

## SEED QUALITY STUDIES IN COTTON

C. P. THIAGARAJAN<sup>1</sup> and K. R. RAMASWAMY<sup>2</sup>

In Cotton variety MCU 7, Studies of individual bolls for number of matured seed, seed index, germination and vigour indicated that those formed from the first eight sympodia in the winter season and from fifth to tenth sympodia in the summer season contained good quality seeds.

In Cotton, the sequence and pattern of flowering varies significantly with season, variety and cultivation practices and consequently the quality of seeds from individual bolls is likely to vary among each other. To estimate the variation if any, in seed quality due to the position of bolls in a plant, studies were undertaken with MCU 7, a variety cultivated as a summer crop in the rice fallows of Tamil Nadu.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS :

Field trials were conducted with CV. MCU. 7 during winter, 1975 and summer, 1976 seasons. The trials were laid out in completely randomised design with three replications. Recommended package of practices were followed. Eighty plants were marked in each replication, so as to get atleast 25 bolls per node sufficient enough to carry out the observations. The bolls were picked immediately after bursting from the first three nodes (N) designated as N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>3</sub> per sympodium for a total of 13 sympodia designated as S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub>, S<sub>4</sub>, S<sub>5</sub>, S<sub>6</sub>, S<sub>7</sub>, S<sub>8</sub>, S<sub>9</sub>, S<sub>10</sub>, S<sub>11</sub>, S<sub>12</sub>, & S<sub>13</sub> per plant.

Number of matured seeds was counted in each boll for 10 bolls in each replication in all treatments and the mean

number of seed per boll was calculated. The bolls picked sympodium and node wise were pooled replication wise and the kapas were ginned and seeds obtained were dried to a constant moisture content and weighed. Then the matured seeds were separated and their weight determined. The percentage of matured seeds on weight basis to the total was calculated. The weight of 100 seeds was arrived at from the mean weight of three 100 seed samples. The germination and vigour tests were conducted following the methods detailed by the International Seeds Testing Association.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The percentage of seed recovery varied significantly between seasons, sympodia and nodes. The interaction between sympodia and nodes, and between season, sympodia and node was also significant (Table 1).

The mean seed recovery was 49.39 per cent in winter and 59.41 per cent in summer. It was maximum in S<sub>4</sub> and minimum in S<sub>11</sub> in winter and at S<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> respectively in summer. The seed recovery decreased from S<sub>1</sub> to S<sub>13</sub> in winter but it increased from S<sub>1</sub> to S<sub>7</sub> and decreased thereafter in summer. The

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor (Seed Tech). TRRI, Aduthurai

<sup>2</sup> Dean (Agri.), Agri. College & Res. Inst. TNAU, Coimbatore-3

mean seed recovery was the highest in  $N_1$  followed by  $N_2$  and  $N_3$ , in both winter and summer. Almost all sympodia in summer and only the first node ( $N_1$ ) of  $S_1$  to  $S_4$  recorded more than 50 per cent seed recovery in winter.  $N_2$  in all, more than the sympodia, recorded less than 53 per cent seed recovery in winter. The percentage of matured seeds varied among sympodia from 89.9 ( $S_1$ ) to 56.2 ( $S_{11}$ ) in winter and from 99.1 ( $S_{10}$ ) to 82.1 ( $S_1$ ) in summer with a mean of 76.70 and 89.65 respectively. The percentage of matured seed was increasing from  $S_1$  to  $S_4$  in winter and from  $S_1$  to  $S_{10}$  in summer. In both the seasons, the maximum percentage of good seeds was recorded in  $N_1$ . In winter the percentage of matured seeds among the sympodia was widely varying in  $N_1$  while in the other two nodes it was gradually decreasing from  $S_1$  onwards. In summer, the behaviour in all the three nodes among sympodia was almost uniform. The percentage of matured seeds in each sympodia and node was more in winter than in summer. The seasonal influence was more in  $N_2$  than in  $N_1$  and  $N_3$ .

The mean number of seeds per boll was 25.5 in winter and 29.4 in summer. In the winter crop, the number of seeds per boll increased from  $S_1$  to  $S_4$  and then decreased whereas in summer it increased from  $S_1$  to  $S_{11}$  and then decreased. In winter, the number was the highest in  $N_1$  followed by  $N_2$  and  $N_3$ , while in summer, it was maximum in  $N_2$  followed by  $N_3$  and  $N_1$ . The nodal variation among the sympodia was more in summer than in winter. In winter  $N_1$  recorded the lowest number of seeds upto  $S_6$  but from  $S_7$  onwards it recorded more than  $N_2$  or  $N_3$ . In summer, except a few cases, an increasing trend was

evident for individual nodes. The sympodial behaviour in both the seasons was similar. In winter, the number of seeds per boll decreased from  $N_1$  to  $N_3$  almost in all sympodia and vice versa in summer.

The seed weight varied significantly between seasons. The mean seed index was more (8.169) in winter than in summer (7.977). In winter, the seed index was maximum in  $S_1$  which decreased gradually and reached the minimum in  $S_{11}$ , whereas in summer it was maximum in  $S_6$  and minimum in  $S_{11}$ . The seed index was the highest in  $N_1$  followed by  $N_2$  and  $N_3$  in both the seasons.

A mean germination of 73.8 per cent in winter and 68.1 per cent in summer was recorded. The germination was maximum in  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  and minimum in  $S_{11}$  and  $S_1$  in winter and summer respectively. In general the seeds from  $N_1$  recorded the highest germination in both the seasons. Among the nodes in each sympodium though it was not uniform in the first three sympodia, in the subsequent sympodia,  $N_1$  recorded the maximum germination in winter, whereas in summer  $N_2$  from  $S_2$  to  $S_7$  and  $N_3$  thereafter recorded the highest germination. The percentage of germination decreased from  $S_1$  to  $S_{11}$  in winter whereas in summer, it increased from  $S_1$  to  $S_7$  and then decreased. The pattern of germination for individual nodes was more or less similar in both the seasons.

The mean vigour index was 2139 in winter and 1943 in summer. The vigour indices generally decreased from  $S_1$  in winter whereas in summer the trend was not uniform. In both the seasons the vigour index was the highest in  $N_1$ . But among the nodes in each sympodium,  $N_1$  in winter and  $N_2$  in

summer recorded the maximum values upto  $S_4$  to  $S_8$  respectively. significant and positive correlations were obtained between seed germination and 100 seed weight in summer ( $r=0.7087$ ) (ii) seed index and number of seeds in winter ( $r=0.6846$ ), (iii) seed index and percentage of matured seed both in winter ( $r=0.7715$ ) and summer ( $r=0.6830$ ) and (iv) number of seeds per boll and percentage of matured seed in winter ( $r=0.4991$ ).

Studies conducted with the variety MCU 7 have brought out the variations in seed quality between sympodia as well as between nodes in each sympodium. Joshi *et al* (1956) have brought out the variations due to varieties, season, and cultivation practices on the flowering pattern and consequently on the number of bolls. Bazhkova (1975) has reported that bolls are likely to vary in quality and quantity within a plant. According to McClelland (1916) a flower in the second node of second sympodium and a flower in the first node of tenth sympodium might have been initiated on the same date. But these two bolls may develop and reach the bursting stage on the same date or may not, since the period of boll maturation varies widely from boll to boll (Balls, 1912). Therefore, the seeds obtained from each picking may be varying in their maturity period and consequently in their quality.

Similarly, flowers initiated late in the early formed sympodia may come to harvest along with those formed in the later formed sympodia. In such cases also seeds from early formed sympodia are likely to get rejected since the produce from later pickings are usually rejected for seed purpose (Marappan *et al*, 1966) Therefore, picking wise seed colle-

ction as recommended by Marappan *et al*, (1966) and other workers may not be a well defined solution to harvest good quality seeds (Christidis, 1951). Harvesting the produce sympodium wise can ensure good quality seed. Since the seed decreases from  $S_1$  to  $S_{10}$ , it should be possible to fix the number of sympodia upto which the produce should be harvested for seed. Bazhkova (1973) has stated that 1000 seed weight and germination were strongly influenced by position of boll and has recommended first six sympodia for rainfed and first four sympodia for irrigated crops to be used for seed purposes. Kamalova (1967) observed variations in seed size among seeds obtained from different portions of a plant. According to Khasanov (1976), seeds of higher germination and vigour were produced in bolls on the first and second places on the first six sympodial branches in Taskent-3 cultivar in the Northern Region of Uzbek Soviet Socialist Republic and on the first 8 to 9 branches in the Southern Region. The differences in sowing quality of seeds were attributed to differences in nutritional conditions between different branches of a plant and to differences in climatic conditions between the regions. Cardozier (1957) also suggested to avoid seeds from top bolls for sowing. Therefore, it becomes clear that the produce from the first eight sympodia in the winter season and from  $S_4$  to  $S_{10}$  in the summer season can be used for seed purposes in MCU. 7.

#### REFERENCES

- BALLS, W. L. 1912. The Cotton Plant in Egypt. London. Mac, Milan and Co Ltd.

Table : Influence of season on the mean percentage of seed recovery from individual sympodium (S) and node (N) in MCU 7 cotton variety.

	Winter.				Summer			
	N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	Mean	N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	Mean
S <sub>1</sub>	64.2	65.0	40.0	56.4	56.0	55.1	53.2	54.7
S <sub>2</sub>	60.3	64.8	45.2	56.6	57.1	56.5	55.1	56.2
S <sub>3</sub>	60.8	61.0	53.7	58.5	57.5	54.1	54.0	55.2
S <sub>4</sub>	61.7	60.4	51.2	60.4	60.2	54.5	53.1	55.9
S <sub>5</sub>	63.6	58.7	47.7	56.7	53.1	57.6	57.3	59.3
S <sub>6</sub>	59.2	48.6	45.9	51.2	65.2	59.9	59.1	61.4
S <sub>7</sub>	57.9	48.1	45.6	50.8	66.1	62.1	60.1	62.1
S <sub>8</sub>	57.4	44.1	41.3	47.6	68.2	65.5	52.2	65.3
S <sub>9</sub>	52.6	42.7	39.2	44.8	71.3	68.0	54.9	68.0
S <sub>10</sub>	50.9	40.6	37.2	43.0	65.7	62.2	56.0	61.3
S <sub>11</sub>	48.1	38.5	36.1	40.6	63.2	60.1	54.1	59.1
S <sub>12</sub>	47.2	35.5	33.1	38.6	61.7	58.1	53.1	57.6
S <sub>13</sub>	56.3	49.5	42.0	49.3	49.3	59.1	56.4	59.4
		SEd	CD (P=0.05)				SEd	CD (P=0.05)
Sympodio		0.39	0.77		Season X		0.27	0.52
Node		0.19	0.37		Node			
Sympodia ×					Season X		0.23	0.42
Node		0.68	1.35		Season			
Season X					Sympodia X		0.96	1.88
Sympodia		0.55	1.08		Node			

(Values in percentages denote angular values).



Table 2. Influence of season on the mean percentage of matured seed from individual sympodium (S) and Node (N) in MCU, 7.

	Winter				Summer			
	N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	Mean	N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	Mean
S <sub>1</sub>	97.7	96.4	62.5	85.5	85.5	84.2	82.1	83.1
S <sub>2</sub>	95.2	95.4	86.3	86.1	86.1	84.9	83.8	84.9
S <sub>3</sub>	94.2	93.6	75.8	87.9	87.2	85.2	84.8	85.7
S <sub>4</sub>	96.3	93.1	80.2	89.8	89.1	87.5	85.2	87.3
S <sub>5</sub>	96.6	93.1	79.1	89.8	91.0	88.7	87.6	89.1
S <sub>6</sub>	93.2	84.8	77.2	82.1	95.3	90.2	88.9	90.5
S <sub>7</sub>	92.2	77.9	76.6	82.2	95.1	92.9	90.1	92.4
S <sub>8</sub>	89.1	69.6	70.0	76.2	96.5	94.3	92.2	94.3
S <sub>9</sub>	81.3	65.3	65.2	70.6	98.2	96.9	97.8	98.6
S <sub>10</sub>	76.3	61.2	60.8	65.2	70.6	98.9	97.8	98.6
S <sub>11</sub>	72.2	59.2	56.3	62.6	93.6	89.6	84.3	89.2
S <sub>12</sub>	68.1	56.3	53.9	59.4	90.7	87.7	82.1	86.8
S <sub>13</sub>	64.2	54.3	50.2	56.2	87.1	84.2	80.2	83.8
Mean.	85.9	76.9	67.4	76.7	81.5	89.6	87.2	89.5
		SEd	CD				SEd	CD
Sympodia		0.48	0.90	—	Season X			
Node		0.22	0.43		Sympodia		0.65	1.27
					Season X			
					Node.		0.32	0.61
Sympodia X								
Node		0.79	1.56					
Season		0.18	0.35		Season X			
					Sympodia X			
					Node.		1.12	2.20

Table 3 : Influence of season on the mean number of seeds per boll and 100 seed weight from individual sympodium (S) and Node (N) in MCU 7.

		Number of Seed/Boll.				100 Seed Weight (g)			
		N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	Mean.	N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	Mean
W	S <sub>1</sub>	22.7	24.6	25.1	24.1	10.2	9.8	1.7	9.9
S	S <sub>1</sub>	21.1	19.7	22.4	21.3	8.3	7.6	1.3	7.7
W	S <sub>2</sub>	24.6	27.3	28.4	26.8	10.3	9.2	1.1	9.5
S	S <sub>2</sub>	18.7	23.1	24.9	22.4	8.4	7.8	1.6	7.9
W	S <sub>3</sub>	26.3	28.8	29.7	28.2	9.7	9.0	1.1	8.9
S	S <sub>3</sub>	22.9	26.8	24.8	25.4	5.5	8.9	1.9	8.1
W	S <sub>4</sub>	27.6	30.1	30.2	29.3	10.3	8.8	1.9	9.0
S	S <sub>4</sub>	29.9	25.7	20.5	26.8	8.7	8.2	1.2	8.4
W	S <sub>5</sub>	29.3	29.3	30.4	29.7	10.2	8.9	1.8	8.6
S	S <sub>5</sub>	25.8	29.8	29.3	28.4	9.0	8.5	1.3	8.7
W	S <sub>6</sub>	29.5	29.9	26.0	28.5	10.1	8.2	1.0	8.3
S	S <sub>6</sub>	29.3	28.2	20.0	29.3	9.2	8.8	1.5	8.8
W	S <sub>7</sub>	29.6	26.2	21.0	25.6	10.0	7.9	1.0	8.3
S	S <sub>7</sub>	27.0	30.7	32.3	30.1	9.3	9.0	1.2	8.8
W	S <sub>8</sub>	31.0	26.2	22.5	28.6	9.1	8.6	1.7	8.1
S	S <sub>8</sub>	29.8	32.8	31.1	31.2	8.1	8.5	1.6	8.0
W	S <sub>9</sub>	29.9	25.0	21.2	25.4	8.4	7.8	1.5	7.5
S	S <sub>9</sub>	30.0	32.8	35.7	33.2	9.1	8.2	1.4	8.6
S	S <sub>10</sub>	28.1	24.7	20.0	24.3	8.2	7.7	1.1	7.3
S	S <sub>10</sub>	34.4	36.1	34.9	35.1	9.3	8.0	1.1	11.1
W	S <sub>11</sub>	27.1	22.2	19.2	22.8	7.9	6.9	1.0	6.9
S	S <sub>11</sub>	35.8	34.2	37.9	36.0	9.8	7.6	1.9	7.8
W	S <sub>12</sub>	25.9	20.2	18.1	21.4	7.4	6.5	1.8	6.5
S	S <sub>12</sub>	29.7	31.2	35.3	32.6	9.4	6.9	1.5	7.3
W	S <sub>13</sub>	22.2	18.0	18.0	19.4	7.0	6.0	1.5	6.2
S	S <sub>13</sub>	28.9	31.7	30.0	30.1	5.1	6.0	1.0	6.7
W	Mean	27.2	25.6	23.8	25.5	9.1	8.1	1.1	8.1
S	Mean	27.9	29.7	30.5	29.4	8.6	7.3	1.3	7.9
		SEd		CD (P=0.05)		SEd		CD (P=0.05)	
Sympodia		0.288		0.109		Sympodia		0.228	
Node		0.109		0.214		Node		0.109	
Sympodia x Node		0.396		0.776		Sympodia x Node		0.396	
Season		0.089		0.175		Season		0.089	
Season x Sympodia		0.323		0.633		Season x Sympodia		0.325	

Table 4: Influence of season on the mean percentage of seed recovery from individual sympodium (S) and node (N) in MCU 7.

	Winter.				Summer.			
	N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	Mean.	N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	Mean
S <sub>1</sub>	75	96	96	89	56	56	54	55
S <sub>2</sub>	84	92	82	86	58	71	72	67
S <sub>3</sub>	86	84	78	83	62	70	72	68
S <sub>4</sub>	88	84	78	83	62	70	72	68
S <sub>5</sub>	92	80	74	82	70	76	76	74
S <sub>6</sub>	88	76	70	78	70	72	79	73
S <sub>7</sub>	94	71	62	76	72	76	76	75
S <sub>8</sub>	82	68	60	70	78	76	71	75
S <sub>9</sub>	70	66	58	65	81	66	60	69
S <sub>10</sub>	68	65	57	63	84	65	60	69
S <sub>11</sub>	68	64	56	63	84	61	58	68
S <sub>12</sub>	64	62	56	61	74	60	56	63
S <sub>13</sub>	60	58	56	58	69	58	55	61
Mean.	78.4	74.5	68.5	73.8	70.6	56.5	66.2	66.1
			SEd	CD			SEd	CD
			(P=0.05)				(P=0.05)	
	Sympodia		0.42	0.20	Season		0.17	0.32
	Node		0.20	0.40	Season x			
	Sympodia x Node		0.73	1.45	Sympodia		0.60	1.17
					Season x			
	Sympodia x				Node		0.20	0.56
	Node.		1.04	2.03	Season x			
					Sympodia x		1.04	2.03
					Node			

(Value in parantheses denote angular values)

BUZHKOVA, Y.U. 1973. Investigation of the germinability of the progeny produced from seed taken from different parts of the Cotton plant *Rasteniev "dni Nauki* (1971) 8 (7) 85-91.

CARDOZIER, V.R. 1957. Obtaining and processing seed in 'Growing cotton' 60-75. Mc Craw Hill, LONDON.

CHRISTIDIS, B.G. 1955. Dormancy in cotton seed *Agron J* 47: 400-405.

JOSHI K.V. R.B. GODE AND A.K. SHAW. 1941 Studies in the physiology of the Broach Cotton plant. ICC. Publication 35.

KANALOVA, G.V. AND N.N. POLYANTSEVA 1967. Differences in developing embryos of cotton Plants depending on their position in the balls *uzbeciolzh* 1967. 64-7.

KHASANOX, E.L. 1976. Formation of sowing quality of seeds of cotton cv. Tashkent-3 *khlopkovodatvo*. 1974 No. 10, 37-38

MARAPPAN, P.V.; KAMALANATHAN S. NARAYANAN, S.S. AND P.K. RAMAKRISHNAN 1966. A note on the Agri., quality of cotton seeds from various pickings. *Madras agric. J.* 53 (9) 379-381.

McCLELLAND, C.K. 1916. On the regularity of blooming in the cotton plant. *Science*. 44: 578-581.