

Influence of Reaction Time and Ionic Environment on P Adsorption

M. Sundararajan¹ and G.V. Kothandaraman²

The influence of reaction time and ionic environment on P adsorption was studied on eight representative soils of Tamil Nadu. P adsorption rate was rapid initially followed by a slow process. High level laterite soil adsorbed more P than the rest and within 48 hours 80 per cent of the P adsorption was observed. An equilibration period of six days was found to be essential for attaining complete or near complete equilibrium in these soils. The ionic environment was found to influence the P adsorption greatly. Solutions containing Ca ions instead of K and Na ions increased P adsorption and decreased P concentration in the equilibrium solution. Increasing ionic strength of Ca also increased the P adsorption and decreased the P concentrations in the equilibrium solutions and the pH.

Colloids of highly weathered tropical soils, especially those rich in hydrated oxides of iron and aluminium are very adsorptive for phosphate. Consequently P concentrations in solution are closely associated with adsorptive properties of soils. A knowledge of phosphate adsorption characteristics is imperative for understanding the phosphorous availability and to suggest a rational P fertilization.

P adsorption by soil had initial rapid phase followed by a slow process (Rajan and Fox, 1972). Kuo and Lotse (1973) studied the kinetics of P adsorption and found that the rate of P adsorption was rapid initially and decreased with prolonged time. Species and concentration of associated cations had

greater effects on P sorption than did pH by minerals and soils over a pH range of 4 to 7. Higher P sorption was observed with Ca solutions and the increased sorption was greater when the pH and Ca concentrations were higher (Volkweiss *et al.* 1973). An attempt was made to study the influence of action time and ionic effect on P adsorption with four major soil groups of Tamil Nadu.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The influence of reaction time on P adsorption was studied using two surface (0-15 cm) soil samples in each of red, black, alluvial and laterite soils which differ widely in their physico-chemical properties (Table I).

1. Instructor, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
2. Professor and Head of the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai.

Soil samples (1.5 g < 1 mm) were taken in 50 ml centrifuge tubes with 30 ml of 0.01 M CaCl₂ solution containing KH₂PO₄. The initial P concentration in CaCl₂ solution was 25 ppm P for all soils and the reaction time study was done as per the procedure of Rajan and Fox (1972). The study was extended to know the influence of ionic environment on P adsorption. Four different concentrations viz, 0, 0.001 M, 0.01, and 0.1 M in each of KCl, CaCl₂, and NaCl were tried. When the supporting electrolyte was KCl and CaCl₂, P was added as KH₂PO₄ and when the electrolyte was NaCl, P was added as NaHPO₄. Initial P concentrations were 25 ppm P. The soils were equilibrated for 6 days after which pH and P were estimated in the supernatant solution as described by Jackson (1973).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Influence of reaction time on P adsorption: Results of the equilibration time study are presented in Table II. The influence of chemical parameters on adsorption have been presented by the authors in another paper (Sundararajan and Kothandaraman, 1977). In all the soils, the adsorption followed by a slow process. Based on the rates of P adsorption as on the 6th day equilibration the soils followed the order: High level latosol of Ootacamund > Aduthurai alluvium > Peelamedu black soil > Palathurai red soil > Irugur red soil > low level laterite soil of Vallam > Kovilpatti black soil. The P fraction in solution was found to decrease with increase in reaction time (Fox and Kamprath, 1970; Rajan and Fox 1972).

TABLE I. Basic Characters of Soils Studied

| Soil | Soil reaction (pH) | Sesqui-oxides (%) | Iron oxide (%) | Aluminium oxide (%) | Free iron oxide (%) | Mois-ture (%) | Loss on ignition (%) | Organic carbon (%) | Clay (%) |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------|
| RED SOIL | | | | | | | | | |
| Irugur | 7.1 | 11.81 | 2.85 | 8.96 | 1.46 | 1.82 | 4.38 | 0.99 | 19.83 |
| Palathurai | 7.7 | 11.69 | 4.86 | 6.84 | 0.93 | 1.17 | 4.27 | 1.91 | 14.43 |
| BLACK SOIL | | | | | | | | | |
| Peelamedu | 7.7 | 15.92 | 3.75 | 12.16 | — | 4.12 | 12.85 | 1.14 | 41.50 |
| Kovilpatti | 8.1 | 3.25 | 0.85 | 2.40 | 0.19 | 5.56 | 8.56 | 0.20 | 58.05 |
| ALLUVIAL SOIL | | | | | | | | | |
| Noyyal | 6.6 | 9.35 | 2.99 | 6.36 | 1.42 | 1.17 | 4.54 | 2.64 | 15.56 |
| Aduthurai | 7.2 | 19.91 | 5.47 | 13.54 | 0.65 | 4.97 | 7.61 | 0.72 | 51.72 |
| LATERITE SOIL | | | | | | | | | |
| Vallam | 5.0 | 24.58 | 5.94 | 18.64 | 0.53 | 1.67 | 5.52 | 0.35 | 43.51 |
| Ootacamund | 5.4 | 37.21 | 11.26 | 25.95 | 0.80 | 4.18 | 16.30 | 2.70 | 51.81 |

TABLE II. Influence of Reaction Time on P Adsorption

| Soil | 1st day | | 2nd day | | 4th day | | 6th day | | 8th day | | 10th day | |
|------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | $\mu\text{g/ml}$ in soln. | $\mu\text{g/g}$ adsorbed | $\mu\text{g/ml}$ in soln. | $\mu\text{g/g}$ adsorbed | $\mu\text{g/ml}$ in soln. | $\mu\text{g/g}$ adsorbed | $\mu\text{g/ml}$ in soln. | $\mu\text{g/g}$ adsorbed | $\mu\text{g/ml}$ in soln. | $\mu\text{g/g}$ adsorbed | $\mu\text{g/ml}$ in soln. | $\mu\text{g/g}$ adsorbed |
| Irugur | 17.5 | 150 | 10.0 | 300 | 8.0 | 340 | 8.0 | 340 | 7.5 | 350 | 7.3 | 360 |
| Palathurai | 18.0 | 140 | 12.0 | 260 | 7.5 | 350 | 6.5 | 370 | 6.5 | 370 | 6.0 | 380 |
| Peelamedu | 17.5 | 150 | 8.0 | 340 | 8.0 | 340 | 6.0 | 380 | 6.0 | 380 | 5.5 | 390 |
| Kovilpatti | 21.5 | 70 | 16.0 | 180 | 15.5 | 190 | 15.0 | 200 | 14.5 | 210 | 14.5 | 210 |
| Noyyal | 21.5 | 70 | 17.5 | 150 | 17.0 | 160 | 16.5 | 170 | 15.5 | 190 | 15.5 | 190 |
| Aduthurai | 13.5 | 230 | 4.5 | 410 | 4.0 | 420 | 3.5 | 430 | 3.5 | 430 | 3.5 | 430 |
| Vallam | 18.5 | 130 | 12.0 | 260 | 11.0 | 280 | 11.0 | 280 | 10.5 | 290 | 10.5 | 290 |
| Ootacamund | 17.0 | 160 | 9.0 | 320 | 4.0 | 400 | 2.0 | 460 | 2.0 | 460 | 1.0 | 480 |

When the rates of P adsorption were compared among the soils, it was found that it was rapid in high level laterite of Ootacamund compared to the low level laterite. In red soil, the rate of adsorption was higher in Palathurai soil than Irugur soil probably due to the calcareous nature of Palathurai soil. Not much differences was seen between the two alluvial soils. The rate of P adsorption was higher in Peelamedu soil than in Kovilpatti soil. Pre-dominance of oxides of iron and aluminium of high level laterite soils accounted for more adsorption of P among the soils studied.

It could be seen from the data of equilibration time study that 80 per cent of P was adsorbed after 48 hours equilibration (P adsorption in 10 days taken as 100 per cent) in all soils. From the point of view of plant nutrition, however, solution concentration plotted against reaction time is more appropriate since interest here is centered on the

concentration of P in the solution from which plant derives its nutrition.

Ghani and Islam (1946) reported that 45 to 85 per cent of added phosphorus was fixed at the start of the incubation in the soils and the rate of fixation was rapid for 6 hours and decreased with time in Dacca soils. Rajan and Fox (1972) reported that 85 per cent of P adsorption took place within 24 and 48 hours equilibration respectively for latosols and montmorillonitic soils of Hawaii. Rapid fixation of P within 24 hours of incubation of Punjab soils has been reported by Kanwar and Grewal (1960). They also reported that equilibration continued at slower rate for a long period. They attributed this to the slow diffusion of P in these soils.

In the highly fixing high level latosol, P concentration after 6 days was only one third of the original P solution and

half of that after 2 days equilibration. Reasonable stable levels of P in solution were attained after 6 days of equilibration in almost all soils except in black soils of Peelamedu and Kovilpatti, which required 8 days for attaining stable levels of P in solution. Fox and Kamprath (1970) and Rajan and Fox (1972) also reported that 6 days equilibration period was required for Hawaiian soils. The results of the present investigation also showed that an equilibration period of at least 6 days is required for the soils of Tamil Nadu and this is in line with the work of Soundararajan (1971) who reported that red and black soils of Tamil Nadu required 6 and 8 days respectively for equilibration.

ii. Influence of ionic environment on P adsorption: The solutions of CaCl_2 and KCl are commonly used for equilibration of P with soils. Besides these, NaCl solution was also tried in this study. Calcium ion in solution in general increased P adsorption (Table III). The depressing effect of Ca ion on P solubility has been amply explained by Clark and Peech (1960) and Larsen (1965). Clark and Peech (1960) suggested that increased adsorption of P in calcium chloride solution may be due to co-adsorption of P with exchangeable cations. P also forms a precipitate as tricalcium phosphate. To a limited extent, increased ionic strength of CaCl_2 also enhanced P adsorption. In most of the soils increase in ionic strength was associated with a decrease in pH. The study revealed that 0.01 M CaCl_2 as the best electrolyte since Ca is the dominant cation in soils and in P fertilizers. This agrees with the observations of Rajan and Fox (1972).

TABLE III Effect of concentration of salts on pH and P concentration of the equilibrium solution and adsorption of P in soils. (P added 500/ $\mu\text{g/g}$ soil)

| Salt | Added concentration (M/L) | P | | pH |
|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|
| | | In solution ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) | Absorbed ($\mu\text{g/g}$ soil) | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| IRUGUR SOIL | | | | |
| KCl | none | 17.5 | 150 | 7.5 |
| | 0.001 | 17.0 | 160 | 7.6 |
| | 0.010 | 16.0 | 180 | 7.6 |
| | 0.100 | 18.0 | 140 | 7.5 |
| NaCl | none | 18.5 | 130 | 7.5 |
| | 0.001 | 19.0 | 120 | 7.4 |
| | 0.010 | 19.0 | 120 | 7.7 |
| | 0.100 | 19.0 | 120 | 7.6 |
| CaCl_2 | none | 17.0 | 160 | 7.5 |
| | 0.001 | 16.5 | 170 | 7.6 |
| | 0.010 | 12.0 | 260 | 7.1 |
| | 0.100 | 7.5 | 350 | 6.8 |
| PALATHURAI SOIL | | | | |
| KCl | none | 11.5 | 270 | 7.8 |
| | 0.001 | 11.5 | 270 | 7.5 |
| | 0.010 | 10.0 | 300 | 7.6 |
| | 0.100 | 9.5 | 310 | 7.3 |
| NaCl | none | 12.5 | 250 | 7.4 |
| | 0.001 | 11.5 | 270 | 7.6 |
| | 0.010 | 12.0 | 260 | 7.6 |
| | 0.100 | 12.5 | 250 | 7.5 |
| CaCl_2 | none | 12.0 | 260 | 7.6 |
| | 0.001 | 12.0 | 260 | 7.6 |
| | 0.010 | 6.0 | 380 | 7.3 |
| | 0.100 | 2.0 | 460 | 7.0 |
| PEELAMEDU SOIL | | | | |
| KCl | none | 14.0 | 220 | 7.6 |
| | 0.001 | 11.5 | 270 | 7.4 |

Contd.]

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|-------------------|-------|------|-----|-----|-------------------|-------|------|-----|-----|
| | 0.010 | 8.5 | 330 | 7.3 | | | | | |
| | 0.100 | 8.5 | 330 | 7.1 | | | | | |
| NaCl | none | 12.0 | 260 | 7.4 | | | | | |
| | 0.001 | 12.5 | 250 | 7.6 | | | | | |
| | 0.010 | 12.5 | 250 | 7.4 | | | | | |
| | 0.100 | 12.0 | 260 | 7.5 | | | | | |
| CaCl ₂ | none | 12.5 | 250 | 7.4 | | | | | |
| | 0.001 | 11.5 | 270 | 7.3 | | | | | |
| | 0.010 | 6.0 | 380 | 7.0 | | | | | |
| | 0.100 | 3.5 | 430 | 7.0 | | | | | |
| KOVILPATTI SOIL | | | | | ADUTHURAI SOIL | | | | |
| KCl | none | 18.5 | 130 | 7.5 | KCl | none | 10.0 | 300 | 7.5 |
| | 0.001 | 18.0 | 140 | 7.6 | | 0.001 | 8.0 | 340 | 7.6 |
| | 0.010 | 16.5 | 170 | 7.4 | | 0.010 | 6.5 | 370 | 7.4 |
| | 0.100 | 16.5 | 170 | 7.2 | | 0.100 | 6.5 | 370 | 7.2 |
| NaCl | none | 15.5 | 190 | 7.3 | NaCl | none | 11.0 | 280 | 7.3 |
| | 0.001 | 17.5 | 150 | 7.7 | | 0.001 | 11.0 | 280 | 7.7 |
| | 0.010 | 17.0 | 160 | 7.6 | | 0.010 | 8.0 | 340 | 7.6 |
| | 0.100 | 13.0 | 240 | 7.2 | | 0.100 | 8.0 | 340 | 7.2 |
| CaCl ₂ | none | 21.0 | 80 | 7.3 | CaCl ₂ | none | 9.0 | 320 | 7.3 |
| | 0.001 | 18.5 | 130 | 7.5 | | 0.001 | 8.0 | 040 | 7.5 |
| | 0.010 | 13.5 | 230 | 7.4 | | 0.010 | 7.0 | 367 | 7.4 |
| | 0.100 | 10.0 | 300 | 7.0 | | 0.100 | 6.0 | 380 | 7.0 |
| NOYYAL SOIL | | | | | VALLAM SOIL | | | | |
| KCl | none | 21.0 | 80 | 7.2 | KCl | none | 11.5 | 270 | 7.0 |
| | 0.001 | 20.0 | 100 | 7.3 | | 0.001 | 10.5 | 290 | 7.0 |
| | 0.010 | 21.0 | 80 | 7.2 | | 0.010 | 8.5 | 330 | 7.0 |
| | 0.100 | 21.0 | 80 | 7.2 | | 0.100 | 8.5 | 330 | 6.8 |
| NaCl | none | 23.0 | 40 | 7.1 | NaCl | none | 12.5 | 250 | 7.2 |
| | 0.001 | 21.0 | 80 | 7.1 | | 0.001 | 13.5 | 230 | 7.0 |
| | 0.010 | 21.0 | 80 | 7.2 | | 0.010 | 12.0 | 260 | 6.9 |
| | 0.100 | 20.5 | 90 | 7.2 | | 0.100 | 11.5 | 270 | 7.0 |
| CaCl ₂ | none | 20.5 | 90 | 7.1 | CaCl ₂ | none | 3.5 | 430 | 7.0 |
| | 0.001 | 18.0 | 140 | 7.2 | | 0.001 | 8.5 | 330 | 7.0 |
| | 0.010 | 14.0 | 220 | 6.2 | | 0.010 | 5.0 | 400 | 7.0 |
| | 0.100 | 13.5 | 230 | 6.5 | | 0.100 | 4.5 | 410 | 7.0 |
| OOTACAMUND SOIL | | | | | OOTACAMUND SOIL | | | | |
| KCl | none | 21.0 | 80 | 7.2 | KCl | none | 4.5 | 410 | 7.1 |
| | 0.001 | 20.0 | 100 | 7.3 | | 0.001 | 4.0 | 420 | 7.2 |
| | 0.010 | 21.0 | 80 | 7.2 | | 0.010 | 3.0 | 440 | 6.5 |
| | 0.100 | 21.0 | 80 | 7.2 | | 0.100 | 4.5 | 410 | 6.2 |
| NaCl | none | 23.0 | 40 | 7.1 | NaCl | none | 4.2 | 410 | 7.3 |
| | 0.001 | 21.0 | 80 | 7.1 | | 0.001 | 4.5 | 410 | 7.2 |
| | 0.010 | 21.0 | 80 | 7.2 | | 0.010 | 4.0 | 420 | 6.5 |
| | 0.100 | 20.5 | 90 | 7.2 | | 0.100 | 4.0 | 420 | 6.4 |
| CaCl ₂ | none | 20.5 | 90 | 7.1 | CaCl ₂ | none | 4.0 | 420 | 6.9 |
| | 0.001 | 18.0 | 140 | 7.2 | | 0.001 | 4.0 | 420 | 7.0 |
| | 0.010 | 14.0 | 220 | 6.2 | | 0.010 | 2.5 | 450 | 7.3 |
| | 0.100 | 13.5 | 230 | 6.5 | | 0.100 | 2.5 | 450 | 6.3 |

Contd.]

The Senior author is grateful to the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University for according permission to publish data which formed part of M. Sc. (Ag.) thesis.

REFERENCE

- CLARK, J. S. and M. PEECH. 1960. Influence of neutral salts on the phosphate ion concentration in soil solution. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc.* 24 : 346-48.
- FOX, R. L. and E. J. KAMPRATH. 1970. Phosphate sorption isotherms for evaluating the phosphate requirements of soils. *Soil Sci. Soc., Amer. Proc.* 34 : 902 - 07.
- GHANI, M. O. and M. A. ISLAM. 1946. Phosphate fixation in acid soils and its mechanism. *Soil Sci.* 62 : 293 - 306.
- JACKSON, M. L. 1973. *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice-Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi.
- KANWAR, J. S. and J. S. GREWAL. 1960. Phosphate fixation in Punjab soils. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci* 8 : 211 - 18.
- KUO, S. and E. G. LOTSE. 1973. Kinetics of phosphate adsorption and desorption by haematite and gibbsite. *Soil Sci.* 116 : 400 - 06.
- LARSEN, S. 1965. The influence of calcium chloride concentration on the determination of lime and phosphate potentials of soil. *J. Soil Sci.* 16 : 275 - 78
- RAJAN, S. S. S. and R. L. FOX. 1972. Phosphate adsorption by soils. I. Influence of time and ionic environment on phosphate adsorption. *Comm. In soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 3 : 493 - 504.
- SOUNDARARAJAN, S. S. 1971. Sorbed and solution phosphorus and their relationship to crop response unpub. Ph. D. Thesis submitted to the University Hawaii.
- SUNDARARAJAN, M. and G. V. KOTHANDARAMAN. 1977. P adsorption characteristics of Tamil Nadu Soils - Unpublished
- VOUKWELDS, S. J. W. P. ROBARGE and H. B. CUREY. 1973. Effect of associated cations on phosphate sorption by minerals and soils. *Agron. Abst., ASA Conv. 87. Phosphorus in Agriculture* 63 : 2317, 1974.