Madias agric. J. 67 (5): 304-307 May 1980

Control of Common purslane (Trianthema portulacastrum L.) and Purple Nutsedge (Cyperus rotundus L.) in Sesamum field.*

A. SUBRAMANIAN1, S. SANKARAN2 and R. KULANDAIVELUB

Soil applied pre-emergence herbicides were tested for the control of common pursalane (Trianthema portulacastrum L.) and purple nutsedge (Cyperus rotundus L.) in direct line shown sesamum (Sesamum indicum L.) in two seasons. Alachlor at 1.75kg/ha controlled these woods effectively. The dry weight of weads and nutrient removal by them were reduced by 70 to 80% by alchlor.

Common pursiane (Trianthema portulacastrum L.) a herbaceous annual and purple nutsedge (Cyperus rotundus L.), a perennial bulbous sedge, are distributed throughout the cultivated lands in tropical countries (Krishnarajan and Sankaran, 1974; Holm and Herberger, 1970). Nutsedge reduced the bulb and root dry weight of onion by 37% (Frick and Quimby, 1977). Nutsedge, generally competes for light in slow growing crops and for nutrients in all crops (William and Warren, 1975). Weeds, mainly common purstane, removed 7-10 times more nutrients than the crop within 30 days of sowing of main crop (Rajan and Sankaran, 1974). In order to measure the nutrient losses due to these weeds and to find out the effect of pre-emergence herbicides on the control of common purslane and nutsedge in sesamum field, this investigation was taken up.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment conducted in a sandy loam soil, at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, with sesamum as the test crop in summer

and monsoon seasons of 1975. Two herbicides (alachlor and fluometuron) were applied at three rates, with a weeded and unweeded control plot to make a total of 8 treatments (Table) in summer and two herbicides (alchlor and dichlormate) at two rates, making a total of 6 treatments during monsoon season. The treatments were replicathrice. The herbicides were applied as pre-emergence spray on the 3rd day after sowing. Since sesamum covers the soil surface on 30th day completely, the weed count was taken on 25th day. Dry matter production and nutrient uptake by the weeds were assessed. Individual weed count was recorded and was found con..... purslane occupying 91 per cent and nutsedge 5 per cent of total weed population. These two weeds were pooled and analysed for dry matter. production and total nutrient uptake,

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Unweeded check plots recorded the highest pursiane and nutsedge population because of the undisturped environment during their growth period in

Part of M. Sc. (Ag.) thesis submitted by the first author to Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

¹⁻³ Dept. of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

summer. So the highest dry matter production of weeds (1642 kg/ha) and depletion of nutrients (40.2 kg N, 6.2 kg P.O. and 15.3 kg K.O/ha) were observed in these plots. Among the herbicides tried, alachlor at 1.75 kg/ha controlled these weeds to an extent of 53% and as a result, dry matter production and the nutrients removal by these weeds were also greatly reduced. Even though the control in population was only 53%, growth of weeds was much reduced. Sankaran et al. (1974). observed similar efficiency of alachlor In reducing weed dry matter production. The efficiency of alachlor in the reduction of nutrient removal by weeds has also been reported by Kulandaivelu et al. (1974). Fluomet uron, (0.75 kc/ha) was not as efficient in controlling weeds. Control of weeds was only 30% Removal of nutrients in this treatment was 27 3 kg. N. 2.3 kg P.O. and 3.7 kg K.O/ha.

As in summer in the unweeded check plots, there was good establishment and growth of weeds. This resulted in high dry matter production (1054 kg/ha) and nutrient removal (44.1 kg N, 1.1 kg P₂O₄ and 13.2 kg K_eO/ha) by weeds. Poor establishment and restricted growth of purslane were noticed in alachlor treated plots. Alachlor helped in saving the nutrient loss to the extent of 77% N, 60% P, and 60% K/ha. The dose of 1.75 kg/ha was efficient for controlling the weeds without any phytotoxicity to the crop. But dichlormate was not efficient in controlling the weeds even under high

dose of application. The poor efficiency of this herbicide leads to the heavy loss of nutrients removed by weeds. Rathinam et al. (1974) observed a similar poor efficiency of dichlormate in controlling purslane.

The Senior Author is grateful to Tamil Nadu Agricultural University for granting permission to publish his M.Sc. (Ag.) thesis.

REFERENCES

- FRICK, K.F. and P.C. QUIMBY, 1977. Biocontrol of purple nutsedge by Bactra Zeller in a green house. Weed Sci. 25:13-17.
- HOLM, L. and J. HERBERGER, 1970. Weeds of tropical crops. Proc. Brit. Weed Contr. Conf. 10: 1132-49.
- KRISHNARAJAN J. and S. SANKARAN, 1974. Studies on the preference of herbicides in sunflower. *Madras agric*. J 61: 827-29.
- KULANDAIVELU, R., S. THANGAVELU, and S. SANKARAN 1974. Effect of herbicides on nutrient removal by weeds in safflower (Carthamus tinoturius) and its impact on seed production Pesticides 8: 38-39.
- RAJAN, A. V. and S. SANKARAN, 1974. Studies on crop-weed competition for nutrient and its effect on grain yield of maize. Madres agric. J 61: 413-16.
- RETHINAM, A., S. SANKARAN P. RETHINAM, A. V. RAJAN, and Y. B. MORACHAN, 1974.

Chemical weed control in greengram. Madras agric, J 61: 791-92.

SANKARAN, S., N. ARUNACHALAM, P. RETHI-NAM, and C. VENKATACHALAM, 1974. A study on the comparative efficiency of herbicides for weed control in cotton. Medrai egric. J. 61: 551-54.

WILLIAM, R. D. and C. F. WARREN 1975. Competition between pu ple nutsedge and vegetables. Weed Sci. 23: 317-23.

TABLE. Effect of herbicides on weed on 25th day in sesam field.

		Porc	Porcent of weed control	od contr		Dry matter of	tter of		Nutrion	Nutrient removal by weeds (kg/ha)	y weeds	(kg/ha)	
Herbicida D	Dosa (kg/ha)	Trianthe portula castrum	Trianthema portula. castrum	Cyp	Cyperus rotundus		(Put (Ru)	. :	Summer			Monsoon	- <i>42</i>
*.		Sum-	Mon- scon	Sum- mer	Mon- soon	Sum- mar	Mon- soon	z	PaOg	K ₂ O	z	PaOs	К ₂ О
Fluometuros	0.25	13	(t)	40	ι	1592 (5.0)	f	27.3	2.3	13.7	4	1	1
Fluomoturon	0.50	30	ı	42	į	1273 (22)	ī	20.3	6:1	13.1	t	Ť,	ŧ;
Fluometuron	0,75	35	ŢĮ.	35	1	1178 (28)	ij.	20.2	6.0	12.8	ì	î _l	1
Alachlar	1,25	34	, T	8	÷1.	(47)	· ··	19.6		6.8	ì	ř.	ř
Alachlor	1,50	42	37	63	46	820	431	18.1	5.3	8.7	19.3	0.5	3,9
Alechlor	1.75	29	20	81	45	429	316	7.9	0.7	0.0	10.5	0.5	5.4
Dichlormate	1.25	ŧ	13	Ç	48	I	658				40,6	6.0	10.3
Dichlormate	1,50	ť	19 ·	1	03	.1	800		a 41	٠	34.0	9.0	7.7
Hand weeding (twice)		09	32	40	63	288	101	6.9	0.4	3,4	0.0	0.1	1.2
Unweeded (check)		0	0	0	0	1642 (100)	1054	40.2	6.2	15.3	44.1	2	13.2
S.E,						95	19	2.0	0.23	0.54	3,35	0 20	0.72
C.D. (P== 0.05)						260	174	5.7	0.7	1.8	9.6	9.0	2.1

Figures in parenthesis represent percentage of control.