	36	1.560	140	2.149
CD $(P = 0.05)$	-		0.116	-	0.259	T _{k1}	0.237		0.132		0.080
				0.0	- Original values	*					

O - Original values T - Transformed values

Grain yield (kg ha-1) 5723 5669 6352 7034 1969 5938 5879 5512 5932 Filled grains panicle 1 8.8 80 82 78 83 16 7 85 \$ 85 Summer length (cm) 1.01 Panicle 21.9 20.3 22:3 21.0 21.7 21.4 21.8 20.7 20.7 21.7 21.0 22.0 20.9 20.6 20.8 Productive tillers (No.m⁻²) 32 504 548 493 538 462 460 491 542 589 476 468 460 512 Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) 525.9 5598 4503 4966 5598 5471 4798 4798 5177 5513 5808 5766 5008 5135 4966 5051 Productive tillers, panicle length, filled grains per panicle and grain in yield. Filled grains panicle-1 63 81 3 74 Kharif length (cm) Panicle 19.0 21.8 21.4 21.2 1.2 19.8 20.8 20.4 19.8 19.9 21.2 21.3 19.5 20.4 22.0 19.4 Productive tillers (No.m⁻²) 470 343 525 519 34 387 415 349 392 492 367 533 371 520 401 391 EPTC+2,4-D (1.0+0.5)" 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ Hand weeding Twice Treatments 0.75 0.75 Thiobencarb 1.5 1.0 1.0 Unweeded check CD (P=0.05) Fluoroxypyr Fluoroxypyr Pretilachlor Butachlor Pretilachlor Pretilachlor Piperophos Piperophos Piperaphos Molinate Anilofos mbol ble 3. T14 17 E Tis Ξ

DAT: Days after transplanting

888.0

4552

6824

6772

6102

6037

6352

per-emergence herbicides in controlling the rice weeds in general and Echinochloa crus-galli in particular under lowland transplanted condition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments were laid out in wet lands, College of Agriculture, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. The soil type is clay loam, low in available nitrogen and high in available phosphorus and available potassium. The rice variety was IR 50 for both Kharif 1985 and summer 1986. A spacing of 15 cm x 10 cm was followed. A common fertilizer dose of 50 kg each of N, P2O2 and K2O per hectare was applied as basal at the time of transplanting in the form of urea, super phosphate and muriate of potash respectively. 50 kg ha-1 of nitrogen was top dressed in two equal splits during tillering and panicle initiation stages. Plot sizes of 5 m x 4 m (gross plot) and 4.1 x 3.4 m (net plot) were adopted.

The weed control treatments included individual application of pretilachlor and piperophos each at 0.5, 0.75 and 1.0 kg ha⁻¹, anilofos at 0.4 kg ha⁻¹, fluoroxypyr at 0.4 and 0.8 kg ha⁻¹, molinate at 0.75 kg ha⁻¹, EPTC + 2,4-D at 1.0 + 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ along with standard herbicides butachlor and thiobencarb each at 1.5 kg ha⁻¹ on 4th day after transplanting in comparison with handweeding twice (20 and 40 DAT) and unweeded check. Field experiments were laid out in randomised block design with three replications.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The weed flora of the experimental fields revealed the dominance of grasses followed by broad leaved and aquatics and sedges in that order. Among the grass weeds Echinochloa crus-galli (L). Beauv, was the dominant weed constituting 37 percent of the total weed population. The other predominant weeds were Cyperus difformis L.

in sedges and Eclipta alba (L) Hassk inbroad leaved weeds. Application of anilophos at 0.4 kg ha⁻¹ were on par with hand weeding in controlling E. crus-galli both in summer and Kharif. At 40 DAT anilofos at 0.4 kg ha⁻¹ during kharif and piperophos at 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ during summer recorded 11.3 m⁻² and 22.7 m⁻² of E.crus-aalli respectively among the herbicide treated pots. However, hand weeding twice recorded 11.0 m-2 and 15.7 m-2 during Kharif and summer respectively (Tables 1 and 2). Both the chemicals continued with good persistance upto 60 DAT in controlling E.crus-qalli. These herbicides were found on par with the herbicides thiobencarb standard and butachlor at 1.5 kg ha-1.

Piperophos at 1.0 and 0.75 kg ha⁻¹ anilofos at 0.4 kg ha⁻¹, but achlor at 1.5 kg ha⁻¹ were effective comparable with handweeding twice for the control of sedges.

Pre-emergence application of flyoroxypyr at 0.8 and 0.4 kg ha⁻¹ gave effective control of broad leaved and aquatics, on par with anilofos at 0.4 kg ha⁻¹ and piperophos at 1.0 kg ha⁻¹.

Effective control of E.crus-qalli and other grasses, sedges and broad leaved weeds, reduced weed DMP and increased WCE and MCI was piperophos at 1.4 kg ha⁻¹ and piperophos at 1.0 kg ha⁻¹.

Piperophos at 0.75 kg ha⁻¹ and thiobencarb at 1.5 kg ha⁻¹ were on par with hand weeding twice with respect to productive tiller production. Increased number of productive tillers was found to be associated with effective weed control treatments (Table 3). The results were in confirmation with Gill and Mehra (1981). The reason for decreased number of productive tillers in un-weeded check may be attributed to the severe competition by weeds leading to low dry matter production and LAI resulting in less:

This finding also agrees with Kenchiah et al (1983) and Biswas at al (1983). Almost a similar trend was noticed for the other yield components like number of filled grains per panicle, length of panicle and thousand grain weight (Table 3).

In Kharif, anilofos at 0.4 kg ha⁻¹ recorded the highest grain yield of 5008 kg ha⁻¹ and was on par with piperophos at 0.75 kg ha⁻¹, thiobencarb at 1.5 kg ha⁻¹, hand-weeding twice, piperophos at 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ and butachlor at 1.5 kg ha⁻¹ in order.

In summer crop, piperophos at 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ recorded the highest grain yield with 7034 kg ha⁻¹ and was on par with other herbicides applied and hand weeded plots as in *Kharif* (Table 3). In general, the yield of summer crop was higher than in *Kharif*. This may be

due to the increased weed control efficiency coupled with bright sunshine hours favouring increased photosynthetic activity leading to efficient grain filling.

REFERENCES

- CHANG. W.L. 1970. The effects of weeds on rice in paddy yield, weed species and population density. J. Taiwan Agric Res. 19: 18-36.
- BISWAS.K P.A. SARKAR and A.K. GHOSH. 1983. Competition of barnyard grass in transplanting rice hills. Abstract of papers. Ann. Conf. of ISWS. Varnashi. India. pp. 13.
- PARK.J.K. and D.S.KIM. 1971. Distribution of weeds and their competition with rice in Korea. Proc. 3rd Asian Pacific Weed Sci. Conf. Kualalumpur. P: 1-12.
- GILL.H.S. and S.P. MEHRA. 1981. Tolerance of rice cultivar to butachlor and benthiocarb. Oryza. 18: 24-26.
- KENCHAIAH. K., B.N. SHIVANAJE GOWDA.B. RAJU and K. KRISHNAMURTHY. 1980. Screening of slow release herbicide formulations in paddy. Abstract. ISWS. OUAT Weed Sci.Conf. Bhubaneswar. India. pp.39-40.

Madras Agric. J., 124-129 March, 1993

COMBINING ABILITY FOR YIELD AND ITS COMPONENTS IN COWPEA

K. THIYAGARAJAN¹, C. NATARAJAN², R. RATHNASWAMY³ and S. RAJASEKARAN⁴.

ABSTRACT

Combining ability analysis, involving four lines and three testers was made in cowpea and studied for ten quantitative characters. The variance due to g.c.a. and s.c.a. showed that gene action was predominantly non-additive for days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, plant height, pod length, seeds per pod, 100 grain weight and yield per plant and primarily additive for primary branches per plant, clusters per plant and pods per plant. The genotypes Co 4, C 87, C,152 and CoVu 4 were found to be the good general combiners. The crosses co 3 x C 152, Co 3 x CoVu 4, Co 4 x C 152, V 87 x C 152 and KC 199 x KC 195 were observed to have higher s.c.a effects for some of the yield components.

Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) is one of the major pulse crops of our country. Genetical studies in cowpea are far from adequate in the literature (Kheradnam and Niknejad, 1971; Singh and Jain, 1972; Lal et al., (1975). To isolate high yielding genotypes, an understanding of genetic architecture of the crop is obligatory to the plant breeder. Combining ability analysis is useful to assess the ability of the parents in selfpollinated crops and at the same time to elucidate the nature of gene action involved. Therefore,