# CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS OF COMPONENTS OF SEED YIELD IN SUNFLOWER (HELIANTHUS ANNUUS L.)

H.C.PATHAK1 and S.K.DIXIT2

#### ABSTRACT

Genotypic, phenotypic and environmental correlations were computed in a collection of 37 diverse sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) varieties grown under semi-arid conditions of Rajasthan. The genotypic correlations were generally higher than corresponding phenotypic and environmental correlations. Seed yield per plant was significantly and positively correlated with stem girth, head weight, shelling percentage and 100-seed weight. Path coefficient analysis revealed the maximum direct effect of head diameter followed by head weight, days to flowering, days maturity and 100-seed weight on seed yield per plant. Plant height, stem girth, leaves per plant and shelling percentage influenced the yield mostly through other characters.

KEY WORDS: Sunflower, Correlation, Path analysis.

An Investigation was undertaken to estimate genotypic, phenotypic and environmental correlations and path coefficients to understand the nature of association of characters in diverse genotypes of sunflower.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thirty seven diverse genotypes of sunflower maintained at Regional Station of Agricultural Research, Sumerpur (Rajasthan) were raised in a randomized block design with four replications, during Rabi 1973-74. The plot size consisted of single row of 6 m length with a spacing of 60 cm x 30 cm. Five competitive plants were randomly selected from each genotype per replication for recording observations. Genotypic, phenotypic and environmental correlation coefficients were worked out according to the method suggested by Johnson et al. (1955), where as the path coefficient analysis for seed yield per plant was done according to Dewey and Lu (1959).

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results indicated that the genotypic correlation coefficients were generally

higher than their corresponding phenotypic and environmental correlation coefficients. (Table 1) revealing the influence of environments.

The genotypic and phenotypic correlations showed the same trend. At phenotypic level, seed yield per plant was significantly and positively correlated with stem girth. head weight, shelling percentage and 100 seed weight. Days to flowering was strongly and positively correlated with days to maturity, while it was significantly and negatively correlated with head weight. Plant height showed strong and positive correlations with stem girth, leaves per plant, head diameter and head weight, stem girth with leave per plant, head diameter and head weight, and head weight with 100 seed weight. The results are in conformity to the findings of earlier reports on sunflower (Putt, 1943; Russell, 1953, Burns, 1970; Fick et al. 1974; Singh et al. 1977; Varshney and Singh, 1977 and Laxmanaiah, 1978). Alba and Greco (1979) reported significant positive correlation of seed yield with head diameter, plant height and 1000 seed weight in sunflower. Giriraj et al.

2 Associate Professor (Agricultural Statistics), Gujarat Agril. University, B.A. College of Agriculture, Anand Campus, Anand.

Associate Research Scientist (Plant Breeding), N.A.R.P., Sub station, Gujarat Agril, University, DEROL - 389320, Distt. Panchmahals (Gujarat).

Estimates of Phenotypic (P), Genotypic (G) and Environmental (E) correlation coefficients between difference pairs of quantitative characters in sunflower ble 1.

Head weight Shelling % v  0.947** 0.338*  0.988 0.208  0.829 0.561  -0.352* 0.017  -0.352* 0.017  -0.352* 0.017  -0.046 -0.127  -0.046 -0.127  -0.049  -0.167 -0.049  -0.0535 -0.068  0.0535 -0.086  0.058 0.023  0.194 -0.003  0.194 -0.003  0.194 -0.003  0.263 0.023  0.152 0.027  0.057	100 A 1										
P         -0.305         -0.076         0.362*         0.185         0.260         0.947**         0.336**           G         -0.311         0.007         0.499         1.067         0.972         0.577         0.889         0.208           F         -0.123         -0.229         0.091         0.182         0.068         0.182         0.889         0.501           G         0.400         -0.044         0.182         0.066         -0.239         -0.317         0.019           G         0.400         -0.044         0.243         0.064         -0.733         -0.019         0.017           G         0.226         -0.039         0.084         0.073         -0.177         0.019           G         0.244         0.243         0.044         -0.256         0.045         -0.167         -0.172           G         0.245         0.014         -0.045         0.045         0.045         -0.045         -0.167         -0.167           G         0.045         0.014         0.045         0.045         0.045         -0.167         -0.167           G         0.045         0.014         0.541         0.564         0.049         0.049	Characte		ys to rering	Days to maturity	Plant height	Stem girth	Leaves/plant	Head	Head weight	Shelling %	100 seed weight
2.311         0.007         0.499         1.067         0.972         0.577         0.988         0.208           2.0123         -0.229         0.091         0.152         0.086         0.162         0.829         0.561           2.023         0.044         0.243         0.066         0.259         -0.352*         0.017           2.024         0.030         -0.049         0.049         0.049         0.049         0.017         0.017           2.024         0.030         -0.056         0.030         -0.075         0.016         0.017           2.024         0.030         -0.056         0.030         0.075         0.018         0.019           2.024         0.030         -0.045         0.045         0.045         0.046         0.172           2.026         0.014         -0.045         0.045         0.046         0.174         0.049           2.026         0.014         0.045         0.045         0.045         0.049         0.049           2.026         0.045         0.041         0.045         0.041         0.049         0.049           2.026         0.044         0.047*         0.041*         0.049         0.049         0.04	sid/Plant		305	-0.078	0.316	0.382*	0.185	0.260	0.947**	0.338*	0.490**
0.0123 0.029 0.091 0.152 0.086 0.162 0.829 0.561 0.400 0.0044 0.243 0.066 0.259 0.352* 0.017 0.626 0.0181 0.039 0.031 0.039 0.024 0.030 0.039 0.081 0.013 0.0174 0.029 0.312 0.036 0.030 0.0075 0.046 0.029 0.312 0.045 0.047 0.028 0.049 0.451* 0.056 0.030 0.058 0.049 0.641 1.581 0.790 0.585 0.167 0.641 0.390 0.585 0.088 0.641 0.390 0.088 0.088 0.641 0.390 0.088 0.088 0.641 0.390 0.039 0.088 0.641 0.390 0.088 0.088 0.641 0.390 0.088 0.088 0.641 0.039 0.081 0.098 0.651 0.399 0.088 0.652 0.039 0.652 0.039 0.653 0.051 0.039 0.653 0.0526 0.039 0.654 0.039 0.655 0.039 0.656 0.098 0.657 0.098			311	0.007		1,067	0.972	0.577	0.988	0.208	0.832
p         0,400         -0,044         0,243         0,066         -0,352*         0,017           G         0,626        0,161         -1,088         0,004         -0,743         -0,537         -0,019           P         0,244         0,030         -0,039         0,081         -0,133         -0,174         0,029           G         0,244         0,030         -0,036         0,037         -0,046         -0,127           G         0,312         -0,265         0,039         -0,075         -0,046         -0,127           G         0,312         -0,265         0,049         -0,174         -0,049         -0,174           G         0,312         -0,265         0,045         -0,043         -0,177         -0,049           G         0,445         0,014         -0,045         -0,043         -0,177         -0,049           G         0,435         0,511         1,581         0,790         0,535         -0,186           G         0,435         0,511         1,009         1,070         0,247         0,039           G         0,436         0,437         0,439         0,226         0,049           G         0,437		-	123	-0.229		0.152	0.086	0.162	0.829	0.561	0.194
G         0,626        0,161         -1,088         0,004         -0,733         -0,174         0,029           P         0,244         0,030         -0,039         0,081         -0,174         0,029           G         0,172         -0,056         0,030         -0,075         -0,127         0,049           G         0,312         -0,265         0,497         -0,275         0,013         -0,127           F         0,045         0,014         -0,045         -0,039         -0,167         -0,179           F         0,045         0,014         -0,045         -0,033         -0,167         -0,179           G         0,045         0,044         0,045         -0,003         -0,167         -0,179           G         0,435         0,611         0,399         0,068         0,048           G         0,435         0,511         0,399         0,068         0,049           G         0,436         0,617         0,419***         0,039           G         0,407         0,623         0,226         0,049           G         0,639         0,623         0,226         0,049           G         0,644         0,1	ys to	a.		0.400	-0.044	0.243	990.0	-0.259	-0.352*	0.017	-0.121
E         0.244         0.030         -0.039         0.081         -0.133         -0.174         0.029           G         0.172         -0.056         0.030         -0.075         -0.046         -0.127           G         0.312         -0.265         0.030         -0.075         -0.049         -0.127           G         0.045         0.014         -0.045         0.043         -0.167         -0.179           G         0.045         0.014         -0.045         0.043         -0.166         -0.179           G         0.045         0.014         -0.045         0.049         0.068         0.049           G         0.451         1.581         0.790         0.535         -0.166         0.049           G         0.435         0.511         0.339         0.068         0.049         0.049           G         0.407*         0.677*         0.677*         0.026         0.036           G         0.285         0.623         0.226         -0.086           G         0.296         0.029         0.026         0.032           G         0.296         0.029         0.026         0.026           G         0.029 </td <td>wering</td> <td>9</td> <td></td> <td>0.626</td> <td>-0.161</td> <td>-1.088</td> <td>0.004</td> <td>-0.743</td> <td>-0.537</td> <td>-0.019</td> <td>0.272</td>	wering	9		0.626	-0.161	-1.088	0.004	-0.743	-0.537	-0.019	0.272
0.172 0.056 0.030 0.075 0.046 0.127 0.312 0.265 0.497 0.275 0.013 0.049 0.045 0.014 0.045 0.003 0.167 0.049 0.045 0.014 0.045 0.039 0.167 0.179 0.451 1.581 0.790 0.535 0.466 0.435 0.511 0.339 0.068 0.049 0.435 0.511 0.339 0.068 0.049 0.407 0.407 0.677 0.671 0.039 0.407 0.407 0.677 0.039 0.407 0.407 0.041 0.003 0.407 0.407 0.041 0.003 0.407 0.407 0.041 0.003 0.407 0.407 0.041 0.003 0.407 0.407 0.041 0.003 0.407 0.407 0.041 0.003 0.407 0.407 0.041 0.003 0.407 0.407 0.041 0.003 0.407 0.407 0.041 0.003	1 7 d 1	ш		0.244	0.030	-0.039	0.081	-0.133	-0.174	0.029	-0.047
Continue	ys to	۵.			0.172	-0.056	0:030	-0.075	-0.046	-0.127	0.060
E       0.045       0.045       -0.045       -0.167       -0.179         G       0.451***       0.556***       0.419***       0.355*       -0.008         G       0.641       1.581       0.790       0.555       -0.008         D       0.435       0.511       0.790       0.535       -0.166         D       0.435       0.511       0.339       0.068       0.049         D       0.407**       0.671**       0.419**       -0.009         D       0.407*       0.671**       0.419**       -0.009         D       0.810       1.009       1.070       0.287         D       0.385       0.623       0.226       -0.086         D       0.386       0.276       0.096       0.087         D       0.278       0.023       0.023         D       0.057       0.057         D       0.057       0.057         D       0.057       0.057	turity	o			0.312	-0.265	0.497	-0.275	0.013	-0.049	0.038
0.451** 0.556** 0.419** 0.355* -0.008 0.641 1.581 0.790 0.535 -0.166 0.641 1.581 0.790 0.535 -0.166 0.435 0.511 0.339 0.068 0.049 0.435 0.511 0.339 0.068 0.049 0.810 1.009 1.070 0.267 0.810 1.009 1.070 0.267 0.385 0.623 0.226 -0.086 0.385 0.226 0.194 0.003 0.216 0.127 0.041 0.263 0.023 0.263 0.023 0.263 0.023 0.264 0.023 0.265 0.023 0.267 0.067	A .	ш			0.045	0.014	-0.045	-0.003	-0.167	-0.179	-0.134
0.435 0.511 0.790 0.535 0.166 0.435 0.435 0.435 0.435 0.511 0.339 0.088 0.049 0.435 0.511 0.339 0.088 0.049 0.407* 0.617* 0.419** 0.039 0.435 0.407* 0.617** 0.419** 0.039 0.435 0.385 0.623 0.226 0.086 0.435 0.385 0.226 0.086 0.41 0.407 0.223 0.228 0.22	int height	a.				0.451**	0.556**	0.419**	0.355*	-0.008	0.130
0.435 0.511 0.339 0.068 0.049 0.407 0.407 0.671 0.039 0.407 0.671 0.039 0.419 0.419 0.039 0.310 1.009 1.070 0.267 0.385 0.623 0.226 0.036 0.276 0.194 0.003 1.223 0.898 0.523 0.218 0.127 0.041 0.218 0.127 0.041 0.263 0.032 0.606 0.087 0.606 0.087 0.607 0.607	8	9				0.641	1.581	0.790	0.535	-0.166	0.151
0.407* 0.671** 0.419** -0.039 0.810 1.009 1.070 0.267 0.385 0.623 0.226 -0.086 0.385 0.623 0.226 -0.086 0.276 0.194 -0.003 1.223 0.898 0.523 1.223 0.898 0.523 0.263 0.032 0.263 0.032 0.606 0.087 0.152 0.023 0.057		ш			*	0.435	0.511	0.339	0.068	0.049	0.118
0.810 1.009 1.070 0.267 0.385 0.623 0.226 -0.086 0.385 0.623 0.226 -0.086 0.276 0.194 -0.003 1.223 0.898 0.523 1.223 0.898 0.523 0.263 0.032 0.263 0.032 0.263 0.032 0.263 0.032 0.065 0.065 0.0657	ım girth	۵					0.407*	0.671**	0.419**	-0.039	0.182
0.385 0.623 0.226 -0.086 0.095 0.234 0.234 0.003 0.234 0.234 0.003 0.234		g				•	0.810	1.009	1.070	0.267	0.671
0.276 0.194 -0.003 1.223 0.898 0.523 1.223 0.898 0.523 0.218 0.127 -0.041 0.263 0.032 0.606 0.087 0.152 0.023 0.152 0.023 0.057		ш					0.385	0.623	0.226	-0.086	0.063
1.223 0.898 0.523  E 0.127 -0.041  D 0.218 0.127 -0.041  O.263 0.032  O.606 0.087  P 0.057  E 0.057	ives/	ο.						0.276	0.194	-0.003	0.129
6.218 0.127 -0.041 0.263 0.032 0.606 0.087 0.152 0.023 0.057 0.057	ŧ	g						1.223	0.898	0.523	0.332
0.263 0.032 0.087 0.606 0.087 0.152 0.023 0.023 0.027 0.027 0.057	-	ш					b.	0.218	0.127	-0.041	0.059
0.606 0.087 E 0.152 0.023 0.027 G 0.057 G 0.057	30	۵							0.263	0.032	0.117
0.152 0.023	meter	9							0.606	0.087	0.224
. 0.027 . 0.057 . 0.057 . 0.017		ш							0.152	0.023	0.091
0.057 A f f f f f	ad weight	α.								.0.027	0.405**
. dñt7 Р		g								. 0.057	0.765
a. (5)		ш								7100	0.256
	lling %	a.									0,068
		9									0.501

Underlined figures denote the direct effects

100 seed weight

Stem girth Plant height Head weight Head diameter Days to maturity Days to flowering Leaves / plant -0.3821 0,4460 0.7122 -0.52940.0026 0.7750 0.1150 -0.0927 -0.0952 0.0044 0.1734 0.1089 0.3492 0.2187 -1.0893 -2.2969 -0.7769-0.9315-1.4529 -0.4555

> 0.0003 0.0353 0.1124 0.0576

1.8135

1.0009

0.3269 0.0787 -0.1926 -0.3004 -0.2435 -0.3032 -0.3216

0.0870 0.0870 0.6939 0.0571

0.1566

1.089

1.1144

0.0532 -0.0857 -0.1677 -0.0279 -0.0182

0.1889 0.2943 0.0650 0.2149 0.1410

0.9878

.7966

0.5755

0.9721

0.4023

Residual effect = 0.1815

(1979) also reported significant and positive phenotypic correlations between seed yield and head diameter, 100 seed weight, plant height and number of leaves per plant in 392 elite lines of sunflower variety EC 68415. These results are in close agreement with those obtained under the present investigation.

At genotypic level, seed yield had high positive correlation with plant height, stem girth, leaves per plant, head diameter, head weight and 100 seed weight. Days to flowering had strong positive genotypic correlation with days to maturity but very strong negative correlation with stem girth, head diameter and head weight. Days to maturity exhibited high positive genotypic correlation with leaves per plant; plant height with stem girth, leaves per plant, head diameter and head weight; stem girth with leaves per plant, head diameter, head weight and 100 seed weight; leaves per plant with head diameter, head weight, shelling percentage and 100 seed weight. Head diameter showed strong positive genotypic correlation with head weight; head weight and shelling percentage with 100 seed weight.

The environmental correlations which are not strictly reflecting the correlation of the environmental deviations, but correlation of environmental deviations . non-additive with genetic deviations revealed that seed yield per plant had high and positive correlations with head weight and shelling percentage; plant strong exhibited helght correlations with stem girth and leaves per plant, while stem girth showed high and positive correlation with head diameter.

The results on the path coefficient analysis indicated that the head diameter had the highest and positive direct effect followed by head weight and days to flowering (Table 2). The characters days to

できる Path coefficient analysis showing the direct and Indirect effects of the yield components in sunflower

Characters

flowering

maturity

Days to

Plant height

Stem girth

Leaves/ plant

diameter

weight

Shelling

weight

seed yield

rg with

0.5100

Head

-1.3352 -0.4901

0.0156

0.0766

0.0071

0.0424

0.4991

1.0673

1,3469

0.5979

1.1923

maturity and 100 seed weight also influenced the seed yield directly.

It was interesting to note that the plant height and stem girth which had strong correlations their positive exhibited negative direct effects on seed yield. The results further indicated that the indirect contributions of days to flower via days to maturity, plant height and stem girth were positive, while those with head diameter and head weight were negative; similarly, days to maturity influenced the seed yield per plant mainly by its indirect effects through days to flowering. The indirect contribution of plant height, stem girth and leaves per plant, were found to be the highest through head diameter and head weight. The indirect effects of plant height, stem girth, leaves per plant, head diameter, head weight, shelling percentage and 100 seed weight via days to flowering and days to maturity were either negative or negligible. The indirect contribution of all but days to flowering through plant height was high but negative, through leaves per plant and shelling percentage were low. The component characters viz. stem girth, leaves per plant, head weight and shelling percentage showed positive indirect contribution towards seed yield per plant through 100 seed weight.

Varshrey and Singh (1977), Alba and Greco (1979) and Giriraj et al. (1979) reported that head diameter directly influenced the seed yield which is in conformity with the present results. However, their observation of plant height influencing seed yield directly was not in agreement with our results. Laxmanaiah (1978) reported that capitutum diameter exerted its greatest influence both directly and indirectly while plant height, though had negative direct effect, had much indirect effect via head diameter, as also observed in the present study.

A perusal of overall results obtained thus indicated that the head diameter, near weight, days to flowering, days to maturity and 100 seed weight are directly related to seed yield per plant whereas plant height, stem girth, leaves per plant influenced seed yield indirectly through head diameter, head weight and 100 seed weight and these traits should be utilized while formulating selection indices.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are thankful to the Director, Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Udaipur, Udaipur for providing facilities and to the Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, for providing financial support for the project.

### REFERENCES

- ALBA, E. and GRECO, I. 1979. An enalysis of the association factors influencing seed yield in sunflower. Sunflower Newsi, 3; (2) 13-15.
- BURNS, R.E. 1970. Head size of sunflower as an indicator of plot yields. Agron. J., 62: 112-113.
- DEWEY, D.R. and LU, K.H. 1959. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agron. J., 51: 399-433.
- FICK, G.N., ZIMMER, D.E. and ZIMMERMAN, D.C. 1974. Correlation of seed oil content in sunflowers with other plant and seed characteristics. Crop. Sci., 14: 755-757.
- GIRIRAJ, K., VIDYASHANKAR, T.S., VENKATARAM, M.N. and SEETHARAM, S. 1979. Path coefficient analysis of seed yield in sunflower. Sunflower Newsl., 3: (4) 10-12.
- JOHNSON, H.W., ROBINSON, H.F. and COMSTOCK, R.F. 1955. Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron. J., 47: 314-18.
- LAXMANAIAH, V.H. 1978. Genetic variability and association of morphological characters with seed yield and oil content in sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.). M.Sc. (Agri). Thesis, U.A.S., Bangalore.
- PUTT, E.D. 1943. Association of seed yield and oil content with other characters in sunflower. Sci. Agri., 23: 377-383.
- RUSSELL, W.A. 1953. A study of inter-relationships of seed yield, oil content and other agronomic characters with sunflower inbred lines and their top crosses. Canad. J. agric. Sci., 33: 291-314.
- SINGH, B., SACHAN, J.H. and SINGH, D. 1977. Variability and correlations in sunflower (Hellanthus annuus L.). Pantnagar J. Res., 2: 27-30.
- VARSHNEY, S.K. and SINGH, B. 1977. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) Pantnagar J. Res., 2: 147-149.