tio

o 70

t al

i tte

ndi

ati

qu

1=

11

9.1

ca

fo

re

7:

0

# Effect of Pre-monsoon Sowing, Depth of Seed Placement and Seed Hardening on Total Drymatter Production, Growth and Yield Components of Rainfed Sorghum\*

C. PERIYATHAMBI and S. P. PALANIAPPANS

A field experiment was laid out at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University during the south-west and north-east monsoon seasons of 1979-80 Premonsoon sowing, depth of seed placement at 5.0 cm and 10% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> seed treatment recorded maximum DMP and also favourably influence the maximum production.

The future of agricultural development lies in the arid and semi-arid lands. There is a well recognised and urgent need for developing viable dryland technology for increasing agricultural production in areas of low rainfall. Sowing the crop in anticipation of rainfall resulted in better utilization of precipitation (Anon., 1971). Also placing the seed little deeper (Rangasami Ayyangar, 1931) and hardening of seeds (Chinoy, 1947; Karivaratharaju et al., 1973) would facilitate better crop stand and performance.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was under taken at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, in the south-west (SW) (June-September) and north-east (NE) (October-January) monsoon seasons of 1979-80. under rainfed conditions in split plot design with three replications. Time of sowing and depth of seed place-

ment were alloted to the main plots and seed hardening to sub plots. The treatments were (a) time of sowing: (i) sowing 20 days before the normal onset of the monsoon  $(T_1)$  (ii) sowing 10 days before the normal onset of monsoon  $(T_2)$  and (iii) sowing with the onset of monsoon  $(T_3)$  (b) depth of seed placement: (i) 2.5 cm  $(D_1)$  (ii) 5.0 cm  $(D_2)$  (iii) 7.5 cm  $(D_3)$  and (c) Seed hardening: (i) soaking seed in 10 per cent  $KH_2PO_4$   $(S_1)$  (ii) water soaking  $(S_2)$  (iii) no seed treatment  $(S_9)$ .

By analysing the meteorological records of the University campus the normal onset of monsoons was assessed to be in the second week of July for SW monsoon and third week of October for NE monsoon season. Accordingly the time of sowing was fixed. The varieties chosen were Co. 21 for SW monsoon season and Co. 22 for NE moonsoon season.

February 198

RESULTS

In the erratic wit second we soon seaso above non this seaso

The trifluence of was a primonsoon sown in 2 produced sown on crop was its critical season color ing the fill and 10 diresulted in than the

Dep consider monsoor drought and earl at 5.0 than sov at 2.5 c Sowing the plan its root thereby om lioe sami A monso cant in similar increas treatme

water s

<sup>\*</sup> Forms a part of M.Sc. (Ag) thesis of the first author submitted to the Tamii Nadu Agricultura University, Coimbatore-641 003 in 1980.

Dept. of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Colmbatore-641 003.

## nent and Seed and Yield

ersity during the owing, depth of num DMP and

ne main plots and plots. The treatof sowing: (i) the normal onset ) sowing 10 days set of monsoon with the onset of nof seed place(ii) 5.0 cm (D<sub>2</sub>) (c) Seed hardein 10 per cent er soaking (S<sub>2</sub>) [S<sub>8</sub>).

meteorological ty campus the as was assessed veek of July for veek of October a. Accordingly xed. The variefor SW monfor NE moon-

Nadu Agricultura

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the SW monsoon the rainfall was erratic with a prolonged drought from second week of August. In the NE monsoon season the rainfall was 147 per cent above normal. There was no drought in this season.

The treatments had a significant influence on the DMP (Table 1) there was a prolonged drought in the SW monsoon season and hence the crop sown in 20 and 10 days in anticipation produced greater DM than the crop sown on normal date. The early sown crop was able to eacape the drought at its critical stage. In the NE monsoon season continuous rain resulted in flooding the field and the crops sown in 20 and 10 days in anticipation of monsoon resulted in higher dry matter production than the crop sown on normal date.

Depth of seed placement produced considerable variation in DMP in the SW monsoon season because of the drought that occurred in late August and early September, 1979. Crop sown at 5.0 cm depth produced more DM than sown at 7.5 cm depth. Crop sown at 2.5 cm depth was the lowest in order Sowing at 5.0 cm depth probably helped the plant to put forth major portion of its root system in deep layers of soil thereby making it possible to utilize the soil moisture more throughly (Rangasami Ayyangar, 1931). But in the NE monsoon season there was no significant influence and all depths behaved similarly. Seed treatment with KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> increased the DMP over other two seed treatments in both the seasons. But water soaking was beneficial only in the

SW monsoon season. Hardening the seed with KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> probably improved the vigour and increased the drought tolerance of the crop.

In both the seasons advance sowing significantly improved the growth components like plant height (Table 1) and LAI (Table 1) and also yield components like earhead length (Table 2) five hundred grain weight (Table 2). earhead weight, earhead width and number of panicles per plot. In the SW monsoon season in advance sowing the crop escaped drought at its critical phase while the crop sown on normal date was pre-flowering stage and was seriously affected by drought. In the NE monsoon season the advance sowing due to its early establishment less affected by water stagnation.

The effect of depth of seed placement was significant only in SW monsoon season. Crops sown at 50cm depth was significantly improved the growth and yield components. This was probably because deeper sown plants had the initial advantage of utilizing the moisture from the deeper layers of the soil and was less subject to fast depleting surface moisture. But there was no significant difference in the NE monsoon season due to depth of sowing.

Hardening with KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> resulted in significantly improved growth and yield attributed in both the seasons. But the effect was more in SW monsoon. season. Hardening with water was significantly superior to no seed treatment in SW monsoon season but this difference

Februa .

Treat

TI

Ta

Ta

S.E

C.I

Di

D.

D

was not seen in the NE monsoon season. This indicates that water soaking might provide some protection against moisture stress and effect of water soaking could be seen only if there is a pronounced moisture stress as in the case of SW monsoon season. The effect of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> soaking in the NE monsoon where there was no noticeable water stress might be due to the supply of a starter doze of P and K resulting in early vigour and better growth of crop (Rhind 1949).

tio

o ve

t al

fi tte

ndi

ati

:qL

1=

1

9.1

ca

fo

re

7:

0

Sowing 10-20 days in advance produced higher grain yield in both the seasons and sowing at 5.0 cm depth produced higher grain yield in SW monsoon season. But there was no difference in grain yield in NE monsoon season due to depth of sowing. Seed hardening with 10 per cent KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> resulted in higher grain production than water soaking and the seed treatment in both seasons. But the effect was more in SW monsoon season.

Summarising, it can be stated that sowing 10 to 20 days in anticipation of

monsoon rains, placing the seed at 5.0 cm depth and treating the seed with 10 per cent KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> would facilitate better crop stand and performance both in years of sub-normal and excess rains.

#### REFERENCES

- ANONYMOUS. 1971. Annual Report of the All India Co-ordinated Research Project for Dryland Agriculture, Kovilpatti centre (1970-1971).
- CHINOY, J. J. 1947. How drought affects Indian Wheats? Indian Fmg. 8: 72-74.
- KARIVARATHARAJU, T. V, R. VAITHIALINGAM and J. SAKHARAM RAO. 1973. Effect of water stress and hardening of seeds on certain Physiological aspects in *Pennisetum typhoids* Stapf & + Ubb. Madras agric. J. 66: 1266-1272.
- RANGASAMI AYYANGAR, G. N. (1931. Sorghum studies in sowing depths. Madras agric. J 19: 205-209.
- RHIND, D. 1949. Seed placement of phosphates in paddy. 1. Preliminary experiments on the application of phosphate solutions to seed Tropical agric. 5: 98-100'

[Vol. 68. No. 2.

ing the seed at ing the seed with would facilitate performance both and excess rains.

#### CES

al Report of the All arch Project for Drylpatti centre (1970-

drought affects Indian 72-74.

R. VAITHIALINGAM AO. 1973. Effect of tening of seeds on pects in *Pennisetum* b. Madras agric. J.

G. N. (1931, Sorghum hs. Madras agric. J

cement of phosphates y experiments on the te solutions to seed 100'

February 1981]

### RAINFED SORGHUM

TABLE 1 DMP (kg/ha) -- Plant height (cm) and LAI

Albir pipis	DMP	Plant height	LAI
Treatments	SW NE	SW NE	SW NE
200 E 331	10005 9415	140.0 119.9	6.83 4.45
T <sub>1</sub>	8700 7949	124.0 114.9	5.74 4.00
Ta	8238 6868	105.0 109.2	4.57 3.72
T <sub>8</sub>	198 132	4.4 4.8	0.21 0.21
S.E D	420 280	9.4 10.2	0.46
C.D. (P=0.05)	8532 8295	114.5	5.82 4.14
D <sub>1</sub>	9354 7966	128.8 114.1	5.82 3.99
D <sub>2</sub>	9007 7971	125.8 113.1	5.53 4.03
D <sub>8</sub>	198 0 132	4.4 4.8	0.21 0.21
S.E <sub>D</sub>	420 280	9.4 N.S.	N.S. N.S.
C.D. (P=0.05)	9178 8210	127.2 116.7	5.89 4.20
S <sub>1</sub>	8970 8001	123.1 113.9	5.70 4.00
S <sub>2</sub>	8795 8020	118.8 113.5	5.57 3.97
98	as at place our a sin	1.2 1.3	0.01 0.07
S.E <sub>D</sub>		2.7	0.03 0.14
C.D. (P=0.05)	198 0 97	2.5 2.7	

Interactions not significant

50 ty

and

show

weig grain

yie'd

magi

A s

Indian t

the phen

mental (

its comp ferent co the dire various MATER

A sindigent present random at the e Agricult Each g

rows v interval Five p

Madras agric

TABLE 2 Earhead length (cm), 500 grain weight (g) and Grain yield (kg/ha)

tio or o t al

fi tte

ndi

ati

:qt

1=

9.1 ca

fo

re

7:

0

	Earhead length	500 grain Wt.	Grain yield
Treatments	SW NE	SW NE	SW NE
T 88 9 EB 9	18.88 25.95	11,79 11 84	1685 1633
T <sub>1</sub>	15.74 25.08	11.51 11.66	1440 1537
T <sub>s</sub>	12.80 21 44	11.10 10.81	1154 1149
S,E D	1,15 0.52	0.19 0.09	69 76
C.D. (P=0.05)	2.44 1.74	0 39 0.21	147 160
D <sub>1</sub>	14.78 24.48	11.22 11.47	1282 1454
D <sub>2</sub>	16.51 24 08	11.62 11.41	1574 1430
D <sub>8</sub>	16.15 23.91	11.56 11.42	1524 1434
S,E	1.15 0.52	0.19 0 09	69 76
C.D. (P=0.06)	N.S. N.S.	0 39 N.S.	147 N.S
S <sub>1</sub>	16.39 24.61	11.62 11.54	1502 1456
S <sub>2</sub>	15.79 24.03	11.44 11.40	1402 1433
S <sub>3</sub>	15.24 23.83	11.36	1375 1431
S.E D	0.27 0 277	0 04 0.04	24 11
C.D. (P=0 05)	0.55	0 09 0 09	49 2.2

Interactions not significant