

QUALITY OF KM. 2 HYBRID BAJRA SEED IN DIFFERENT TILLERS OF A PLANT*

K. VANANGAMUDI¹ and K. R. RAMASWAMY²

Investigations carried out to study the differences in quality of seed in different tillers of a plant revealed that the length, diameter and weight of earhead and yield of hybrid seed decreased significantly with the lateness of tillering in a plant. The recovery of large size seed was high from the primary tiller and low in the subsequent tiller. Weight, protein content, germination and vigour was significantly more in the seed from the primary tiller than from other tillers.

In bajra, tillers formed at different places in a plant and at different times are subjected to different conditions of external environment influencing the maturation, growth and development and maturation of seed (Ovcharov, 1969) therefore, the location of seed formation on the plant creates heterogeneity in the quality of seed, not only because such seeds are formed in slightly different kinds of external environment but also because they have different supply of nutritional matter and other substances essential for life (Ovcharov and Kizilova, 1966) and is an important factor in determining the seed size and weight. Seed weight in turn has a significant influence on germination and seedling vigour within species (McKell, 1972). Information in this aspect will be of great use to adopt management practices in order to restrict the tillering of the mother plant by adopting suitable spacing of plants with that the seeds obtained from the resultant crop are of uniform quality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ten plants were selected at random from a bajra KM. 2 hybrid seed production plot raised during June, 1980. In each plant, as and when the tillers formed are serially numbered and designated as T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆ and T₇.

At maturity, the earheads from each tiller were separately harvested and their length, diameter and weight recorded. Then, they were threshed and the seeds dried to 10-11 per cent moisture content. After cleaning, the weight of seeds per earhead was recorded and expressed in g.

The seeds were pooled tillerwise and size graded using 5/64" and 4/64" round perforated metal sieves and the percentage recovery in each size grade was worked out on weight basis. The seeds retained in the above sieves were designated as G₁ and G₂, respectively and that passed through 4/64" as G₃. With the samples drawn, the

*Part of thesis approved by Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore for the award of Ph. D. degree in seed Technology to the first author.

¹ Assistant Professor of Seed Technology and ² Dean (Agriculture) TNAU, Coimbatore-3.

following test estimations viz., thousand seed weight, germination percentage, root and shoot length of the seedling and protein content were carried out.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Highly significant variation in length, diameter and weight of earhead was observed among tillers of a plant and the values decreased correspondingly with the lateness of the tiller formation. (Table 1). The primary tiller (T_1) recorded the maximum length, diameter and weight of earhead and T_7 the minimum. Ayyangar and Hariharan (1935) reported that the size and weight of earhead showed a progressive decline with the lateness of tillering. This variation may be mainly due to competition between and within plants for light, water and nutrients.

A progressive decline in the size and weight of seed was evident with the lateness of earhead formation. T_1 recorded significantly more weight than other tillers. The recovery of G_1 seed was maximum in T_1 and minimum in T_6 . The recovery of G_2 was maximum in T_6 and minimum in T_1 . T_7 recorded the maximum and T_1 the minimum recovery of G_3 seeds (Table 2). Ayyangar and Hariharan (1935) and Sankaran *et al.* (1967) in bajra and Strona (1964) in wheat reported reduction in the size and weight of seed with the lateness of tillering. In wheat, the differences were related to the sequence of anthesis and maturation of florets (Hardesty and Elliot, 1956). Therefore the location of seed formation on the plant has a great influence on sowing

quality and is an important factor in determining seed weight (Strona, 1964). The thousand seed weight in T_1 was higher than in other tillers. T_7 recorded the minimum. Seed weight in turn has a significant influence on germination and seedling vigour (McKell, 1972). Seed from T_1 recorded the highest germination, while those from T_7 the lowest. Seed from T_1 produced seedling with longest root and shoot, while that from T_7 the shortest. Differences in seed germination and seedling vigour between earheads of a plant in bajra (Ayyangar and Hariharan, 1935; Sankaran *et al.*, 1967); between different regions of a wheat spike (Hardesty and Elliot, 1956) or a maize cob (Ivaneh, 1963), and different parts of a cotton plant (Nairov, 1958), or carrot plant (Hawthorn *et al.*, 1962) or cabbage plant (Makarov and Kondrateva, 1962) have been reported. Bio-chemical variability is frequently observed in fully matured seed formed in different parts of the generative organs (Ovcharov, 1969). Hardesty and Elliot (1956) observed that the first seed in a developmental sequence may have a competitive advantage over the later formed seeds in the sequence. In the present study, the seed protein content differed significantly among tillers of a plant. Seed from T_1 recorded the highest protein content and T_7 the lowest (Table 3). Rechnik (1962) have reported significant variation in protein content in wheat seed of different spikes and in the seed within the limits of spikelets and spikes. The results also revealed that the protein content increased significantly with increase in seed size and weight.

Table 1. Length, diameter and weight of earhead and weight of seeds per earhead in the inbred MS 5141 A among tillers in a plant.

Tiller	Earhead			Weight of seeds per earhead (g)
	Length (cm)	Diameter (cm)	Weight (g)	
T ₁	17.78	1.63	15.69	12.17
T ₂	17.78	1.51	13.36	9.55
T ₃	17.39	1.41	12.16	8.52
T ₄	15.45	1.36	10.15	7.35
T ₅	15.33	1.31	9.34	6.78
T ₆	13.93	1.16	5.48	3.27
T ₇	12.01	1.06	3.39	1.52
CD (P = 0.05)	1.60**	0.09**	2.59**	2.24**

Table 2. Recovery percentage, thousand seed weight and germination of size grades of KM. 2 hybrid seed among tillers of a plant.

	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	T ₇	Mean
<i>Recovery percentage</i>								
i ₁	73.7	60.6	45.3	45.1	38.9	12.2	—	—
i ₂	26.3	38.5	52.7	52.6	58.2	79.6	—	—
i ₃	—	0.9	2.0	2.3	2.9	8.2	100	—
<i>thousand seed weight (g)</i>								
i ₁	7.44	7.14	6.99	6.68	6.60	5.76	—	6.77
i ₂	5.51	5.27	5.26	4.75	4.74	4.67	—	5.03
i ₃	—	2.68	2.64	2.45	2.35	1.90	1.88	2.32
Mean	6.48	5.03	4.96	4.63	4.56	4.11	1.88	4.71
<i>Germination (%)</i>								
i ₁	98	100	98	99	97	95	—	98
i ₂	98	97	97	97	94	95	—	96
i ₃	—	88	87	88	87	85	80	86
Mean	98	95	94	95	93	92	80	93

	Recovery percentage CD (P=0.05)	Thousand seed weight CD (P=0.05)	Germination CD (P=0.05)
T ₁ Vs T ₂ to T ₄		0.81	3
T ₁ Vs T ₇		0.73	4
T ₂ Vs T ₃ to T ₆		0.48	3
T ₂ to T ₅ Vs T ₇		0.69	4
G	1.79	0.34	2
T x G	4.39	0.84	NS

Table 3. Root and shoot length of seedling and protein content of size grades or K.M. 2 hybrid seed among tillers of a plant,

	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	T ₇	Mean
<i>Root length(cm)</i>								
G ₁	23.7	22.5	22.6	22.0	21.4	19.8	—	22.0
G ₂	19.4	19.0	18.6	18.3	18.1	17.4	—	18.4
G ₃	—	13.8	13.4	13.5	12.7	12.4	11.8	12.9
Mean	21.6	18.4	18.2	17.9	17.4	16.5	11.8	17.8
<i>Shoot length(cm)</i>								
G ₁	12.7	11.8	11.4	10.9	10.6	10.1	—	11.3
G ₂	11.8	11.6	11.1	10.4	10.2	10.2	—	10.9
G ₃	—	9.0	8.6	8.4	8.2	7.9	7.4	8.2
Mean	12.3	10.8	10.4	9.9	9.7	9.4	7.4	10.1
<i>Protein content(%)</i>								
G ₁	11.5	11.2	11.3	11.0	10.8	10.4	—	11.0
G ₂	10.2	10.2	10.0	9.8	9.6	9.3	—	9.9
G ₃	—	9.0	8.8	8.8	8.7	8.2	8.0	8.6
Mean	10.9	10.1	10.0	9.9	9.7	9.3	8.0	9.8

	Root length (P=0.05)	Shoot length (P=0.05)	Protein content (P=0.05)
T ₁ Vs T ₂ to T ₆	2.1	0.4	0.5
T ₁ Vs T ₇	2.9	0.5	2.0
T ₂ Vs T ₃ to T ₆	1.9	0.4	0.5
T ₂ to T ₆ Vs T ₇	2.7	0.5	1.2
G	1.4	0.3	1.0
T x G	NS	NS	NS

REFERENCES

- AYYANGAR, G. N. R. and P. V. HARIHARAN, 1935. The tillers of pearl millet. *Madras agric. J.* 23: 474-477.
- HARDESTY, B. and F. ELLIOT. 1956. Differential post-ripening effect among seeds from the same parental wheat spike. *Agron. J.* 48: 9.
- HAWTHORN, L. R., E. H. TOOLE, and V. K. TOOLE. 1962. Yield and viability of carrot seeds as affected by position of umbel and time of harvest. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 80: 401.
- IVANEH, A. 1963. Effect of the conditions of seed formation and storage on germination. As quoted by Ovcharov, K. E. 1977. *Physiological basis of seed germination*. Amerind Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi.
- MAKAROV, N. A. and A. V. KONDRATEVA, 1962. Increasing seed productivity of vegetable crops. As quoted by Ovcharov, K. E. 1977. *Physiological basis of seed germination*. Amerind Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- McKELL, C. M. 1972. Seedling vigour and seedling establishment. In: *The Biology and utilization of grasses*. (Ed.) V. B. Younger and C. M. McKell. Academic Press, New York and London. pp. 74-89.
- NAZIROV, I. 1958. Peculiarities of seed germination in cotton seeds of different maturity. As quoted by Ovcharov, K. E. 1977. *Physiological basis of seed germination*. Amerind Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- OVCHAROV, K. E. 1969. The physiology of different quality seeds. *Proc. Int. Seed Test Ass.* 34: 305-313.
- OVCHAROV, K. E. and E. G. KIZILOVA. 1966. Difference in seed quality and plant productivity. As quoted by Ovcharov, K. E. 1977. *Physiological basis of seed germination*. Amerind Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- RECHNIK, S. A. 1962. Variations in the protein content of wheat seeds, depending upon seed location on the spikes. As quoted by Ovcharov, K. E. 1977. *Physiological basis of seed germination*. Amerind publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi.
- SANKARAN, S. M., RAMACHANDRAN and R. KALIAPPA, 1967. A note on the tillering habit of bajra-1. (*Pennisetum typhoides*) *Madras agric. J.* 54: 320-323.
- STRONA I. G. 1964. Differences in the quality of seeds of field crops and their significance for seed multiplication. As quoted by Ovcharov, K. E. 1977. *Physiological basis of seed germination*. Amerind Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.