# Optimum Time of Cutting for Maximum Yield of Extractable Protein in Some Fodder Grasses

By

C. S. BALASUNDARAM, R. CHANDRAMANI, K. K. KRISHNAMOORTHY and T. BALAKRISHNAN\*

#### ABSTRACT

A study with three fodder grasses to find out the optimum time of cutting for maximum yield of extractable protein employing three frequencies of cutting viz., 30, 45 and 60 days was conducted. All the grasses, in general, gave good yields upto three months. Panicum and Bracharia gave higher average per day yield than Cenchrus. 30 days frequency was found to be better for the former two while 60 days for the latter.

#### INTRODUCTION

An attempt was made to find out the optimum time of cutting to get maximum yield of extractable protein with three fodder grasses viz., Cenchrus glaucus, Bracharia mutica and Panicum maximum under fertilization.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field trial was laid out in the black soil of Tamil Nadu Agricultural University farm at Coimbatore with three fodder grasses. The plot size was 10×10 metres. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium applied in the form of ammonium sulphate, superphosphate and muriate of potash at the rate of 200, 60 and 60 kg/ha respectively. Cutting was done at a frequency of once in 30, 45 and 60 days for all the three grasses for a period of one year and the dry matter yield at successive harvests was recorded. The samples were processed for the extraction of leaf protein as described by Balasundaram

and Samuel (1971) and the analysis and calculations of extractable protein yields were done according to the method of Byers and Sturrock (1965). From the information on the extractable protein yields, the amount of protein extracted in kg/ha/day was also calculated.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The yield of dry matter and extractable protein at successive harvests are presented for the three grasses (Table 1). The total yield of extractable protein decreased in 45 days cutting frequency than in 30 days, while 60 days registered an increase over 30 days. Similar trend was observed in Cenchrus sp and Bracharia sp while in Panicum sp 30 days registered the maximum yield followed by 60 and 45 days.

In Cenchrus specis the yield/unit time was highest under the second cut in all the three frequency of

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641003.

TABLE 1. Yield of dry matter and extractable protein in Cenchrus glaucus, Bracharia mutica and Panicum maximum (kg/ha)

V)	le e					-		1		100	The state of the s	100	lin vi
Frequency of cutting	ıtting	igur nun	elis	9113 211 51	en out	4	Number of cuttings	cuttings		of 6 na a kulika		10 M	sto
free Unit	edt t 1	ins inxe	2	က	4	D.	9	7	80	6	10	11	12
Cenchrus glaucus	eios unde	n e	8 V 8	tied The dec	ΔÞ		niem ster	E 1113 E 11146 C 5006	de de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición del composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composic	o lo . S . x .v	e pare l <b>e</b> web	inet and rifodi	K.I
30 days	spi est	2145	4946	4178	1850	1930	821	1278	985	1482	1236	375	186
	eliyad digil	9.08	161.5	120.4	110.8	134.5	43.0	40.3	38.1	61.6	57.1	12.3	4.5
		(2.6)	(5.4)	(4.0)	(3.6)	(4.5)	(1.4)	(1.3)	(1.2)	(2.1)	(1.9)	(0.4)	(0.2)
45 days	n (	2505	8538	1816	1872	1578	1877	1748	841	the Quenc	elega egap	redic cas[	
	= emi	94.5	298.5	129.0	75.7	63.8	57.1	51.5	21.3	nua edis	adh F	1	mi bb
		(2.1)	(6.6)	(2.8)	(1.7)	(1.4)	(1.2)	(1.1)	(0.5)	lind Tree	elm)       TOA	1	lax Iro
60 days	-81 91 16	7490	10401	3604	3056	3646	896	L	rec elideo	ot to gnive	IAJAI	e l	f N
lugir	ves vel	287.7	366.9	156.4	63.8	109.2	23.5	ab nd	epsi rew: l	inga Nga 1 ,29	B T		of od
bnu gA b		(4.8)	(6.1)	(2.6)	(1.1)	(1.7)	(0.4)	brji OJ	Ī		ber	I	
Bracharia mutica													
30 days	ss <u>s</u> iv	2544	6215	4628	1732	1258	1017	738	833	1146	678	326	196
	extra	49.6	24.2	333.5	117.8	74.3	49.5	21.2	54.8	61.6	43.6	8.9	4.4
		(1.6)	(8.1)	(11.1)	(3.9)	(2.3)	(1.6)	(0.7)	(1.8)	(2.1)	(1.4)	(0.2)	(0.1)
45 days	e d ed,	2759	3684	2159	2103	1820	2135	1587	488	ybute ybeld	engar Cal.		T
is C	leix blos	105.2	243.6	126.4	. 84.1	52.6	76.1	58.2	17.9	A HIP Way	ad to		101 - 1
ein e * Da Co	7 19: 981	(2.3)	(5.4)	(2.8)	(1.9)	(1.2)	(1.7)	(1.3)	(0.4)	Aix <b>i</b> m	entre Versicus Sent   Se	J	mij Is
to	16 88	bi	di di	93 93 90	NO.	X	fixi ti	NA A					gK

ed the

TABLE 1. (Contd.)

ad vo	11 2 12	disternation	To vis	b probable		421 195	11.2 5.6	(0.4) (0.2)	bras algi	namen of the state	ent cut cut cut cut cut cut cut cut cut cu	hori	Spring outs outs outs outs outs	la l
0038 8004	10	sint tale	cier sbc cld	neo dd		548	35.8	(1.2)	Ins	neit	ib n	be 1	mod	(17)
A.	o o	hooi youle	)      	set and		969	35.8	(1.2)	ing bhi in	isqi roj njel	Cop nels one	k, Old	j m io∤j stoc	umix echt extr
	00	tend opa	TOR THE SHE B	oloi epi veri		1271	66.1	(2.2)	408	12.3	(0.3)		t p	nijie 28 v
Number of cuttings	284	y vs sm s	op b (s d)T	p/bi		1388	35.8	(1.2)	455	22.4	(0.5)	ilqpi lety sev	)   	ly de
Number	9	8198	20.2	(0.3)		948	49.9	(1.7)	661	28.0	(0.6)	704	22.4	(0.4)
90pi 190pi	D	2959	112.0	(1.8)		6/	48.1	(1.6)	1394	95.2	(2.1)	1281	35.8	(0.6)
2 B	ed 6 <b>4</b> W	2506	43.6	(0.7)		2172	145.6	(4.8)	782	32.0	(0.7)	1963	69.4	(1.1)
	8	6498	273.7	(4.6)		8026	474.2	(15.8)	1220	66.3	(1.4)	2744	166.9	(2.8)
10   PG	2	9255	474.8	(7.9)		5309	224.6	(7.5)	5336	177.0	(3.9)	8691	359.8	(5.9)
. M	T bad	3967	164.9	(2.7)		2416	150.0	(2.0)	2491	81.9	(1.8)	3718	122.7	(2.0)
cutting		y allis o allis , i	5		m L.				age	100			=	
Frequency of cutting		60 days	ng h		Panicum maximum L.	30 days						60 days		

f protein (kg/ha/day) extracted. spri worle, nge a mount 5.45 kg ha age Figures in parenthesis

protein. Extractable p cuttings while in *Bracharia* sp and *Panicum* sp a similar trend was seen for the 45 and 60 days cutting frequency but in the 30 days frequency the third cut registered the maximum. This may be due to protein yield and extractability decreased just after flowering stage as reported in different crops (Arckoll, 1971).

Panicum maximum: Comparing the cuts, the second cut was found to yield more of extractable protein in two of the three cutting frequencies tried in the experiment. But on the whole, the yield was more up to three months of the crop and later on declined gradually. Keeping this in view, an average day yield for the first three months was recorded (Table 2) and it was found to vary from as low as 2.8 to 9.4 kg/ha/day. Thus, 30 days frequency was observed to be the best for Panicum sp giving 9.4 kg/ha/day followed by 60 days, while 45 days frequency, registered the least with 2.8 kg/ha/day.

Cenchrus glaucus: Second cut gave the highest yield/unit time in all the three frequencies of cut tried. A similar trend as observed in Panicum sp showing an increase in the extractable protein yield upto three months is apparent. The average per day extractable protein yield, for the first three months revealed that 60 days frequency as the best with 5.45 kg/ha/day followed by 45 days while the 30 days registered the least with 4.00 kg/ha/day,

Bracharia mutica: The average per day extractable protein yield for the first three months showed a similar trend akin to Panicum and 30 days frequency proved as the best with 6.93 kg/ha/day followed by 60 days, while 45 days registered the least with 3.85 kg/ha/day.

A comparative study of all the three grasses together with all the three frequencies tried showed that in general the second cut recorded the maximum yield/unit time. All the grasses gave good yields till three months and showed a gradual reduction subsequently. An average per day yield for the first three months for all the three frequencies together revealed that Panicum and Bracharia sp were on a par with 5.36 kg/ha/day while a lower yield of 4.6 kg/ha/day was observed in Cenchrus sp. This may be due to the short stature of the plant as compared to Panicum and Bracharia, resulting in a lower dry matter and protein yields.

Among the three frequencies of cuts tried 30 days frequency was found to be superior for the grasses, Bracharia sp and Panicum sp while 60 days proved to be better for Cenchrus sp.

## REFERENCES

ARCKOLL, D. B. 1971. Agronomic aspects of leaf protein production in Great Britain in leaf protein: its agronomy, preparation quality and use. IBP Book No. 20. pp. 3-18. Pirie N. W. (ed) Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford and Edinburgh.

BALASUNDARAM, C. S. and D. M. SAMUEL. 1971. Preliminary trial to assess the supplementary value of leaf protein. *Indian* J. Home Sci. 5: 1.

BYERS, M. and J. W. STURROCK. 1965. The yields of leaf protein extracted by large scale processing of various crops. J. Sci. Fd. Agric. 16: 341.