

# Field Efficacy of Certain Soil Applied Insecticides Against the Major Pests of Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) Effect on Fruit Borer Infestation, Plant Height and Yield

By

D. KUMARESAN<sup>1</sup> and P. BASKARAN<sup>2</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Soil application of systemics has shown that cytolane could be effective against fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen.) of brinjal. The order of efficacy of the different insecticides against the fruit borer was cytolane > dimethoate > phorate > carbaryl. Increase in plant height was evident in all insecticidal treatments, cytolane and phorate being more effective in this respect. Of the four granular insecticides tried, dimethoate recorded higher yields to the extent of 47.72 per cent over control.

Soil application of granular insecticides for vegetable crops has become an accepted practice for the control of pests. The efficacy of granular insecticides has been well documented by a few earlier workers (Anbarasan, 1972; Uthamasamy et al., 1973) in chillies and brinjal. The present paper deals with the results obtained on the effect of soil applied carbaryl, dimethoate, cytolane and phorate against the fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guen) incidence and on the growth and total yield of brinjal plants.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field trial was conducted during 1973-74 in the Orchard area of Annamalai University, Annamalainagar,

Tamil Nadu. The trial was laid out in a split plot design with five main treatments and three sub treatments. The main and sub plots measured 21' x 15' and 15' x 6' respectively. There were three replications for each treatment. Four granular insecticides viz., phorate 10G, cytolane 5G, dimethoate 3G, and carbaryl 4G at the rate of 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg a. i./ha were applied.

Forty days old seedlings of the variety 'Annamalai' were transplanted at the rate of 20 plants in each sub plot in two lines. Calculated amounts of the granules were applied as basal dressing on the 30th day after transplanting. The second application was done 30 days after the first application. The granules were

\* Formed part of the thesis submitted to the Annamalai University for the award of M. Sc. (Ag.) degree by the senior author.

1. Instructor in Entomology, Department of Entomology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003 and
2. Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar - 608101



uniformly applied around the plants in small circular furrows opened 5 cm away from the plant at a depth of about 5 cm and then covered with soil followed by irrigation.

For recording the fruit borer incidence the total number of harvested fruits and the total number of affected fruits per plot were counted and the percentage of incidence was worked out for every picking of fruits. Observation on plant height was taken at the rate of 5 plants in each sub plot and a total of three observations were made during the crop growth with an interval of 30 days to assess the influence of insecticides on yield. Fruits were picked at seven days interval from each treatment and the total number and weight of the fruits were recorded in all the pickings.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The efficacy of insecticides against the fruit borer is furnished in Table 1. The over all reduction of the incidence

of fruit borer due to the insecticide treatments was only 15.07 per cent over untreated check. However, significant reduction in fruit borer incidence was noted among insecticides irrespective of its dosages. Among the different insecticides tested maximum reduction of 21.74 per cent was obtained with cytolane as against 17.48, 11.91 and 9.17 per cent with dimethoate, phorate and carbaryl respectively. There was no significant difference between the dosages irrespective of chemicals. The efficacy of cytolane in the control of borer pests has been amply explored by various workers (Luz, 1968). True to a good systemic, cytolane seems to persist longer in biologically active amounts to be effective against fruit boring caterpillars.

All the insecticides tested have increased the plant height to varying degrees over the control during the period of observation (Table 2). Increase in plant height by application

TABLE 1. Effect of granular insecticides on the percentage incidence of fruit borer (Transformed values of the mean of 15 observation)

Treatments	Dosages kg a. i./ha			Mean	% decrease over control
	1.0	1.5	2.0		
Phorate	40.44	32.45	29.48	34.12	11.91
Cytrolane	28.20	26.18	36.55	30.31	21.74
Dimethoate	32.41	36.65	26.92	31.96	17.48
Carbaryl	34.83	36.57	34.14	35.18	9.17
Control	38.33	38.80	39.08	38.73	—
Mean	33.96	32.96	31.77		
C. D. (For treatments)		0.782			
C. D. (For treatments X dosages)		17.15			



TABLE 2. Effect granular insecticides on plant height (Mean of 45 observations in cm.)

Treatments	Height in cm			% decrease over control		
	30 days	60 days	90 days	30 days	60 days	90 days
Phorate	26.66	34.88	44.88	33.36	23.12	25.25
Cyrolane	26.99	39.88	48.38	30.01	40.77	35.01
Dimethoate	24.55	31.66	49.22	22.81	11.76	37.42
Carbaryl	22.83	28.65	40.11	14.20	1.13	11.94
Control	19.99	28.33	36.83			
C. D.	3.454	1.351	1.158			

of systemic granular insecticides has been reported by many workers (Sithanantham, 1968; Judenko 1969 and Uthamasamy *et al.*, 1973). Significant effect was recorded among dosages of insecticides.

Insecticidal treatments have resulted in increase in the number as well as weight of fruits (Table 3).

There was however, no correlation between the number and weight of fruits. The relative order of efficacy on the basis of yield was dimethoate > cytolane > phorate > carbaryl. Increase in yield due to soil application of insecticides has been reported by many workers for various crops (Guyer *et al.*, 1960; Harding, 1962; Uthamasamy *et al.*, 1973; Baskaran and Jotwani, 1974

TABLE 3. Effect of granular insecticides on the number and yield of fruits (Mean of 9 observations)

Treatments	Number of fruits/plot	% increase over control	Yield in g/plot	% increase over control
Phorate	45.86	52.81	924.65	37.24
Cyrolane	55.33	85.71	969.00	42.04
Dimethoate	51.22	71.42	1021.66	47.72
Carbaryl	44.44	48.73	852.33	29.42
Control	29.88	—	580.32	—
C. D.	3.05	NS	11.69	NS

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Dr. C. N. Sambandam, Dean, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai

University for providing facilities for the conduct of field trial and to Dr. M. Balasubramaniam Reader in Entomology for the help and guidance in carrying out the experiment.



## REFERENCES

- ANBARASAN, G., 1972. Studies on the efficacy of certain systemic granular insecticides as soil application in the control of major pests of chillies (*Capsicum annum*, Lin) Unpub. M. Sc. (Ag.) Dissert. Agric. Univ., Tamil Nadu.
- BASKARAN, P. and M. G. JOTWANI, 1974. Chemical control of sorghum insect pests V comparative efficacy of carbofuran and cytolane as side dressing against shoot fly and stem borer. *AUARA* 4 and 5: 140—145.
- GUYER, G. H. WELLS, A. L. ANDERSON and D. J. DEXEUV, 1960. An evaluation of systemic insecticides for control of insects on snap and field beans *Quart. Bull. Mich. Agric. Expt. Sta.* 42: 827—35.
- HARDING, J. A., 1962. Tests with systemic insecticides for control of insects and certain diseases on potato *J. econ. Entomol.* 55: 62—65.
- JUDENKO, E., 1960. Further studies on the effect of phorate on losses of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.) by fruit fly (*Oscinella frit* L.) *PANS* 15: 553—7.
- LUZ, E., 1968. Cited from Cytolane systemic insecticide published by Cynamid International. New Jersey P. 1—40.
- SITHANANTHAM, S., 1968. Studies on the use of systemic insecticides as seed treatment and soil application in the control of some sucking insect pests of cotton M. Sc. (Ag.) Dissert. Univ. Madras.
- UTHAMASAMY, S., M. GOPALAN and D. VENKATANARAYANAN 1973. Control of major sucking pests of egg plant (*Solanum melongena*) with systemic granular insecticides *Pesticides* 7: 14—17.