

## Identification of Factors Influencing Microbial Population in Red and Black Soils by Path Analysis

K. K. MATHAN<sup>1</sup>, P. P. RAMASWAMI<sup>2</sup>, C. SUYAMBULINGAM<sup>2</sup> and W. JEBARANI<sup>1</sup>

Moisture, pH, depth and carbondioxide evolution were observed to be some of the major contributing factors influencing the microbial population in red and black soils. Path analysis revealed that the net contribution of pH and depth was negative and moisture and carbondioxide evolution positive. The effect of pH was mostly indirect via depth in black soil and direct in red soil. The contribution of moisture was direct in both the soils. The direct effect of CO<sub>2</sub> was low and negative in both soils. Depth had a direct negative influence on population in both soils.

Moisture, pH and depth functions are considered to be some of the important factors that influence the microbial population in any soil. The evolution of carbon dioxide is a measure of the activity of the population which correlated well with the microbial population (Ramaswami and Raj, 1973). Soil microflora vary considerably both in quality and quantity depending upon the soil type and the physico-chemical properties of soil (Waksman, 1952). Subsequently variation in the microbial population in different soils was reported by Ramaswami (1966). Rangaswami and Venkatesan (1963) found the highest number of microbial population in the surface layers and a gradual decline in the deeper layers. They also observed seasonal variation in the population.

Several reports are available to show the influence of the various factors like moisture, pH, depth and CO<sub>2</sub>

singly on the microbial population. In the field this does not happen. An interplay of the above mentioned factors occur. Repnevskaya (1967) reported that moisture was a limiting factor for the production of CO<sub>2</sub> during summer. Soil moisture was found to change the soil pH in calcareous soils (Huberty and Hass, 1940). Beyond 0.4 foot, a progressive increase in pH with depth was recorded even though the increase in depth was small (Kelley, 1923). To get a clear picture of the relative importance of direct and indirect influence of each of the component factors which influences the microbial population, the path analysis advocated by Wright (1923) was employed in the present study.

### METHODS AND MATERIALS

Red and black soil profiles were dug up to a depth of 180 cm. Soil

1-2 Dept. of Soil Science, 3-4 Dept. of Physical Science.

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641 003.

samples were drawn from different layers of the profiles on the first of every month for a period of three months during December, January and February. Total bacterial population was estimated in the 1 : 10,000 dilution by the plate count method in Thornton's agar medium (Thornton, 1922). Actinomycetes were estimated in the 1 : 1000 dilution by the plate count method in asparagin glucose agar medium (Fred and Waksman, 1928). Fungi were estimated in the 1 : 1000 dilution by the plate count method in rose-bengal agar medium (Smith and Dawson, 1944). pH and moisture content of the soils were estimated by the standard methods. Carbon dioxide evolution of the soil was estimated by the method described by Chesters *et al.* (1957). Employing the path analysis a standardised partial regression equation was developed to partition the correlation co-efficients of the various factors.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Correlations were worked out for all possible combinations of factors.

Microbial population was considered as a resultant variable and others as casual variables. The zero order correlation matrix for the variables taken for the study is presented in table I for red soils. pH and depth were negatively correlated with the microbial population, the respective 'r' values being -0.6289\*\* and -0.5965\*\*. Moisture and CO<sub>2</sub> evolution correlated positively, of which CO<sub>2</sub> alone gave a significant correlation. A perusal of the table further revealed that there was a significant negative correlation (-0.8131\*\*) between pH and CO<sub>2</sub> evolution and significant positive correlation between pH and depth ( $r = 0.7517^{**}$ ). There was also a significant negative correlation between CO<sub>2</sub> evolution and depth ( $r = -0.7436^{**}$ ).

Table II shows the zero order correlation matrix for the variables for black soils. The table revealed that significant negative correlations existed between pH and microbial population ( $r = -0.4979^*$ ) and between pH and depth (-0.55\*\*). Here again the correlation co-efficients for pH vs CO<sub>2</sub> evolution ( $r = -0.5734^{**}$ );

TABLE-I. Coefficients of correlations between characters in Red Soil

Correlated characters		Moisture X <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub> evolution X <sub>3</sub>	Depth X <sub>4</sub>	Microbial population Y
pH	X <sub>1</sub>	-0.0196	-0.8131**	0.7517**	-0.6289**
Moisture	X <sub>2</sub>		-0.0166	0.0277	-0.1765
Co <sub>2</sub> evolution	X <sub>3</sub>			-0.7436**	0.4822*
Depth	X <sub>4</sub>				-0.5965**

TABLE II. Coefficients of correlations between characters in Black soil

Correlated characters		Moisture X <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub> evolution X <sub>3</sub>	Depth X <sub>4</sub>	Microbiol population Y
pH	X <sub>1</sub>	0.0024	-0.5734**	0.8936**	-0.4979*
Moisture	X <sub>2</sub>		0.0370	-0.0315	-0.0523
CO <sub>2</sub> evolution	X <sub>3</sub>			-0.7750**	0.3748
Depth	X <sub>4</sub>				-0.55**

\* Significant at 5% level

\*\* Significant at 1% level

pH vs depth ( $r = -0.8936^{**}$ ); and CO<sub>2</sub> vs depth ( $r = -0.775^{**}$ ) were all significant.

The foregoing observations revealed the influence of the variables pH, moisture, CO<sub>2</sub> and depth on the resultant variable, microbial population and at the same time the inter-dependent of the casual variables themselves. Using the above tables, therefore, the following equations, in the matrix form for the path analysis were constructed.

Inverting the square matrix on the left hand side and premultiplying with the column vector on the right hand side

in the case of red soils  $P_1Y = -0.52313$ ,  $P_2Y = +0.17286$ ,  $P_3Y = -0.21247$  and  $P_4Y = -0.36607$ ,  $P_1Y = 0.064449$ ,  $P_2Y = 0.13746$ ,  $P_3Y = -0.144376$  and  $P_4Y = -0.696131$  in the case of black soils. The data showed that in the case of red and black soils, moisture content promoted the microbial population. The pH did not influence the microbial population in black soil whereas it had an adverse effect in red soils.

Microbial population was observed to decrease with depth. Further in both the soils, the zero order correlation coefficient values were positive for pH and depth and negative for CO<sub>2</sub>

RED SOIL

$$\begin{pmatrix} +1.0000 & -0.0196 & -0.8131 & +0.7517 \\ -0.0196 & +1.0000 & -0.0166 & +0.0277 \\ -0.8131 & -0.0166 & +1.0000 & -0.7436 \\ +0.7517 & +0.0277 & -0.7436 & +1.0000 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_1Y \\ P_2Y \\ P_3Y \\ P_4Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.6289 \\ +0.1765 \\ +0.4822 \\ -0.5965 \end{pmatrix}$$

BLACK SOILS

$$\begin{pmatrix} +1.0000 & +0.0024 & -0.5734 & +0.8936 \\ +0.0024 & +1.0000 & +0.0370 & -0.0315 \\ -0.5734 & +0.0370 & +1.0000 & -0.7750 \\ +0.8936 & -0.0315 & -0.7750 & +1.0000 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_1Y \\ P_2Y \\ P_3Y \\ P_4Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.4979 \\ -0.0523 \\ +0.3748 \\ -0.5500 \end{pmatrix}$$

TABLE III. Path coefficient analysis of correlation of factors with microbial population-red soil

Correlated characters	Direct and indirect effects			Via Depth	Correlation with population
	pH	Moisture	CO <sub>2</sub>		
pH	<u>-0.5231</u>	-0.0034	+0.1728	-0.2752	-0.6289
Moisture	+0.0103	<u>+0.1729</u>	-0.0166	+0.0101	+0.1765
CO <sub>2</sub>	+0.4253	-0.0029	<u>-0.2125</u>	+0.2722	0.4822
Depth	-0.3932	+0.0048	+0.1580	<u>-0.3661</u>	-0.5965

(Figures underlined denote the direct effects)

TABLE IV. Path coefficient analysis of correlation of factors with microbial population - Black soil

Correlated characters	Direct and indirect effects			Via Depth	Correlation with population
	pH	Moisture	CO <sub>2</sub>		
pH	<u>+0.0645</u>	+0.0003	+0.0828	-0.6220	-0.4979
Moisture	+0.0002	<u>+0.1375</u>	-0.0053	+0.0219	+0.1543
CO <sub>2</sub>	-0.0370	+0.0051	<u>-0.1444</u>	+0.5395	+0.3748
Depth	+0.0576	-0.0043	+0.1119	<u>-0.6961</u>	-0.5500

(Figures underlined denote the direct effects)

evolution and depth. Similar observations were reported by Rangaswami and Venkatesan (1963).

Results of direct and indirect effect path co-efficients are presented in Table III for red soils and in Table IV for black soils. The conjoint effect of all the characters studied is seen from the tables.

**Population and pH:** The net effect of pH on microbial population is negative in red and black soils. The direct effect of pH on population was high in red soil (-0.5231) and very low

in black soil (0.06445). In both the soils the indirect effect of pH via depth was the major contributing factor, followed by carbon dioxide and moisture.

**Population and moisture:** The contribution of moisture towards population was mostly direct and positive. The indirect influence through other factors was very low. The trend was similar in both the soil types.

**Population and CO<sub>2</sub> evolution:** The population and CO<sub>2</sub> evolution were positively related in both the soils. The direct influence was low and negative.

The indirect effect was higher and positive via pH followed by depth in red soil, but in black soil the indirect effect was higher through depth.

**Population and depth :** In both the soils the population and depth were negatively correlated, and the effect was direct. It was pronounced more negatively in black soil (-0.6961) and less in red soil (-0.3661). In red soil pH and CO<sub>2</sub> evolution were equally important negatively correlated indirect factors, while in black soil indirect effect through CO<sub>2</sub> evolution was fairly low and positive.

#### REFERENCES

- CHESTERS G. O. J. ATTOE and O. N. ALLERN 1957. Soil aggregation in relation to various soil constituents. *Soil. Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc.* 21 : 272-77.
- FRED E. B. and S. A. WAKSMAN. 1928. *Laboratory manual of General Microbiology*, McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc., New York.
- HUBERTY, M. R. and A. R. C. HASS, 1940. The pH of soil as effected by soil moisture and other factors. *Soil Sci.* 49 : 455-77.
- KELLEY, A. P. 1923. Soil acidity, an ecological factor. *Soil Sci.* 16 : 41-54.
- RAMASWAMI P. P. 1966. Studies on the physico-chemical and biological properties of soils of Madras State. *Madras agric. J.* 53 : 388-97.
- RAMASWAMI, P.P. and D. RAJ 1973. Studies on the evolution of CO<sub>2</sub> in soils of Tamil Nadu. *Madras agri. J.* 60 : 885-89.
- RANGASWAMI, G. and R. VENKATESAN, 1963. *Final report of the scheme for investigation of actinomycetes population of paddy soils*. Department of Agriculture, Annamalai University.
- REPNEVSKAYA, M. A., 1967. Pochvovedenie 8, 81-87. *Soils Fert.* 31 : 28.
- SMITH, N. R. and V. T. DAWSON, 1944. The bacteriostatic action of rose bengal in media used for plate counts of soil fungi. *Soil Sci.* 58 : 464-71.
- THORNTON, H. G. 1922. The development of a standardised agar medium for counting soil bacteria. *Ann. App. Biol.* 9 : 241.
- WAKSMAN, S.A. 1952, *Soil Microbiology*. John wiley and sons Inc., New York.
- WRIGHT, S. 1923. Theory of path coefficients. *Genetics* 8 : 238-55.