above period. The compound growth rates estimated for quantity and value of total marine product and prawn export showed a decrease of 2.47 per cent and 2.01 per cent respectively. This reduction may be attributed to the competition from other exporting countries and/or satisfying the quality standards prescribed by United States of America.

CONCLUSION:

Shrimp continues to be India's main stay of foreign exchange earnings. India's percentage contribution of world export of fish and fishery products in terms of value increased to 1.7 per cent in 1986 from 1.2 per cent in 1973. This indicates that there is considerable scope to expand the export trade. Hence moderniation of processing plants by liberalising import policies to

Madras Agric. J., 27-33 January 1993

import sophisticated machineries and providing tax concessions to processors to encourage them to stay in the business will boost up the export trade of fishery products.

REFERENCES

JOSE PAUL. "Export potentialities of Sea-Foods with special reference to shrimps". (Unpublished Project report for MBM Degree, School of Management Studies, Cochin University, 1968).

VARGHESE, T. THOMAS. "Marine Product Exports". (Unpublished Project report for MBM Degree, Cochin University, 1982).

Indian Institute of Foreign Trade, "Export Petential of Indian States". New Delhi: Indian Institute of Foreign Trade, 1987.

Marine Product Development Authority. Indian Marine
Product Exports 1986. (Cochin: Marine Product
Export Development Authority).

Organisation for Economi Co-operation and Development problems of Trade in Fishery Products*. (Paris: Organisation for economi Co-operation and Development, 1985).

https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.A01624

INFLUENCE OF SEASON ON YIELD AND QUALITY ATTRIBUTES OF SEED IN CHILLI (Capsicum annum L.)

C.P.THIAGARAJAN

ABSTRACT

The number of seed per fruit was more in rabi that kharif. Among the pickings the number of seed per fruit was higher in third and first pickings respectively in rabi and kharif. The recovery of large size seed (G1) was more in kharif than rabi. Among pickings the highest recovery of G1 was from the third picking and small size seed (G3) was from last picking. The mean weight of seed obtained from the rabi season was higher than krarif. Seeds from the first picking recorded the maximum weight and that from last picking was minimum. The influence of season on germination was significant only in G1. In general earlier pickings recorded higher germination than those from later pickings.

INTRODUCTION

Environment influences will be different on different characters of a seed. Low temperature may influence seedlessness (Charles et al., 1979). Highest temperature during seed production exerted profound influence on fruit set and seed quality. Significant correlation was obtained between fruit size and number of seeds per fruit under

high and low temperature (Rylski, 1973). Fruit set in capsicum was not affected by RH levels whereas increasing RH increased the seed set. The general seed yield and seed weight were greater from plants grown in short days (Studencova, 1965). Hence, it is imperative to undertake studies on the seasonal influence on fruit length, seed number, seed weight and seed quality in chillies.

			Length of	fruit in cm			No. of sec	d per fruit	
		Sı	S ₂	S ₃	Mean	Sı	S ₂	S3 * *	Mean
Pi.	1	5.5	5.7	6.1	5.8	55.2	53.2	65.9	58.1
PJ.	11	5.7	6.5	6.0	6.1	57.2	50.6	60.4	56.1
Pi.	ш	6.5	6.9	6.9	6.7	60.2	50.8	51.6	54.1
Pi.	IV	6.1	6.5	5.9	6.2	55.4	54.3	50.5	53.4
Pi.	v	5.1	5.8	5.4	5.4	54.3	52.5	51.6	52.8
Pi.	VI	5.0	5.1	5,3	5.1	53.5	50.2	50.4	51.4
Mear	ř	5.7	6.1	5.9		56.0	51.9	55.1	
			S	Pi.	S x Pi.		s	Pi.	S x Pi
SEd	-		0.02	0.04	0.06		0.75	1.06	1.83
CD (P = 0.05		0.05	0.07	0.12		1.50	2.12	3.80

Table I. Influence of season on length of fruit (cm) and number of seeds per fruit from six pickings in cv, K 2
Chilli

MATERIALS AND METHODS

During rabi, 1984 (S₁) and kharif 1985 (S₂) and 1986 (S₃) Genetically from cv. K 2 chilli seeds were raised in a nursery. In a well prepared field of 0.2 ha in each season constituting five replications the 35 days old chilli seedlings were transplanted adopting an uniform spacing of 45 x 30 cm. Recommended packages of practices were adopted. The red ripened fruits were harvested in six pickings (Pi. I to PI. VI) with an interval of 15 days starting from 90th day after planting.

At the time of each picking 10 fruits were picked at random in each replication and the length of the fruit was measured and number of seeds per fruit was counted and the mean value was constituted.

The seeds were extracted manually from dried fruits and were size grades using 9/64" and 8/64" round per forated sieves to obtain three grades large seeds (G₁) ratained by 9/64", medium (G₂) retained by 8/64" and small (G₃) passed through 8/64", percentage of recovery was counted and the seeds were germinated from each grade as per ISTA (1985).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Length of fruit: The mean length was longest in S₂ followed by S₃ and S₁. The fruit length was significantly less in rabi than kharif season.

The mean length increased from Pi. I to Pi. III and thereafter decreased. In all the pickings excent Pi. I and Pi. VI the length was longer in S₂ than S₃ or S₁. In all the seasons Pi. III and Pi. VI recorded the longest and shortest fruits respectively.

Number of seeds per fruit: The mean number of seed was significantly more than S₁ than S₂ or S₃. The number decreased gradually from Pi. I to Pi. VI than the differences between in two apparent pickings were not significant. In S₁ the number of seeds increased and then decreased. All the pickings excent Pi. III were on par. In S₂, the number of seed was highest in Pi. IV and lowest in PI. VI. In S₃, Pi. I recorded the highest number and was significantly superior to other pickings.

Recovery of size grades of seeds

Among the seasons, the recovery of G₁ and G₂ was significantly high in S₃ and S₁ respectively in all the pickings. The recovery

Influence of season on the percentage recovery of size grades of seeds from six pickings in cv. K 2 Chilli ble 2.

1			¥.	5		l.					2 1	. 63	
45.3 58.6 45.3 23.6 28.1 32.3 (42.3) (49.7) (54.4) (48.7) (42.3) (32.0) (32.0) (34.6) 48.2 61.3 66.8 58.8 47.0 23.3 27.7 32.7 48.2 (51.5) (54.8) (50.7) (43.2) (28.8) (31.8) (31.8) 44.6 70.5 73.9 63.0 (36.2) (31.8) (31.8) (31.8) 44.6 70.5 73.9 63.0 36.3 20.6 28.8 34.9 44.6 70.1 (57.1) (59.2) (37.0) (30.3) (36.9) (32.9) 30.1 56.1 (44.3) (45.4) (30.6) (31.9) (36.2) 43.5 50.1 (44.3) (45.4) (45.4) (30.6) (31.9) (36.2) 10.5 (48.5) (41.2) (42.5) (30.6) (31.9) (39.2) 10.5 (45.6) (47.4) (41.2)<	,	S	S2	S3	Mean	Si	\$2	S3	Mean	SI	S2	S3	Mean
48.2 61.3 66.8 58.8 47.0 23.3 27.7 32.7 48.2 61.3 66.8 58.8 47.0 23.3 27.7 32.7 48.2 61.3 (54.8) (56.7) (43.2) (28.8) (31.8) (31.8) 44.6 70.5 73.9 63.0 36.3 23.5 20.6 26.8 30.1 56.1 60.4 48.9 50.8 26.0 28.0 34.9 (33.2) (48.5) (51.0) (44.3) (45.4) (30.6) (31.9) (36.2) 25.9 50.0 54.2 43.4 45.3 36.2 41.0 43.7 (30.5) (48.5) (47.4) (41.2) (42.5) (36.9) (39.3) 1 24.5 30.4 44.1 45.1 39.0 45.6 25.0 (45.0) (47.5) (37.0) (46.1) (42.4) (39.0) (46.1) (42.4) 36.4 54.4	-	45.1	58.2	66.2	56.6	45.3	23.6	28.1	32.3	9.4	18.2	5.7	11.1
48.2 61.3 66.8 58.8 47.0 23.3 27.7 32.7 43.9 (51.5) (54.8) (50.7) (43.2) (28.8) (31.8) (31.8) (31.8) 44.6 70.5 73.9 63.0 36.3 23.5 20.6 26.8 30.1 56.1 60.4 48.9 50.8 26.0 26.9 34.9 30.1 56.1 60.4 48.9 50.8 26.0 28.0 34.9 30.2 48.5) (43.9) (44.3) (45.4) (30.6) (31.9) (36.2) 13.2.9 50.0 54.2 45.4 45.3 45.0 31.9 40.2 14.0 44.5 36.4 32.4 45.1 45.1 39.0 45.0 45.6 15.5 30.4 34.7 (32.6) (42.5) (36.9) (46.1) (42.4) 36.4 54.4 59.0 90.0 44.6 32.3 34.3 (36.0) <tr< td=""><td></td><td>(42.3)</td><td>(49.7)</td><td>(54.4)</td><td>(48.7)</td><td>(42.3)</td><td>(29.0)</td><td>(32.0)</td><td>(34.6)</td><td>(17.8)</td><td>(25.2)</td><td>(13.8)</td><td>(19.4)</td></tr<>		(42.3)	(49.7)	(54.4)	(48.7)	(42.3)	(29.0)	(32.0)	(34.6)	(17.8)	(25.2)	(13.8)	(19.4)
44.6 70.5 (54.8) (50.7) (43.2) (28.8) (31.8) (31.8) 44.6 70.5 73.9 (52.5) 36.3 23.5 20.6 26.8 (41.9) (37.1) (59.2) (52.5) (37.0) (30.3) (26.9) (32.7) 30.1 56.1 60.4 48.9 50.8 26.0 28.0 34.9 25.9 50.0 54.2 43.4 45.3 36.2 41.0 40.2 25.9 50.0 54.2 43.4 45.3 36.2 41.0 40.2 25.9 50.0 54.2 43.4 45.1 39.7 36.9 39.3 1 24.5 30.4 32.4 29.1 45.1 39.7 35.0 45.6 25.0 (35.4) (34.7) (32.6) (42.1) (39.0) (46.1) (42.4) 36.4 54.4 59.0 90.0 44.6 37.3 (34.3) (36.0) 37.1 </td <td>E</td> <td>48.2</td> <td>61.3</td> <td>8,99</td> <td>58.8</td> <td>47.0</td> <td>23.3</td> <td>- 27.7</td> <td>32.7</td> <td>4.3</td> <td>15.4</td> <td>5.5</td> <td>8.6</td>	E	48.2	61.3	8,99	58.8	47.0	23.3	- 27.7	32.7	4.3	15.4	5.5	8.6
44.6 70.5 73.9 63.0 36.3 23.5 20.6 26.8 (41.9) (57.1) (59.2) (52.5) (37.0) (30.3) (26.9) (32.7) 30.1 56.1 60.4 48.9 50.8 26.0 28.0 34.9 30.1 56.1 (61.0) (44.3) (45.4) (30.6) (31.9) (36.2) 25.9 50.0 54.2 43.4 45.3 36.2 41.0 40.2 (30.5) (45.0) (47.4) (41.2) (42.5) (36.9) (39.8) (39.3) (30.5) (45.0) (41.2) (42.5) (36.9) (39.8) (39.3) (30.4) (32.6) (41.2) (42.5) (36.9) (46.1) (42.4) (30.4) (34.4) (32.6) (42.5) (36.9) (39.3) (39.3) (30.4) (34.4) (35.0) (41.9) (32.0) (42.4) (39.0) (37.1) (47.5) (30.1)		(43.9)	(51.5)	(54.8)	(50.7)	(43.2)	(28.8)	(31.8)	(31.8)	(12.6)	(23.1)	(13.5)	(17.0)
(41.9) (57.1) (59.2) (52.5) (37.0) (30.3) (26.9) (32.7) (30.1) (30.2)	Е	44.6	70.5			36.3	23.5	20.6	26.8	19.1	6.0	5.5	10.2
30.1 56.1 60.4 48.9 50.8 26.0 28.0 34.9 25.2 (48.5) (51.0) (44.3) (45.4) (30.6) (31.9) (36.2) 25.9 50.0 54.2 43.4 45.3 36.2 41.0 40.2 30.5 (45.0) (47.4) (41.2) (42.5) (36.9) (39.8) (39.3) (29.6) (33.4) (34.7) (32.6) (42.1) (39.0) (46.1) (42.4) 36.4 54.4 59.0 90.0 44.6 28.7 31.8 35.0 36.4 54.4 59.0 90.0 44.6 28.7 (34.3) (36.0) 36.4 (37.1) (47.5) (50.1) (45.0) (41.9) (32.3) (34.3) (36.0) 37.1 6.35 0.49 0.35 0.60 0.85 0.8 36.4 0.71 1.39 1.67 1.6 1.6		(41.9)	(57.1)			(37.0)	(30.3)	(26.9)	(32.7)	(25.9)	(14.1)	(13.5)	(18.6)
(35.2) (48.5) (51.0) (44.3) (45.4) (30.6) (31.9) (36.2) 25.9 50.0 54.2 43.4 45.3 36.2 41.0 40.2 (30.5) (45.0) (47.4) (41.2) (42.5) (36.9) (39.8) (39.3) (24.5 30.4 32.4 29.1 45.1 39.7 52.0 45.6 (29.6) (33.4) (34.7) (32.6) (42.1) (39.0) (46.1) (42.4) 36.4 54.4 59.0 90.0 44.6 28.7 31.8 35.0 (37.1) (47.5) (50.1) (45.0) (41.9) (32.3) (34.3) (36.0) 8 Pi. G Sx G Sx G Sx Pi. Pi. 7 1.6 0.35 0.49 0.35 0.60 0.85 0.8	2	30.1	56.1			50.8	26.0	28.0	34.9	19.1	17.9	11.6	16.2
25.9 50.0 54.2 43.4 45.3 36.2 41.0 40.2 1 24.5 (45.0) (47.4) (41.2) (42.5) (36.9) (39.8) (39.3) 1 24.5 30.4 32.4 29.1 45.1 39.7 52.0 45.6 (29.6) (33.4) (34.7) (32.6) (42.1) (42.4) (42.4) 36.4 54.4 59.0 90.0 44.6 28.7 31.8 35.0 (37.1) (47.5) (50.1) (45.0) (41.9) (32.3) (34.3) (36.0) (37.1) (47.5) 60.1) G 5x.G 5x.Pi. Pi. 3 (37.1) (47.5) 0.49 0.35 0.60 0.60 0.85 0.8		(33.2)	(48.5)			(45.4)	(30.6)	(31.9)	(36.2)	(25.9)	(25.0)	(19.9)	(23.7)
(30.5) (45.0) (47.4) (41.2) (42.5) (36.9) (39.8) (39.3) (29.6) (33.4) 32.4 29.1 45.1 39.7 52.0 45.6 (32.6) (33.4) (34.7) (32.6) (42.1) (39.0) (46.1) (42.4) (37.1) (47.5) (50.1) (45.0) (41.9) (32.3) (34.3) (36.0) S Pi. G S x G S x Pi. Pi. 7 (33.3) (34.3) (36.0) Pi. 3 (34.3) (34.3) (36.0) Pi. 3 (34.3) (36.0) Pi. 3 (34.3) (36.0) Pi. 3 (34.3) (36.0) Pi. 3 (34.3) (36.0) Pi. 3	>	25.9	50.0			45.3	36.2	41.0	40.2	28.3	13.8	11.0	17.7
1 245 30.4 32.4 29.1 45.1 39.7 52.0 45.6 (22.6) (29.6) (33.4) (32.6) (32.6) (42.1) (39.0) (46.1) (42.4) (29.6) (47.5) (30.1) (45.0) (41.9) (32.3) (34.3) (36.0) (36.0) (37.1) (47.5) (50.1) (45.0) (41.9) (32.3) (32.3) (34.3) (36.0) (36.0) (37.1) 6.35 0.49 0.35 0.60 0.85 0.85 0.85 (36.0) (37.1) (37	ic.	(30.5)	(48.0)			(42.5)	(36.9)	(39.8)	(39.3)	(32.1)	(21.8)	(19.3)	(24.8)
(29.6) (33.4) (34.7) (32.6) (42.1) (39.0) (46.1) (42.4) 36.4 54.4 59.0 90.0 44.6 28.7 31.8 35.0 (37.1) (47.5) (50.1) (45.0) (41.9) (32.3) (34.3) (36.0) 8 Pi. G Sx G Sx Pi. Pi. y 0.35 0.49 0.35 0.60 0.85 0.8	N	24.5	30.4			45.1	39.7	52.0	45.6	30.4	33.3	15.6	27.4
36.4 54.4 59.0 90.0 44.6 28.7 31.8 35.0 (37.1) (47.5) (50.1) (45.0) (41.9) (32.3) (34.3) (36.0) S Pi. G S x G S x Pi. Pi. x i and a single s	5	(29.6)	(33.4)	(34.7)		(42.1)	(39.0)	(46.1)	(42.4)	(33.4)	(35.2)	(23.2)	(31.5)
(37.1) (47.5) (50.1) (45.0) (41.9) (32.3) (34.3) (36.0) S Pi. G S x G S x Pi. Pi. x e 0.35 0.49 0.35 0.60 0.85 0.85 Pi=0.05) 0.71 0.71 1.39 1.67 1.67	ä	36.4	54.4	59.0	90.0	44.6	28.7	31.8	35.0	19.0	17.4	9.2	15.2
S Pi. G S X Fi. 0.35 0.49 0.35 0.60 0.85 0.71 0.71 1.39 1.67	1	(37.1)	(47.5)	(50.1)	(45.0)	(41.9)	(32.3)	(34.3)	(36.0)	(25.8)	(24.6)	(17.6)	(22.9)
S Pi, G SxG SxPi. 0.35 0.49 0.35 0.60 0.85 0.71 0.71 1.39 1.67										i-			
0.35 0.49 0.35 0.60 0.85 0.71 0.97 0.71 1.39 1.67			s	Pi.	O	S	x G	S	x Pi.	Pi. x G	Sx	Sx Pi. x G	
0.71 0.97 0.71 1.39 1.67	- 4	0	.35	0.49		0	091	Ó	85	0.85	1	1.48	
	$(\Gamma = 0.05)$		17.1	0.97	0.71		.39	#	29	1.67	61	2.90	

-
Ξ
Д
O
N
¥
ū
E
60
Ξ
셤
÷
5
-2
ē
Ë
٤
90
ä
ŏ
f se
ō
Š
ŏ
grades of
æ
2
siz
ä
Ξ
H
5
=
묾
*
P
ü
S
8
=
Ē
2
8
5
ë
2
0
S
ň
ae
É
Ξ
-
1.
m

· ·	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	Ğı		* 1		25	2	ż		5	G3		Mean
	Sı	25	S3	Mean	Sı	S2	S3	Mean	¹S.	S ₂	S3	Mean	
+	8.799	580.3	628.5	625.5	637.5	561.3	603.0	9.009	502.3	461.8	486.3	483.5	6.698
. #	633.3	540.3	593.8	589.1	617.5	521.3	527.0	555.3	478.5	421.3	442.3	447.4	530.6
ш	613.5	529.5	576.3	575.1	580.5	511.0	534.0	541.7	452.5	415.5	422.3	430.1	515.6
71	614.8	520.5	573.8	269.7	572.3	493.3	492.8	519.5	438.3	397.8	421.8	419.3	502.8
>	5.865	503.8	554.8	551.4	540.3	451.5	462.5	484.8	418.3	361.5	407.8	395.9	487.4
IA	583.3	482.3	533.5	553.0	524.8	440.0	448.5	471.1	402.3	355.0	377.8	378.4	460.8
can	0.619	526.6	576.9	574.2	578.8	496.4	528.0	534.4	448.7	402.2	426.4	425.8	
e ²		٠,				÷		1 1 1 4.				:	
	S		F.	5	SxPi.	Pi.	SxG	5	Pi. x G		Sx Pi.x G		
PE	1.27		1.80	1.27	3.12	57 °	2.21		3.12		5.40		
D (P=0.05)	2.50		3.53	2.50	6.12	٠,	4.32		6.12		10.59		

Influence of season on germination (%) in size grades of seeds from six pickings in cv. K. 2 Chilli

		ō	4		,	CZ.			•	G3			Mean
	Si	25	S3	Mean	. S1	S ₂ .	S3	Mean	īS	. S2	S3	Mean	1
1.1	94.5	85.5	91.0	90.3	91.0	87.3	. 89.5	89.3	80.3	78.8	80.0	7.67	86.4
	(76.4)	(67.6)	(72.5)	(71.8)	(72.5)	(69.1)	(71.0)	(6.07)	(63.6)	(62.5)	(63.4)	(63.2)	(68.3)
1. 11	93.3	84.0	92.5	. 6'68	92.0	82.5	90.5	88.3	82.3	79.0	80.8	80.7	86.3
	(75.2)	(66.4)	(74.1)	(71.4)	(73.5)	(65.2)	(72.0)	(70.0)	(65.1)	(62.8)	(64.0)	(63.9)	(68.2)
пп	93.5	82.0	85.5	87.0	82.0	78.8	77.0	79.3	78.5	77.2	75.2	77.0	81.1
	(75.2)	(64.9)	(67.6)	(8.8)	(64.9)	: (62.5)	(61.3)	(62.9)	(62.3)	(61.4)	(61.4)	(61.3)	(64.2)
i. 1V	92.3	77.0	80.0	83.1	79.2	74.8	76.0	76.7	76.0	71.8	73.3	73.7	77.8
,	(74.1)	(61.3)	(63.4)	(65.7)	(62.8)	(29.8)	(60.6)	(61.1)	(9.09)	(57.9)	(58.8)	(9.65)	(61.8)
۸,	85.3	75.3	75.3	78.6	79.0	72.0	73.0	74.7	73.2	70.0	71.0	71.4	74.9
	(67.4)	(60.2)	(60.2)	(62.4)	(62.7)	(28.0)	(58.6)	(88.8)	(58.8)	(9.65)	(57.4)	(57.6)	(59.9)
15.	81.3	73.3	74.3	76.3	75.0	72.3	72.3	73.2	72.0	8.69	71.0	70.9	73.5
	(64.3)	(28.8)	(59.5)	(60.8)	(000)	(58.2)	(58.2)	(28.8)	(58.0)	(26.6)	(57.4)	(57.3)	(58.0)
can	90.0	79.5	83.1	84.2	84.7		79.7	80.8	77.1	74.4	75.2	75.6	
	(71.5)	(0.69)	(65.7)	(66.5)	(6.99)	(62.0)	(63.2)	(64.0)	(61.4)	(9.65)	(60.1)	(60.4)	
	S		ä	Ð	Sx Pi.		S×G	5	. Pi. x G		SxPi.xG		
P	1.27		1.80	1,27	3.12		2.21		3.12		5.40		~
) (P = 0.05)	2.50		3.53	2.50	6.12		4.32		6.12		10.59		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1000 B												

igures in parenthesis are transformed values)

* Non-significant

of G3 was significantly low in S3. The recovery of G1 increased from Pi. I to Pi. III and then decreased. In all the seasons recovery of G1 recorded the highest in Pi. III and lowest in Pi. VI.

100 seed weight: The mean weight of G1 and 7.4 per cent more than G2. The weight of G2 was 27.8 per cent more than G3. Generally seed weight of different size grades was significantly more in S1 than S3 and S2.

Irrespective of grades and seasons the weight was highest in Pi. I and lowest in Pi. VI. The weight showed a decreasing trend as the picking advanced.

The difference in 100 seed weight between grades of seeds among pickings was more between S1 and S2 than S2 and S3. The difference was high in G1, less in G2 and least in G3.

Significant differences Germination: were obtained for G1 alone in all the three seasons. Seed produced in S1 recorded the highest germination than those from other two seasons. Among all pickings the germination grades Pi. I, Pi. II and Pi. III was on par in all the seasons and significantly more than Pi. II and Pi. VI.

100 seed weight: The mean weight of G1 was 7.4 per cent more than G2. The weight of G2 was 27.8 per cent more than G3. Generally seed weight of different size grades was significantly more in S1 and S2.

Irrespective of grades and seasons the weight was highest in Pi. I and lowest in Pi. VI The weight showed a decreasing trend as the picking advanced.

The difference in 100 seed weight between grades of seeds among pickings was more between S1 and S2 than S2 and S3. In difference was high in G1, less in G2 and least

Germination: Significant differences were obtained for G1 alone in all the three seasons. Seed produced in S1 recorded the highest germination than those from other two seasons. Among all pickings the germination grades Pi. I, Pi, II and Pi, III was on par in all the seasons and significantly more than Pi. II and Pi. IV.

The length of fruit was more in the kharif than in the rabi season whereas the number of seed per fruit was more in the latter than in the former.

In the rabi season, number of seeds per fruit increased upto third picking and then decreased while the seed weight of all grades of seeds declined from the first picking onwards.

In the kharif season, the fruit length and the recovery of large size seed were more but the number of seed per fruit and the seed weight were less than the rabi indicating that more quantities of nutrients were utilized for the build up of seed size in the kharif whereas it was for seed weight in the rabi season. Environmental factors can greatly influence seed weight (Hodgkin, 1980). It is interesting to note that more the recovery of large size seed, the greater the 100 seed weight in all grades. And also, since seed size has exhibited positive association with seed weight, size grading of bulk seed will table . care of weight grading also in this cron as has been reported in carrot (Austin and Longdon, 1967).

The recovery of G1 was more in the first three pickings from the rabi cron than G2 and vice versa in the remaining three pickings. Whereas in the kharif season, except the last picking, the recovery of G1 was highest in all the pickings. Seed size variation within a plant has been reported in (Thiagarajan, 1977) and carrot (Longdon, 1960). Variation in 100 seed weight in all the size grades were more in the kharif than the rabi season.

Seeds from rabi season recorded better germination than those from kharif. Differences in germination observed within large as well as small size seeds between seasons may be due to variations in material variations.

Abdalla and Vanderlipe (1972) and many other research workers reported positive association between seed germination. The difference in germination between G1 and G3 was not in proportion to that observed in seed weight between them. Therefore it becomes apparent the seed size and weight of seed are really depending upon the nutrition to mother plant whereas seed germination upon many factors of which nutrition may be one. In the present germination investigation commparitively more in all size grades collected from the earlier than latter pickings. The early formed seeds may have the competitive advantage over the later formed ones (Hardesty and Elliot, 1956). This may be due to the environment in which the seeds developed and matured or due to the differences in the maturity of fruit at different pickings (Surilote and Rampal, 1967).

Madras Agric. J., 33-40 January 1993

REFERENCES

- ABDULA,A. and R.L.VANDERLIN. 1972. Relationships of vigour tests and seed source and size to Sorghum seedling establishment. Agron.J., 64: 143-144.
- AUSTIN, P.B. and P.C.LONGDON 1965. Effect of nutritional treatments of seed bearing plants on the performance of three progeny. Nature. 205: 819-820.
- CHARLES, W.B., L.Amerouche and S.Malagwi. 1979. Seedlessness in Capasicum Annuum L var. D.C. (Sendt.). J.Hort. Science. 54(2): 159-161.
- HARDESTY, B. and F.ELLIOT. 1956. Differential post ripening effect among seed from the same parental wheat spine. Agron. J., 48: 9.
- HODGKIN,T. 1980. Genetic aspects of seed yield and performance in horticultural hrassicar. In: Seed production (E.D.) P.D.Hebbkithwalle. Butterworths, London. pp. 535.
- LONGTON,P.C. 1963. The extent, source and significance and variation in seed weight in vegetable crops. M.Sc., Thesis, University of Nottingham, U.K.
- OVCHAROV, K.E. 1977. Effect of the conditions of seed formation and storage on germination pp.7. physiological Basis of Seed Germination. Amerind Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- RYLASKI,I. 1973. Effect of night temperature on shape and 'size of sweet pepper. J.Amer.Soc.Hort.Sci., 98(2): 149-152.
- SURILOTE, K.M. and A.Rampal. 1967. Influence of different pickings on the quality of Americal cotton. Indian J.Agric.Sci.,37(2): 83-90.
- STUDENCOVA,I. 1965. The reaction of pepper and egg plant varieties to change in day length. Hort. Abstr. 35(3): 5850.

PRE-TREATMENT METHODS TO CONTROL SEED DETERIORATION IN GINGELLY

(Sesamum indicum L.) Cv. TMV 3

B.MADANAGOPAL and C.DHARMALINGAM

Gingelly seeds stored under ambient conditions in cloth bag commenced deterioration at the fourth month of storage. Pre- treatments such as i) Hydration by soaking in water for 0.5 to 8.0 h. ii) Moisture equilibration in a saturated atmosphere for 2 to 96 h and iii) Iodine permeation in an iodine saturated atmosphere for 10 to 17 h were given to 4-month-old seeds followed by drying

back to their original moisture in each case. The acceleratedly aged seeds (90% RH, 40°C for 15 days) revealed that 2 h soaking-drying, 24 h moisture equilibration-drying and 12h, iodine permeation- drying effectively controlled the further deterioration in seeds and registered high germination and vigour.

Viability studies in several crop seeds have shown that a low moisture content and

^{1.} Forms part of M.Sc (Ag) thesis in Seed Technology, Tamil Nadu Agrl. University, Coimbatore - 3

^{2.} Professor of Seed Technology, Agrl. College and Res. Institute, Madural,