Madras Agric, J. 70, (9): 561-565 September 1983

## HETEROSIS AND INBREEDING DEPRESSION IN SESAME

R. L SHARMAL and B. P. S. CHUHAN2

The performance of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids involving 10 varieties of sesame was studied to investigate heterosis for seed yield and eight other component characters. The midparent and better - parent heterosis for seed yield ranged from-16.76 to 105.70 and 34.99 to 60.27% respectively. The hybrid JT 66-173 x SH 50 and T 12 x SH 62 exhibited the maximum heterosis for seed yield, the values for which were 105.76 and 60.27% respectively.

The heterosis for seed yield appeared to be due to high manifestation of heterosis for number of capsules plant which in turn was due to additive action of number of primary branches and secondary branches. Since majority of hyrbids showed inbreeding depression in F2t it suggested that the heterosis should be exploited in the F1 itself.

Oil seeds play an important rola in agricultural economy of India particularly at this crucial stage when the oils are in short supply Among the different oil seed crops grown in the country sesame (Sesamum indicum Li) is the third crop after groundnut and mustard being grown extensively. Though it has high protein (19.3%) and high proportion of methionine (3.4%), the genetic studies made so far to improve yield potential of this crop are very limited Sarathe and Dabral, 1969). In view of high yields obtained by heterosis breeding like maize, in many crops sorghum and pearl millet a study was undertaken to determine the magnitude of heterosis in F1 and inbreeding depression in F, in sesame.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The materials comprised of 10 varieties viz. N 128', 'JT7', 'Patan 64',

'T '13', 'B. local', 'JT 66-173', 'T 12', 'SH 50', 'N 32' and 'Sh 62', and their hybrids crossed in dianel model were raised in randomized block design with four replications during kharif season of 1979. In each replication each entry was represented by 14 plants raised in a row of 3.5 m long, spaced 50 cm apart with a plant distance of 25 cm Ten plants from each entry were selected at random in each replication to record observations on days to flower number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, plant height (cm), number of capsules/plant, days to maturity, 1000-seed weight(g), seed yield (g) and oil percentage. The performance of Fig.in terms of percentage increase and decrease over the mid and better parents was calculated to express the extent of heterosis. The F<sub>1</sub> population was raised in kharif 1981 and the inbreeding depression was measured as the deviation of mean performance of F.

<sup>1.</sup> Lecturer in Agricultural Botany, Janta College, Bakewar, Etawah - 206124,

<sup>2.</sup> Asst. Prof. of Botany, R. B. S. College, Agra - 283105.

er the mean value of Fi and was opressed in percentage.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Differences among the parents and F<sub>1</sub> hybrids were substantial for the different characters studied. Table 1\* The performance of some of the hybrids in Table 2.

Significant heterosis was observed in both directions in hybrids for all Negative heterosis the characters. over mid-parent for days to flowers, a desirable character was observed upto the maximum of (-19.63% in the cross N 128xSH 62). Tyagi and Singh (1981) also observed similar negative heterosis for this trait upto-12.69%. Dixit (1976) has however, noted a considerable delay in flowering in hybrids of this crop. It was interesting to note that the magnitude of heteosis for days to maturity in desired -direction was generally very low. In general, all the hybrids except a few were either late or more or less equal in muturity duration.

In case of number of primary branches value of 84.76% over the better parent was recorded for the cross Patan 64xB. local. The cross T 12 x SH 50 was found to have the highest of 240.00 and 254.62% over the better and mid-parents respectively for number of secondary branches.

The highest value of heterosis over superior parent for plant height recor ded was 26.81%. The negative heterosis also indicates the possibility of reducing the height in hybrids. Tyagi and Singh (198) also observed maximum positive heterosis for plant height of 55.08%.

In addition to the above number of capsules / plant and 1,000 - seed weight are the most important yield contributing characters. Since yield is a complex entity depending upon the multiplicative interaction of its various components, it would be interesting to compare the hybrids with respect to these components of yields also. In the present study the manifestation of heterosis in Fi over the superior parent was maximum of 146. 32% for number of capsules / plant in the hybrid JT 66-173xT 12. For 1,000 seed weight positive and significant helerotic effect over the superior parent was observed in 9 hybrids, the highest value of 25:51% being recorded for the cross T 21xSH 50. The values for seed yield/plant ranged from 7.52 to 25.52 gm in the hybrids. The highest heterotic effect of 60.27 and 105.70% over the superior and mid-. parent was recorded for the cross T12 x SH 62 and JT 66-173 x SH 50 respectively.

In respect of oil percentage, the extent of heterosis was very low ranging from 18 13 to 44.22 over superior parent and -14.88 to 14.08% over midparental value

The above results indicate that maximum heterosis was recorded for

Values of inbreeding depression in F<sub>2</sub> are not published for want of space and are available with the author

number of secondary branches followed by number of capsules/plant, number of primary branches and seed yield. The detailed examination of these crosses further revealed that the mechanism for the expression of heterosis was more or less similar. Hybrid Patan 64 x B. local which has significant heterosis for seed yield also showed significant heterosis for the component characters like-number of primary branches, and number capsules/plant. Obviously, the heterosis for seed yield in this cross seemed to be due to heterotic effects of the above components of yield. Murty (1975) Dixit (1976), have also observed heterosis in seed yield because of simultaneous heterosis in a number of yield components Grafius (1959) has suggested that there may not be any gene for yield per se but for the components and as such the yield is an end product of multiplicative interaction between yield components, Generally, hybrids having parents of diverse origin or having different genetic back ground showed high heterotic effects. Murty (1975) has also emphasized the role of genetic diversity for high heterotic respose in this crop. Thus, in this population, heterosis for yield appeared to be mainly due to the number of primary branches, and number of capsules/plant. When inbreeding depression in F: was taken into consideration, majority of . the crosses which showed significant heterosis in Fi also showed proportionately highly significant inbreeding depression in F, for all the characters The maximum depression of 70.86, 56.99, 49 42, 42.01, 34.34, 22.59.

15.21, -18.69 and -12.59 precent We recorded for seed yield, number of secondary branches' number of capsules/ plant, number of primary branches, plant height, 1,000 seed weight oil percentage, days to maturity and days to flower respectively. This increased depression may be due to the presence of non-allelic interaction of higher magnitude in the inheritance of these characters. In some of the crosses though there was significant heterosis, no marked depression in F1 was seen, which indicated that there might be a high proportion of fixable genes in them. Since the vigour was not of retentive nature as indicated by high percentage of inbreeding depression in F1 for most of the characters, it should be exploited in the Fr itself.

We are thankful to Dr. Roshan Singh, Principal, and Late Dr. S. P. Singh, Head of Botany, Department, R. B. S. College, Agra for providing necessary facilities.

#### REFERENCES

- DIXIT, R. K. 1976. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in sesame. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 46 (4): 187-91.
- MURTY, D. S. 1975. Heterosis, combining ability and reciprocal effects for agronomic and chemical characters in sesame. *Theort* and *appl. Genetics* 45: 294-99.
- SARATHE, M. L. and DABRAL, K. C., 1969 Heterosis studies in Sesamum Orientale L. Sci. and Cutt., 35: 572-73.
- TYAGI. B. P. and HARI, G. SINGH. 1981. Heterosis in sesame. Indian J. Agrio., 51 (12): 849-52.

Table 1 The mean performance of parents and hybrids and inbreeding despression in a 10 x 10 complete diallel cross in Sesame

Parents	Ş	No.	Colone Landon				The second of th	
and hy-	flower	mary bran-	regian neiga	sule/plant	Days to maturate	weight	'Seed Yield' (gms)	Oil percentage
spino.	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
-	2	m	4	2	<b>S</b>	1	8	6
۵	69 33	5 23	163.88	65.68	111 53	2.46		47.31
ā	54 68	4 20	147 25	55 43	108 78	2.78	14 28	46.14
Δ.	. 58.58	2 60	152.75	- 70 85	106.18	2.85	8 54	31.07
۵	48 25	4.05	130.18	65.23	100 70	.2,83	12.91	48 26
۵	52.60	4,10	153 25	81.90	102 98	2.73	15.19	48.85
ď	59 63	4.13	136.98	64.70	111 88	2.64	6 41	47.17
ď	46 48	4.48	145 20	64.65	103 53	2 69	14,34	44 75
P.	51.13	5.38	145 33	81.38	103 08	2.73	17.62	45,35
Ь	64 65	4.18	175.25	66 20	113 18	2.79	11 44	48.63
P.	53.73	4.33	126 88	76.95	101 45	2.85	14 35	31 55
P,xP,	54.45	5 60	195 58	105.50	111 00	2 54	14 96	49.05
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>1</sub>	56.73	3.80	193,03	119 33	110 78	2 94	9 35	51 56
PıxP	57.10	C.15	193,38	100 65	102 65	2 55	11.76	49 88
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	. 55.00	6.28	156 28	117 35	101 03	2 53	21 68	51,09
PıxPa	58,75	7.05	183 30	133 23	113.73	2 63	8 85	49.81
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	52.40	619	155 93	112 73	109.45	2.53	9 32	. 41 48
PixPa	53:53	5.73	153.30	103.18	99 03	2 90	13.81	50.17
PtxPg	. 60.58	59.62	150.33	94 83	113,85	2 36	7,52	43.81
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	49.45	-7.40	150 60	115 98	96.13	2.40	16.96	48 07
P,xP	52.48	4.90	172.95	109,58		2.79	13.04	50,69
P,xP,	. 51 58	4.60	160.08	154:30	97 68	2.68	18,42	50.89
PaxPa	. 45:38	5,53	163.35	129 23	101.08	2 94	17, 14	45.72
P,xPa	. 62 60:	6.85	175 15	105 00	107 25	1.58	15 1.1	49.98
P,xP,	54,55	6.38	161.33	140,40	100.88	2 93	19 20	51.84

Table 1 Continued

							0	The Paris of the P
PxP <sub>s</sub>	51 40	5 13	153.95	134 05	88.75	2.88	18.77	48,18
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	53 71	6 30	186,08	129.23	107.38	231	11.64	51 27
P <sub>1</sub> XP <sub>10</sub>	50 50	5,85	155,28	142,05	100 30	3,09	16.48	50.73
P,XP	52,54	4,68	188,75	101,35	102,50	3,09	15,86	51.44
PaxPs	51 25	7,58	160,01	155.98	98.98	3,12	22 75	50,45
PsxPa	59,15	5,88	187,08	11918	109.00	2.59	. 8.07	41.80
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	49.00	603	162.18	93,98	100:50	2.38	18.85	50.10
PaxPa	20 60	6 05	156 98	171.80	101.30	2.79	22,44	51.94
P <sub>3</sub> ×P <sub>3</sub>	56.90	2 90	172 45	118,23	111,38	2,90	12,26	14,56
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	50 03	6 40	163,35	143 03	99,20	3.10	22,84	16.80
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	51,15	5 70	158.93	120, 0	99,75	2 99	16,28	50.84
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	58,58	5,40	158,10	80,50	103,20	3 49	9,32	47.38
P,xP;	45.98	4.88	158.78	123 13	98.00	3,27	16.28	51.34
P,xPs	50,73	6,38	150,90	153,23	99,30	3 34	23,72	51.96
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	55.20	6,18	163,43	90,65	,107,75	2:87	11,39	16,40
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	52.58	4.93	156,55	97.83	98,35	2,95	17.88	31,85
PexPe	56,60	4.50	172,90	138.60	104.53	2.50	10,16	18.04
P <sub>s</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	50.18	8.81	153.60	13 88	00 66	2.65	16,51	11.26
P <sub>s</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	47,23	7 20	156,43	149.65	100,50	3,44	25,52	14.22
PoxPo	43 90	5.23	160.80	118.45	102,95	3.31	15 34	51.38
PsxP10	53,27	5.40	153,58	126.80	98 18	2.90	23.70	62.79
PaxPr	54.55	6 70	161,88	159.38	100,08	3.14	19,71	51,63
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	54,88	7,53	173.58	149.33	101.00	2,90	24,72	19.96
PsxPo	57.35	6.95	192,98	76.90	113.50	3.14	14.33	42 91
P6XP10	52,88	6.23	173.30	133,15	99,78	2,90	16.49	18.71
P,xP.	44.28	4.80	143,38	110,63	99.25	3,23	16.64	50.52
P,xP <sub>0</sub>	51,15	6,10	175.43	121,60	100.48	3.63	22,81	50,62
P.X 31.	48.90	5.75	152.85	102.25	. 97,00	3.06	23,01	50.42
PsxPo	54.02	5,18	177.83	131,95	108.00	3.05	13.71	45.24
PaxP1.	49,30	4.60	142.78	161,85	98.80	2.83	14.84	19,14
	60 00	50	126 80	139.90	100.65	273	20.01	

(P1) N 128, (P1) JT 7, (P1) Patan 64, (P1) B local, (P0) JT 66-173, (P1) T 12, (P1) SH 50, (P0) N 32, (P10) SH 62; \* and \* Significant at 1% and 5% level respectively.

# SHARMA and CHUHAN

Table 2 Per cent heterosis of a few best hybrids over mid-parent (MP) and the better parent (BP)

			1. 4. 1.	
Characters		Crosses	per cent I	eterosis BP
Days to flower	N 128	x * SH 62	-19.63**	-7.96**
	N 32	x SH 62	-15.14**	-6.51
No. of primary branches	Patan 64	x B local	126,12**	84 76**
	B local	x T 12	90 67**	82 68**
No, of secondary branches	T 12	x SH 50	254.62**	240 00**
	T,12	x SH 62	177.69**	155.67*
Plant height	J 66-173	x SH 62	3 .36≎≠	26 81**
e House	patan 64	х т 13	23 07**	23 24**
No. of capsules/plant	JR 66-173	z T 2	149.80**	146.32**
	JT 7	х т 13	155 70	136.56**
Days to maturity	JT 7	x Patan 64	-7.88**	-6.76*
	N 128	x SH 62	-9.73**	-5.24
1000 Seed Weight	T 12	x SH 50	26. 1**	25.51**
11 2 gr	T 13	x JT 66-173	27 54**	23 56**
Seed yield	T.12	x SH 62	60.36**	60. 7**
- 1 Th	JT 66-173	x SH 50	105 70**	40 28**
Oil percentage	B local	x T 12	-9,47**	44.22
	JT 7	x T 12	14.01**	12.36**
	1.1.			They then the

<sup>\*</sup> and \*\* Significant at 1% and 5% levels respectively.