Madras agric. J. 63 (8-10): 611-615, Nov.-Dec., 1976

Effect of Various Organic Manures and Azotobacter chroococcum on the Growth and Yield of Paddy

By

M. Rangarajan¹ and P. Muthukrishnan²

ABSTRACT

Seed, seedling and soil inoculation of A. chrococcum applied alone or in combifbination with various organic manures viz., farmyard manure, compost and pig manure enhanced the growth and yield of paddy over control during all stages of crop growth. Growth and yield were more in combined applications of organic manures and A.chroococcum. Pig manure in combination with A. chroococcum increased drymatter production during the penicle initiation stage by 13.8 per cent and grain yield by 10.7 per cent over the application of full dose of nitrogen.

INTRODUCTION

Azotobacter chroococcum is reported to be efficient in fixation of molecular nitrogen when sufficient amount of organic substrates are available in soil (Alexander, 1961)! Seed, seedling and soil inoculations of Azotobacter were reported to increase the growth and yield of many crops viz., paddy, wheat, maize, sorghum, cotton, tobacco, tomato and mustard (Brown et al., 1962, 1964; Rovira, 1963; Mehrotra and Lehri, 1971; Jain et al., 1973; Muthukrishnan et al., 1975).

Thompson (1974) obtained significantly increased yields from wheat and barley, and the yield components were highly influenced by inoculation of Azotobecter. Monib et al. (1974) reported that additions of organic matter greatly influenced Azotobacter and other soil microflora. In the

present studies, the effect of Azotobacter chroococcum applied along with the organic manures viz., farmyard manure, compost and pig manure on growth and yield components of Kannaki variety of paddy was investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field trial was laid out in randomized block design with one cent plot for each treatment. The experiment included ten treatments viz., A. chroococcum, farmyard manure, compost, pig manure, farmyard manure + A. chroococcum, compost manure+ A.chroococcum, pig manure+A.chroococcum, nitrogen full dose (120 kg dose (60 nitrogen half N/ha), kg N/ha) and control. The organic manures were applied at the rate of 10 tons/hectare. All the treatments involving A. chreococcum and various

^{1-2:} Department of Biology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai

organic manures alone and in combination received half the dose of nitrogen. Phosphorus and potash were applied uniformly in all the treatments at the recommended dose viz., 60 kg P₂O₆ and 60 kg K₂O/ha.

A. chroococcum (peat soil based culture) was applied as seed, seedling and soil inoculant. Kannaki variety of paddy was used for the studies. Seedlings were raised with and without Azotobacter seed treatment. The seedlings raised without Azotobacter seed treatment were transplanted in the following treatments viz., control, farmyard manure. compost, pig manure. nitrogen full dose and half dose. The plots of all other treatments were transplanted with seedlings raised from seeds treated with Azotobacter. The roots of seedlings were also dipped in Azotobacter suspension and transplanted in the plots which were already applied with Azotobacter as basal dressing.

In the nursery stage both treated and untreated seedlings were studied for the influence of Azotobacter appfied as seed treatment. The growth parameters viz., shoot length, root length, number of roots per plant, and dry matter production per 100 seedlings were investigated. The growth characters were recorded periodically during tillering, stage, panicle initiation stage and at the time of harvest. the transplanted field, the growth characters viz., height of the plant. number of tillers and dry weight of the clump were studied. The yield components viz., number of productive tillers, length of the panicle, number of grains per panicle, weight of 1000 grains and grain yield per hectare were recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Earlier reports indicated that the yield of paddy was increased by the application of A. chroococcum (Ranga rajan and Muthukrishnan, 1975). In continuation with these findings, the present study was undertaken to find out the influence of various organic manures such as farm yard manure, compost manure and pig manure along with A.chroococcum applied as seed, seedling and soil inoculants.

Growth characters: In the nursery stage, A. chroococcum significantly increased the shoot length and dry matter production of the Kannaki seed-lings (Table I). The seedlings raised from the seeds treated with A. chroococcum were strong and healthy. Azoto-bacter increased the height of the seedlings, numbers of leaves, length of leaf and width of leaf by 7.5, 16.5, 8.8 and 8.3 per cent, respectively over the control.

Azotobacter in combination with farm yard manure increased the height of the plant by 4.2 and 0.7 per cent over the application of farm yard manure alone during the tillering and panicle initiation stage, respectively. Similarly, combined application of Azotobacter and compost increased the height of the plant by 0.9 and 3.0 per cent, respectively over the application of compost alone. Application of Azotobacter combined with pig manure signifi-

TABLE I. Effect of the seed treatment of Azotobacter on the growth of seedlings of Kannaki variety of paddy.

Not the second second second	the state of the s				- S. Steder and a second		
Treatment	Shoot length per plant (cm)	Root length per plant (cm)	No. of roots per plant	No. of Leaves per plant	Length of leaf (cm)	Width of leaf (cm)	Dry matter produc- tion per 100 seed- lings (g)
Treated	26.5	13.5	52.0	6.0	17.0	6.0	13.568
Control	24.5	12.0	39.0	5.0	15.5	5.5	11-23

cantly increased the height of the plant by 1.2 and 13.3 per cent, respectively over the application of pig manure alone. During these two growth stages, the increase in height of the plant due to the application of Azotobacter combined with pig manure was greater by 25.5 and 15.7 per cent, respectively over the application of full dose of nitrogen.

Combined application of Azotobacter and organic manures increased the dry matter production during all the stages of crop growth. Particularly, Azotobacter applied with pig manure significantly increased the dry matter production by 11.5 per cent over the application of farm yard manure alone, by 10.3 per cent over the application of compost alone, by 4.2 per cent over the application of pig manure alone and by 13.8 per cent over the application of full dose of nitrogen (Table II).

Yield Components: The increases in yield components namely, productive tillers, length of the panicle, number of grains per panicle and weight of 1000 grains were in the order

TABLE II. Effect of Azotobacter and organic manures on the growth of Kannaki variety of paddy during different stages of crop growth.

Treatment	Height of the plant (cm)		Number of tillers per clump		Dry matter production	
5.1	A	В	A	В	Α	В
Control	44.4	73.7	5.0	7.6	15-250	32,638
Azotobacter	44.6	74.9	6.0	7.9	20.150	35.719
Farm yard manure	49.9	80.1	7.0	8.4	22,990	45 990
Compost	52.4	80.2	7.0	8.9	22.999	46,638
Pig manure	66.0	89.9	10.0	19.0	26.980	49.765
Azotobacter + Farm yard manure	52.1	80.9	8.0	9.4	22,999	47,989
-Do + compost	52.9	82.7	8.0	9.9	23,990	48.867
-Do- + pig manure	66,9	103.7	11.0	19.9	35.150	51.980
Nitrogen Half dose	44.7	84.3	6.0	9.0	19.100	37.989
Nitrogen Full dose	49.7	87.4	6.0	11.9	34.630	44.756

A-Tillering stage;

B-Panicle initiation stage

of Azotobacter+pig manure>Azotobacter + compost > Azotobacter + farmyard manure > pig manure > farmyard manure = Nitrogen full dose > Azotobacter alone > Nitrogen half dose > control. Application of alone significantly Azotobacter increased vield over the control. (Table III.) Organic manures alone increased grain yield over the control and Azotobacter treatment. Combined application of A. chroococcum and organic manures enhanced the grain yield over the application of organic manure alone. Among all the organic manures tried pig manure was found to be the best for increasing the grain vield. Azotobacter applied in combination with pig manure increased the grain vield over all other treatments; including the application of full dose of nitrogen. Combined application of A. chroococcum and pig manure increased the grain yield by 49.9 per cent over the control, 34.3

per cent over the application of A. chroococcum alone, 27.9, 9.7 and 4.4 per cent over the applications of farm yard manure, compost and pig manure alone, respectively. Application of Azotobacter in combination with the pig manure also increased the grain yield by 22.1 per cent over the combined applications of Azotobacter and farmyard manure and also with compost and by 10.7 per cent over the application of full dose of nitrogen (Table III).

The present studies indicated that the application of Azotobacter with the basal dressing of pig manure maximised grain yield of Kannaki variety of paddy. These results on the influence of Azotobacter in combination with organic manures are in conformity with the earlier report of Monib et al. (1974) who observed that additions of organic matter to soil greatly influnced the multiplication of

TABLE III. Effect of Azotobacter and organic manures on yield components of Kannaki variety of paddy.

Treatment	No. of productive tillers	Length of the punicle (cm)	No. of grains per panicle	Weight of 1000 grains (g)	Grain yield per plot (kg)	Grain yiel d per hecter s (Kg)
Control -	6.0	23,0	153	22,100	17.02	4086
Azotobecter	7.8	24.5	164	22.700	22.30	5352
Farm yard manure	8.2	25.5	167	25.000	24,50	5880
Compost	8.8	26.0	169	25.390	30.69	7366
Pig manure	17.0	26.9	172	25,360	32,50	78.0
A. chroaceccum+ Farmyard manuse	11.4	26.5	169	15,600	26,48	C\$56
A. chroococcum + Compost	11.8	26.9	121	25,780	26.48	6356
-Do- +Pig manure	18.9	25.9	174	25.990	33.99	8157
Nitrogen Half dose	6.8	22.0	160	22.380	28 63	6919
Nitrogen Full dose	8.6	24.3	169	22.700	29.95	7288

Azotobacter. The present report is also in accordance with the findings of Jain et al. (1973) and Thompson (1974) who reported that application of organic manures and A. chroococcum increased crop yields.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Dr. C. V. Govindeswamy, Dean Agrl. College and Research Institute, Madural for providing facilities to conduct the experiments and encouragements.

REFERENCES

- ALEXANDER, M. 1961. Introduction to Soil Microbiology. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York P. 472.
- BROWN, M. E. S. K. BURLINGHAM and R.M. JACKSON. 1952. Studies on Azotobecter species in soil. II. Populations of Azotobecter in the rhizosphere and effects of artificial inoculation. Pl. Soll. 17: 320-32.
- BROWN M. E., S. K. BURLINGHAM and R. M. JACKSON. 1964. Studies on Azotobacter species in soil. III. Effects of artificial inoculation on crop yields. Pl. Soll. 20: 194-214.

- JAIN, S. C., S. S. PATHAK, and R. M. DUBEY. 1973. A comparative study of the commercial and bacterial fertilizers on the growth and yield of whoat. Indian J. Agric Res. 7: -56.
- MEHROTRA, C. L. and L. K. LEHRI, 1972. Effect of Azotobacter inoculation on crop yields. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 19: 243-48.
- MONIB, M. Y., ABDEL MALEK., I. HOSNY., T. T.
 EL. HADIDY and R. EL. SHAHAWY. 1974.
 Effect of organic matter additions on microbial activities of Wadi el Natrun soils.
 Zentralbi. Parasitenk. Landwirtsch. Tech.
 Microbiol. 129: 439-47.
- MUTHUKRISHNAN, P., M. RANGARAJAN and C. V. GOVINDASWAMY. 1975. Studies on the use of Azotobacter in improving the yield of tomato. Conf. Microbologists. AMI. Tirupeti. Abst. P. 51.
- ROVIRA, A. D. 1963. Microbial inoculation of plants, I. Establishment of free living nitrogen fixing bacteria in the rhizosphere and their effects on maize, tomato and wheat. Pl- Soil 19: 304-14.
- THOMPSON, J. P. 1974. Seed inoculation of wheat and barley with Azotobecter chroococcum in Queensland. Qd J. Agric. Anim. Sci. 13: 129 - 38.