

## Nitrogen and Phosphorus Needs of Gram (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Along with Bacterial Fertilization

D.V. SINGH<sup>1</sup>, R.P.S. CHAUHAN<sup>2</sup>, K. SINGH<sup>2</sup> and B. PAL<sup>1</sup>

The study on the response of bacterial fertilization to gram with different N and P levels has shown that : (1) Gram responds favourably to the inoculation and N and P Fertilization. Inoculation increased the grain and straw yields by 12.4 and 9.5 per cent over no inoculation and no fertilizer N and P application, respectively. (2) Inoculation along with 80 or 120 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha alone or with 15 kg N/ha as starter dose was statistically equally effective to nitrogen fertilization @ 15 or 30 kg with 80 or 120 kg  $P_2O_5$  in respect of yield and N and P content of plants and (3) Inoculation along with 80 kg  $P_2O_5$  proved to be the most economic combination which could increase 33 per cent grain yield over control, 18.6 per cent over inoculation alone and 11.8 per cent over inoculation with 40 kg  $P_2O_5$ .

Among the several environmental factors affecting nitrogen fixation, the usefulness of phosphorus for legumes is very critical (Tikka, 1972). Starter dose of N application along with inoculation may be helpful in raising the nitrogen fixing capacity of legumes. However, the extent of benefits and appropriate dose of phosphorus to be used will depend on agro-climatic conditions and inherent fertility status of the soil. In view of this, an experiment was conducted to assess the capability of *Rhizobium* to fix atmospheric nitrogen by gram crop in soil of Agra and find out suitable dose of nitrogen and phosphorus for the use of farmers.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted at R.B.S. College Research Farm, Bichpuri,

Agra on sandy loam soil low in available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and high in available potassium (available N 233.2 kg/ha, available  $P_2O_5$  28.7 kg/ha and available  $K_2O$  342.0 kg/ha). It was a randomized block design with three replications, with the plot size of 9x5 m. The total amount of nitrogen and phosphorus was placed 5 cm below the seed at sowing through urea and superphosphate, respectively in the plots corresponding to their treatments (Table I). *Rhizobium* culture was applied by coating the seeds. C-24 variety of gram (*Cicer arietinum* L.) @ 50 Kg/ha was sown at a row distance of 30 cm. At harvest grain and straw yields were recorded and the samples were analysed for nitrogen and phosphorus contents in the wet digest (Snell and Snell, 1955) and vana

1-4: Department of Agricultural Chemistry, R.B.S. College, Bichpuri, Agra.

date phosphomolybdate yellow method (Chapman and Pratt, 1961), respectively. The uptake of these nutrients was calculated by multiplying the yield with their corresponding contents data. The statistical analyses were done as per the method described by Snedecor and Cochran (1967). Profit index of different treatments was calculated over the net return under control. The net return value under control was

considered equivalent to 100. Net return as given in Table 2 refers to the gross return minus total cost of cultivation.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the perusal of the data in Table 1, it is evident that application of N and P fertilizers and inoculation of *Rhizobium* culture had a significant

TABLE 1. Effect of inoculation and N and P fertilizer application on yield and N and P content of gram.

Treatments	Yield (q/ha)		N content(%)		P content(%)		N uptake (kg/ha)		P uptake (kg/ha)		Total uptake (kg/ha)	
	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	N	P
N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	21.0	22.5	2.52	0.72	0.42	0.06	52.90	16.20	8.81	1.35	69.10	10.10
N <sub>1</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	23.7	24.7	2.81	0.80	0.45	0.05	69.02	19.77	10.67	1.24	88.79	11.91
N <sub>1</sub> P <sub>1</sub>	25.3	25.0	2.92	0.79	0.76	0.09	73.92	19.80	19.24	2.25	93.72	21.49
N <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	28.2	27.9	2.91	0.78	0.88	0.12	81.50	21.72	24.25	3.34	103.22	27.59
N <sub>1</sub> P <sub>3</sub>	28.2	27.8	2.92	0.78	0.86	0.13	82.43	21.72	24.27	3.62	104.15	27.89
N <sub>2</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	23.6	24.6	2.89	0.79	0.46	0.05	68.35	19.43	10.90	1.23	87.78	12.13
N <sub>2</sub> P <sub>1</sub>	25.1	25.0	2.91	0.79	0.75	0.10	73.04	19.73	18.83	2.49	92.77	21.32
N <sub>2</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	28.0	27.0	2.91	0.78	0.85	0.13	81.50	21.04	23.80	3.51	102.54	27.31
N <sub>2</sub> P <sub>3</sub>	28.1	27.8	2.92	0.79	0.88	0.12	82.11	22.01	24.70	3.39	104.12	28.09
N <sub>3</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	23.9	25.9	2.90	0.82	0.40	0.06	69.25	21.19	9.55	1.55	90.44	11.10
N <sub>3</sub> P <sub>1</sub>	25.0	26.1	2.90	0.80	0.70	0.09	67.45	20.90	17.41	2.35	88.35	19.76
N <sub>3</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	28.1	27.6	2.92	0.79	0.83	0.12	81.90	21.84	23.31	3.32	103.74	28.63
N <sub>3</sub> P <sub>3</sub>	28.0	28.3	2.90	0.80	0.83	0.14	75.60	28.70	23.94	3.27	98.30	17.21
N <sub>4</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	23.4	26.5	2.92	0.82	0.45	0.04	68.27	21.70	10.52	1.06	89.97	11.58
N <sub>4</sub> P <sub>1</sub>	25.0	26.5	2.90	0.82	0.73	0.80	72.65	21.70	18.30	2.12	94.35	20.42
N <sub>4</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	28.0	27.8	2.90	0.81	0.81	0.11	82.04	22.52	22.66	3.06	104.56	25.72
N <sub>4</sub> P <sub>3</sub>	28.1	27.8	2.92	0.82	0.85	0.12	82.05	22.84	23.90	3.34	104.89	27.24
C. D. 5%	2.1	1.8	0.22	0.06	0.08	0.03	7.94	1.92	2.03	0.44	12.50	3.12

N<sub>0</sub> = No inoculation and no fertilization

N<sub>1</sub> = Fertilizer N 15 kg/ha

N<sub>2</sub> = Inoculation only

N<sub>3</sub> = Inoculation and fertiliser N 15 kg/ha

N<sub>4</sub> = Fertiliser N 30 kg/ha

P<sub>0</sub> = No application of phosphorus

P<sub>1</sub> = 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha

P<sub>2</sub> = 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha

P<sub>3</sub> = 120 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha

effect on grain yield. The highest yield i.e. 28.0 to 28.2 t/ha was obtained where phosphorus at the rate of 80 Kg. or 120 Kg  $P_2O_5$  was applied with either of the nitrogen levels along with inoculation. The effect of each nitrogen treatment in respect to yield was almost the same at both these P levels. Irrespective of nitrogen levels, 80Kg.  $P_2O_5$  produced the same grain yield as could be produced by the application of phosphorus @ 120 Kg.  $P_2O_5$ /ha. It is of interest to note that the addition of nitrogen with inoculation did not significantly increase the grain yield over inoculation alone and with all the levels of phosphorus. Rhizobium inoculation increased the grain yield by 12.4 per cent over control. Moreover Rhizobium inoculation with 80 Kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha increased

the grain yield by 33.0, 18.6 and 11.6 per cent over control, inoculation alone and inoculation with 40 kg  $P_2O_5$  respectively. Thus, bacterial inoculation in gram proved to be more advantageous if it is advocated with phosphorus application @ 80 kg  $P_2O_5$ . Inoculation replaced the use of 15 kg/ha nitrogen and thus could save 33.3 kg urea per hectare. The superiority of this treatment might be attributed to the optimum and balanced supply of the plant nutrients and from this it can be concluded that inoculation itself can fulfil the nitrogen requirement of gram crop but sufficient amount of phosphorus should be applied for nodulation. Tikka (1972) also reported that application of  $P_2O_5$  is a must in gram. According to Katti (1968) yield characters of gram were influenced by inoculation +  $P_2O_5$  treatment in alluvial clay loam soil.

TABLE II. Economics of different treatments of *Rhizobium* inoculation and N and P fertilizer application.

Treatments	Net return Rs/ha	Profit index
Control ( $N_0P_0$ )	2253.50	100.0
$N_1P_0$	2623.35	116.4
$N_1P_1$	2591.55	115.1
$N_1P_2$	2776.65	123.2
$N_1P_3$	2526.15	112.0
$N_2P_0$	2666.00	118.3
$N_2P_1$	2612.30	115.9
$N_2P_2$	2792.30	123.8
$N_2P_3$	2517.00	111.6
$N_3P_0$	2651.15	117.6
$N_3P_1$	2543.65	113.3
$N_3P_2$	2749.15	121.7
$N_3P_3$	2469.15	109.5
$N_4P_0$	2527.80	112.1
$N_4P_1$	2503.30	111.0
$N_4P_2$	2681.30	118.9
$N_4P_3$	2424.80	107.6

#### REFERENCES

- CHAPMAN, H.D. and F. P. PRATT. 1961. *Methods of analysis for soils, plants and waters*. Univ. Calif. Div. Agric. Sci.
- KATTI, C.P. 1968. Inoculation of Bengal gram with *Rhizobium* culture under different soil conditions. *Andhra Agric. J.* 15: 92-95.
- SNEDECOR, G.W. and W.G. COCHARAN 1967. *Statistical Methods*. Oxford & IRH Pub. Co. New Delhi.
- SNELL, F.D. and C.T. SNELL 1955. *Colorimetric methods of analysis*. 3rd Ed. Van Nostrand Co. Inc. New York, p. 814.
- TIKKA, S.B.S. 1972. Gram, a rabi season pulse for higher profit. *Farmer Parliament*. 7: 12.