Madras agric. J. 65 (3): 159-163, Mar., 1978

Studies on the Effect of Micronutrient Anions on the Yield of Gram

B. SINGHI and S.K. SRIVASTAVA²

A field experiment was conducted in the alluvial soils of Agricultural Farm Banaras Hindu University to see the effect of soil application of boron, molybdenum and chlorine (B at 1.2 and 4 kg/ha; Mo at 0.25, 0.50 and 1.00 kg/ha; Cl at 4.8 and 12 Kg/ha) in combinations, along with a basal dressing of N and P at 10 and 30 kg/ha respectively on the grain yield of gram type-1. Maximum grain yield (17.69 q/ha) was obtained with treatment $B_1 + Mo_1 + Cl_1$ which was significantly more than the yield of control (14.30 q/ha) and some of the other treatments also. A significant decrease in grain yield was noted with increasing application of Molybdenum. Main effect of B & Cl was not significant, but either of the two when combined with Mo gave significant response to grain yield. Grain yield was also found to be related with the uptake of B, Mo and Cl by the crop.

The information about the essential micronutrient anions in relation to crop production in soils of our area is fragmentary. Rao and Raju (1964) did not get any significant response to grain production of applied boron and/or molybdenum. According to Fuchring et al. (1970) low rates of chlorine with other elements increased the grain yield of maize.

The present study was made to find out the effect of micronutrient anions separately and in coimbinations on the yield of grain in alluvial soils.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted on slightly alkaline, low in micronutrient anions, alluvial soil of Agricultural farm

of Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi to see the effect of micronutrient anions (B, Mo, CI) on grain yield of gram type-I Boron at 1,2 and 4 kg B/ha through sodium borate, molybdenum at 0.25, 0.50, and 1 kg Mo/ha through sodium molybdate and chloriee at 4,8 and 12 kg CI/ha through Potassium chloride were coimbained statistically to make twenty -eight different treatments including one control, which was common to all treatments. After thorough preperation the field was divided into 56 plots each of 4 x 3 Sq. meter and each plot was basally dressed with 10 kg through urea and 30 kg/ha through superphosphate single followed by application of the twenty-eight treatments randomly. All the treatments were replicated twice and seeds of gram were sown in each plot at the rate of

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Banaras Hindu University.

50 kg/ha. One light irrigation followed by one hand nipping after a week were done after two months of sowing. Grains were separated from the straw and the yield of both were recorded.

Plants and grain samples were analysed for the uptake of B by Curcumin method, Mo by thiocyanate method (Purvis and Peterson 1956) and CI by Husband and Godden's method.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data obtained for the treatment effect, main effect and first-order inter-action effect on the grain yeild as well as on the boron, molybdenum and chlorine uptake by the gram crop are given in Table I, II and III respectively. Data presented for yield are the average of two years field trial.

Treatment offect: From the data given in Table I it could be noted that treatment B₁+M₁o+Cl₁ significantly increased the grain yield (17.69 g/ha) over control as well as some of the other treatments also but the majority of the treatments gave significantly lesser yield than control. This indicated that higher concentration of these elements checked the grain production and hence, it was concluded that the maximum benefit of these elements could be obtained only by their lowest rates. The grain yield was also studied in the light of the total uptake of these elements by the crop but no definite influence could be observed.

Main effect: Data of Table II showed that the main effect of boron

TABLE I. Treatment effects of B. Mo. and CI on their uptake and grain yield

	q/ha	Tota	1 uptake	kg/ha
	9 0	-	ű.	12-
Treatments	yield		den	ne
i	Grain	6	olyb	Chlorine
5	S	Bo	ž	ຣົ
Control	14.30	0.024	0.015	8.160
B ₁ +Mo ₁ +Cl ₁	17.69	0.032	0.022	10.804
$B_1+Mo_1+Cl_2$	13.74	0.039	0.012	8.556
B ₁ +Mo ₁ +Cl ₈	12.69	0.024	0.013	10.376
B ₁ +Mo ₂ +Cl ₁	8.53	0.016	0.014	5.463
B ₁ +Mo ₂ +Cl ₂	13.83	0 024	0.019	8.580
B ₁ +Mo ₂ +Cl ₃	15.20	0.024	0.018	11.376
B ₁ +Mo ₃ +Cl ₁	10.82	0.020	0.027	6,480
B ₁ +Mo ₃ +Cl ₂	12.28	0.019	0.027	8.237
B ₁ +Mo ₃ +Cl ₂	8.32	0.014	0.021	7.811
Ba+Mo1+Cl1	10.20	0.019	0.013	5.924
B ₂ +Mo ₁ +Cl ₂	9.57	0.018	0.011	5.549
B ₂ +Mo ₁ +Cl ₃	13.53	0.028	0.015	10.079
B ₂ +Mo ₂ +Cl ₁	13,32	0.026	0.019	8.384
B ₂ +Mo ₂ +Cl ₂	12.69	0.023	0.017	7.743
B ₂ +Mo ₂ +Cl ₃	12.49	0.025	0.014	10.353
B ₂ +Mo ₈ +Cl ₁	13.53	0.025	0.031	7.188
$B_2+Mo_8+Cl_2$	12.28	0.025	0.026	8.882
B ₂ +Mo ₄ +Cl ₃	10.82	0.017	0.018	7.869
$B_3+Mo_1+CI_1$	15,40	0.039	0.020	9.569
B3+Mo1+Cl2	10.41	0.025	0.015	6.807
$B_3+Mo_1+CI_8$	12.90	0.032	0.014	10.267
$B_3+Mo_2+CI_1$	13.53	0.028	0.022	7.144
$B_3 + Mo_2 + Cl_2$	12.07	0.026	0.019	7.350
Ba+Mo2+Cla	10.40	0.027	0.018	
B ₈ +Mo ₃ +Cl ₁	10.41	0.022	0.023	7.132
$B_3+Mo_3+Cl_3$	9.78	0.022	0.022	7.566
B ₃ +Mo ₃ +Cl ₈	13.32	0.023	0.023	10.335
C D. 5%	3.36	0.005	0.008	1.892
- 1%	4.54	0.007	0.011	2.555

uptake, main effect of molybdenum on grain yield, boron and molybdenum

TABLE II. Main effect of B. Mo & Cl on their uptake and grain yield

	100	Tota	I Uptake I	Kg/ha
Lavels of the	Grain yield q/hs	Вогоп	Molybde- num	Chlorine
В	12.53	0.024	0.019	8.631
В,	12.05	0.028	0.018	7.996
B ₂	12.02	0.027	0.020	8,351
Mot	12.90	0.029	0.015	8.659
Mo _i	12.42	0.024	0.018	8.376
Mo:	11.28	0.021	0.024	7.944
Cli	12.60	0.025	0.021	7.565
Cls	11.81	0.024	0.019	7.697
CI,	12.19	0.024	0.017	9.717
C. D. 5%	1,10	0.002	0.003	0.891
1%	1.49	0.003	0.004	1.203

uptake and main effect of chlorine on molybdenum and chlorine uptake was statistically significant. From the data it could be seen that at minimum application of Mo its uptake was minimum while the uptake of B and grain yield was maximum. As the doses of Mo increased a gradual decreasing trend was found to be increased. Similar results were also reported by Gupta (1971); Padhiyar et al. (1973) and Kadwe & Bodhe (1973) respectively. The hightest grain yield with the main effect of Mo was noted at Mo, level but it was significantly more than that obtained at Mo, level but was not-significant than that obtained at Mo, level. The decrease in yield with increasing Mo supply might be due to its toxic effect.

No significant response of appliad boron and chlorine could be noted on grain production when supplied individually but either of the two when coimbined with molybdenum influenced the crop production significantly.

Effect of Boron x molybdenum on grain yield: Of all the interactions of B x Mo studied, B₁ x Mo, was found to be the best for grain production, since it gave significantly more yield (14.71 q/ha) than the others. It was observed that any further increase in the levels of B x Mo decreased the grain yield. Result also indicated that boron when applied singly failed to influence the grain production as well as uptake of molybdenum and chloride but when it combined with molybdenum gave significant response to each of the above factors.

Effect of boron x chloride on vield: Effect of different interactions of B x CI were found to be non-significant in relation to grain yield but the uptake of B, Mo, and CI were sinificantly influenced. Highest uptake of B and Mo was noted with C, x Cl, which was highly significant than some of the other interactions of B x Cl. Maximum uptake of CI was noted with B₃ x Cl₅ followed by B₁ x Cl₃ and these interactions were also significant over some of the other interactions of B x Cl. In general, uptake of boron increased with its increasing doses at all the chlorine levels while it decreased at all the chlorine levels while it decreased at all the B levels with an increase in CI application.

Effect of Molybdenum x Chlorine on grain yield: The interactions of Mo x Cl had significantly affected the

TABLE III. Interactions of B, Mo and Cl on grain yield q/ha.

1	Δ.	B x Mo				B × CI				Mo x CI		O	C.D at
	83	B ₂	B³		Bı	B ₂	m		Mo	Моз	Mo.	5%	1.00
	a		* 4		= .	Grain yield q/ha	1 q/ha		* ~	to a company appropriate		4	
Noi	14.71	11.10	12.90	อี	12.35	12,35	13,11	ວັ	14.43	11,79	11.59		
Mos	12.42	12.83	12.00	C.	13.18	11.51	10.75	ő	11.24	12.76	11.45	1,92	2.60
Mos	10,47	12.21	11.17	င်း	12.07	12.28	12.21	Ci,	13.04	12.03	10.82		
		-			=	Boron uptake kg/ha	ake kg/ha						
Moi	0,032	0.022	0,032	CI	0.023	0.023	0.029	່ວັ	0.030	0.023	0.022		
Mos	0.021	0.025	0.027	ີ່ວ່	0.027	0,022	0.024	Cla	0.028	0.024	0.022	0.003	0.004
Mos	0.018	0,022	0.022	င်	0.021	0.022	0.027	CIs	0.028	0.026	0.018		
					Ξ	Molybden	Molybdenum uptake	kg/ha					
Moı	0.016	0.013	0.017	ប៊	0.021	0.021	0.022	ວັ	0.018	0.018	0.027		
103	0.017	0.017	0,020	Cli	0.020	0,018	0.019	CIP	0.013	0.018		0.004	0.005
Mos	0.025	0.025	0.023	ະວິ	0.017	0.016	0.019	ວໍ	0.014	0.017	0.021		
	q				2	Chlorine	Chlorine uptake kg/ha	ha			٠		,
Moı	9.912	7,184	8.881	ວັ	7.582	7,165	7.948	ວັ	8.766	6.937	6.933	4	
Mo2	8.473	8.827	7.828	ű	8,453	7.391	7.241	ฮ็	6.971	7.891	8,228	1,545	3.08€
Mos	7,509	7.980	8.344	: :	9.854	9.434	9.864	ວ້	10.241	10.239	8.371	- 4 - 4 - 8	

grain yield as well as uptake of B, Mo and CI. It could be observed from the data given in Table III that as in the case of B x Mo lowest level of molybdenum and chlorine (Mo₁ x Cl₁) also produced maximum grain (14.43 q/ha) which was found to be highly significant over some of the other interactions of Mo x Ci. However, an increase in the levels of Mo x Cl caused considerble reduction in yield. showed that the grain yield was related with B uptake but such relation -ship could not be observed with the uptake of molybdenum and chlorine, This indicated some definite beneficial effect of boron uptake on grain yield. It could also be concluded from the data that in general, increasing concentration of chlorine at all the molybdenum levels decreased the grain yield as well as boron and molybdenum uptake and increased the chlorine uptake but on the orther hand, when molybdenum was applied in increasing concentration at all the chlorine levels only the molybdenum uptake was increased and grain yield, boron and chlorine uptake were found to be decreased considerably.

In general, it could be concluded from the experimental data that the combined effect of micronutrient anions (B, Mo and Cl) in relation to grain yield of gram was much better than their individual effect.

The authors are thankful to Dr. Sant Singh, Senior Professor and Head of the Department for providing the necessary facilities.

REFERENCES

- FUCHRING, H.D., H.F. MIRREN, N. AHMAD, and P.M. SOLLANPOUR, 1970. Grain yield of maize in relation to nitrogen, Phosphorus, sulphur, chloride, Zinc, Boron, Manganese and plant population. Field Crop Absts. 23: 171.
- GUPTA U.C.; 1971. Boron and molybdenum nutrition of Wheat Barley and Oat grown in Prince Edward Island soil, Can. J. Soil. Sci. Vol. 51: 414-22.
- KADWE, R.S. and N. N. BADHE, 1973. Effect of molybdenum and Phosphate, on the growth, uptake of nutrient, and nitrogen fixation by Urd. Ind. J. Agro. Res. 7: 43-46.
- PADHIYAR, B.P., B. V. MEHTA, and B.S. TRE-VEDI, 1973. Effect of Zinc, Copper and molybdenum on the yield and composition of wheat grown on coarse loamy sand of Torna, Soils & fert. 36: 193.
- PURVIS, E. R. and N. K. PETERSON., 1956. Methods of soil and plant analysis for molybdenum, Soil. Sci., 81: 223.
- RAO, T.M,V. and K. V. RAJU, 1964. Preliminary Studies on the effect of soil and foliar application of boron and molybdenum on the yield of alfalfa, J. Ind. Soc. Soil. Sci., 12: 367-69.
- SHEKHAWAT, G. S., N. R. BHARI, and G. S. CHUNDAWAT, 1972. Response of hybrid Bajra on micronutrient in arid conditions of Rajasthan. Ind. J. Agro. 17: 95-96.