Madras agric. J.78 (9-12) Sep-Dec-1991

# EFFECT OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING AND NITROGEN LEVELS ON WATER USE, YIELD AND QUALITY OF SUGARCANE RATOON

R.K SIAG, and B.L. VERMA Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, Sriganganagar.

#### ABSTRACT

The field studies on the effect of irrigation scheduling and N levels on water use, yield and quality of sugarcane ration during 1982-1983 and 1983-1984 revealed that irrigation scheduling and N fertilization significantly influenced the yield of ration. Maximum ration yield was obtained when the crop was applied irrigation at IW/CPE of 1.25 (CPE 60 mm) and fertilized with 200 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup> of N. Increase in N application and frequency of irrigation increased consumptive use but decreased water use efficiency. Irrigation scheduling did not influence the juice quality but beyound 120 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup> N the juice quality deteriorated.

KEY WORDS: Sugarcane, Ratoon, Irrigcation schedulling, N levels.

Ratooning of sugarcane is a general practice in almost all sugarcane growing States of the country. The ratoon crops have now come to occupy about 30 to 40 per cent of the total cane acreage in different states. But strangely enough this practice has so far not received the attention it deserved at the hands of growers and ratoon crops have been cultivated mostly as 'gift' crops without being provided with proper manurial and irrigational operations. The sugarcane is a long duration crop and required huge quantity of nutrient for optimum yield (chakravarti, 1970). Bhoj (1991) reviewd the improvement in practice for ratooning and reported that at Jullundhar there was marked increase in yield of ratoon over control when N dose was increased. Rattan and Singh (1955) reported interaction between doses of manure and levels of irrigation and noted the ill effects of higher N on juice quality could be largely reduced by controlled irrigation. Light and frequent irrigations (at an interval of 7to12 days) had been reported to be superior in comparison to delayed and heavy ones (Raheja, 1951, Singh et al. 1960). The present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of irrigation and N levels on water use, yield and quality of sugarcanc ratoon.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted on ration sugarcane (Variety CO 1253) at agricultural Research Station, Sriganganagar, The soil of experimental field was sandy loamy in texture, pH 8.1, EC 0.20 d.s.m-1, O.C.0.22 per cent, field capacity 16.2 per cent, wilting coefficient 4.7 percent and 115, 42 and 352 kg.ha-1 of available N,P and K respectively. The experiment was laid out in a split plot design with four replications. Treatments comprised four levels of irrigations (IW/CPE ratio of 0.5, 0.75, 1.0 and 1.25) and three levels of N (100, 150 and 200 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>). First ratoon of sugarcane was under taken by applying the above treatments. Ratoon was started in mid February of 1982 and harvested at the end of December 1982 and during 1983-1984 the ratoon was started in mid February 1983 but harvested in second week of March 1984. Initially two irrigations of 7.5 cm depth were applied for establishment of the crop. Subsequent irrigations were applied as per treatments. Irrigation water was measured at field with the help of parshall flume. One third of N, full dose of P,O, and K,O (each @ 60 kg.ha-1) was applied at first irrigation and second 1/3rd N dose was given at tillering while remaining 1/3rd N dose was given at grand growth stage. Recommended package of practices were followed. Data on milliable cane, height of cane, cane yield and juice sucrose were recorded and subjected to statistical analysis. Number of irrigations and total quantity of

Ta ble 1. No. of irrigations and total water applied to the sugarcane ration.

Treatments	No.of i	rrigations		epth of pplied (cm)*
	1982-1983	1983-1984	1982-1983	1983-1984
Irrigation regimes				
I - IW/CPE 0.50	11	9	112.0	123.8
I - IW/CPE 0.75	17	13	157.0	153.8
I - IW/CPE 1.00	21	18	187.0	191.3
I - IW/CPE 1.25	26	23	224.5	228.0

water applied to the ratoon crop are given in Table 1.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The main effects of treatments on yield and yield contributing characters are summerized in Table 2. Data indicated that there was significant difference in cane yield due to various irrigation regimes and N levels in both the years. Irrigation scheduling at IW/CPE ratio of 1.25 (CPE 60 mm) produced significantly highest cane yields of 915.6 and 1068.8 g.ha-1 during 1982-1983 and 1983-1984 respectively. Average depth of water applied was 226.7 cm Chaturvedi (1946) reported that total delta of 181.25 cm was required for maximum yield in Barabanki, while Kulkarni and Gokhle (1963) reported that under field trials at Hadapsar (Maharashtra) the total water requirement was about 254 cm.

Khan and Nathuram (1947) recommended 198.12 cm of total delta, including rains in Punjab. The total requirement of water for sugarcane was 291.52 cm of which 231.20 cm water was applied through 28 irrigations of 7.9 cm depth each in Mysore (Anon. 1963). Data (Table 2) further showed that cane yields

increased with increase in N levels from 100 to 200 kg.ha-1.

Appreciable differences were observed in consumptive (CU) use and water use efficiency (WUE) due to different levels of irrigation and N application during 1983-1984 (Table 3). Minimum CU of water was recorded when irrigation was scheduled at IW/CPE ratio of 0.5 (CPE 150 mm) and increased propressively with successive increase in IW/CPE ratio from 0.5 to 1.25, whereas, WUE showed a reverse trend with increased irrigation regimes. The CU and WUE increased with increase in N levels from 100 to 200 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Cane height and millable cane number were not significantly affected either by irrigation regimes or N levels (Table 2). Data on juice quality (Table 4) revealed that there was no significant difference in sucrose content due to 0.75 and 1.0 IW/CPE irrigation regimes whereas at 0.5 and 1.25 IW/CPE, surcose content of juice got significantly reduced in comparison to other irrigation. Sucrose content and purity per cent of cane juice at harvesting stage was significantly reduced at 200 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup> N. Baver (1963), Miwra and Mehta (1985) also reported that higher application of N had adverse effect on juice quality.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The financial assistance, received during the course of the investigation, from the Project Coordinator Dr.R.K.Rajput, Central Soil Salinity Research Institue, Karnal is duly acknowledged.

### REFERENCES

- ANNONYMOUS, (1963), Review of the work done on water requirement of crops under G.W.R. scheme. Arabhavi, Mysore.
- BAVER, L.D (1963) A decade of Research progess 1950-1959. Research on cane nutrition and fertilisation. Hawaian Plantes Record. 57: 22-52.
- BHOJ, R.L. (1991) Improvement in the practices for ratooning of sugarcane. A review Indian Sugar: 20(2): 847-853.
- CHAKRAVARTI, A.S (1970). A brief account of contribution of sugarcane research in Bihar, Tech. Bull No.1 SRI Pusa (Bihar).
- CHATURVEDI, R.S (1946) Experiments on water requirements of sugarcane and wheat. Proc. Crops Soils Bd Agrl. India PP 184-185.
- KHAN, A.M., NATHURAM, L. (1947) Water requirements of crops Punjab Fmr. 3: 11-4.

- KULKARNI, D.G. AND GOKHALE, M.Y (1963) A note on optimum requirements and utilisation of water for irrigated crops. J. Cent. Bd Irrig. & Power.
- MIWRA, A. AND MEHTA. P.S. (1985) Effect of clump density and fertility levels on yield and quality of ratoon raised from winter harvested sugar cane. Indian J. Agron. 31: 172-176.
- RAHEJA, P.C. (1951). Water requirements of Indian field crops Res. Ser. 28. I.C.A.R New Delhi.
- RATTAN, P. and SINGH, H. 1955. PROC. CEC.BIEN.CONF.SUG.RES. & DEV. work India, Jullandar, 2: 440-450.
- SINGH, H., ADLAKHA, P.A. and THAKUR, M.M.S. 1960. Some irrigational studies with important sugarcane varieties in Punjab. Indian J. Sugarcane Res. Dev. 4 : 103-110.

Mean 5.60 116.3 Millable cane (in thousand.ha-1) 114.3 119.2 110.5 115.0 119.0 ļ 115.3 116.9 1983-1984 118.7 12,79 SX 11.07 134.1 113.0 119.1 124.2 NS Effect of levels of irrigation and nitrogen on cane yield and yield determining attributes of Sugarcane. 1982-1983 113.9 4.16 103.7 111.7 1143 SS 108.0 110.9 3.60 SS 113.8 Mean 205.6 199.3 214.3 228.7 205.7 211.5 218.9 Plant height (cm) 1983-1984 207.6 210.9 6.15 219.2 NS 212.9 233.1 217.6 222.6 5.32 NS 1982-1983 191.0 NS 200.3 209.4 224.3 11.7 198.4 10.13 205.3 215.1 SX 945.6 Mean 893.5 761.5 992.2 927.4 899.5 981.3 Cane yieled (q.ha-1) 1983-1984 930.5 979.9 024.0 068.8 11.01 32.3 923.8 998.1 9.54 1080.4 27.97 1982-1983 592.6 807.1 867.2 915.6 731.0 16.57 47.83 800.8 14.38 882.1 41.51 Irrigation regimes Treatments Nitrogen levels IW/CPE 0.50 IW/CPE 1.75 IW/CPE 1.00 IW/CPE 1.25 100 kg.ha.1 N 150 kg.ha-1 N 200 kg.ha-1 N Table 2: CD (5%) CD (5%) SE

447

N.S. - Not significant

Consumptive use and water use efficiency of sugarcane ratoon as influenced by different levels of irrigation and

	Consumptive	Consumptive water use (cm)		•	Water use efficiency (kg.ha mm)	(kg.ha mm)
Treatments	1982-1983	1983-1984	Mean	1982-1984	1983-1984	Mean
Imigation regimes						
IW/CPE 0.50	102.2	100.3	101.3	57.9	92.7	75.3
IW/CPE 0.75	148.6	132.7	140.7	54.3	73.8	64.1
IW/CPE 1.00	179.8	168.8	174.3	48.2	9:09	54.4
IW/CPE 1.25	210.6	200.9	205.8	43.5	53.1	48.3
Nitrogen levels	7.4					
100 kg.ha-1 N	151.2	140.3	145.8	48.3	65.8	57.1
150 kg.ha-1 N	158.3	149.2	153.8	50.6	66.4	58.5
200 kg.ha-1 N	171.4	162.6	0.791	51.05	8.99	59.2

Juice quality characters of sugarcane ratoon as influenced by irrigation and nitrogen levels. Table 4.

	B	Brix		S	Sucrose		Purity	Purity per cent	i i
Treatments	1982-1983	1983-1984	Mean	1982-1983	1983-1984	Mean	1982-1983	1983-1984	Mean
Irrigation regimes	ן מי								
IW/CPE 0.50	18.4	19.2	18.8	15.28	15.76	15.72	82.5	82.3	82.5
IW/CPE 1.75	18.5	19.2	18.9	15.65	16.02	15.88	84.5	83.6	84.1
IW/CPE 1.00	18.4	19.5	18.9	15.40	16.07	15.74	83.4	82.5	83.0
IW/CPE 1.25	18.2	18.8	18.5	15.17	15.13	15.15	83.3	80.7	82.0
SE	0.24	0.19		0.27	0.15		0.98	0.81	
CD (2%)	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	0.44		N.S.	N.S.	
Nitrogen levels									
100 kg.ha.1 N	18.7	19.3	19.0	15.72	16.19	15.96	84.0	84.1	84.1
150 kg.ha-1 N	18.6	19.4	19.0	15.67	15.95	15.81	84.2	82.4	83.3
200 kg.ha. <sup>1</sup> N	17.8	18.8	18.3	14.73	15.09	14.91	82.2	803	813
SE	0.21	0.16		0.24	0.13		0.85	0.71	
CD (5%)	0.59	0.47		89.0	0.38		N.S.	2.08	