EFFECT OF COMBINED USE OF UREA SUPERGRANULES, PHOSPHORUS AND AZOLLA ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF RICE

K. MANJAPPA, A.S. KUMARASWAMY and R.A. SETTY Department of Agronomy college of Agriculture, G.K.V.K., Bangalore.

ABSTRACT

An experiment involving three levels of N as USG (0,30 and 60 kg.ha⁻¹) two levels P (50 and 75 kg.ha⁻¹ P₂O₅) and two levels of Azolla (o and 10 t.ha⁻¹) was conducted to study their effects on growth and yield of rice. Application of 60 kg ha⁻¹ N recorded significantly higher grain and straw yield over 30 kg.ha⁻¹. The grain yield of rice was not influenced by P while straw yields showed significant variation due to levels of P. The grain yield of rice was significantly increased with 10 t.ha⁻¹ of Azolla (3560 kg.ha⁻¹) over no azolla (2954 kg.ha⁻¹). The grain yield obtained with 30 kg.ha⁻¹ + 10 t.ha⁻¹ of Azolla (3705 kg.ha⁻¹) was equal to yield obtained with 60 kg.ha⁻¹ N (3709 kg.ha⁻¹).

KEY WORDS: Urea super granules, phosphorus, Azolla.

The recovery of applied N in transplanted rice is low and varied from 25 to 34 per cent (Prasad et al. 1982). Use of modified source and improved methods of urea application offer the possibility of increasing the N use efficiency. Use of urea supergranules (USG) is considered one of the ways of increasing the efficiency of fertilizer N. Recent international network on soil fertility and fertilizer evaluation for rice studies proved that placement of USG gave significantly higher yield increase than those derived from split application of urea (Craswell and Vlek 1982). The N fixing ability of the Azolla anabaena complex offers potential for increasing rice yield at comparatively low cost. Phosphorus is reported to be the most limiting nutrient for Azolla growth (Watanabe, et al. 1980; Subudhi and Watanabe, 1981). This study aims to know whether the combined use of Azolla with P and USG will result in better response in rice crop.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during the kharif-1986 to elucidate the information on combined use of USG, P and Azolla. Soil of the experimental site was sandy loam with pH of 6.8, organic carbon 0.61 per cent and available N 282 kg.ha⁻¹. The experimentwas laid out in a completely randomised block design with four replications.

Treatments consisted of the combinations of three levels of N as USG (0, 30 and 60 kg.ha-1 N), two levels of P (50 and 75 kg.ha-1 P2O2), and two levels of Azolla (0 and 10 t.ha-1). Azolla was inoculated at the rate of 250 gm⁻², 20 days before transplanting, (DAT) and allowed to grow to form a complete mat and was incorporated into the soil just before transplanting. The P and K were applied at the rate of 50 kg.ha⁻¹ each, to all the plots just before transplanting as basal dose. Additional P (25 kg.ha-1 P2O5) was applied to the plots that received 75 kg.ha-1 treatment at the time of Azolla inoculation to help better multiplication of Azolla. The USG were placed at about 8 to 10 cm depth after 8 days of transplanting. The rice variety 'Rasi' (IET 1444) with a duration of 135 days was used in the experiment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The periodical dry matter accumulation (DMA) in rice varied significantly due to N application (Table 1). At 30 DAT, the DMA hill was 2.60, 3.63 and 4.61 g at 0, 30 and 60 kg.ha⁻¹, respectively. At 60 DAT, the DMA was 8.42 g with no N compared to 11.06 g with 30 kg.ha⁻¹ N and 14.46 g with 60 kg.ha⁻¹ N. Instance of increased DMA due to deep placement of USG was also reported by Rambabu (1980).

Application of N as USG significantly influenced the number of panicles m-2 (Table 1). Higher number of panicles were with 60 kg.ha-1 N (385.8.m⁻²) followed by 30 kg.ha⁻¹ N (361.4.m⁻²) and no nitrogen (284.3.m⁻²). The increased number of panicles m-2 was mainly due to increased number of tillers hill-1. The number of spikelets panicle-1 and filled grains panicle-1 were also influenced significantly by the application of N. Prased et al. (1982) reported significant increase in panicles metre-2 and grain number panicle-1 with deep placement of USG. Maximum number of spikelets (83.26) and filled grains (67.31) panicle-1 were with 30 kg.ha-1 N and they were on a par with those of 60 kg.ha-1 N. A slight but not significant reduction in spikelets and filled grains panicle with 60 kg.ha-1 N might have been due to increased number of tillers. Application of 60 kg.ha⁻¹ N recorded maximum grain weight per panicle (1.51 g) followed by 30 kg.ha⁻¹ N (1.45 g) which were on a par with each other but significantly superior over no N (1.19 g).

The variations in grain and straw yields of rice were significant (Table 1) due to application of N. Maximum grain and straw yields were with 60 kg.ha⁻¹ N (3337 and 3083 kg.ha⁻¹, respectively) and no N (2529 and 1982 kg.ha⁻¹, respectively). The increased yields of rice was mainly due to increased growth and yield components. The instances of yield increase due to deep placement of USG were also reported by Sen et al. (1985) and Singh et al. (1985). The harvest index values were significantly reduced by the application of N as a result of increased straw yields.

The variation in DMA hill of rice due to levels of P were significant at all the stages except at harvest (Table 1). Application of 75 kg.ha-1P,O, produced significantly higher DMA (3.88 and 12.27 g at 30 and 60 DAT, respectively) over 50 kg.ha-1 P,O, (3.35 and 10.36 at 30 and 60 DAT, respectively). The P application did not cause significant variation in the yield parameters of rice and as a result, grain yields (3149 and 3365 kg.ha⁻¹ at 50 and 75 kg.ha⁻¹, P₂O₄ respectively) did not differ significantly due to P application. Studies conducted in the laterite sandy loam soils of Rice Research Station, Pattambi, over a period of 35 years also revealed no appreciable response to applied P (Nair and Pishrody, 1970). The low response to applied P may be due to increased availability of P under submerged conditions. Straw yields (2721 and 3131 kg.ha-1 at 50 and 75 kg.ha-1, P,O, respectively) showed significant variation due to levels of P (Table 1).

Application of Azolla (10 t.ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher DMA hill⁻¹ (12.6 g) as compared to no Azolla (10.03 g) only at 60 DAT (Table 1). The variations in yield parameter of rice due to application of Azolla were not significant except in grain weight panicle⁻¹. The grain yield of rice 2954 kg.ha⁻¹ with noAzolla and 3560 kg.ha⁻¹ with 10 t.ha⁻¹ of Azolla were significantly different from each other. The straw yields of rice, (2606 kg.ha⁻¹) with no Azolla and (3246 kg.ha⁻¹) with 10 t.ha⁻¹ of Azolla also differed significantly. Kannaiyan et al. (1983), Joy and Havanagi (1985) have reported instances of yield increase in rice due to Azolla appplication.

Apart from the main effects of N, P and Azolla, the combined effects were also found to influence yield of rice. The grain and straw yields obtained with 30 kg.ha⁻¹ N +-10 t of Azolla.ha⁻¹ (3705 and 3545 kg.ha⁻¹, respectively) were equal to yield obtained with 60 kg.ha⁻¹ N alone (3709 and 3499 kg.ha⁻¹, respectively). Yields obtained with 10 t of Azolla.ha⁻¹ (2876

and 2264 kg.ha⁻¹, respectively) were comparable to yields with 30 kg.ha⁻¹ N alone (2870 and 2721 kg.ha⁻¹ respectively). This indicates that application of *Azolla* at 10 t.ha⁻¹ can supplement nearly 30 kg.ha⁻¹ N or the yield with 10 t of *Azolla*. ha⁻¹ was equivalent to yield with 30 kg.ha⁻¹ N. Eventhough, P alone had no much beneficial effect on yield of rice, the beneficial effect was more pronounced when combined with Azolla. The grain yield obtained with 75 kg.ha⁻¹ P₂O₅. ha⁻¹ + 10 t of *Azolla*.ha⁻¹ (3711 kg.ha⁻¹) was more by 8.8 per cent when compared to yield with 50

kg.ha⁻¹ $P_2O_5 + 10$ t of Azolla.ha⁻¹ (3410 kg.ha⁻¹), whereas yield obtained with 75 kg.ha⁻¹ $P_2O_5 +$ no Azolla (3019 kg.ha⁻¹) was more by only 4.49 per cent when compared to 50kg.ha⁻¹ $P_2O_5 +$ no Azolla (2889 kg.ha⁻¹).

Acknowledgement

The senior author is thankful to the Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, for the financial assistance rendered in the form of Junior Fellowship during the period of study.

REFERENCES

- CRASWELL, E.T and VLEK, P.L.G, 1982, Paper presented at International Congress of Soil Science, Part II, New Delhi, PP, 158-181.
- JOY, P.P. and HAVANAGI, G.V, 1985. Effect of different levels of N, P and Azolla on rice. Int. Rice Res. Newsl., 10 (4): 25-26..
- KANNAIYAN, S., THANGARAJAN, M. and OBLISAMI, G., 1983. Effect of Azolla green manuring on rice crop. Sci. Cul, 49: 217-218.
- NAIR, R.R. and PISHORDY, P.N., 1970, Response of rice to phosphaate manuring in a water-logged sandy loam soils of Kerala. Agric. Res. J. Kerala, 8: 6-13.
- PRASAD, R., SINGH, S., PRASAD, M. AND THOMAS, J., 1982. Increased efficiency of fertilizer nitrogen applied to rice through USG. Paper presented at UAS-IFFFCO Seminar on Urea supergranules, Bangalore, 27-28 May (Mimeo).
- RAMBABU, P., 1980. Studies on the effect of modified urea materials and methods of nitrogen application on growth and yield of rice variety Rasi, TET-1444 (Oryza

- sativa L.) M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis. APAU, Hyderabad. Unpubl.
- SEN, A., GULATI, J.M.L. and MOHANTY, 1985. Effect of root zone placement of nitrogen as urea supergranules on the yield performance of wetland rice. Oryza, 22:40-44.
- SINGH, B.K., TAN, and HONG, N.T.T 1985. Efficiency of modified urea materials applied at different N levels in lowland rice in the cun long Delta. Int. Rice Res. Newsl., 10 (2): 23.
- SUBUDHI, B.P.R. and WATANABE; I., 1981, Differential phosphorus requirement of Azolla spp. and strains in phosphorus limited continuous culture. Soil Sci. Plant Nutrition, 27: 237-247.
- WATANABE, I. BERJA, N.S. and ROSARIO DEL, D.L., 1980. Growth of Azolla in paddy field as affected by phosphorus fertilizer. Soil Sci. Plant Nutrition, 26: 301-307.

Effect of Nitrogen (As USG), Phosphorus and Azolla on yield parameters and yield of rice. Table 1.

| 72.16 83.26 80.70 8.142 77.80 NS NS NS | | Dry mat | ter accumul | Dry matter accumulation (g hill-1) at Number of | Number of | Spikelets | Number of | Grain | Grain | Straw | Harvest |
|--|------------|---------|-------------|---|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------|
| 2.60 8.42 13.56 284.3 72.16 3.63 11.06 21.32 361.4 83.26 4.61 14.46 20.79 385.5 80.70 0.637 1.445 3.135 44.343 8.142 3.35 10.36 18.24 341.6 79.59 3.88 12.27 18.88 345.9 77.80 0.520 1.180 NS NS NS 3.61 10.03 17.45 332.5 77.41 3.62 12.60 19.69 335.0 80.02 NS NS NS | rearment | 30 DAT | 1 | l con | paincres m-2 | ballice | grains panicle ¹ | weigni panicle ¹ (g) | yieid (kg ha ^{-t}) | yieid yieid (kg ha ⁻¹) (kg.ha ⁻¹) | Index |
| 3.63 11.06 21.32 361.4 83.26 4.61 14.46 20.79 385.5 80.70 0.637 1.445 3.135 44.343 8.142 3.35 10.36 18.24 341.6 79.59 3.88 12.27 18.88 345.9 77.80 0.520 1.180 NS NS NS 3.61 10.03 17.45 332.5 77.41 3.62 12.60 19.69 335.0 80.02 NS 1.180 NS NS | 0 <u>N</u> | 2.60 | 8.42 | 13.56 | 284.3 | 72.16 | 57.15 | 1.19 | 2529 | 1982 | 56.06 |
| 4.61 14.46 20.79 385.5 80.70 0.637 1.445 3.135 44.343 8.142 3.35 10.36 18.24 341.6 79.59 3.88 12.27 18.88 345.9 77.80 0.520 1.180 NS NS NS 3.61 10.03 17.45 332.5 77.41 3.62 12.60 19.69 335.0 80.02 NS 1.180 NS NS | N30 | 3.63 | 11.06 | 21.32 | 361.4 | 83.26 | 67.31 | 1.45 | 3337 | 3083 | 52.30 |
| 0.637 1.445 3.135 44.343 8.142 3.35 10.36 18.24 341.6 79.59 3.88 12.27 18.88 345.9 77.80 0.520 1.180 NS NS NS 3.61 10.03 17.45 332.5 77.41 3.62 12.60 19.69 335.0 80.02 NS 1.180 NS NS | 09N | 4.61 | 14.46 | 20.79 | 385.5 | 80.70 | 80.29 | 1.51 | 3905 | 3714 | 51.36 |
| 3.35 10.36 18.24 341.6 79.59 3.88 12.27 18.88 345.9 77.80 0.520 1.180 NS NS NS 3.61 10.03 17.45 332.5 77.41 3.62 12.60 19.69 335.0 80.02 NS 1.180 NS NS | D (5%) | 0.637 | 1.445 | 3.135 | 44.343 | 8.142 | 6.755 | 0.118 | 338.0 | 327 | 1.484 |
| 3.88 12.27 18.88 345.9 77.80 0.520 1.180 NS NS 3.61 10.03 17.45 332.5 77.41 3.62 12.60 19.69 335.0 80.02 NS 1.180 NS NS NS | P50 | 3.35 | 10.36 | 18.24 | 341.6 | 79.59 | 64.49 | 1.35 | 3149 | 2721 | 54.20 |
| 0.520 1.180 NS NS 3.61 10.03 17.45 332.5 77.41 3.62 12.60 19.69 335.0 80.02 NS 1.180 NS NS | P75 | 3.88 | 12,27 | 18.88 | 345.9 | 77.80 | 61.84 | 1.41 | 3365 | 3131 | 52.29 |
| 3.61 10.03 17.45 332.5 77.41 3.62 12.60 19.69 335.0 80.02 NS 1.180 NS NS | D (5%) | 0.520 | 1.180 | NS | SN | NS | NS | NS | NS | 267 | 1.212 |
| 3.62 12.60 19.69 335.0 80.02 6. NS 1.180 NS NS NS | Α0 | 3.61 | 10.03 | 17.45 | 332.5 | . 77.41 | 62.95 | 1.33 | 2954 | 2606 | 53.70 |
| NS 1.180 NS NS NS | A1 | 3.62 | 12.60 | 69.61 | 335.0 | 80.02 | 63.41 | 1.43 | 3560 | 3246 | 52.78 |
| | D (5%) | NS | 1.180 | SN | SN | SN | NS | 0.096 | 276 | 267 | :: |
| | | | | | ٠ | | , 1 | 9 | * | | |

A1 = 10t Azolla