

ARTICLES

400-405

Madras Agric J 69 (6) ~~115-120~~ June 1982

Studies on Rice Bran and Rice Bran Oil

II. Quality of rice bran oil as influenced by varieties, polishing and parboiling*

V. V. KRISHNAMURTHI¹ & V. S. SREERAMULU²

In this study, it was found that there was varietal influence on the initial content of FFA, saponification and iodine value. Among raw and parboiled paddy, the parboiled paddy resulted in a decrease of FFA, content, iodine value and increase in saponification value of oil. Generally, the bran separated over a longer duration of polishing had higher FFA, saponification value and iodine value.

The production of bran oil is gaining importance in countries like India due to acute oil shortage. Due to the possibility of rapid deterioration of bran oil immediately after separation of bran from paddy, the quality of bran oil is assessed by the initial FFA, saponification value and iodine value.

Rao *et al* (1960) concluded that the FFA, saponification value and iodine value of the rice germ oil ranged from 0.80 to 2.5 percent, 177 to 192 and 95 to 98 respectively. Kumar David *et al* (1964) found that the FFA, saponification value and iodine value of raw rice bran oil ranged from 1.1 to 74.7 per cent, 170 to 197.7 and 89.3 to 100.5 respectively. According to ISI (1965), the FFA content, saponification and iodine value of the rice bran oil should be 80, 175 to 195 and 85 to 105 respectively. Further in earlier studies, polishing was reported based on the weight of bran renewed as 2%, 4% and 6% (Kumaresan & Sree Remulu 1978) which does not reflect the hardening due parboiling. Hence a study was made

to find out the variation of these values due to varieties, degree of polishing based on duration of polishing & parboiling. Hence the following study was taken up.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Thirty varieties of paddy samples were collected from the paddy Breeding Station, Coimbatore. Part of the paddy samples of each variety was parboiled as per the method of Subramanyam and Dakshinamoorthy (1977). Both raw and parboiled samples were milled separately in a laboratory rubber roller sheller and the brown rice obtained was milled in a Mc Gill type polisher for different duration viz 2, 4 and 6 minutes. Bran collected at different stages of polishing were sieved through a 25 mesh sieve to remove broken rice and then weighed. The oil in the bran was extracted with hexane and the FFA content, saponification and iodine values of the oil were determined as per A.Q.A.C. (1962) method and the results of the above study are presented in the table. I.

*Formed part of the thesis submitted by the first author for the award of M. Sc. (Ag.) degree under the guidance of the second author.

¹ & ²: Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Free Fatty Acid Content

The initial free fatty acid (FFA) of raw bran oil ranged from 1.27 (Co 37) to 2.45 (TNAU 136 13), 1.62 (Co 39, Co 35) to 2.44 (IET 5656) and 1.80 (Co 40) to 2.57 (IET 5656) for the 2, 4 and 6 minute polishings indicating that the FFA content of bran oil varied with varieties and degree of polishing. This is in agreement with the results reported by Hartman and Lago (1970). The corresponding values for parboiled bran oil ranged from 1.17 (Co 35) to 2.35 (TNAU 1756), from 1.55 (Co 39) to 2.34 (IET 56 56) and from 1.20 (TNAU 18520) to 2.31 (IET 56 56) indicating that the initial FFA content was significantly higher in the case of bran oil from raw paddy. As the parboiling operation involves boiling of paddy to high temperatures, it could have arrested the development of FFA in the oil from the parboiled rice. With the progressive increase in duration of polishing, significant increase in the FFA content of bran was observed. The FFA contents of the oil extracted in different degrees of polishing were within the range of ISI specification (1965).

Saponification value

The saponification value of oil from raw bran was maximum in Bhavani and minimum in TKM 6, irrespective of the duration of polishing. The minimum values ranged from 178 at 2 minute polishing to 180 at 6 minute polishing. The maximum values were

202, 204 and 200 at 2, 4 and 6 minute polishings. In case of bran oil from parboiled paddy, again the variety TKM 6 registered the minimum value, while the varieties Bhavani and TNAU 18610 registered the maximum value at all the 3 levels of polishings. The saponification value of bran oil was significantly increased due to parboiling of paddy. The exact mechanism for this increase is not known and needs further study.

Iodine value

The iodine value of raw bran oil was highest in GEB 24 and lowest in Co 39 at all the 3 levels of polishings. In case of parboiled bran oil, Co 35 registered highest iodine value in all but 4 minute polishing and Co 39 recorded the lowest value in all but 2 minute polishing. Among the 2 kinds of bran oil, the oil obtained from raw paddy had a significantly higher iodine value than that of parboiled paddy. Shabeen *et al* (1975) reported a 6.5 to 8.5 per cent reduction in the iodine value of bran oil due to parboiling. This decrease in iodine value could be attributed to the possible saturation of double bonds of fatty acids during parboiling. The increase in iodine value was influenced by the increase in duration of polishing. This might be due to the oil obtained from starchy endosperm and aleurone layers. The iodine value of the oil extracted from different durations of polishing were within the range of ISI (1965) specifications.

REFERENCES

- Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (1962) *Methods of Analysis* (U. S. A.)
- HARTMAN L. and R. C. A. LAGO 1976. The composition of lipids from hulls and from the surface of rice caryopsis. *1, Sci Food Agric.* 27; 939-942
- Indian Standards Institution, 1965. Indian Standards specification of rice bran ls: 3648.
- KUMAR DAVID J. S., S. MRITHYANJAYA RAO, S. O. THIRUMALA RAO and K. S. MURTHI, 1984. Quality of bran oil as influenced by the condition of storage of rice bran. *Indian Oil Seeds J.* 8: 49-58.
- KUMARESAN, K. and U. S. SREE RAMULU 1978. Factors influencing the quantity and quality of rice bran oil. *Risista II Riso XXVII*: 335-344.
- RAO Y. K. R., K. G. RAMASWAMY L. S., SUBBIAH RAO and A. S. GURUVENKATESH 1960 Rice germ oil Characteristics *Indian Oil Seeds J.* 4: 53-56.
- SHABEEN A.B., A. A. EL. DASH and A. E. EL. SHIRBEENG 1975. Effect of parboiling of rice on the rate of lipid hydrolysis and deterioration of rice bran *Cereal Chem.* 52: 1-8
- SUBRAMANYAM V. and A. O. DHAKSHINAMOORTHY. 1977. Personal communication.

Table 1 Effect of parboiling and polishing on the initial free fatty acid content of oil of different varieties

Variety	Raw						Parboiled							
	Degrees of Polishing						Degrees of Polishing							
	2	4	6	2	4	6	2	4	6	2	4	6		
TKM 5	1.7	1.9	2.1	1.6	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.3	1.8	2.0	2.1
Kannaki	1.7	2.1	2.2	1.6	2.0	2.0	1.6	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.5	1.4	2.3	2.3
Shavani	1.7	1.9	2.0	1.6	1.8	1.9	1.6	1.8	1.9	2.3	2.4	1.3	1.8	2.2
Co 40	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.6	1.7	2.0	1.8	1.9	1.6	1.8
Co 38	1.8	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.9	2.1	1.4	1.6	2.0
Penal	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.4	1.6	1.8	1.4	1.6	1.8	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.6	1.8
Co 36	1.4	1.6	1.8	1.3	1.5	1.8	1.3	1.5	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.8
IR 26	1.5	1.9	2.1	1.3	1.8	2.0	1.3	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.1	1.7	1.9	2.0
ADT 31	1.6	1.7	1.9	1.4	1.7	1.9	1.4	1.7	1.9	1.7	2.1	2.1	1.6	1.9
IR 28	1.3	1.9	2.2	1.4	1.8	2.0	1.4	1.8	1.9	1.7	2.2	1.5	1.8	1.9
Co 35	1.3	1.6	1.8	1.1	1.5	1.7	1.1	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.7
Co 37	1.2	1.8	1.9	1.2	1.8	1.8	1.2	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.3	1.7	1.9
EB 24	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.6	1.8	1.8
Co 41	2.1	1.9	2.1	1.5	1.8	1.9	1.5	1.8	1.7	1.7	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.7
Co 39	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.3	1.5	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.9

Table 3. Effect of parboiling and polishing on the iodine value of oil from different varieties of rice

Variety	Raw						Parboiled							
	Degrees of Polishing						Degrees of Polishing							
	2	4	6	2	4	6	2	4	6	2	4	6		
TKM 0	93	24	96	94	93	92	92	92	91	92	89	87	93	86
Kannaki	88	87	85	90	90	89	89	94	92	94	90	89	95	88
Bhavani	87	90	87	94	93	93	TNAU 13253/7/2	85	87	84	84	84	88	84
Co 40	85	67	85	84	83	83	17005	90	91	89	87	87	93	87
Co 38	94	96	93	94	96	94	15869/2	95	96	94	96	96	97	95
Ponni	97	98	96	92	97	90	20892	90	91	89	88	88	92	89
Co 36	80	92	90	90	91	89	18610	83	84	82	86	86	86	85
IR 28	97	99	96	85	100	85	4372	86	86	85	83	83	87	82
ADT 31	95	96	95	97	97	98	15796/4/1	84	85	84	82	82	86	82
IR 20	95	97	94	92	97	91	13613	84	86	83	86	86	86	85
Co 35	95	95	94	98	96	97	18520	85	86	86	86	85	87	85
Co 37	98	98	97	93	99	92	1750	91	92	90	91	91	91	90
GL 24	100	101	98	97	100	96	48027	90	92	90	91	91	93	90
Co 41	99	100	98	96	98	96	15776/3	83	83	82	79	84	84	80
Co 39	81	83	80	81	84	80	17069	89	90	89	88	88	90	86