EFFECT OF PLATING PATTERN AND NITROGEN FERTILIZATION ON SORGHUM +BLACKGRAM INTERCROPPING UNDER DRYLAND CONDITIONS

M.L. GUPTA 1

ABSTRACT

Intercropping of blackgram and sorghum with various planing patterns and nitrogen levels were tried at Agricultural Research Station, Aklera (Jhalawar) Rajasthan during Kharif, 1977, 78 and 1979. Alternate planting of sorghum and urd at 22.5 cm or paired planting of sorghum at 35 cm and one row of urd in between pairs of sorghum were found equally good and proved better than other ried systems of intercropping or sole cropping. Application of nitrogen to the sorghum crop in intercropping was as good as sole sorghum. However, nitrogen application to sorghum crop reduced the yield of intercrop.

Mixed cropping of legumes with non-legumes crop is a common practice among the farmers of semi-arid and tropics (SAT) in India. In a subsistence farming situation with uncertain rainfall, which is characteristics of SAT region, very little attention has been paid in past to improve soil productivity. Even though nitrogen is most limiting plant nutrient in these soils, intercropped legume might have been responsible for maintaining soil productivity (Palaniappan et al., 1976) at least at a subsistence level. Meager data are available on response of nitrogen by the non legumes in the presence of legume, it is, therefore, an experiment was planned to assess the response of sorghum to nitrogen in the presence of legume as intercrop.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted for

three consecutive years in Kharif of 1977, 1978 and 1979 at Agricultural Research Sub-Station, Rajasthan Agricultural University, Aklera (Jhalawar), which is situated in south-eastern part of Rajasthan. The soil of experimental fields was clay having pH 7.4 and Ec. 0.98 mmhos/cm. The organic matter content was 0.6 per cent. The available nitrogen and P2O5 being 261 and 44.6 kg/ha respectively. The first year (1977) was wet with well distributed rainfall (856.5 mm), in second year (1978) 699.9 mm rainfall was received while in third year (527.8 mm) there was late break of monsoon with early withdrawal (33rd week). The average rainfall of the area is about 1000 mm/year, most part of which is received from last week of June to first week of September.

The experiment conducted comprised of the treatments; Sole sorghum

¹ Agri, Res. Sub-Station, AKLERA (Jhalawar) Raj, Present address: Department of Agronomy, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur (Raj.) 313(X)1

at 45 cm -T1: Alternate row of sorghum and blackgram at 22.5 cm - T2: Four rows of sorghum at 35 cm and one row of blackgram in between two sets which were 75 cm apart (35 x 4/75,1 blackgram) - T3: Three rows of sorghum at 35 cm and one row of blackgram in between two sets which were 6.5 cm apart (35 x 3/65, 1 blackgram) T4: Two rows of sorghum at 35 cm and one row of blackgram in between two pairs which were 55 cm apart (35 x 2/55, 1 blackgram) - T5. These treatments were super imposed by four levels of nitrogen to sorghum crop i.e. 0, 30, 60 and 90 kg/ha. Sole blackgram sown at 30 cm (T₆) was also included as a treatment. These twenty one treatment combinations

were laidout in randomised block design with three replications. The gross plot size was 5.4 x 5 cm. Phosphorus at the rate of 40 kg/ha was drilled uniformly at the time of final field preparation. Half dose of nitrogen as per treatment, was applied as basal to sorghum rows at the time of sowing. The remaining half dose of nitrogen was applied to sorghum in two splits, i.e. at knee high and flag leaf stages. However, in the year 1979 nitrogen at flag leaf stage could not be applied due to lack of moisture. The dates of sowing and harvesting for main and intercrop were as follows:

In results sorghum equivalent has been calculated on the price value basis

Crop	D	ate of sowin	g	Da	te of harves	ting
	1977	1978	1979	1977	1978	1979
Sorghum	3/7	22/6	11/7	20/10	9/10	26/10
Blackgram	4/7	11/7	17/7	25/9	25/9	3/10

and land equivalent ratio (Table 2) has been calculated by taking sorghum yield of respective nitrogen level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Grain yield sorghum

The yield data (Table 1) reveal that intercropping of blackgram has no significant effect on grain yield of sorghum when it was planted in between normal rows (T2) and in between two pairs of sorghum (T5). This shows that the short height plant like blackgram have no competition for sorghum. Such effects

of intercropping has also been reported by Rathore (1981). It was also observed from mean data that there was reduction in the grain yield of sorghum when 3 or 4 rows of sorghum were planted at 35 cm (T₃ and T₄) to the tune of 2.35 and 1.54 q/ha, respectively. This shows the competition with in sorghum crop when planted at closer spacing.

Data presented in Table 1a also show that grain yield of sorghum increased progressively with successive levels of nitrogen application upto 90 kg/ha. Mean data show that application of 30, 60 and 90 kg N/ha gave an increase of 71.3, 123.0 ad 182.7 per cent grain yield over control (7.98 q/ha). Since the interaction was not significant blackgram did not seem to contributing any nitrogen to its companion sorghum.

BLACKGRAM

Mean data in Table 1a show that there was reduction in the grain yield of blackgram when it was interplanted with sorghum in comparison to its sole planting. This may be due to reduction in the plant population of the normal sown blackgram crop. However, the highest yield by 40 and 33 per cent of normal yield (2.60 q/ha) of blackgram among intercropping treatments was obtained when blackgram was intercropped in between the normal rows of sorghum (T2) and paired rows (T5) respectively, without any reduction in the grain yield of main crop. Mean data in Table 1 further reveal that the highest yield of blackgram (1.18 q/ha) was obtained when nitrogen was not applied to the main crop. Reduction in the yield

TABLE 1a: Grain yield of Sorghum and Blackgram under different inter-croppings and N levels.

The state of the s	Sor	ghum & Black	kgram yield (d	q/ha)
Treatments	1977	1978	1978	Mean
T ₁ Sole sorghum	21.64	19.29	8.49	16.47
T2 Alternate sorghum & Blackgram at 22.5 cm	22.05 (1.23)	17.71 (1.05)	7.88 (0.07)	15.88 (0.99)
T ₃ Sorghum 35 x 4/75, 1 row of blackgram	20.00 (0.79)	17.59 (0.62)	7.20 (0.50)	14.93 (0.63)
T ₄ Sorghum 35 x 3/65 1 row of blackgram	17.99 (0.98)	15.96 (0.87)	8.41 (.049)	14.12 (0.78)
Ts Sorghum 35 x 2/55, 1 row of blackgram	22.19 (1.08)	19.50 (0.97)	7.54 0.65	16.41 0.90
Te Sole blackgram	(0.07)	(0.03)	(0.72)	(0.60)
CD (0.05)	NS (0.23)	NS (-)	NS (-)	(-)
N kg/ha				
N ₀ = no nitrogen	10.47 (1.56)	9.80 (1.34)	3.68 (0.64)	7.98 (1.18)
$N_{30} = 30$	19.24 (1.06)	15.59 (0.85)	6.08 (0.49)	13.67 (0.80)
$N_{60} = 60$	24.09 (0.70)	20.53 (0.67)	8.80 (0.59)	12.80 (0.65)
$N_{90} = 90$	29.20 (0.69)	26.00 (0.68)	12.50 (0.59)	22.56 (0.65)
CD (0.05)	2.99 (0.23)	2.42 (0.32)	1.91	(-)

Figures in parenthesis represents blackgram yield (q/ha).

TABLE :1(b) Sorghumn equivalent and return under different inter-croppings and N levels

15. Sole sorghum & blackgram at 22.5 cm 21.64 19.31 8.49 16.48 4110 3239 20.25 3158 15.54 15.54 15.54 15.54 15.54 15.54 15.55 13.57		Treatments		Sorghum equ	Sorghum equivalent (q/ha)			Returns	Returns (Rs/ha)	
Sole sorghum 21.64 19.31 8.49 16.48 4110 3239 20.25 Alternate sorghum & blackgram at 22.5 cm 22.02 19.89 8.42 17.61 4376 3367 2134 Sorghum 35 x 4/75, 1 row of blackgram 21.43 18.88 7.51 15.94 3952 3124 1974 Sorghum 35 x 2/55, 1 row of blackgram 24.30 21.49 7.94 17.91 4248 3507 2087 Sole blackgram 3 x 2/55, 1 row of blackgram 4.15 4.07 7.44 5.22 664 605 350 Sole blackgram 3 x 2/55, 1 row of blackgram 4.15 4.07 7.44 5.22 664 605 350 N kg/ha N kg/ha 1.02 4.09 9.55 2490 2183 1848 No = 50 25.21 21.72 9.10 18.51 4655 3555 2199 No = 60 30.33 27.10 12.83 23.42 5371 4335 2806 CD (0.05)		**	1977	1978	1979	Mean	1761	1978	1979	Mean
Alternate sorghum & blackgram at 22.5 cm 22.02 19.89 8.42 17.61 4376 3367 2134 Sorghum 35 x 3/65, I row of blackgram 21.43 18.88 7.51 15.94 3952 3124 1974 Sorghum 35 x 3/65, I row of blackgram 24.30 21.49 7.94 17.91 4248 3507 2085 Sole blackgram 4.15 4.07 7.44 5.22 664 606 930 N kg/ha N kg/ha 1.02 4.09 9.55 2490 21.83 1386 Np = 30 21.03 17.02 6.35 14.80 38.56 29.83 1848 Np = 60 25.21 21.72 9.10 18.51 4655 3557 2199 Np = 80 25.21 21.72 9.10 18.51 4655 3558 2199 Np = 90 30.33 27.10 12.83 23.42 5371 4335 2896	F	Sole sorghum	21.64	19.31	8.49	16,48	4110	3239	20.25	3158
Sorghum 35 x 4/75, 1 row of blackgram 19.45 17.76 8.09 15.10 3699 3652 2055 Sorghum 35 x 2/55, 1 row of blackgram 24.30 21.49 7.94 17.91 4248 3507 2087 Sorghum 35 x 2/55, 1 row of blackgram 4.15 4.07 7.44 5.22 664 606 930 Sole blackgram 5.0.005) N kg/ha No = no nitrogen 12.55 1.02 4.09 9.55 2490 2183 1386 No = 60 25.21 21.72 9.10 18.51 4655 3555 2199 No = 90 27.2 2.43 1.00 12.83 23.42 5371 4335 2366 CD (0.005) 2.72 2.43 1.00 12.83 23.42 5371 4335 2366	13	Altemate sorghum & blackgram at 22.5 cm	22.02	19.89	8.42	17.61	4376	3367	2134	3292
Sorghum 35 x 3/65, 1 row of blackgram 19.45 17.76 8.09 15.10 3699 3052 2055 Sorghum 35 x 2/55, 1 row of blackgram 24.30 21.49 7.94 17.91 4248 3507 2087 Sole blackgram 4.15 4.07 7.44 5.22 664 606 930 N kg/ha N kg/ha 1.255 1.02 4.09 9.55 2490 2183 1386 No = no mitogen 12.55 1.02 4.09 9.55 2490 2183 1386 No = 60 21.03 17.02 6.35 14.80 3856 2958 1848 No = 60 25.21 21.72 9.10 18.51 4655 3555 2199 No = 90 30.33 27.10 12.83 23.42 5371 4335 2806 CD (0.05) 2.72 2.43 1.00 3571 4335 2896	Т3	Sorghum 35 x 4/75, 1 row of blackgram	21.43	18.88	7.51	15.94	3952	3124	1974	3017
Sorghum 35 x 2/55, 1 row of blackgram 24.30 21.49 7.94 17.91 4248 3507 2087 Sole blackgram C.D. (0.05) 3.05 2.71 NS 664 666 930 N kghta I.02 4.09 9.55 2490 2183 1386 No = no mitrogen 12.55 17.02 6.35 14.80 3856 2958 1848 No = 60 25.21 21.72 9.10 18.51 4655 3555 2199 No = 60 30.33 27.10 12.83 23.42 5371 4335 2806 CD (0.05) 272 243 1.00 3.342 5371 4335 2806	14	Sorghum 35 x 3/65, 1 row of blackgram	19.45	17.76	8.09	15.10	3699	3052	2055	2936
Sole blackgram 4.15 4.07 7.44 5.22 664 606 930 N kg/ha No = no nitrogen 12.55 1.02 4.09 9.55 2490 2183 1386 Nxo = 30 21.03 17.02 6.35 14.80 3856 2958 1848 Nxo = 60 25.21 21.72 9.10 18.51 4655 3555 2199 Nxo = 90 30.33 27.10 12.83 23.42 5371 4335 2806 CD (0.05) 2.72 2.43 1.00 3.242 5371 4335 2806	Ts	Sorghum 35 x 2/55, 1 row of blackgram	24.30	21.49	7.94	17.91	4248	3507	2087	3292
itrogen 12.55 1.02 4.09 9.55 2490 2183 1386 21.03 17.02 6.35 14.80 3856 2958 1848 25.21 21.72 9.10 18.51 4655 3555 2199 30.33 27.10 12.83 23.42 5371 4335 2806 2.72 2.43 1.00	T6	Sole blackgram C.D. (0.05)	3.05	4.07	7.44 NS	5.22	<u>8</u> .	909	930	733
12.55 1.02 4.09 9.55 2490 2183 1386 21.03 17.02 6.35 14.80 3856 2958 1848 25.21 21.72 9.10 18.51 4655 3555 2199 27.30 12.83 23.42 5371 4335 2806 27.2 2.43 1.00		N kg/ha		-					4	
21.03 17.02 6.35 14.80 3856 2958 1848 25.21 21.72 9.10 18.51 4655 3555 2199 30.33 27.10 12.83 23.42 5371 4335 2806 2.72 2.43 1.00		No = no nitrogen	12.55	1.02	4.09	9.55	2490	2183	1386	2020
25.21 21.72 9.10 18.51 4655 3555 2199 30.33 27.10 12.83 23.42 5371 4335 2806 2.72 2.43 1.00 .		$N_{30} = 30$	21.03	17.02	6.35	14.80	3856	2958	1848	2888
30.33 27.10 12.83 23.42 5371 4335 2806 2.72 2.43 1.00		N ₆₀ = 60	25.21	21.72	9.10	18.51	4655	3555	2199	3470
2.72 2.43 1.00		N ₉₀ = 90	30.33	27.10	12.83	23.42	5371	4335	2806	4171
		CD (0.05)	2.72	2,43	1.00	*** :*				ž h

of intercrop (blackgram) was observed with the application of nitrogen to the main crop. Reduction in the yield of legumes when nitrogen was applied to the base crop has also been reported by Narain et al. (1980) and Chang (1981).

SORGHUM EQUIVALENT

Data presented in Table 1b show that highest sorghum equivalent (17.91) was obtained from paired planting of sorghum and intercropping and blackgram (T5). This was closely followed by alternate planting of sorghum and blackgram (T2-17.61 q/ha). Other practices, however, did not show any improvement in sorghum equivalent in comparison to sole sorghum (16.48 q/ha). Sole sorghum and intercropping systems gave higher sorghum equivalent as compared to sole blackgram in all the three years. But significant differences were not observed in the year 1979. Higher sorghum equivalent in intercropping in comparison to sole sorghum and legumes has also been reported by Waghmare and Singh (1982).

MONETARY RETURN

Data in Table 1b. further show that intercropping of blackgram in between normal rows (T₂) and paired row of sorghum (T₅) gave the highest monetary return. The mean increases was Rs. 134/-over sole sorghum and Rs. 2559/-over sole blackgram. Date (Table 1b) further show that the monetary return successively increased with the increasing levels of nitrogen to sorghum crop.

LAND EQUIVALENT RATIO (L E R)

Data in Table 2, show that increase in total LER was recorded with all the systems of intercropping at all the levels of nitrogen. It was, however, noted that due to blackgram the LER decreased with the increasing levels of nitrogen under all the systems of intercropping. This suggested that intercropping may be advantageous at low fertility levels (Reddy et al., 1980), Highest mean LER to the tube of 2.26 was obtained when alternate planting of sorghum and blackgram was done without application of nitrogen (T2 No). It was followed by planting of blackgram between paired rows of sorghum at the same level of nitrogen (T5 No. 1.71). These two the same level of nitrogen (T5 No. 1.71). These two treatment held the superiority at all the levels of nitrogen.

REFERENCES

CHANG, J.P. 1981. An analysis of competition between cowpea and maize. Ph.D. Thesis Iowa State University, 1981. cf. B. Dessertation abstract International 42(1): 2639.

NARAIAN, P.; VERMA, B. and SINGH, A.K. 1980. Nitrogen economics through intercropping of pigeonpea in rainfed sorghum. Indian J. Agron. 25(2): 190-96.

PLANIAPPAN, SP., BALASUBRA-MANIAN, A. and RAMESWAMI, C. 1976. Intercropping of sorghum with

TABLE 2: Land equivalent ratio under different treatments.

	Indiananan								Nitroge	Nitrogen levels							
	pattern		19	1977			10	1978			8	1979			Mean	an	
		No	N30	N30 N60	N90	%	N30	N ₆₀	N ₉₀	No	N30	8 8	8 8	νς	N30	Neo	Ngo
T ₂	Alternate sorghum & blackgram at 22.5 cm	2.78	161	1.16	1.29	2.50	1.29	1.16	137	1.52	1.20	1.01	0.97	2.26 1.47		3	121
13	Sorghum 35 x 4/75 1 row of blackgram	1.76	1.76 1.54	1.02	1.07	2.15	1.01	0.92	136	0.98	0.82	1.20	0.84	1.63	1.18	1.05	1.09
i,	Sorghum 35 x 3/65 I row of blackgram	1.76	1.23	1.04	1.27	1.76	1.10	0.98	1.19	1.20	96.0	1.22	0.88	1.50	1.10	1.08	111
5	Sorghum 35 x 2/55 I row of blackgram	1.90	2.19	1.18	1.26	2.17	1.36	1.16	1.49	1.07	0.89	86.0	1.03	17.1	1.48	17	1.26

Note: LER has been calculated by taking sorghum yield of respective nitrogen levels.

legumes for saving nitrogen. Sorghum News letter 19: 86.

RATHORE, S.S. 1981. Intercropping studies in sorghum under dryland agriculture to meet weather aberrations. Paper presented in crop management to meet the new challenges. Indian Soc. Agron. Nat. Symp, Hissar.

REDDY, M.S., FLOYD, C.W. and WILLEY, R.M. 1980. Groundnut in intercropping systems. Paper presented at the international groundnut workshop, ICRISAT, Hyderabad, 13-17 October, 1980.

WAGHMARE, A.B. and SINGH, S.P. 1982.

Total productivity and new returns of different sorghum legumes intercropping systems under varying N levels.

Indian J. Agron 27(4): 423-28.

Madras Agric. J. 79 (9): 517 - 523 September 1992

CANOPY MANAGEMENT OF KARUNGANNI COTTON UNDER RAINFED CONDITIONS

T.N. BALASUBRAMANIAN¹,
U.S. SREE RAMULU² and S. NALLAIAH DURAIRAJ³

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Kovilpatti under rainfed during 1984 and 1985 rabi seasons to find out the effect of clipping Cotton terminal nodes as well as cycocel spray on the yield of seed cotton under different levels of spacing. The first year of the study was with normal rainfall during crop growth period, while it was deficit in the second year. The result revealed the suitability of the treatment 60 x 15 cm spacing with Cycocel spray on 65 DAS for both different rainfall situations.

Desi Cotton (G. arboreum)
popularly known as Karuganni Cotton
is very prominent for cultivation among
dryland farmers of southern districts of
Tamil Nadu. This is because, even under
severe moisture stress condition, this
Karuganni Cotton would yield seed

cotton satisfactorily. Further among different species of cotton under cultivation at this tract this cotton is also found suitable for late sowing during rabi season as well as highly suitable for submarginal black soils. Padaki et al (1977) observed that cultivation of ar-

Associated Professor(Agronomy), Agricultural Research Station, Kovilpatti P.O. 627 701, Tamil

^{2.} Professor and Head, Agricultural Research Station, Kovilpatti P.O. 627 701, Tamil Nadu.

^{3.} Assistant Professor, Agricultural Research Station, Kovilpatti P.O. 627 701, Tamil Nadu.