

## Effect of Method of Planting, Plant Density and Phosphorus Fertilization on Yield of Blackgram in Irrigated System\*

A. SUBRAMANIAM<sup>1</sup> and SP. PALANIAPPAN<sup>2</sup>

Field experiments were conducted to study the effect of method of planting, plant density and phosphorus fertilization on blackgram variety (Co. 2) with a view to increase its yield under irrigated conditions. While methods of planting did not influence the grain yield, higher plant density (5,00,000 plants/ha) gave 22 and 40% more grain yield than lower density in the first and second year respectively. Foliar application of 6.25 and 12.5 kg phosphorus/ha gave about the same yield. It would be inferred that application of 6.25 kg/ha is quite sufficient in a soil of medium phosphorus status to get higher yields in blackgram.

Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L) Hepper) is a very important pulse crop as it forms an essential component of vegetarian diet in India. But its production is far below the requirement due to its low per hectare yield mainly because it is grown in marginal and submarginal lands with poor management practices. A study was undertaken to study the effect of method of planting, plant density and phosphorus fertilization on blackgram with a view to increase its yield under irrigated conditions.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted for two years in a moderately drained clay loam soil of Tamil Nadu Agricultural University farm having low, medium and high available nutrient status for N (228.0 kg/ha)  $P_2O_5$  (20.0 kg/ha) and  $K_2O$  (482.0 kg/ha) respectively.

Short duration blackgram variety Co. 2 with a duration 65 to 70 days released from Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore was chosen for the study. Methods of planting (Bed method and Ridge method) and plant density (5,00,000 plants/ha and 3,33,333 plants/ha) formed the mainplot Treatments while the rates and method of application (No  $P_2O_5$ , 25kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha as basal soil application, 50 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha as basal soil application, 6.25kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha and 12.5kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha as foliar application) were tested in sub-plot treatments. Nitrogen at 20kg/ha (half as basal and the other half 30 days after sowing) was applied uniformly for all the plots but no potassium was applied. There were 20 treatment combinations laid out in split-plot design replicated four times. Dipping of rhizobial culture treated seeds in bed and on either sides of the ridges was done as per schedule of treatments with reference to plant density. Irriga-

\* Part of the ph. D thesis submitted by the first author to the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore in 1978.

1, 2 Associath Professors, Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.



osphorus  
em\*

nting, plant  
increase its  
ce the grain  
n yield than  
of 6.25 and  
plication of  
er yields in

n variety Co. 2  
70 days relea-

Agricultural  
was chosen for  
planting (Bed  
rod) and plant  
a and 3.33,333  
ainplot Treat-  
and method of  
kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha as  
kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha as  
25kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha  
liar application  
eatments. Nit-  
basal and the  
r sowing) was  
he plots but no  
There were 20  
aid out in split-  
r items. Dibb-  
eated seeds in  
s of the ridges  
of treatments  
density. Irriga-

ultural University,  
ity, Coimbatore.

tion was given as and when necessary  
Foliar application of phosphorus was  
given as per schedule of treatments in  
two splits, one at blooming (35 to 40  
days) and the other at early pod forma-  
tion stages (45 to 50 days). The con-  
centration of superphosphate (to supply  
P) in spray solution was 6.5 per cent.  
Spray solution was prepared two days  
ahead of spraying and kept undisturbed  
Supernatant solution was decanted and  
filtered through glasswool to get a clear  
solution. Then it was neutralised to a  
PH of 6.0 by adding calcium hydroxide.  
Spraying was done in the evening avoi-  
ding dripping. All the routine practices  
were followed and plotwise, grain and  
stover yields were recorded.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The grain and stover yields are pre-  
sented in Table I

### a. Grain yield

The method of planting did not  
cause any significant effect on yields  
Under this condition moisture was not  
limiting and so planting in ridges or  
beds did not make such difference in  
yield In the two years of experimentation  
no heavy rainfall was received during  
the cropping period causing water log-  
ging and so there was no difference be-  
tween the ridge and bed methods of  
planting.

Plant densities had appreciable in-  
fluence on grain yield. higher plant  
population registering 895kg and 710kg  
/ha in the first year and second year re-  
spectively compared to 733 and 507 kg/  
ha obtained with lower density. The  
analysis of the grain yield pooled over  
two years also indicated the superiority  
of the higher plant density with 29.3%

increased yield over the lowe densi  
Pod number per plant was slight  
higher (1.1 and 0.5 in the first and  
second year respectively) in higher plant  
density. This along with higher number  
of pods per unit area due to increase  
population contributed to higher yield  
at higher density. Several workers have  
reported increased yields due to increase  
population in pulses (Rajagopalan, 1972  
in blackgram; Yadahalli and Jayaram,  
1976 and Arora *et al.*, 1971 in green-  
gram).

Application of phosphorus incre-  
ased the yields significantly. All the  
phosphorus levels tested were found to  
be on par indicating that foliar applica-  
tion of 6.25 or 12.5 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha was as  
good as soil application of 25 or 50kg  
 $P_2O_5$ /ha. Among the phosphorus levels  
foliar application of 12.5kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha  
recorded 18.8 and 20.5% higher yield  
than control in the first and second year  
respectively and foliar supply of 6.25 kg  
 $P_2O_5$ /ha gave 12.0 and 16.3% more yield  
in those two years than control. The  
pooled analysis of grain yield also  
showed a similar trend. Foliar applica-  
tion of 6.25 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha was statistically  
on par with foliar application of  
12.5 kg/ha recording 719 and  
775 kg of grain/ha respectively as com-  
pared to 631 kg/ha recorded in control  
plots. This indicated the efficient utili-  
sation of foliar supplied phosphorus  
especially at the most needed time,  
blooming and pod formation stages. In-  
creased number of pods per plant (13.4-  
14.6, 11.5-12.2 in the first year and  
second year and hundred grain weight  
(3.9 g) due to phosphorus application  
were the main contributing factors to  
higher yield. Response to foliar appli-



tion of phosphorus has been reported for other crops such as gram by Sharma *et al.*, (1975).

A quadratic response function was fitted to yield data and this again clearly indicated the superiority of foliar application of phosphorus. The response equation for soil application was  $Y = 668.75 + 4.25 \times 0.0651 \times^2$  and for foliar application was  $Y = 668.75 + 9.100 \times -0.1792 \times^2$ . For soil application, the optimum dose was found to be 32.62 kg of  $P_2O_5$ /ha recording an estimated grain yield of 738.03 kg/ha. For foliar application, the optimum dose was 25.39 kg/ha which would give an yield of 784.23 kg/ha.

#### b. Stover Yield:

The effect of method of planting on stover yield was not significant. This treatment did not influence either the dry matter production or the grain yield. Hence no difference could be obtained in stover yield, also.

Plant densities influenced the stover yield, the higher plant density giving as much as 1443 and 987 kg/ha in the first and second year respectively, The effect of plant density on stover yield was similar to that of grain yield and higher

stover yield was due to higher leaf area index (2.52 and 1.95) and greater dry matter production.

Phosphorus application did not influence the stover yield appreciably. Doraisamy Reddy (1975) found no difference in stover yield due to application of phosphorus in greengram.

#### REFERENCE

- ARORA, N. D., B. S. Malik and G. P. LODHI 1971. Studies on the effect of row spacing and seed rate on the yield of cowpea (*Vigna sinensis* L.) Haryana Agric. Univ. J. Res. 1. 28-31.
- DORAISAMY REDDY 1975. Studies on the nutrient uptake pattern of greengram (*Phaseolus aureus* Roxb) (Var. Co. 2) as influenced by the quantity, method and time of application of phosphorus M. Sc. (Ag.) Dissertation, Tamil Nadu Agril. University, Coimbatore.
- RAJAGOPALAN, C. K. 1972. Effect of spacing on the yield of blackgram, Madras Agric. J. 59. 655
- SHARMA, PP., P. SINGH and P. P. SINGH 1975. Response of nitrogen and Phosphorus in relation to method of application on the yield of gram JNKVV. Res. J. 9: 21-23.
- YADAHALLI, Y. H. and G. JAYARAM, 1976. Jawahar 45 variety greengram at 30 cm spacing yield better than other varieties curr. Res. 5 186-187.



## YIELD OF BLACKGRAM IN IRRIGATED SYSTEM

TABLE: Grain and stover yields of blackgram (kg/ha)

|                                                                | Grain yield kg/ha |      | Stover yield kg/ha    |             |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------|-----------------------|-------------|
|                                                                | 1975              | 1976 | Pooled over two years | 1975 1976   |
| Methods of planting                                            |                   |      |                       |             |
| Bed Method                                                     | 772               | 613  | 692                   | 1158 882    |
| Ridge Method                                                   | 857               | 605  | 731                   | 1333 886    |
| SEB                                                            | 48.1              | 33.0 | 23.7                  | 95.3 65.2   |
| CD (P=0.05)                                                    | N.S               | N.S  | N.S                   | N.S N.S     |
| Density                                                        |                   |      |                       |             |
| 5,00,000 Plants/ha (20×10cm)                                   | 895               | 710  | 892                   | 1443 987    |
| 3,33,333 Plants/ha (10×15cm)                                   | 733               | 507  | 620                   | 1048 781    |
| SCD                                                            | 48.1              | 33.0 | 23.7                  | 95.3 65.2   |
| CD (P=0.05)                                                    | 108.8             | 74.6 | 53.5                  | 215.7 147.5 |
| P. Fertilization                                               |                   |      |                       |             |
| Control (No P)                                                 | 723               | 539  | 631                   | 1135 899    |
| 26 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha Basal soil application | 838               | 630  | 734                   | 1341 809    |
| 50 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha " "                    | 839               | 598  | 719                   | 1290 931    |
| 6.25 " foliar application                                      | 810               | 627  | 719                   | 1208 866    |
| 12.5 " " "                                                     | 859               | 650  | 755                   | 1255 914    |
| SED                                                            | 41.0              | 34.4 | 25.9                  | 103.4 61.2  |
| CD (P=0.05)                                                    | 81.6              | 69.5 | 52.2                  | N.S N.S     |