Madras apric J. 71 (1) 46-48 January 1984

## EFFECT OF MUTAGENIC TREATMENTS ON Arachis hypogaea L

## T. RAMANATHAN,

In the present study, the two varieties showed indentical response to mutagenic treatments with regard to germination and survival while diffential response was indicated by
growth reduction. Gamma rays have reduced the height of seedling in 1MV-5 to a greater
extent than EMS. However, this trend was reversed in Ah. 7911 in which EMS had the effect
of greater reduction on seedling height than that of gamma rays. Growth of seedlings in the
combination treatment was less than that observed in single togetments and the effect was
more pronounced in Ah, 7811 than in 1MV. 9.

Mutagenic studies in groundnut, (Arachis hypogaea.L) in the earliar period were restricted to only physical mutagen, mostly x-rays. Later, gamma rays thermal and fast neutrons have been employed Emery et. al., 1965). More recently chemical mutagens like diethyl sulphate (DES), ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS) N-methyl N-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidince (MNNG), sodium azide(SA), ethylence bromide (EB), and acriliavine were also employed (Lavy and Ashri, 1978).

Investigations involving chemical mutagens were mostly directed to assess the physiological sensitivity of different varieties of goundnut Ashri and Herzog, 1972:.

One of the approaches in mutation breeding is to enlarge the spectrum and increase the frequency of mutation by treating the material with physical and chemical mutagens and their combinations.

One of the objectives of the present investigation was to increase the spectrum and frequency of mutation in groundnut by use of physical mutagens and their combination. The observations recorded in M1 generation are presented and discussed in this paper.

Two improved cultivars of groundnut. TMV-9 and Ah. 7911 with bunch growth habit were chosen for the study. They are hybrid derivatives with a common male parent of Ah 477 (Bassi). The female parents of TMV-9 and Ah 7911 are A.h. 3490 (Bromie 3) . and Ah. 4218 (Gudiyatham) respectively, seeds with a moisture content. of 5.5 percent and retained by 21/64 inch sieve were treated with gamma rays at 20, 30 and 40 kred. Soeds presoaked in deiomized water for 12hrs were subjected to chemical treatment for 4 hours with EMS at 40, 60 and 80mm concentrations. Combination treatment consists of

Cocenut Research Station, Veppankulam-614 908, Thanjavur Dist.

irradiation of dry seeds with 30krad of Gamma rays followed by presoking in delomized water for 12 hours and chemical treatment with EMS at 40mm. The seeds treated with chemical were washed in running tap water for one hour.

The seeds subjected to single and combination treatments were immediately sown in the field along with controls in randomised block design with five replications in 1975. Two rows of 3m length spaced 30cm apart constituted the plot size. Twenty seeds were dibbled per row with a spacing of 15cm between seeds in the row. Observations on germination % (seedlings emerged up to 15th day after sowing), survival % (seedlings survived on the 30th day after sowing) and seedling height (measured on 30th day after sowing from the collar to the tip of the main stem) were recorded.

The data collected on three M1 indices, namely, germination, survival and seedling height are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

Gamma irradiation, EMS treatment and combination of both have resulted in reduction in germination compard in control (Table 1). Progressive decrease in germination with increase in dose of gamma rays and EMS was observed. Similar relationship between dose and effect was reported in the irradiated material of groundnut (Gregory

1968). Data have shown that combination treatment caused greater reduction in germination than that of single treatments. Such increase in reduction of germination due to combination treatment was observed in rice (Siddiq and Swaminathan, 1968) and Sorghum (Sree Ramulu, 1970).

It may be clear from Table 1, that survival was reduced with increase in doses of gamma rays and EMS. Such inverse relation between dose and survival was observed in ground-nut due to X-irradiation (Gregory, 1968). Combination treatment was found to reduce survival to a greater extent than that of single treatments.

Data on seedling height (Table 2) have indicated growth reduction with increase in doses of gamma rays and EMS. There were several reports on such growth reduction due to mutagenic treatments. In groundnut, irradiation with X-rays Gregory, 1968) and thermal neutrons and gamma rays were shown to cause reduction in the seedling growth with increase in dose

He is grateful to the University authorities for having accorded permission to publish the thesis. He is also indebted to Dr. M Rathinam Professor and Head, Forest Research Station, Mettupalayam for constant encouragement and guidance.

## REFERENCES

- ASHRI, A end Z, HERZOG, 1972. Differential physiological sensitivity of peanut varieties to seed treatments with diethyl sulphate and other methane sulphenete. Radiation Botony 12: 173-178.
- EMERGY, D. A. W. C. EREGORY and P. J. LOSSCH Jr. 1985. Broading valve of radiation induced macromutant, II. Effect of mutant expression and associate back grounds on selection potential in Arachis hypogaes L. The use of Induced mutations in plant breading. Radiation Botany, 5 (Suppl): 339-353.
- GREGORY, W. C. 1988. A radiation breeding experiment with posnuts, Rediction Botony 8; 81-147.
- LEVY. A and A ASHRI, 1978, Induced Plasmon mutations affecting growth habit of peanut Mutation Res. 51: 347-360.
- SIDDIO, E. V. and M. S. SWAMINATHAN, 1968 induced mutations in relation to the breeding and phylogenetic differentiation of *Oryza sativa*. Rice breeding with induced mutations (Tech. Rep. Series, No. 86) IAEA, Vienna 25-51.
- SREE RAMULU. K. 1976. Mutations in Sorghum. Mutation Res 10 1 197-205.

Table-i Germination and survival in M1 generation (Percentages after angular transformation)

Treatment		Germination percen			ercent	ent Survival percent				
A	•	TMV-9	Percent on control	Ah,7911	Percent on control	TMV-9	Percent on control	Ah,7811	Percent en contrel	
Control (DS)		73,1	109.0	76,4	100.0	67,7	100.0	68.3	100,0	
Gamma rays 20 krad		62,1	85,9	60,6	79,3	57.5	85.0	8,83	83.1	
	30 krad	59.4	81.3-	57.2	74.8	54,8	80.9	53.4	78.2	
	40 krad	55.1	75.4	53.8	70.4	48.3	71,3	47.3	69.2	
_	Moen	58.8	80.5	67,2	74.8	52,5	79,1	52,5	76,8	
Combination 20 krad -		- 538	75.1	52.7	69.0	49.7	73.4	47.7	69.9	
Control (SS)		73.9	100.0	74.4	100.0	69.5	100.0	64,5	100,0	
WS	40 mM	8,78	83,7	67.5	77,3	53,1	76.3	50,9	78	
	60 mM	50.8	72,0	49.0	65.9	44 4	63,9	42.7	66.2	
	80 mM	38.6	52.2	36.8	49.5	34.3	49 4	326	80.4	
	Mean	<b>65,4</b>	68.9	47.8	64,2	43 8	63.2	42.0	65.1	
	Source	S,E	*	C.D.		S.E.		€.D.		
	Variety	1.33		N,S	91	1.19		N.S		
	Treatment Variety X	2.82		7.94		2.52		7,11		
	Treatmente	3 98	1	N,S		3.57		N.S	-	

## EFFECT OF MUTAGENIC TREATMENTS

Table : 2 Seedling height in M1 generation

Treatment		Seedling height (cm)						
		TMV-9	Percent on control	Ah. 7911	Per-cent is control			
Contrel (DS)		.5,2	100,0	6.1	100,0			
Gamma rays 20 Krad		4.8	92.3	5,5	90 2			
30 K	ad	4.4	84,6	46	75.4			
40 K	rad	3,4	65.4	3,6	59,0			
Mean	n	4.2	8.08	4 6	75.4			
Combination 20krad + 40 mM		4,3	82,7	4,4	72,1			
Centrol (SS)		4,9	100.0	6.0	9,00,6			
EMS	40 mM	3.7	75 5	5.0	83.3			
	60 mM	3.2	65.3	2,7	45,0			
	80 m M	2.2	44.9	20	33,3			
<u> </u>	Мезя	3,0	87.8	3.2	52,5			
	Source	SE	C,D					
	Variety	0,01	0,03					
	Treatment	0.22	0,61					
	Variety X Treatment	0.31	0.8					