

# HETEROSIS AND RECOMBINATION POTENTIAL IN PENNISETUM AMERICANUM (L.) LEEKE<sup>1</sup>

B.V. KRISHNAIAH SETTY<sup>2</sup> and R. APPADURAI<sup>3</sup>

## ABSTRACT

The ear weight, grain yield and tiller number in pearl millet exhibited higher degree of heterosis. Inbreeding depression was higher for grain yield in BxB series than in RxR series. Heterosis for grain yield was retained to a great extent in the F<sub>2</sub> of cross L 111 B x J 126 D<sub>2</sub>B. A few three-way crosses combining superior attributes were identified. Superiority of three-way crosses over single crosses were observed for important yield attributes indicating the possibility of deriving superior recombinants.

KEY WORDS : Pearl millet, Heterosis, Recombination.

Single crosses involving diverse parental genotypes were evaluated in their F<sub>1</sub> generation in pearl millet for expression of heterosis and combining ability. Three way crosses were also synthesised based on the *gca* of parents, *sca* of crosses and heterosis for yield and yield components. They were compared with the corresponding single crosses and F<sub>2</sub>s for various character expressions. The inbreeding depression and the residual heterosis in single cross F<sub>2</sub>s were recorded.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two sets of 27 three-way crosses in each were compared with the corresponding 10 parents, 9 F<sub>1</sub>s and 9 F<sub>2</sub>s for various character expressions. They were sown in 3 M row plots (replicated three times) in compact family block design to find out variance within and between the families. The parents and F<sub>1</sub>s were sown in single row plots of 3 M length, while there were ten rows for F<sub>2</sub>s and 3 rows for each three-way cross. Observations on six biometrical characters were recorded on five plants randomly chosen from each row and each replication. The mean values were used for statistical analysis appropriate for compact family blocks design (Panse and Sukhatme, 1967).

The heterosis exhibited by single and three-way crosses were estimated. The inbreeding depression and the residual heterosis in the single cross F<sub>2</sub>s were calculated using the appropriate formulae and the significance worked out (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The highest mean heterosis (over better parent) was exhibited for grain yield followed by tiller number (Table 1). All the progenies, except F<sub>2</sub>s, recorded significant positive heterosis for grain yield. For tiller number, the three-way crosses from restorer series alone recorded significant positive heterosis.

The mean heterosis for plant height recorded by the non-restorer progenies was higher than in the restorers. Three-way crosses involving PT 1824 as third parent recorded the highest heterosis of 21.43 percent. The mean heterosis for panicle length both in non-restorers and restorers was almost same, but the heterosis for days to flowering was slightly higher in the non-restorers as compared to the restorer progenies. The three-way crosses involving PT 1921 as third parent were distinctly earlier than the rest. Significant positive

1. Part of Ph.D. thesis submitted by the senior author to the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore

2. Oilseeds specialist, Agricultural Research Station, Kadiri (A.P.) 515 591

3. Retired Professor (Oilseeds), Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore

Table 1. Mean heterosis (%) over better parent in the different progenies of families.

Progeny	Plant height		Panicle length		Tiller number		DAS		Grain yield		Grain weight	
	I	II	I	II	I	II	I	II	I	II	I	II
F <sub>1</sub> over	15.17**	6.69**	8.40*	9.54*	11.56	22.49	-5.03*	-2.97**	89.54**	50.12*	15.64	15.07
F <sub>2</sub> over	2.74	-5.54**	-5.08	-5.70	-	4.02	-2.33	-1.05	20.74	4.45	3.25	0.79
WC <sub>1</sub> over	21.43**	9.57**	9.75*	0.89*	29.03	30.54*	-1.02	-0.30	96.81**	83.51**	19.45*	6.96
WC <sub>2</sub> over	12.59**	8.96**	1.66	9.26	28.22	38.25*	-3.22*	-0.15	58.69	97.09**	5.47	22.37**
WC <sub>3</sub> over	19.29**	4.54**	6.46	6.89	29.36	30.54*	-8.64**	-0.15	63.06*	95.70**	9.34	10.95

(Non-restorers)

II (Restorers)

WC<sub>1</sub> : F<sub>1</sub> x PT 1824F<sub>1</sub> X Tift 239 DB<sub>2</sub>

DAS : Days to 50 percent flowering

WC<sub>2</sub> : F<sub>1</sub> x PT 560F<sub>1</sub> X J 126 D<sub>2</sub>B

\* : Significant at 5% level

WC<sub>3</sub> : F<sub>1</sub> x PT 1921F<sub>1</sub> X PT 248 5B

\*\* : Significant at 1% level

heterosis for grain weight has been recorded by the three-way crosses involving PT 1824 (19.45 percent) and J 126D<sub>2</sub>B (22.37 percent) as third parents.

The extent of inbreeding depression and residual heterosis in single cross F<sub>2</sub>s for non-restorers and restorers are given in tables 2 and 3. The values of inbreeding depression and residual heterosis were the

highest for grain yield followed by tiller number, plant height and panicle length.

The three-way crosses involving Tift 239DB<sub>2</sub> and J 126D<sub>2</sub>B recorded high degree of heterosis indicating that hybridisation followed by selection in later generations could improve height in the non-restorer lines. The inbreeding depression in the F<sub>2</sub>s was almost similar in

Table 2. Inbreeding depression (%) and residual heterosis (%) in the single cross F<sub>2</sub>s for six characters in non-restorer series of pearl millet

S. No.	Cross	Plant height		Panicle length		Tiller number		DAS		Grain yield		Grain weight	
		ID	Rh	ID	Rh	ID	r Rh	ID	Rh	ID	Rh	ID	Rh
1.	MS 5141B x PT 248/5B	6.97*	8.48*	9.60*	13.12*	-9.67	6.25	-4.49**	-3.18**	45.01*	25.98*	8.12	18.40*
2.	Tift 239DB <sub>2</sub> x PT 732/2B	24.28**	7.31**	25.33**	-9.53**	-2.44	42.37**	1.87	-9.56**	33.59**	36.39	2.24	2.25
3.	Tift 239DB <sub>2</sub> x MS 5141 B	6.11*	4.27*	10.52	-0.33	17.05	-21.70	4.36*	-6.60**	22.87	25.60	5.58	2.69
4.	ICMS 81B x L 111B	6.55*	18.16**	14.96**	6.06	4.91	-3.66	-0.90	-4.15*	35.57*	23.15	8.58	9.74
5.	ICMS 81B x Tift 239 DB <sub>2</sub>	19.06**	6.82	12.15**	-1.75	30.00**	12.69	-0.69	-5.46	41.23**	39.67	6.51	11.62
6.	L 111B x Tift 239 DB <sub>2</sub>	16.89	-8.54**	20.00**	14.23**	20.83	-17.58	1.94	-3.29	25.74**	33.92	23.04*	-1.30
7.	L 111B x MS 5141B	1.48	14.52**	4.04	9.00	33.64**	-20.39	-1.67	-1.74	36.33	5.79	11.06	-6.10
8.	L 111B x J 126 D <sub>2</sub> B	13.81**	-12.09	9.32*	-6.23	24.67	7.29	13.07**	2.50	32.46**	61.73*	14.86**	11.32
9.	J 126D <sub>2</sub> B x PT 248/5B	8.12*	2.36	10.07	-8.48	24.19	-9.25	-0.93	-0.12	43.30*	-7.24	13.43	-3.97
	Average inbreeding depression	11.47	3.43	12.89	-1.37	20.35	2.40	-1.51	-4.06	35.02	27.22	10.37	4.96

ID : inbreeding depression (%) DAS : Days to 50 percent flowering

Rh : Residual heterosis (%)

Table 3. Inbreeding depression (%) and residual heterosis (%) in the single cross F<sub>2</sub>s for six characters in restorer series of pearl millet

S. No	Cross	Plant height		Panicle length		Tiller number		DAS		Grain yield		Grain weight	
		ID	Rh	ID	Rh	ID	Rh	ID	Rh	ID	Rh	ID	Rh
1.	PT 2598 x PT 2584	21.35*	-13.66*	23.38**	-9.59**	5.11	3.38	-1.61	-3.65*	32.98*	-8.57	3.89	-7.97
2.	PT 2598 x PT 1921	10.68**	-2.69**	10.99**	-1.74	15.85	21.66	-1.43	1.43	27.24	47.74*	24.34**	-6.32
3.	PT 2598 x K 560	3.99*	2.04*	18.76**	-7.40	9.80	-16.31	3.20**	-2.54	36.63	-17.97	14.41**	3.55
4.	PT 2584 x K 560	5.65*	0.35**	11.36**	-3.70	13.77	2.69	-1.29	-1.57	31.90	-0.03	12.86*	2.51
5.	PT 2584 x PT 1921	13.04**	0.45	26.80**	-5.47	8.08	16.60	-3.65**	-2.78*	13.19	26.58	14.92*	-12.11
6.	PT 1921 x PT 1824	13.19**	-10.16**	8.50	2.02	18.41*	17.12	0.80	-10.05*	29.08	26.83	7.96	-2.51
7.	J 104 x PT 1824	9.38**	-3.45**	5.67	-7.07	19.74	1.96	-4.03*	-4.15*	17.26	0.47	8.77	3.71
8.	J 104 x PT 2784	12.89**	-3.64**	11.32**	-7.64*	28.05	-1.89	-5.86**	-0.76	27.13*	68.56*	8.53	9.11
9.	J 104 x PT 2598	9.98**	-1.57	7.97	-1.68	15.25*	13.97	-1.45	2.53	35.42*	-17.88	14.83*	-2.41
	Average inbreeding depression	11.12**	-3.59	13.86	-5.88	14.89	2.73	-1.70	-2.28	27.87	13.67	12.28	-1.11

ID: inbreeding depression (%) DAS: Days to 50 percent flowering

Rh: Residual heterosis (%)

both the series and the residual heterosis was also negative. This indicates the role of dominant genes in determining plant height as earlier observed by Lal and Singh (1969), Ravindran (1982) and Shinde *et al.* (1984).

In general, higher panicle length was observed in three-way crosses of restorer series than that of non-restorers. Higher Inbreeding depression was recorded in the restorers (13.86 percent) than in the non-restorers (12.89 percent). Lal and Singh (1969), Shinde *et al.* (1984) and Rai *et al.* (1985) also reported similar results. Although the average expression of three-way crosses was not superior to the mean values of single crosses, a few three way crosses exceeded the corresponding single cross F<sub>1</sub>s and showed potentiality for producing superior segregants for panice length.

For tiller number, the average expression of heterosis was higher in the three-way

crosses as compared to the respective single cross F<sub>1</sub>s both in the maintainer and restorer series. The three-way crosses from the restorer series could prove useful in increasing the tiller number to a considerable degree. For inducing earliness the genotype PT 1921 which recorded the highest negative heterosis offers scope for generating early maturing genotypes. Significant Inbreeding depression for tiller number and days to flowering was seen which were earlier reported by Lal and Singh (1969) and Shinde *et al.* (1984).

The three-way crosses in the R<sub>1</sub>X<sub>1</sub> (restorers) series expressed higher mean heterosis than BxB's (non-restorers). The three-way crosses, involving PT 1824 and 126D<sub>2</sub>B as third parents, exhibited the highest degree of heterosis of 96.91 and 97.09 percent over their better parent respectively as against 89.54 and 50.1



percent in the corresponding single cross F<sub>1</sub>s.

Sprague *et al.* (1962) reported that the differences between expected and observed expressions for biometrical traits in the three-way crosses in maize could be interpreted to be due to epistasis. The frequency of three-way crosses that exceeded the corresponding single crosses was higher in R×R series than in B×B series indicating that improvement of grain yield could be effected with much facility in the restorers as compared to the maintainers (Jayamohana Rao *et al.*, 1982).

The inbreeding depression recorded in the F<sub>2</sub>s of maintainers was 35.02 as against 7.87 percent in the restorers. Similar results on inbreeding depression in grain yield were reported by Singh and Lal (1969), Balyan and Gupta (1980), Govil and Rana (1983), Shinde *et al.* (1984) and Rai *et al.* (1985). This inference is further strengthened by the presence of non-significant residual heterosis in many F<sub>2</sub>s. Improvement for seed yield therefore could be achieved by initial hybridization followed by recurrent selection procedures.

The three-way crosses in the B×B series have expressed more or less a similar degree of heterosis for grain weight as compared to the respective single cross F<sub>1</sub>s. Higher inbreeding depression was recorded in non-restorers (12.28 percent) as compared to the restorers (10.37 percent). Inbreeding depression for grain weight has been earlier reported by Lal and Singh (1969), Shinde *et al.* (1984) and Rai *et al.* (1985). The three-way crosses involving PT 1824 and J 126D<sub>2</sub>B as third parents, which recorded higher grain weight than the corresponding F<sub>1</sub>s could be utilised for improving this trait by adopting recurrent selection procedures following hybridisation.

The three-way crosses involving K 560 and PT 248/5B recorded high heterosis

values in the non-restorer and restorer series respectively, in addition to other desirable attributes such as tiller number and grain weight. The three-way crosses with improved potentiality could be directly utilised for generating superior inbred derivatives to be used as parents for future hybrids.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The senior author expresses sincere thanks to the Director, School of Genetics, Coimbatore, for providing the facilities to conduct the investigations. The award of Senior Fellowship to the senior author by the ICAR is gratefully acknowledged.

#### REFERENCES

- BALYAN, H.S. and GUPTA, P.K. 1980. Heterosis and inbreeding depression for some yield and quality characters in bread wheat. *J. Cytol. Genet.*, **15**: 68-76.
- GOVIL, J.N. and RANA, B.S. 1983. Inbreeding effects of full-sib mating in pearl millet populations. *Indian J. Genet.*, **43**: 33-36.
- JAYAMOHANA RAO, BALARAMIREDDY, V.B. EKLADIOUS, K.G., RANA, B.S. and RAO, N.G.P. 1982. Basis for further improvement of parental lines of sorghum hybrids. *Indian J. Genet.*, **42**: 60-63.
- LAL, S., and SINGH, D. 1969. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in components of grain and fodder yield in pearl millet. *Indian J. Genet.*, **28**: 190-195.
- PANSE, V.G. and SUKHATME, V.P. 1967. *Statistical Methods for Statistical Workers*, ICAR, New Delhi.
- RAI, K.N. ANDREWS, D.J. and SURESH BABU, V. 1985. Inbreeding depression in pearl millet. *J. Plant Breed.*, **94**: (3) 201-207.
- RAVINDRAN, T.S. 1982. Pollen fertility restoration as related to heterotic potentialities in pearl millet (*Pennisetum typhoides*) (Burm) Stapf. and Hubb). Ph.D. thesis, Tamil Nadu Agrl. University, Coimbatore.
- SHINDE, R.B., PATIL, F.B. and SHINDE, M.V. 1984. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in grain yield and its components in pearl millet. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.*, **9**: (2) 182-184.
- SINGH, D., and LAL, S. 1969. Gene effects and heterosis in pearl millet. *Indian J. Genet.*, **29**: 18-23.
- SNEDECOR, G.W. and COCHRAN, 1967. *Statistical Methods*. Oxford IBH, New Delhi, **42**: pp. 388.
- SPRAGUE, G.F., RUSSELL, W.A., PENNY, L.H., HOMER, T.W. and HANSON, W.D. 1962. Epistatic gene action and grain yield in maize. *Crop Sci.*, **2**: 205-208.