Madras agric. J. 67 (10): 638-642, Oct., 1980

Combining Ability Analysis in Six Pearl Millet Inbreds*

R. SUBRAMANIAM1 and M. RATHINAM2

Six inbred lines of pearl millet crossed in all possible combinations revealed higher gca variance than sca variance for the grain yield and its components studied. Estimates of gca effects indicated that the parents MS. 7625 and MS. 7373 were the best general combining ability estimates showed that the parents MS. 7625, MS. 7373 and J. 104 were in general superior specific combiners.

In the manifestation of hybrid vigour the combining ability of the parents plays a vital role. An evaluation of inbred lines for their genetic potentiality in this regard is necessary for isolating suitable parents for hybrid combination. Further, information on combining ability and its effects enables the breeder to classify the selected parental materials for their utility in the breeding programme. In pearl millet the grain yield and its component triats have been found to exert different gca and sca effects in manifesting heterosis (Phul et al., 1973 and Tewari 1973).

METERIAL AND METHODS

The details of the six Inbreds studied for combining ability in the diallel cross analysis are presented in Table I. The 30 hybrid combinations (direct and reciprocals) were studied along with their parents in a randomised block design replicated four

times. A spacing of 45 x 15 cm was adopted. Data on plant height, number of productive tillers, peduncle length, panicle length, 1000 grain weight, primary panicle grain weight and grain yield per plant were recorded in five randomly chosen plants in each replication. General and specific combining abilities were estimated from the diallel cross by adopting Method I of Model (2) of Giriffing (1956), which includes the parents and both the direct and the reciprocal combinations. For calculation of the gca and sca, the original mean values of the arrays were used. Standard errors were estimated by utilising their respective variances and tested for significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The estimates of the variances for general and specific combining ability were made for the seven traits (Table II). The variance due to general

^{*} Part of M.Sc. (Ag.) thesis submitted by the first author to the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

^{1.} School of Genetics and 2. Forestry Department, T. N. A. U., Coimbatore 641003.

TABLE | Details of the distinguishing features or the parents

1	tu _ **	Characters							
Parents	Plant height (cm)	No of tillers	Panicle shape and length (cm)	Grain yield per plant (g)	Days to 50% bloom	Downy mildew disease resistance			
MS. 7625	Medium tell (165)	Low (3)	Long, spindle (24)	40	45	Highly resistance			
MS. 7373	Medium tall (150)	Low (3-4)	Medium, slightly spindle, (20)	40	45	Tolerant			
PT. 1682	Medium (120)	Low (3)	Short, cylindrical (16)	12	35	Tolerent			
PT, 866/2	Medium tall (160)	Medium (4-5)	Medium cylindrical (23)	30	40	Susceptible			
J. 934	Short (65)	Medium (5)	Short, cylindrical (16)	10	35-40 .	Resistent			
J. 104	Medium (100)	High (5-8)	Very short, conical (13-15)	13	32	Susceptible			

combining ability and specific combining ability were highly significant for the traits, plant hight, panicle length and grain weight of primary panicle. The estimates of general combining ability and specific combining ability effects for the seven traits under study are presented in Tables III and IV, respectively.

The parents 1, 2 and 4 exhibited high and significant gca effects for plant height. The cross combinations with high, positive and significant sca effect were in the order 5×6 , 3×5 , 1×5 and 3×6 . Both gca and sca were indicated to be important. Badwal (1970) and Phul et al. (1973) have expressed a similar trend in pearl millet.

However, Gill et al. (1969) have found that gca was more significant than sca. The combinations involving the parents 5 and 6 were, in general, better in tillering habit for which highly significant estimates of gca were obtained in the parents. The cross 1 X 5 recorded the highest sca effect followed by 3 X 5 and 2 X 6. Both gca and sca were reported to be important for this trait (Phul et al. 1973 and Tewari 1973). The parents 5 (J. 934) and 6 (J. 104) may be utilized in the hybridization programme to obtain useful recombinations. The parents 3 (PT. 1682), 2 (MS. 7373) and 1 (MS. 7625) have exhibited high gca effect for peduncle length. Maximum positive sco effect

ABLE II Estimates of variance for general and specific combining ability for seven characters from analyses of diallel crosses.

Due to	D. F.	Plant height	No. of tillers	Peduncle length	Panicle length	1000 grain weight	Grain weight from the pri- mary panicle	per plant
General combining ability	+ 5	633.49**	5.76**	15,44*	24.40**	4.40**	533,23**	54.93**
Specific combining ability	15	355.94**	0,89	12.30*	10,30**	1,95*	415;44**	10,16
Reciprocal effects	15	56,49	0.66	3,59	1.20	0.19	36.73	2,40
Error	105	77,66	2,36	6.07	2.57	0.94	65.95	6.27
G.C.A./S.C.A		1.78 : 1	6.45 : 1	1,25 : 1	2.36:1	2.26:1	1.29:1	5,40:1
Var. gi		0,2247	0,0068	0,0175	0,0089	0,0033	0,0181	0,1908
Var. sij		1,1685	0,0354	0.0913	0.0464	0.0172	0.0943	0,9923

^{**} Significant at 1% level

was noted in the cross 3 X 6 while the maximum negative effect was observed in 1×6 . There seems to be a general dominance bias for longer peduncle as seen in 17 combinations. The parents 3 (P. T. 1682), 2 (7273) and 1 (MS. 7625) have exhibited high gca effect and these may find better utility in crop improvement programmes. For panicle length, the parents 1, 2 and 5 have recorded high positive estimates of gca effects. The cross 3 X 4 recorded the maximum sca (2.75) for panicle length followed by 5 X 6 (1.93). The importance of both gca and sca for this trait was indicated

by Phul et al. (1973). The parent 3 (PT, 1682) recorded the maximum and significant gca effects for 1000 grain weight. Four parents recorded significant gca effects. The combination 1 X 3 possessed the maximum positive sca (1.42). Most of the heterotic combination involving the parent 3 have recorded more sco than the parents 2 (MS. 7373) and 5 (J. 934). Both gca and sca were significant but the magnitude of gca was, however, higher than that of sca. Badwal (1970) has recorded that both gca and sca were important while Gill et al (1969) have reported that sca alone was important for this

^{*} Significant at 5% level.

TABLE III Estimates of general combining ability effect from analyses of diallel crosses

Parent	‡ Plant height	No. of fillers	Peduncle length	Panicle length	1000 grain weight	Grain weight from the pri- mary panicle	Grain yield per plant
Pi	6.41**	-1.14**	0.42**	1.86**	-0.35**	3,36**	7.92**
P ₂	6.33**	-0.18*	0.82**	0.67**	0.48**	1.81**	7,66**
P ₃ -	0.26	-0.31*	1.36**	-0.13	0.91**	-1.95**	-8.61**
P4	4.26**	0.31*	0.70**	-0.08	0.11	-0,31*	-0,20
P ₅	-9.26**	0.69**	-0.47**	0.20*	0.65**	-1.80**	-4.89
Pc	-8.54**	0.62**	-1.41**	2,49**	0.48**	-1.11**	-1.87**
S.E.	0.47	0.08	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.14	0.44

‡ P₁:: Ms. 7625; P₂: MS. 7373; P₃: PT. 1682; P₄: PT. 866/2; P₅: J. 934; P₆: J. 104

** Significant at 1% level * Significant at 5% level

TABLE IV Estimates of specific combining ability effect for seven characters from analyses of diallel crosses

Cross ‡	Plant height	No. of tillers	peduncle length	Panicle length	1000 grain weight	Grain weight from the pri- mary panicle	Grain yield per plant
P ₁ × P ₂	2,80*	-0.40≉	1.02**	1,56**	0,66**	2,85**	7.06**
$P_1 \times P_3$	4.44**	-0.17	-1 . 02**	0.81**	1.42**	3.04**	-7.42**
P1 × P4	-1.29	-0.44**	0.09	-0.91**	-1.22**	0.62*	2.37**
p1 × P5	.9.39**	0,92**	0.76*	-0.53*	-0.73**	2,39**	-3,94**
P1 X Pe	0.46**	0.09	-3.15≉∜	-0.33	-0.41**	1.92**	14.14**
P ₂ × P ₃ P ₂ × P ₄	-0.75 -7.35	-0.07 0.21	-0.47 0.11	1.00** 0.05	0.91** 0.67**	1.89** -1.78*	-3.06** -5.12**
Pg X Ps	6.63**	0.26	0.56	0.47	-1,29**	1.66**	6.22**
Pe.X Pe	0.80	0.69**	-0.40	1.41**	-0.47**	0,17	-2,85*
$P_3 \times P_4$	4.01**	0.19	2.75**	2.75	0.01	2.33**	6,30**
$P_3 \times P_5$	14.14**	0.85 **	0.87*	1,62**	0.57**	1.12**	6.14**
P3 × P6	8.56**	0.46	3.16**	0.26	-0.01	1.83**	5,17**
P. × P.	0.77	-0.18	-0.97**	1.02**	· 0.74**	2,18**	4,63**
$P_+ \times P_G$	-5,98**	-0.59**	1,32**	-1.09**	0.15	-0.86*	-1.84**
Po X Po	33.23	-0.24	2.69**	1.93**	1.11	1,28**	5.00**
S. E.	1.08	0.19	0.30	0.22	0.13	0.31	0,996

‡ P1: MS. 7625; P2: MS. 7373; P3: PT. 1682; P4: PT. 866/2; P3: J. 934; P6: J. 104
** Significant at 1% level ** Significant at 5 % level

trait. The parents 1 (MS. 7625) and 2 (MS. 7373) possessed high gca for grain weight from the primary panicle. The combinations 1 X 2. 3 X 4, 5 X 1 1 X 6 have recorded fairly high and significant sca effects for this trait. The parents 1 and 2 showed high gca for both grain yield from the primary panicle and grain yield per plant. The cross 1 X 6 exhibited the maximum sca effect for grain yield per plant (14.14) followed by 1 X 2, 3 X 4 and 2 X 5. A similar result of positive sco effect for grain yield has been recorded by Badwal (1970) in pearl millet. The parents 1 and 2 were seen to be consisently outstanding for five out to the seven characters studied.

REFERENCES

- BADWAI, S. S. 1970. Estimates of combining ability in a diallel cross of five selected inbreds of pearl millet. Indian J. agric. Sci. 40 895—900.
- GILK, B. S., P. S. PHUL and N. D. RAMA.

 1969. Diallel cross analysis for combining ability in a group of lines in pearl millet.

 J. Res. Punjob agric. Univ., Ludhlana 6: 591—96.
- GRIFFING, B. 1956. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. Austral J. Biol. Sci. 9: 463—93.
- PHUL. P. S., G. S. BANDA and S. P. GUPTA. 1973. Combining ability in pearl millet. Indian J. Genet. 33: 334—39.
- TEWARI, S. N. 1973. Diallel analysis of basal and nodal tillering in pearl millet. Indien J. Genet., 33: 64-67.