Madras agric; J. 70. (10): 665-669 October 1983

# VARIETIES AT VARYING LEVELS OF N AND P\*

#### N. DURAIRAJ MUTHIAH and G. RAMANATHAN

Field experiments were laid out with 5 different sorghum varieties namely CO 18, CSH 5, CSH 6, CSV 4, and Composite IX with graded levels of N and P (i. e) 0, 60 and 120 kg/ha. Potash application was common. The variety CSH 6 significantly yielded higher grain wherease straw yield by CSH 5. N levels caused significant differences in grain yield but not P levels. But NP interaction proved benefical as for as straw yield is concerned. Higher grain/N ratio was recorded in CSH 6 which was the most respensive variety among the six varieties tried.

Sorghum is cultivated on a larger scale by farmers of India and the estimated area under sorghum is nearly about 19.0 million hectares. The total annual production is estimated to be 9.8 million tonnes of food grain. In Tamil Nadu, sorghum is grown in about 7.5 lakh hectares. However, the average grain yield of sorghum in India is about 543 kg/ha which works out approximately to just half the world average grain yield of 1003 kg/ha (Anon. 1975). Thus there exists vast scope for increasing the yield of this crop per unit area.

Particular attention is paid to the crop improvement programme of this crop all over the world and a number of varieties of sorghum of high yield potential have been evolved in recent years. However, evolving hybrids alone would not bring in desired results. It needs no special emphsis that adequate supply of plant nutrients depending on the crop varieties is es-

sential for obtaining higher yields. Thangavelu et al. (1968) reported that 90 kg N and 45 kg P.O./ha applied to CSH 1 sorghum gave 2310 kg grain/ha and 15880 kg of straw/ha. Mahendra Singh and Mahendrapal (1969) observed that optimum level of N was between 100 and 150 kg N/ha for maximum grain yield. Ramalingam (1975) concluded that application of 140 kg N/ha increased the grain yield of CSV 4. No systematic study has so far been done to make a comparative appraisal of the performance of different sorghum varieties grown under varying levels of N and P. Hence, it becomes imperative to determine the varietal difference as may be influenced by different levels of N and P at varying combinations, aiming at higher crop production.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted on red loam soil at Bhavanisagar,

<sup>1.</sup> Assoc. Prof. Agrl. College Res. Instt. TNAU, Madurai

<sup>2.</sup> Professor, Kumaraperumal Farm Science Centre, Trichy.

adopting split plot design with three replications. The levels of N (0, 60 and 120 kg N/ha) and the levels of P (0, 60 and 120 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>/ha) were assigned to the main plots and varieties to sub-plots. The five varieties of sorghum tried were CO 18. CSH5, CSH 6, CSV 4 and Composite IX The gross plot size of 4.05 x 3.9 metres with a net plot of 3.15 x 2.70 metres was chosen. At the time of harvest grain and straw yields were recorded.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Grain yield

The varieties showed significant differences among themselves in grain yield (Table 1). The variety CSH 6 recorded the maximum grain yield of 36.54 g/ha, followed by CSH 5 and composite IX recording 31.12 - and 27.16 q/ha respectively while CSV 4 recorded the minimum. (16 50 g/ha). It may also be mentioned that CSV 4 which has been performing equally well in other tracts reflected a poor performance under conditions of Bhavanisagar tract. From the data available on soil and climatic variations, it is not possible to deduce specific reasons for this poor performence. It merits further study.

The results on grain yield showed significant differences due to N levels but not due to P levels. N levels at 60 and 120 kg N/ha recorded significantly higher grain yield than control without N. As both the levels were on par, the lower level 60 kg N/ha could be considered to be optimum.

Response of sorghum to N application is a common feature for any soil (Herron and Erhart, 1960; Bobde and Khsape, 1973. Palaniappan and Ramaswamy, 1975; Rodge and Talk, 1975).

## Straw yield

The varieties snowed significant differences in straw yield also. The variety CSH 5 recorded the maximum straw yield of 164.1 q/ha while CSH 6, the minimum (112.8 q/ha). There was an upward trend in straw yield as N levels were increased. Evidently, 120 kg N/ha registered the highest straw yield of 183.9 q/ha while the control recorded only 108.8 q/ha. Here application of N at 60 and 120 kg N/ha caused 35 and 69 per cent increase in straw yield respectively over control (Table 2).

There was a significant interaction between N and P levels. Murhusamy (1962) observed similar significant interaction between the two nutrients in relation to straw yield of sorghum. Results further showed that the choice of CSH 6 sorghum for grain could necessarily be at the expense of straw yield.

### Straw Grain ratio

The straw to grain ratio is an important ecnomic characteristic. This is more closely related to the genotype of the plant. Generally, the hybrid varieties recorded low value of straw/grain ratio implying that grain yield is greater. It could serve the dual purpose of yielding appreciable amounts of grain for human consum-

ption and straw for animal feed. On the contrary, the variety CO 18 generally recorded higher straw/grain ratio. In the present study also, the varieties CO. 18 and CSV. 4 recorded significantly higher values of straw/grain ratio (Table 3) than the rest of the varieties. There was also significant interaction between varieties and N levals in relation to ratio values. This is also probable because N levels had a strong interaction with straw yield. Earlier workers also reported similar differences e.g. Korikanthimath (1975) in the case of CSH 6, Ramalingam (1975) in CSV 4 and Viswanathan (1976) in CO 18.

## Grain | N ratio

The index of grain to N ratio was used by Ramachandran (1971) for purpose of determining whether a particular variety is responsive or not. The higher the value, the greater responsive the nature of the genotype. N in this instance refers to the applied N level. From the values of ratios (Table, 41, it was seen that the highest value was recorded by CSH 6 at 60-kg N/ha level which was also observed to be the optium level. On the basis of above index. CSH 6 sorghum could be considered most responsive and other varieties which followed in decending . order were CSH 5, composite IX, CO 18 and CSV 4.

### REFERENCES

BOBDE, G N. and V. S. KHASPE 1973. A note on response of high yielding sorghum hybrids and composites to N fertilization. Indian J. Agron. 18: 219 - 220.

- HERRON. G. M. and A. B ERHART 1960
  Effect of N and P fertilization on the yield of irrigated grain sorghum in south western Kansas. Agron. J. 52:449 501.
- KORIKANTHIMATH. V S. 1975 Studies on the N uptake pattern of sorghum (CSH 5) as influenced by the time, quantity and method of application of N. M. Sc. (Ag.) dissertation submitted to and approved by T N. A. U. Coimbatore.
- MAHENDRA SINGH and MAHENDRAPAL, 969 Fertilizer experiment on sorghum. Paper presented at All India Research Workshop on sorghum and millets held at Bangalore
- MUTHUSWAMY, V. 1972. Effect of graded doses of N on rainfed sorghum. M. Sc. (Ag.) dissertation submitted to and approved by Tamil Nadu/Agricultuial University, Coimbatore.
- PALANIAPPAN, S., P. RAMASAMY: 1975.

  Effect of different levels of N on new sorghum hybrids and varieties. Sorghum Nems 1, 15: 70.
- RAMACHANDRAN, M. 1971. Quoted in sorghum in seventies. Pub. by Mohan Primlani, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi. 1972. pp 373.
- RAMALINGAM, T. 1975. Concentration and uptake of N, P, K, Ca and Mg in sorghum (CSV 4) at different physiogical stages of growth as influenced by graded levels of N. M. Sc (Ag.) dissertation submitted to and approved by T. N. A. U. Coimbatore,
- RODGE, R. P. and V. B. TAK. 1975. Studies on rates of N application to sorghum hybrids/varieties. Sorghum News 1 18: 54-55.
- THANGAVELU, S., N DURAIRAJ MUTHIAH and SHANMUGASUNDARM. 1968. CSH 2 Jowar does well in Kharif in Madras State. Indian Fmg. 18: 36-37,
- VISWANATHAN, G. 19:6. Studies on HCN content and composition of sorghum (CO 18) as influenced by graded doses of N and P. M Sc. (Ag). dissertation submitted to and approved by T N. A. U. Coimbatore-

Table 1. Grain yield of sorghum varieties (Mean of three replications in q/ha)

846 19.89 25.93 22.16 29.43 33.46 29.66 47.49 38.35 13.21 15.85 18.73 10.29 26.61 21.26 29.43 33.46 29.66 47.49 38.35 13.21 15.85 18.73 10.29 26.61 21.26 25.04 39.68 40.75 32.04 38.98 41.78 13.84 17.36 17.59 17.59 26.61 21.26 25.04 39.68 40.75 32.04 38.98 41.78 13.84 16.17 21.94	Darticulare		۸,			<b>5</b> -			\$			<b>*</b>			٧	
8.46 19.89 25.93 22.16 29.43 33.46 29.66 47.49 38.35 13.21 15.85 18.73 10.29 26.61 21.26 25.04 39.58 40.75 32.04 36.98 41.78 13.84 17.36 17.59 15.74 24.00 23.55 19.60 31.03 39.03 25.09 40.18 37.30 13.84 16.17 21.94 15.74 24.00 23.55 19.60 31.03 39.03 25.09 40.18 37.30 13.84 16.17 21.94 19.53 31.12 36.54 16.50 27.16 3.38 5.34 16.70 19.53 31.12 36.54 16.50 27.16 3.38 5.34 19.48 28.48 30.55 5 5.09 40.18 37.30 14.8 19.48 19.65 192.0 182.9 88.6 123.5 128.0 86.9 128.0 192.0 109.7 173.8 173.8 123.5 173.8 192.0 88.9 12.80 18.9 19.5 113.9 155.5 173.8 192.0 88.9 12.80 18.9 19.5 113.9 155.5 205.8 88.8 123.5 137.2 96.0 132.5 24.50 109.8 100	Latificulars	ž	'n.	ž,	°Z	ž	ź	°	ž	ž	ž	ź	ž	N.	ž	
15.74 24,00 23.55 19 60 31.03 39.03 25.09 40.18 37.30 13.84 17.36 17.59  15.74 24,00 23.55 19 60 31.03 39.03 25.09 40.18 37.30 13.84 16.17 21.94  V <sub>1</sub> V <sub>3</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>4</sub> C. D. (0.05)  Inisities 19.53 31.12 38.54 16.50 27,16 3.38  Inisities 19.48 28.48 30.55  V <sub>1</sub> V <sub>3</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	ď	8.46		25.93			33,46	29,66		38.35	13.21	15,85	18.73	18.89	28.38	36,41
15.74 24.00 23.55 19.60 31.03 39.03 25.09 40.18 37.30 13.84 16.17 21.94  V <sub>1</sub> V <sub>3</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>4</sub> C. D. (0.05)  Inisites N <sub>0</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> Invels 19.48 28.48 30.55  Table 2 Straw yield of sorghum varieties (Mean of three replications in q/ha <sup>3</sup> V <sub>4</sub> Invels N <sub>0</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> N <sub>0</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>4</sub> V <sub>4</sub> Interes 109.7 173.8 173.8 192.0 86.9 128.0 169.7 118.9 20.5 8 128.0 160.9 132.6 145.8 152.8 168.8 123.5 137.2 96.0 132.6 242.3 N <sub>4</sub> Invels N <sub>0</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>4</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>5</sub> C. D. (0.05)  Invels N <sub>0</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>5</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>5</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>5</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>5</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>5</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>5</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>5</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	ā	10.2			25,04		40.75		36,98	41.78	13.84		17.59	24.86	30.80	29,98
layels 19.53 31.12 36.54 16.50 27,16 3.38  No N1 N3  Iavels 19.63 31.12 36.54 16.50 27,16 3.38  Iavels 19.48 28.48 30,55 5  Table 2 Straw yield of sorghum varieties (Mean of three replications in q/ha)  Vi V,	<b>a.</b>	15.7		23.55	19 60	31.03	39,03	25.09	40.18	37.30	13.84	16.17	21.94	19,47	23.35	32.24
Table 2 Straw yield of sorghum varieties (Mean of three replications in q/ha¹)  Vi  No, Ni  No			1	ž	5	>		>	>	ပ	D. (0.0)	3		12.00		
levels N <sub>9</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> bound the solution of three replications in q/harmone of three r	Vari	eties	196	19.53	31,12			6.50	27,16	ĸ	38	ing i				
layels 19.48 28.48 30.55 E5.34  Table 2 Straw yield of sorghum varieties (Mean of three replications in q/ha¹)  Vi  N <sub>o</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>o</sub>				ž	z	4		. 4				4				
Table 2 Straw yield of sorghum varieties (Mean of three replications in q/ha¹)  V₁  N₀ N₁ N₃ N₀ N₁ N₃ N₀ N₁ N₃ N₀ N₁ N₃  109.7 173.8 173.8 192.0 182.9 68.6 123.5 128.0 86.9 128.0 192.0  114.3 146.3 173.8 123.5 173.8 192.0 86.9 128.0 150.9 109.7 118.9 205.8  128.0 155.5 192.0 118.9 155.5 205.8 68.6 123.5 137.2 96.0 132.6 242.3  V₁  V₁  V₂  V₂  V₂  V₂  V₂  V₂  V₂  V₂	Z	svels		19.48	28.48	30.5	35			φ.	34	). ·				
Table 2 Straw yield of sorghum varieties (Mean of three replications in q/ha¹ V₁ V₂			eri Jan		4.0		•	: : :6::	. di		9.0	.2				
V <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub> V <sub>3</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>6</sub> V <sub>7</sub> V <sub>7</sub> V <sub>7</sub> V <sub>7</sub> V <sub>7</sub> V <sub>8</sub>					71.		: :-	r	, i <sup>2</sup> , *	(**	-					
No N1 Ns	,		۴		Straw yie	ald of sc	mnybic	varieties	(Mean	of three	replicati	ons in c	1/ha)	٠.		
109.7 173.8 173.8 132.6 1920 182.9 68.6 123.5 128.0 86.9 128.0 192.0 114.3 146.3 173.8 192.0 86.9 128.0 150.9 109.7 118.9 205.8 128.0 156.5 192.0 118.9 205.8 128.0 156.5 192.0 118.9 155.5 205.8 68.6 123.5 137.2 96.0 132.6 242.3 128.0 152.4 164.1 112.8 145.8 157.8 24.50 152.4 108.8 145.9 183.9 183.9 165.5 172.8 164.9 116.1 143.6 182.5 172.8 194.6 106.9 158.9 194.6 194.6 106.9 194.6			,			٧,		100	>			>			'n	
114.3 146.3 178.3 132.6 192.0 182.9 68.6 123.5 128.0 86.9 128.0 192.0 114.3 146.3 173.8 123.5 173.8 192.0 86.9 128.0 150.9 109.7 118.9 205.8 128.0 155.5 137.2 96.0 132.6 242.3 152.4 164.1 112.8 145.8 157.8 24.50	arnculars	4		. ^	°Z	ž	ž	°N.	ž	Z,	Š	N.	N,	°	ź	ž
114.3 146.3 173.8 123.5 173.8 192.0 86.9 128,0 150.9 109.7 118.9 205.8 128.0 155.5 192.0 118.9 205.8 128.0 155.5 205.8 68.6 123.5 137.2 96.0 132.6 242.3 V <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub> V <sub>3</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>6</sub> C. D. (0.05) 152.4 164.1 11.2 8 145.8 157.8 24.50 N <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> N <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub> 158.9 166.9 158.2 172.8 P <sub>4</sub> 116.1 143.6 182.5 P <sub>4</sub> 116.1 143.6 182.5 P <sub>4</sub> 106.9 158.9 196.6	Ps	01.			9.4	**	182.9				86.9			137.2	173.8	182,9
128.0 1555 192.0 118.9 155.5 205.8 68.6 1235 137.2 96.0 132.6 242.3  V <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub> V <sub>3</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>6</sub> C. D. (0.05)  152.4 164.1 112.8 145.8 157.8 24.50  N <sub>0</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> 108.8 145.9 183.9  N <sub>0</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> P <sub>0</sub> 106.9 158.2 172.8  P <sub>1</sub> 116.1 143.6 182.5  P <sub>2</sub> 103.3 138.9 196.6	ď	-	4.3 146.	3 173.8	,		192.0	-	128,0	150,9	109.7	118.9	205.8	146.3	150,9	190.1
152.4 164.1 1:28 145.8 157.8 N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> V <sub>4</sub> V <sub>6</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> 168.8 146.9 183.9 N <sub>9</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub> N <sub>9</sub> P <sub>9</sub> 106.9 158.2 172.8 P <sub>1</sub> 116.1 143.6 182.5 P <sub>1</sub> 103.3 138.9 196.6	4					6.20		-	123 5		96.0		242,3	105,2	128.0	205.8
152.4 164.1 112.8 145.8 157.8 N; N,		1 .		'n	>			>	Š	Ö	D. (00)					
els 108,8 146,9 183,9 No Ni	Vari	eties		152.4	164.1			145.8	157.8	1	24.50	i				
P <sub>0</sub> 106.9 158.2 172.8 P <sub>1</sub> 116.1 143.6 182.5 P <sub>2</sub> 101.3 138.9 196.6	***			Ž Š	ž											
P <sub>0</sub> 106.9 158.2 172.8 P <sub>1</sub> 116.1 143.6 182.5 P <sub>2</sub> 101.3 138.9 196.6	=, Z	sveis	, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	0 2	2	-	ņ				3.52	.,				
P1 116.1 143.6	×	0.	ď	106.9	1582	172	. 00			, "r	16 49	1.				
138.9		: :	L L	116.1.	143.6	3	23									
2	*		P.	103.3	138.9	196,6	. 9									

Table 3. Straw/Grain ratio in different sorghum varieties (Mean of three replication

Vs	N <sub>I</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	6.88 5.23	5.11	6.02		*	2.0973 (N levels under varieties)	Controller to		(Varieties under N fevels)				,		٧٤		N/5	1
	ž	8.11	6.21	5,58			livels II		4	rieties un							Grain	kg/ha	1
	ž	10.25	11.97	11.84	C. D. (0.05)	1,1094	N) EZ60			9216 (Va	ered T			· (su			N/S	;	27.40
>	ž	8,16	7.11	8.28	3	6	2	10.5		11	II.		3 3	plicatio	>		ů.	0 1	
V <sub>2</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	z	6.54	7,91	6.93	Ver	6.3896	1							sorghum varieties (Mean of three replications)			Grain	kg/ha	1646
	ž	3.58	3.76	3.72	<b>'</b>	8.7848		1000	\	N.	Tip.	Ŧr.		: Mean of	K		NS NS		80.18
^*	ž	2.60	3.73	3.10		8.7						++		rieties	>		• :		
	ž	2.48	2.71	2,75		3,1567	ź	8,52	5.22	368	6.53	):	-	form var		1000	Grain	kg/ha	4166
	ż	5,50	4,72	5.12	^	3,1	Z	80	Ö	m ‡	9						N/S	¥	55.59
۲,	ž	6,93	4.52	5.43	۷,	5,5511	ž	7.64	5,52	3.14	6.00			Grain/N ratio in	>		9		55
	°	6.25	5,29	6.20		5	- - -	7	ω.	יי מי	9				-		yield	kg/ha	3335
	ż	7,28	8,38	9.89	×.	9.3670	ž	11.94	5,91	7.15	6.63	5 28	,	Table 4.			R/S		39.16
>	ź	10,33	5.54	7.05	·.										>	1	Ø		39
	ż	13,36	13.08	9.39		ies	Varieties X N										yield	kg/ha	2350
Varieties.	levels	•	<u>.</u>	P,	,	Varieties	Variet	, ·	<b>,</b> ;	5 >	Š	. ••			-	The state of the state of	Applied N	kg/ha	09