Madres Agric. J. 73 (7): 361-365 July. 1986

VARIETAL SCREENING OF RICE FOR RESISTANCE TO STEMBORER, Scirpophaga incertulas (Walker)

G. BALASUBRAMANIAN. M. BALASUBRAMANIAN, S. JAYARAJ and S. VENKATESAN.

Among the seventeen entries screened in two places for their resistunce to stemborer during 1984-85, DPI 1091/1-3, IR 50, Paiyur 1, Sornavazhai, TKM 9 and W 1263 were found to be moderately resistant to stemborer deadhearts (DH) upto 30 DAT and Co 18 upto 50 DAT, while Co 18, Co 21, IR 50, Paiyur 1, SB 13, SB 19 were moderately resistant to white ear (WE) damage, recording a grade of 3 as against grade 9 on Jaya. In the on-farm trial, Paiyur, 1 recorded 5.6% deadhearts and 6.6% white-ears as against 12.3% DH and 18.3% WE on Jaya recording a yield of 4995 kg/ha as against 4070 kg/ha on Jaya under unprotected condition.

The varietal screening of rice for their resistance / susceptibility to stemborer Scirpophaga incertujas was done by Israel (1967), Ferando (1967), Shastry et. al.. (1971), Khush (1977), Prakasa Rao (1983) and Chandramohan (1983) and reported moderately resistant entries. With a view to find out resistant / moderately resistant entries to stemborer, experiments were conducted and the results are presented in this paper.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted in a randomised block design, replicated thrice during 1984 with seventeen entries one at Regional-Research Station, Palyur and the other in ryot's field under unprotected condition. During 1985, one on - farm trial was conducted in a non-replicated manner with a plot size of 40 m² to screen for their resistance / susceptibility to stemborer under unprotected condition. Entries were planted with a spacing of 20 x 10 cm. Each entry was transplanted in 4 rows of each 4 m length, leaving a spacing of 40 cm between entries and 50 cm between replications. Susceptible check, Jaya was transplanted @ one row in between each entry per replication, 3 rows in between replications and 5 rows all around the plots to serve as bombardment rows.

Observations were made on the incidence of stemborer deadhearts (DH) on 30 and 50 DAT by counting the total and affected tillers on 10 hills selected at random in each entry and the percentage of deadhearts was worked out. Similarly

^{1.} Associate Professor (Entomology)

^{2.} Director of Research (Agri)

^{3.} Director, Centre for Flant Protection Studies

^{4.} Assistant Professor of Entomology, RRS, Paiyur

^{*} Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003.

Table 1. Data on the incidence of deadheart (DH) and white-ears (WE) to stemborer on rice varieties, Regional Research Station, Payur, Tamil Nadu.

S. No. Entry		Mean incidence of 30 DAT* Actual Grade		stembore	50 DAT* Actual Grade		%)	Yield in kg/ha Srade (computed	
							Grade		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	-8	9	
1.	Co 18	5.4 (40 29)	5 ,	5.4 (26,47)	3	1.9	- 3	3656	
2.	Co 21	5 B (43.28)	5	7.2 (35.29)	3	2,3 (25.00)	3	3906	
3.	Co 43	4.3 (32.46)	3	9.0 (44.12)	5	2.4 (26.09)	5	3688	
4.	Co 44	4.8 (35.82)	3	9.8 (48.03)	5	2.5 (27.72)	. 5	3750	
5.	DPI 1091/2	5.4 (49.29)	Б	9.1 (44.61)	5	2.4 (26.09)	5	4113	
6.	DPI 1091/1-3	4.7 (35.07)	3	8.1 (39.71)	3	1.8 (19.57)	3	4219	
7.	DPI 1091/5	3.7 (27 61)	3.	9.3 (45.59)	5	2.3 (25.00)	3	4156	
8.	IR 50	5,0 (37,31)	3.	9.5 (46.57)	5	2.2 (23.91)	3	4000	
9.	Jaye	13.4 (100.00)	9	20.4 (100.00)	. 9	9.2 (100.00)	9	3281	
10.	Paiyur 1	5.2 (28.8)	3 -	8,4 (41.18)	5	2 ₀ (21.74)	3	3969	
11.	SB 13	4.85 (36,20)	.3	8.8 (43.14)	5	1.9 (20.65)	- 3	3875	
12.	SB 19	4.9 (36,60)	.3	9.1 (44.60)	5	2.0 (21.74)	3	3510	
13.	Sattori	4.1 (30.59)	3	4.4 (21.57)	3	3.0 (32.61)	. 5	844	
14.	Sornavazhai	3.1 (23.13)	3	4.1 (20.00)	1	2.0 - (27.17) -	- 5	3781	
5,	TKM 6	4.0 (29.85)	3	6.7 (32.84)	3	2.5 (30.43)	5	3844	
6,	TKM 9	5.0 (37,00)	3	8.4 · (41.18)	5	2.3 (25.54)	5	4125	
7.	W- 1263	4.8 (35.82)	3	6.2 (30.39)	3	2.2 (23.91)	3	3594	
	SE CD (P=0.05)		-		. 4	41 B)	#: ·	288 839	

Figures in parentheses are after conversion.

Table 2. Data on incidence of DH and WE due to stemborer and yield at Pothapuram Mean Incidence of Stemborer

S. No. Entry	DH %				WE %		Y.eld in kg/ha
	30 0	AT*	50 (DAT*			[computed]
-3-	Actual	Grade	Actual	Grade	Actual	Grade	
.1 2 .	3 -	4	5	6	7	8	9
1. Co 18	13.0 [49.24]	5	9 0 [36.59]	3	1.9 [18.81]	3	3750
2. Co 21	9.2 [34 85]	3	12.25 (50 81)	5	2.5 [24.75]	3	3906
3 Co 43	11.9 [45.07]	5	11.12 [45.52]	5	2.4 [23.76]	3	3656
4. Co 44	11.8 [44.70]	5	9.0 [36.59]	3	2.3 [22.77]	3	3281
5. DPI 1091/2	12.2 [46.21]	5	11.8 [47.97]	5	2.9 [26.71]	5	4312
6. DPI 1091/1-3	9.5 [36,36]	3	10.5 [42.68]	5	2.9 [28.71]	5	4219
7. DPI 1091;5	12.6 [47.72]	5	12.8 [52.03]	5	2.7 [26.73]	5	4156
8. IR 50	10 3 [39 02]	3	11.7 [47.56]	5	2.2 [21.78]	3	4600
9. Jaya	26.4 -{100.00]	9	24.6 [100.00]	9	10.1	9	3281
O. Paiyur 1	10.2 [38.64]	3	9 8 [39 83]	3	2,0 [19.80]	3	3500
1. SB 13	10.8 [40,90]	5	11.5 [46.84]	5	2.0 [19 80]	3	3875
2. SB 19	14.42 [53.79]	5	7.3 [29 57]	3	1.9	3	3125
3. Settari	11,4 [43,18 <i>]</i>	5	12.9 [52.43]	5	1.8 [17.82]	3	844
4. Sornavazhai	6.9 [26.14]	3	10.2 - [41.46]	5	2.0 [19 80]	3	3813
5. TKM 6	13.1 [49.62]	5	11.6 [47.15]	5	3,6 [35,64]	5	3854
6 TKIX 9	9.1 [34.47]	3	10.7 [43.49]	5	2.4 [23.76]	3	3656
7. W 1263	9.5 [35.98]	3	20.1 [51.70]	7	2.2 [21.78]	3	3594
SE		,			-		239
CD (P=0.05)							690

[.] Figures in parentheses are after conversion.

Table 3. Data on DH and WE due to stemborer damage and yield

		1,7	Stembo	Yield in kg per -				
s. No.	Entry	30 DAT	50 DAT	Moan	Whiteears [%]	Plot ha [40 m°]		
-			*.		1 4		1177	
1. DP	1 1091/1-3	6.3 (14.54)	5.4 (13.44)	5.8** (13.99)	7.5 (15.89)	17.10	4275	
2. DP	1 1901/2	5.7 (13.81)	5.0 - (12.82)	5,3** ··· (13,36)	6:2************************************	16,28	4070	
3. DP	1 1091/5	6.3 (14 45)	7.6 (16.00)	6.9 (15.27)	7.5 . (15.89)	18,48**	4620*	
4. Pai	iyur 1	5.7	5.5 (13.56)	5.6* (13.68)	6.6** (14.89)	19,98	4995*	
5. SB	13	6.4	8.5 (16.95)	7.4	8.0 . (16.43)*	13.56	3388	
6. SB	19	4,3 (11,97)	4.7 (12,52)	4.5 (12.24 <i>)</i> **	8.5 (16.95)	18.7 <i>2</i>	4180	
7. Sw	varnavazhoi	6,7- (15,00)	8.0 (16.43)	7.3 (15.71)	13.3 (21.39)	14.96	3740	
8. TKI	м 6	6.4 · (14.65)	7.7 (16.11)	7.0 (15.38)	12.9	15.40	3850	
9. Jay	ya:	10.3 (18.72)	14.3 (22.22)	12.3 (20.47)	18,3 (25,33)	16,38	4070	
Mean ·		6.4 (14.65)	7.2 (15.56)	6,8 (15,12)	9.6 . (18.05)		4132	
Sianifi	cance:	(11.00)	(10.50)	(10,12)	(10.05)	* (156 a)	-	
SE				0.46	1,32	0.63	158	
Mean		4 7		15.12	18.05	16.52	4132	
*	Mean + 1 SE			14.66	16.73	17.15	4290	
**	Mean + 2 SE			14.20	15.41	17.78	4448	

(Figures in parentheses are arcsin transformed-values)

white ear (WE) percentage was observed in the above lines one week prior to harvest. The data were rated in the scale of 1 to 9 as per the method adopted at IRRI (IRRI, 1980) and the results are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The data on stemborer deadhearts and white ears percentage obtained from on farm trials were analysed statistically after transforming them into arcsin values and presented in Table 3. Yield data were gathered

after harvestilland presented in Tables 1, 2 and 3

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean infestation of deadhearts and whiteears revealed that the entries DPI 1091 / 1 - 3, IR 50, Paiyur 1, Sornavazhai, TKM 9 and W 1263 were moderately resistant to stemborer DH upto 30 DAT and Co 18 upto 50 DAT, recording a mean grade of 3 as against grade 9 on

Jaya. The entries Co 18, Co 21, IR 50, Paiyur 1, SB 13, SB 19 and W 1263 recorded a grade of 3 in infestation of whiteears indicating their moderate resistance to whiteear, damage by stemborer, (Tables 1 and 2). Moderate resistance of W 1263 tostemborer deadhearts was reported by -Khush (1978) and to stemborer deadhearts as well as whiteears by Prakasa Rao (1983). Prakasa Rao (1983) observed that though W 1263 was more perferred for oviposition, the incidence of DH, WE as well as larval recovery was less on it, due to the fact that just hatched larvae failed to bore and establish inside tillers. Chandremphan (1983) confirmed that accession W 1263 was resistant to stemborer at vegetative and reproductive stages - Co 18 was moderately resistant at both stages and sornavazhai exhibited tolerance mechanism inspite of supporting higher borer population but recorded higher grain yield per plant. The moderate resistance exhibited by Sornavazhai to deadhearts; Co 18 to whiteears, W. 1263 to DH and WE are in conformity with the findings: of Chandramohan (1,983) and Prakasa Rao (1983). With regard to yield, entries DPI 1091 / 2, DPI 1091/1 -3 and DPI 1091 15 recorded 4313, 4219 and 4156 : kg/ha respectively but were on par with other entries except Sattari (Tables 1 and 2).

The results of on-farm trial showed that paiyur 1 recorded relatively low percentage of deadhearts (5.6%) and whiteears (6.6%) indicating its moderate resistance to stem-

porer as well as recording normal yield of 4995 kg/ha under unprotected condition as against 12.3 per cent DH and 13.3 per cent WE and yield of 4070 kg ha in Jaya (Table 3)

The senior author is thankful to Dr. S. Chelliah, Director, Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Aduthural for supplying the rice entries, Dr. A. Narayanan, Professor and Head, Regional Research Station Palyur and to Thiru G Ekambaram Pothapuram for providing facilities in the conduct of experiments.

REFERENCES

- CHANDRAMOHAM, N. 1983. Ecology and host resistance of rice yellow stemborer, Sci-rpophaga incertules (Walker), Ph. D. Thesis (unpublished) Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ, Coimbatore,
- FERANDO, H. E. 1967. Insect pests of rice in Ceylon, 575-89, In: The Major insect pests of the rice plant. The Johns Hapkins press, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, 729 p.
- International Rice Research Institute, 1980.
 Standard Evaluation system for rice. Second Edition, Los Banos, Philippines, 44 p.
- ISRAEL, P. 1967. Varietal resistance to rice stemborer in India, p. 391 - 404. Ibid.
- KHUSH, G. S. 1977. Disease and insect resistance in rice. Adv. Agron. 29: 265-341
- PRAKASA RAO, P. S. 1983. Source and nature of resistance to the yellow Rice Borer Scirpophaga incertulas Walker. In Rice in "Pest Management in Rice, Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ. Coimbatore, India" pp. 199-210.
- SHASTRY, S. V. S., S. D. SHARMA, V. T. JOHN and K. KRISHNAIAH, 1971. New sources of resistance to pests and diseases in the Assam Rice collections. *Internatl, Rice Comn. Newsletter* 20 (3): 1-17.